

Con n ed G ap E xcitations and M ultielectron Localization in M agnetic A lloys

Valery I. Rupasov

Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, M oscow , Russia

(April 15, 2024)

Abstract

The degenerate Anderson m odel w ith a nonlinear electron dispersion and an energy dependent hybridization is proven to exhibit hidden integrability and is diagonalized by the Bethe ansatz. If the im purity f -level energy lies below the conduction band edge, the spectrum of the system is shown to contain pairs of con n ed \gap excitations" and their heavy bound com plexes. In addition, m ultielectron localized states due to a pinning of gap bound com plexes to the im purity are predicted.

PAC S num bers: 75.20.H r, 71.55.A k, 71.27.+ a

It is well known that the basic theoretical models describing magnetic impurities in non-magnetic metals [1], such as the s-d (Kondo) model, the Anderson model, etc., are integrable under two additional conditions: (i) an electron-impurity coupling is assumed to be energy independent, and (ii) a band electron dispersion $\epsilon(k)$ can be linearized around the Fermi level, $\epsilon(k) \approx v_F(k - k_F)$, where k_F and v_F are the Fermi momentum and velocity, respectively. Only under these conditions, both an electron-impurity scattering and an effective electron-electron coupling are described in terms of discontinuous jumps in the Bethe ansatz wave functions. Therefore a linear particle spectrum and a pointlike particle-impurity coupling are considered now as the necessary mathematical conditions for integrability of the "impurity" models. In what follows we will use the phrase "linear dispersion approximation" (LDA) to refer to a model modified in accordance with these conditions. The LDA is clear to eliminate both the effects of the band structure and possible excitations of the system with energies lying inside the interband gap from an exact analysis of the behaviour of magnetic alloys. Therefore an extension of the Bethe ansatz technique to models accounting for a more realistic band structure and an energy dependence of particle-impurity coupling is of great physical interest.

In the present paper, we study the n -fold degenerate Anderson model with a nonlinear band electron dispersion and an energy dependent electron-impurity coupling, which is the basic theoretical model for mixed-valent, Kondo and heavy-fermion phenomena [1]. The model Hamiltonian is written in the following one-dimensional form :

$$H = \sum_0^X \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dk}{2} \epsilon(k) c^\dagger(k) c(k) + v(k) c^\dagger(k) X_0 + X_0 c(k) \epsilon_0 + \sum_f X_f X : \quad (1a)$$

The Fermi operator $c^\dagger(k)$ creates a conduction band electron with the total angular momentum projection and the momentum modulus k . A rare-earth impurity is described by the Hubbard operators X_{ab} with algebra $X_{ab}X_{cd} = \delta_{cb}X_{ad}$, where the index $a = 0; \dots; n$ enumerates both the nonmagnetic state ($a = 0$) and the degenerate magnetic states ($a = 1; \dots; n$) of the impurity. The third term is the f -level energy of an electron localized on the impurity, while the second one describes the hybridization of band and f -level electron states with

the matrix element $v(k)$. The Coulomb repulsion on the impurity orbital is assumed to be very large, so that the multiple occupancy of the impurity f -level is excluded. Within LDA the Anderson model has been diagonalized by Wegmann [2] (nondegenerate version) and by Schlottmann [3] (degenerate version).

It has recently been discerned [4] that LDA is not necessary for an exact diagonalization of the basic impurity models of quantum optics, describing a system of Bose particles with a nonlinear dispersion coupled to two-level atoms. Making use of some mathematical analogies between "magnetic" and "optical" models, we diagonalize exactly the Hamiltonian (1a) and derive a hierarchy of the Bethe ansatz equations. The information about the nonlinear electron dispersion and the energy dependence of the hybridization is contained only in the nonlinear energy dependence of "rapidities" of "charge" excitations of the system. If the impurity f -level energy ϵ_f lies below the conduction band edge, the spectrum of the system exhibits a novel extremely rich class of charge excitations with energies lying inside the interband gap around ϵ_f . These excitations have been excluded obviously from previous studies by LDA. The gap spectrum is shown to contain propagating pairs of connected "gap excitations", which do not exist separately from each other, and their heavy bound complexes. In addition to propagating complexes, we demonstrate the existence of multi-electron gap states localized in the vicinity of the impurity due to a pinning of a gap bound complex to the impurity.

To diagonalize the model Hamiltonian, it is convenient first to rewrite Eq. (1a) in terms of electron operators on the "energy scale", $c(k) = (d(k)=dk)^{1/2}c(k)$, with algebra $[c(k), c^\dagger(k')] = \delta(k-k')$,

$$H = \sum_k \epsilon_k c^\dagger(k) c(k) + \sum_k V(k) c^\dagger(k) c_f + \sum_k V(k) c_f^\dagger c(k) + \epsilon_f c_f^\dagger c_f; \quad (1b)$$

where $V(k) = (d(k)=dk)^{1/2}v(k)$. Note that the energy dependence of the effective coupling $V(k)$ is determined now by both the energy dependence of the hybridization matrix element and the nonlinearity of the electron dispersion.

Now we look for one-particle eigenstates of the model in the form

$$|j\rangle = \sum_a A_a |g\rangle + \sum_0 \frac{d}{2} V(k) \langle \mu | \psi \rangle |j\rangle; \quad (1)$$

where A is arbitrary, and the vacuum state contains no band electrons and the impurity is in the nonmagnetic state, $c(k)|j\rangle = X_a |j\rangle = 0$. The Schrodinger equation then reads

$$(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_a) \langle j | \psi \rangle + g(k) \langle \mu | \psi \rangle = 0; \quad (2a)$$

$$(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_a) g(k) + \sum_0 \frac{d}{2} V(k) \langle j | \psi \rangle = 0; \quad (2b)$$

where $\langle \mu | \psi \rangle$ is the Fourier image of the auxiliary wave function $\psi(\mu)$, while the effective particle-impurity coupling $V(k)$ contains the information about the electron dispersion,

$$V(k) = \sum_0 \frac{d}{2} \langle \mu | e^{ik\mu} \rangle; \quad V^2(k) = \sum_0 \frac{d^2}{2} \langle \mu | e^{ik\mu} \rangle^2;$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, one gets $g(k) = [\hbar(k) + i\epsilon]^{-1}$ and

$$\langle j | \psi \rangle = \frac{\hbar(k) - (i\epsilon)\text{sgn}(k)}{\hbar(k) + i\epsilon} e^{ik\mu}; \quad (3)$$

where the "rapidity"

$$\hbar(k) = (\epsilon + \epsilon_0(k) - \epsilon_f) = V^2(k);$$

while $\epsilon_0(k)$ and $\epsilon_0(k) = V^2(k)/2$ are respectively the real and imaginary parts of the self-energy $\epsilon(k) = \sum_0 \frac{d}{2} \langle \mu | e^{ik\mu} \rangle V^2(k) = (\epsilon_0(k) - i0)$. In what follows we neglect the ϵ dependence of $\epsilon_0(k)$, $\epsilon_0(k) \approx \epsilon_0(k_f)$, and regard $\epsilon_0(k_f)$ as a small correction to the f -level energy due to the hybridization with the band electron states, $\epsilon_f = \epsilon_f - \epsilon_0(k_f)$. If ϵ_f lies below the band edge, $\epsilon_f < 0$, Eqs. (2) admit also the discrete mode with the eigenenergy $\epsilon_d = \epsilon_f$, which obviously corresponds to the electron-impurity bound state. In the case of a Bose system with gap dispersion, a particle-impurity bound state has earlier been predicted by John and Wang [5]. To keep notations simple, hereafter the bar in ϵ_f is omitted.

The auxiliary wave function (3) is discontinuous, but the electron wave function

$$\langle x | j \rangle = \hbar c(x) |j\rangle = \langle x | j \rangle A \quad (\text{where the electron operator } c(x) \text{ is defined as}$$

$c(x) = \int_0^{R_1} (dk/2\pi) c(k) \exp(ikx)$ [1]) is continuous and results from the integral "dressing" of the auxiliary function,

$$(x|j) = \int_0^{Z_1} dx u(x; j) (j);$$

with the dressing function

$$u(x; j) = \int_0^{Z_1} \frac{dk}{2\pi} v(k) \frac{d^j(k)}{dk} e^{i(kx - \epsilon^j(k))};$$

In LDA, the dressing function is nothing but the delta-function, $u(x; j) = \delta(x - x_j)$, and hence the auxiliary wave function $(x|j)$ is the same as the electron wave function $(x|j)$.

The idea of auxiliary functions (or "auxiliary particles") can easily be extended to the multi-electron case. For instance, the two-electron wave functions in the energy space are represented as $\psi_{12}(\epsilon_1; \epsilon_2) = V(\epsilon_1)V(\epsilon_2) \phi_{12}(\epsilon_1; \epsilon_2)$ and $J_{12}(\epsilon) = V(\epsilon)G_{12}(\epsilon)$, where the latter describes the state, in which one of the electrons is localized on the impurity. In the auxiliary ϵ -space, the Schrodinger equations for the Fourier images of the auxiliary functions $\phi_{12}(\epsilon_1; \epsilon_2)$ and $G_{12}(\epsilon)$ are then similar to those within LDA but with the nonlocal particle-impurity coupling ϵ . It is remarkable that, despite the nonlocal coupling, the two-particle scattering matrix is still found [4] in the well-known form :

$$S = \frac{h(\epsilon_1) - h(\epsilon_2) + iP}{h(\epsilon_1) - h(\epsilon_2) + i} \quad (4)$$

where $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the permutation operator. The S-matrix satisfies obviously the Yang-Baxter equations. Hence the multiparticle scattering of the auxiliary particles is factorized into two-particle ones and the auxiliary multiparticle wave functions have the ordinary Bethe ansatz structure. But due to the nonlinear electron dispersion and the nonlocal electron-impurity coupling, the multi-electron wave functions

$$\psi_{1 \dots N}(x_1; \dots; x_N) = \int_0^{Z_1} \prod_{j=1}^N d\epsilon_j \phi_{1 \dots N}(\epsilon_1; \dots; \epsilon_N) \prod_{j=1}^N u(x_j; \epsilon_j) \quad (5)$$

are continuous functions of the coordinates x_j . The factorization of multi-electron scattering and the Bethe ansatz construction for multi-electron wave functions are thus hidden and manifested only in the limit of large interelectron separations.

Imposing the periodic boundary conditions on the N -electron wave function (5) on the interval of size L , we arrive at the following Bethe ansatz equations (BAE):

$$\exp(ik_j L) e_1^{(0)}(j) = \prod_{a_1=1}^{M_1} e_1^{(0)}(j, a_1) \quad (6a)$$

$$\prod_{a_1=1}^{M_1} e_1^{(1)}(j, a_1) = \prod_{b=1}^{M_1} e_2^{(1)}(j, a_1, b) \quad (6b)$$

where $E = \sum_j \epsilon_j$ is the eigenenergy, $k_j = k(j)$ is the momentum of a charge excitation with the energy ϵ_j , and $e_n(x) = (x - i\pi/2)^{m_n} = (x + i\pi/2)^{m_n}$. If m_n is the number of particles with the color n , the numbers M_1 are defined by $M_1 = \sum_{n=1}^P m_n$, M_0 being the total number of electrons, $M_0 = N$. It is clear that only charge excitations with rapidities $h_j^{(0)} = h(j)$ contain the information about the electron dispersion and the energy dependence of the hybridization, while BAE for the "color" rapidities $f^{(1)}_g, g = 1, \dots, n-1$ coincide with the corresponding equations in LDA. Therefore, we can use previous results [3] to classify the solutions of Eqs. (6) as $L \rightarrow \infty$ and we may focus our studies on charge excitations only.

The charge rapidities can be grouped into a "string", which describe a bound complex of electrons with different colors,

$$h_j = i + \frac{1}{2}(m+1-2j); \quad (7)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ enumerates now the string rapidities, and an arbitrary real i denotes a rapidity of corresponding color excitation. Since only electrons of different colors interact, one can build strings containing up to $m = l+1 - n$ charge excitations. Eq. (7) is a solution of BAE, if and only if the imaginary parts of the rapidity h_j and the corresponding momentum k_j have the same sign,

$$\text{sgn}(\text{Im } h_j) = \text{sgn}(\text{Im } k_j); \quad (8)$$

It is easy to understand that solutions of Eq. (8) determine vicinities on the i axis with an attractive interaction between charge excitations. In the other vicinities, where NC is not satisfied, the charge excitation coupling is repulsive, and hence they comprise no strings, except one-particle ones. Thus, though BAE are valid at arbitrary $u(k)$ and $v(k)$, their

solutions are controlled by the properties of analytical continuations of the functions $k(\omega)$ and $h(\omega)$ in the complex ω -plane. In LDA, where $k_j = \omega_j$ and $h_j = (\omega_j - \epsilon_F) = v_F^2(k_F)$, the necessary condition (NC) (8) is obviously satisfied at all frequencies. For the sake of simplicity, we confine ourselves to the case of a weak momentum dependence of the hybridization matrix element, assuming that $v(k)$ can be replaced by some constant value \bar{v} . Moreover, we also assume that the electron dispersion has the simple form $\epsilon(k) = k^2$, where we set the effective electron mass equals to 1/2. Then one obtains

$$k(\omega) = \frac{P}{\omega}; \quad h(\omega) = v_F^2(\omega - \epsilon_F)k(\omega); \quad (9)$$

The analytical continuations of the functions $k(\omega)$ and $h(\omega)$ depend essentially on the position of the real part of the frequency with respect to the band edge. If the real parts of all the frequencies ω_j corresponding to the h-string (7) are positive, BAE with Eq. (9) lead only to some corrections to the system spectrum found within LDA. For the states of physical interest near the Fermi energy, these corrections are negligibly small.

But the situation is changed drastically, if the real parts of all the frequencies ω_j are assumed to lie below the band edge, $\omega_j < 0$. Let $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i$, where $\omega_r < 0$, and $k(\omega) = i\tilde{k}(\omega_r)$, where $\tilde{k}(\omega_r) = \frac{P}{\omega_r}$. To avoid tedious algebraic expressions, we restrict further analysis to the case $\omega_i = 0$. Then $k(\omega) = \text{sgn}(\omega_r)[\tilde{k}(\omega_r) + i\tilde{r}(\omega_r)]$ and $h(\omega) = \text{sgn}(\omega_r)[\tilde{h}(\omega_r) + i\tilde{r}(\omega_r)]$, where $\tilde{r}(\omega_r) = v_F^2(\omega_r - \epsilon_F)\tilde{k}(\omega_r)$. Since $\tilde{k}(\omega_r)$ is positive, NC leads now to the condition $\tilde{r}(\omega_r) > 0$, which is met only if ϵ_F is negative and $\omega_r < -\epsilon_F$. Hereafter, we study only gap states lying around ϵ_F , because they are well separated from the band edge. The remaining analysis is then simplified by linearizing the function $\tilde{r}(\omega_r)$ at the point $\omega_r = -\epsilon_F$, $\tilde{r}(\omega_r) = a(\omega_r + \epsilon_F)$, where $a = v_F^2(-\epsilon_F)$.

Let us start with the simplest case of a two-particle string. Its complex conjugated rapidities, $h_1 = \omega_1^{(1)} + i\omega_1^{(2)}$ and $h_2 = h_1^* = \omega_1^{(1)} - i\omega_1^{(2)}$ are mapped to the corresponding pair of frequencies, $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i$ and $\omega^* = \omega_r - i\omega_i$, where ω_r is chosen to be positive, $\omega_i > 0$. The pair of corresponding momenta are given by $k = q + i\tilde{k}(\omega_r)$ and $k^* = q - i\tilde{k}(\omega_r)$, where $q = \omega_r^{(1)} = \omega_r^{(2)}$, because $\omega_r^{(1)} = \omega_r^{(2)}$ is negative. The real and imaginary parts of frequencies

are easily found as $\epsilon = \epsilon_f + 1 = 2a$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon^{(1)} = a$. Since $\epsilon > 0$, only strings with negative $\epsilon^{(1)}$ are mapped to the gap states. For the gap states the real part of rapidities $\epsilon^{(1)}$ determines the imaginary part of frequencies, therefore strings with different $\epsilon^{(1)}$ are mapped to pairs with the same real part but different imaginary parts $\epsilon = \epsilon^{(1)} = a$.

The expressions obtained describe a bound state of two gap excitations of the system, because, unlike excitations bound into ordinary band two-particle complexes, gap excitations do not exist separately from each other. These results are easily extended to the case of strings containing an even number of particles, $m = 2$. All pairs of complex conjugated rapidities, h_j and h_j^* , $j = 1, \dots, m/2$, are mapped to the corresponding pairs of complex conjugated frequencies, $\omega_j = \omega_j^{(0)} + i$ and $\omega_j^* = \omega_j^{(0)} - i$, and momenta $k_j = q_j + i(\omega_j^{(0)})$ and $k_j^* = q_j - i(\omega_j^{(0)})$. Here $\omega_j^{(0)} = \epsilon_f + (\epsilon + 1 = 2 - j)a$, $\epsilon = \epsilon^{(1)} = a$ and $q_j = j^0(\omega_j^{(0)})$. The expressions obtained describe obviously the bound complex of pairs of bound gap excitations with the eigenenergy per particle

$$E^{(0)} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^X \omega_j^{(0)} = \epsilon_f + \epsilon = 2a: \quad (10)$$

Note that the frequencies and momenta of the gap complex do not have the string structure. The upper index in the above expressions, (0) , indicates that they are derived with linearizing of the function $r(\epsilon)$ at the point $\epsilon = \epsilon_f$. In this approximation, the energy of a complex is independent of its momentum per particle,

$$Q = (2)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^X q_j = \sum_{j=1}^X j^0(\omega_j^{(0)}) \quad (11)$$

and hence the states obtained are infinitely degenerate. But the degeneracy is lifted by the first correction to Eq. (10). To find this correction, one has to keep the next term,

$i(\epsilon^2/2)r''(\epsilon)$, of the Taylor series for the function $h(\epsilon)$ and the next term in the expansion of the function $r(\epsilon)$ at the point $\epsilon = \epsilon_f$: $r(\epsilon) = a(\epsilon - \epsilon_f) + b(\epsilon - \epsilon_f)^2$, where $b = v^2 \epsilon_f^{(0)}(\epsilon_f) < 0$. We then find the first order correction to the energy of a motionless complex and determine the kinetic energy contribution to the total energy of a complex in the effective mass approximation,

$$E = E^{(0)} + Q^2 = 2m : \quad (12)$$

Here $\epsilon = \frac{b}{12a^3} (4 - \epsilon^2 - 1)$ and $m = \frac{a}{2b^2} P_j j^0 (^{(0)}) j^2$ are the band halfwidth and the effective mass. For small ϵ , the bands of propagating complex are very narrow and complexes are very heavy and even motionless at $Q = 0$. But the bandwidth grows as ϵ^2 , so that large complexes are quite mobile for large Q . At arbitrary Q , one needs to study the exact equations for the parameters of a complex, $\text{Re } h(j; j) = \epsilon^{(1)}$ and $\text{Im } h(j; j) = (\epsilon - j + 1/2)$.

In the case of an h-string containing an odd number of particles, $m = 2 + 1$, the extra real rapidity is mapped only to the gap state with $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_d = \epsilon_f$, which corresponds to the electron-impurity bound state. The remaining complex conjugated pairs of rapidities of an odd string with $\epsilon^{(1)} \neq 0^+$ are then mapped to a deformed motionless gap complex with $j = \epsilon_f + (\epsilon - j + 1)$. Since one of the particles of this complex is bound to the impurity, a complex as a whole is pinned to the impurity. A pinned complex describes obviously the state of the system, in which electrons with different colors are localized in the vicinity of the impurity.

In summary, we have shown that the degenerate Anderson model is diagonalized exactly by the Bethe ansatz beyond LDA. If the impurity f-level energy lies inside the gap, the spectrum of the charge excitations of the system exhibits a novel rich class of gap states, which have obviously been eliminated from previous studies due to LDA. It should be emphasized in conclusion that one of the most exciting possible applications of the approach developed could be an exact treatment of the superconductivity problem in the presence of magnetic impurities [6], where carrier dispersion cannot be linearized around the Fermi level of a host metal.

I am grateful to S. John for stimulating discussions and to the Department of Physics at the University of Toronto for kind hospitality and support during the completion of this work.

REFERENCES

Current address: Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7; internet: rupasov@physics.utoronto.ca

- [1] See, for a review, A.M.Tsvelick and P.B.Wiegmann, *Adv.Phys.* 32, 453 (1983); N.Andrei, K.Furuya and J.H.Lowenstein, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* 55, 331 (1983); P.Schlottmann, *Phys.Rep.* 181, 1 (1989); A.C.Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1993).
- [2] P.B.Wiegmann, *Phys.Lett.* 80A, 163 (1980).
- [3] P.Schlottmann, *Z.Phys.B* 49, 109 (1982).
- [4] V.I.Rupasov and M.Singh, *J.Phys.A* 29, L205 (1996); *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 77, 338 (1996); *Phys.Rev.A* 54, 3614 (1996).
- [5] S.John and J.Wang, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 64, 2418 (1990).
- [6] V.I.Rupasov, *Phys.Lett.A*, accepted for publication; cond-mat/9706063.