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#### Abstract

We study the structure of 2 D electronic states in a strong m agnetic eld in the presence of a large num ber of resonant scatterers. For an electron in the lowest Landau level, we derive the exact density of states by mapping the problem onto a zero-dim ensional eld-theoreticalm odel. W e dem onstrate that the interplay between resonant and non \{resonant scattering leads to a non-analytic energy dependence of the electron $G$ reen fiunction. In particular, for strong resonant scattering the density of states develops a gap in a nite energy interval. The shape of the Landau level is show $n$ to be very sensitive to the distribution of resonant scatterers.


Pacs num bers: $73.20 \mathrm{Dx}, 73.40 \mathrm{Hm}$

D uring recent years there has been a grow ing interest in the role of $m$ ultiple resonant scattering in transport．M ost of the studies have been related to the passage of light through a disordered $m$ edium．In particular，it was show $n$ in a recent experm ent $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and subsequent w orks［ֲ－ī］，that m ultiple scattering near resonances leads to a renorm alization of the di usion coe cient up to an order ofm agnitude．

It is natural to expect that resonant scattering would also a ect quite strongly the properties of electrons in disordered system s．The e ective trapping of the electron in resonant states is expected to suppress di usion，just as in optics［ī1］．This would in tum be evident in the single\｛particle density of states（DOS）and localization properties．

In this paper we study the electronic states of a 2D system in a strong magnetic eld in the presence of a large num ber of resonant scatterers．This choice is m otivated in part as experim entalstructures w th such geom etry becam e recently available thanks to rem arkable advances in the fabrication of arrays of ultra\｛sm all self－assem bled quantum dots［⿴囗⿱一𧰨刂灬．W th typical sizes of less than 20 nm and very narrow variations of less than 10\％，an array of such dots w ith density $10^{10} \quad 10^{1} \mathrm{am}^{2}$ can be produced at som e preset distance from a plane of a high mobility electron gas．$\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$ A s the Ferm ienergy in the plane approaches the levels of dots，the virtual transitions betw een dots and the plane result in multiple resonant scattering．Such scattering which，in principle，extends through the entire system，strongly a ects the DOS of a 2 D electron．

The DOS of 2D disordered electronic system $s$ in a quantizing $m$ agnetic eld has been
 dau levels（LL）m akes im possible a perturbative treatm ent of even weak disorder and calls for non \｛perturbative approaches．For high LL，A ndo＇s self\｛ consistent B om approxim ation ［5］$]$ w as show n to be asym ptotically exact for short \｛range disorder［1］ 1 of long \｛range disorder the D O S can be obtained w ithin the eikonal approxim ation līil ．For low LL and uncorrelated disorder，the problem contains no sm all param eter and neither of those approxim ations apply．N evertheless，W egner was able to obtain the exact D O S in a white\｛noise potential for the lowest LL，by m apping the problem onto that of the OD com－
plex ${ }^{4}$ \{ m odel $\left.\underset{\underline{-1}}{-1}\right]$. This rem arkable result was extended to non-G aussian random potentials


The \regular" disorder broadens the LL into a band of width . At the sam e tim e, the resonant scattering leads to a shanp energy dependence of the D O S near the resonance. The scattering is enhanced close to the LL center and is suppressed in the tails. Therefore, the e ciency of resonant scattering is characterized by the ratio $=$, where is the width of the energy spread of resonant states.

The interplay of the resonant and non\{resonant scattering leads to a rather com plex energy dependence of the DOS.Nevertheless, for the lowest LL the problem can be solved exactly [se Eqs. (8,) and ( $(\overline{9})$ ) below ]. W e exploit the hidden supersym $m$ etry of the low est LL $[\overline{9}, 1 \mathbf{1} 0 \overline{0}]$ in order to $m$ ap the averaged $G$ reen function onto a version of 0 D eld theory. The DOS appears to be non-analytic as a function of energy; in particular, it develops a gap as resonant scattering becom es strong.

The model.| C onsider a 2D electron gas separated by a tunneling barrier from a system of localized states (LS). In addition to LS, a G aussian random potentialV (r) w th correlator $\mathrm{hV}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{w} \quad(\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{f})$ is present in the plane. W e assum e that energies of LS are close to the low est LL and adopt the tunneling H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}={ }^{x} \quad a^{y} a+{ }_{i}^{x}{ }_{i} C_{i}^{y} C_{i}+{ }_{; i}^{x}\left(t_{i} a^{y} C_{i}+h . c .\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,$c^{y}$ and $c$ are the eigenenergy, creation and annihilation operators of the eigenstate $j$ i of the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ ( H 0 describes a free electron in $m$ agnetic eld), ir $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and $C_{i}$ are those of the ith LS, and $t_{i}$ is a tunneling $m$ atrix elem ent. The latter is de ned as $t_{i}={ }^{R} d r d z \quad(r ; z) V_{i}(r ; z)_{i}(r ; z)^{\prime} \quad\left(r_{i} ; z_{i}\right)^{R} d r d z V_{i}(r ; z)_{i}(r ; z)$, where $V_{i}(r ; z)$ is the LS potential and $\quad(r ; z)$ is its wave fiunction. In the direction norm al to the plane, the wave function $(r ; z)$ decays as $e^{z}$, while in the plane it behaves as an eigenfunction $(r)$ of the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$. For high enough tunneling barrier, the dependence of on can be neglected [ $[\underline{1} \overline{-1}]$, so that $t_{i}$, $\left(r_{i}\right) t_{i}$.

A form al expression for the $G$ reen function of a 2 D electron with energy !, $G \quad(!)=$
$h j(!\hat{H})^{1} j$ i, can be derived by integrating out the LS degrees of freedom. It has the form $\hat{G}(!)=(!\wedge)^{1}$, where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a diagonalm atrix $w$ th elem ents, and self\{energy m atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)={ }_{i}^{x} \frac{t_{i} t_{i}}{!}={ }_{i}^{x} \frac{t_{i}^{2}\left(r_{i}\right)\left(r_{i}\right)}{!}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

com es from scattering of the electron by LS. In such a form, how ever, the G reen function is hard to analyze. Instead, it is convenient to work with an e ective in \{plane H am iltonian, $H_{e}$, for the electron w ith energy !. Recasting in coordinate representation, we obtain $H_{e}(!)=H_{0}+V(r)+U(!; r)$, where the last term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(!; r)={ }_{i}^{x} \frac{t_{i}^{2}}{!} \quad\left(r_{i} \quad r\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes the resonant scattering of electron by the LS. The potential ( of point \{like scatterers. The crucial di erence, how ever, is that here scattering strength depends on the proxim ity of the electron energy to the LS levels. It is im portant to notioe that $U(!; r)$ changes from repulsive to attractive as the electron energy passes through the resonance. Since positions of LS are random with uniform density $n_{L S}$, the distribution function of U is Poissonian.

In the follow ing, we assum e that the tunneling barrier is high enough, and neglect the di erence in $t_{i}$ for di erent $L S$, setting $t_{i}=t$ in the rest of the paper. Strong $m$ agnetic eld im plies that scattering keeps electron in the low est LL.W hile for the white\{noise potential this condition is standard, it is $m$ ore restrictive for the resonant scattering. It should be noted, how ever, that the latter is e ectively reduced by the energy spread of LS .

The calculation of the $\operatorname{DOS}, \mathrm{g}(!)={ }^{1} \mathrm{Im} \overline{\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{r})}$, requires averaging of the G reen function, $G(r ; r)=h r j\left(!_{+} \quad H_{e}\right)^{1}$ jri (with $\left.!_{+}=!+i 0\right)$ overboth random potentials $V(r)$ and U (! ). Below, we derive this D OS exactly by using the approach of Ref. līō].

Derivation of DOS.| The Green function is presented as a bosonic functional integral $G(r ; r)=\quad Z^{1}{ }^{R} D \quad D \quad e^{i s}(r)(r) w$ th the action $S[; \quad]={ }^{R} d r(r)\left[!+\quad H_{e}(!)\right]$ (r). A fter w riting the norm alization factor as a ferm ionic integral $Z^{1}={ }^{R} D D e^{i s}$ w th the
sam e action S [ ; ],both and are pro jected on the low est LL subspace as (! $H_{0}$ ) = ! ( $m$ easuring all energies from the lowest LL). In the sym $m$ etric gauge, this projection is achieved with $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \mathrm{I}^{2}\end{array}\right)^{1=2} e^{\dot{j} j^{2}=41^{2}} u(z)$ and $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2\end{array}\right)^{1=2} e^{\dot{\sharp} j^{2}=41^{2}} v(z)$, where the bosonic eld $u(z)$ and the ferm ionic eld $v(z)$ are analytic functions of the complex coordinate $z=x+i y(l$ is the $m$ agnetic length). The $G$ reen function then takes the form $G(r ; r)=$ $i(2 \text { I })^{1} e^{j^{j} j^{2}=21^{2}} h u(z) u(z) i$, where $h \quad i$ denotes a functional integral over $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ w ith the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{d^{2} z}{2 \underline{R}} e^{\dot{j} j^{2}=21^{2}}(u u+v v)[!+\quad V \quad U(!)]: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a next step, one introduces $G$ rassman coordinates and , norm alized as ${ }^{R} d^{2} z d^{2} e^{\dot{z} j^{2}}=1$, and de nes analytic \super elds" $(z ;)=u(z)+v(z)={ }^{p} \overline{2} 1$ and $(z ;)=u(z)+v(z)={ }^{p} \overline{2} 1$, taking values in the \superspace" $=(z ;) . U \operatorname{sing}$ hui $=$ hvi $=0$ and huui $=$ hvvi, the $G$ reen function can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\frac{e^{-21^{2} Z}}{2 \mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{DD} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iS}}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{l}) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $22^{R} d^{2} e^{=21^{2}}$ ( ) ( ) .
$W$ e now perform the ensemble averaging over $V$ and $U$. The $G$ aussian averaging of $\exp i^{R} V Q d^{2} z$, where $Q={ }^{R} d^{2} e^{=21^{2}}$ ( ) ( ), gives $\exp \left[(w=2)^{R} Q^{2} d^{2} z\right]$, while the


$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \quad n_{\text {ls }}^{z} 1 \quad \exp \quad \frac{i t^{2} Q}{!} \quad d^{2} z ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ deriotes energy averaging. A s a result, one obtains the follow ing e ective action
where $(;)=e^{=21^{2}}()()$ Here $=\left(w=2 \quad l^{2}\right)^{1=2}$ is $W$ egner's width of lowest LL (in the absence of resonant scattering), $=2 \not \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ls}}$ is the $\backslash \mathrm{lling}$ factor" of LS, and we denoted $={ }^{2}=(!)$, where $=t=\left(2^{2} I^{1=2}\right.$ characterizes the tunneling,

The action ( evident for the rst term, this sym $m$ etry between $z$ and can be $m$ ade explicit for the second and third term $s$ also by making use of the identity $[1 \overline{0} 0$ $2 \operatorname{L}^{R} d^{2} e^{n}=21^{2}()^{n}$, which allows one to replace any functional of the form ${ }^{R} d^{2} z f\left(2 \underline{L}^{R} d^{2}\right.$ ) with 2 it $^{R} d^{2} h()$, where $@ h(x)=@ x=f(x)=x$. A s a result one obtains a $m$ anifestly supersym $m$ etric action $S={ }^{R} d^{2} A()$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { iA }(1)=i!_{+} \\
& z^{\frac{22^{2}}{4}}  \tag{8}\\
& 0
\end{align*} \quad \exp \quad \frac{i^{2}}{!}:
$$

$T$ he supersym $m$ etry leads, in tum, to the exact cancelation of contributions from $z$ and spatial integrals into each diagram, so that the entire perturbation series can be generated in the $O D$ eld theory w th the sam e action $\overline{9}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{O}]$. The $G$ reen function is then given by the ratio of two ordinary integrals, $G(!)=i(2 \text { 白) })^{1} Z_{0}{ }^{1}{ }^{R} d^{2} e^{i A} \quad$, where $Z_{0}={ }^{R} d^{2} e^{i A}$


$$
\begin{equation*}
g(!)=\frac{1}{2^{2} l^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{@}{@!+} \ln _{0}^{Z_{1}} d e^{\mathrm{iA}()} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$


E xam ples.| The energy averaging in ( $\overline{8}$ ) can be perform ed analytically for an arbitrary distribution of LS levels, $f(\quad)$, where is average energy and is the width. The result reads
where $\tilde{f}(x)$ is Fourier transform of $f()$ and $\bar{W}$ is the B essel function. Num erical results for D O S w th G aussian distribution, $f(x)=e^{x^{2}=2}$, are presented in $F$ ig. 1 .

C onsider rst the case of a strong in \{plane disorder, $=1$. For a not very small, so that ${ }^{2}=\quad 1$, the B essel function in (110) can be expanded to rst order, yielding $G(!)=$ $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{W}}(!\quad)$, where $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{W}}(!)$ is W egner's G reen function (that is w ith $=0$ ) and $(!)=$
i ${ }^{2}{ }_{0}^{R_{1}}$ dxe ${ }^{2} x^{2}=2+i(!) x$ is the rst\{order self-energy due to the resonant scattering. If the resonant level is close to the LL œenter, ! , the rst-order correction to the D O S reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g(!)}{g_{W}(0)}=\frac{p_{\overline{2}}^{2}}{2^{2}} \exp {\left.\frac{(!}{2^{2}}\right\}^{\#} ;}^{\text {" }} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{w}(!)$ is W egner's D O S.
Resonant scattering in this case $m$ anifests itself as a $m$ inim um of $w$ idth on top of the wider peak ofw idth . The evolution of the D OS with increasing $=$ is shown in Fig .1 (a). The ect is strongest for $=1$, however splilting rem ains considerable even for $=, 1$. For $=1$ the DOS is basically una ected by resonant scattering and reduces to W egner's form $g_{N}(!)$.

W ith increasing scattering $=$, the shape of the D OS undergoes drastical transform ation [see Fig. 1 (b)]. For a strong scattering, the D O S develops a gap in the energy interval ! (! ) < 0. The existence of the gap can be traced directly to Eq. $=$ and $=)$. In this energy interval the integration path in the $\left\{\right.$ integral in ' ${ }_{\underline{\prime}}(9)$ can be rotated by $e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(!)=2}$, resulting in a purely realiA. The origin of the gap is the follow ing. If the \regular" disorder is weak (sm all ), the LL broadening com es from the resonant scattering alone. Then the scattering potential (3) appears to be attractive for ! < , pulling the electronic states from the LL center to the left, while for $!>$ the potential is repulsive, pushing the states to the right. $N$ ote that for a low density of scatterers, $<1$, a fraction 1 of states in the plane rem ains una ected. Such \condensation of states" was known also for the case of repulsive point\{like scatterers with a constant scattering strength [and aw ay from the gap. In particular, the sm aller peaks correspond to singularities in $g(!)$ at integer values of ! (! $)={ }^{2}$ [1] $\left.\overline{1}\right]$. The behavior of $g(!)$ near the gap edges is di erent for ! ! 0 and! ! : one can show that in the form er case the D OS exhibits a discontinuity, $g(!) /(1 \quad)(!)+$ const=j! $j$ while near the resonance it vanishes as (! f).W ith increasing , the gap and the sm aller peaks are washed out; how ever the peak at! = 0
persists throughout [see Fig. 1 (c) ].
In conclusion, although our derivation was restricted to the lowest LL, we believe that our results are m ore general and valid for higher LL also. Indeed, the gap in the D OS for sm all disorder is apparently a result of the LL degeneracy. Therefore, the above argum ent, related to the change in the sign of the potential ( $(\underset{-1}{-1})$, should hold for arbitrary LL. N ote that the \condensation of states" also occurs for all LL num bers [īilili]. Thus, we expect that the gap in the DOS w ill persist, although the precise behavior of $g(!)$ near the gap edges could be di erent. C onceming the sharp $m$ inim um in the DOS in the absence of the LL degeneracy [see F ig. 1 (a)], it seem s that this is a rather general feature. In fact, in the absence of $m$ agnetic eld, analogous behavior has been known in the 3D case for identical scatterers $\stackrel{10}{10} \mathbf{i}$

A possible experim ental realization of the m ultiple resonant scattering could be a system of self-assembled quantum dots separated from a 2D electron gas by a tunable tunneling barrier $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4}]}\end{array}\right]$. D ue to the narrow distribution of dots' sizes, the spread in their energy levels, , does not exceed 10 m eV [-్ָ1]. . For considerable e ect of the resonant scattering, one $m$ ust have ${ }^{2}=\quad$ 1. For a typical LL width $\quad 1 \mathrm{meV}$, this condition im plies that the param eter should be about severalm eV , which would be reasonable to achieve. M oreover, for $=>1$, an even weaker condition, the tunneling would be relatively strong and the e ect of the resonant scattering would be signi cant. It was observed in Ref. [ī] that the mobility of the 2D gas (at zero $m$ agnetic eld) dropped by two orders of $m$ agnitude when the thickness of tunneling barrier betw een the dots and the plane was reduced. A though, we cannot give quantitative estim ate for the zero $\{$ eld case, this is certainly in qualitative agreem ent w ith our results. W e hope that our results would furtherm otivate experim ents in m agnetic elds.

Finally, we have disregarded the possible charging e ects and assum ed that the transitions occur between the plane and unoccupied dots. Certainly, as the Ferm i energy approaches som e of the dots will becom e singly occupied. O nœe occupied, such dots w ould have much higher energies and would not participate in the resonant scattering, reducing the e ective density $n_{\mathrm{Ls}}$, apart from producing (uniform ) C oulom b shifts in the energies of
unoccupied dots.
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## F IG URES

FIG.1. (a) DOS [in units of $\left.g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & l^{2}\end{array}\right)^{1}\right]$ for strong in-plane disorder, $==0: 3$, with $=0$ and $=1: 5$, is shown for di erent $==0: 1$ (solid line), 0.5 (dotted), 1.0 (dashed), 2.0 (long\{dashed), and 10.0 (dot\{dashed). (b) DOS [in units of $g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & l^{2}\end{array}\right)^{1} l^{1}$ ] for strong tunneling, $==10: 0$, w ith $=$ and $=0: 8$, is show n for $==0: 1$, (solid line), 02 (dotted), 0.3 (dashed), 0.5 (long\{dashed), and 1.0 (dot\{dashed). (c) The DOS for weak in-plane disorder, $==0: 1$, w th $=$ and $=0: 8$, is shown for $==1: 0$, (solid line), 3.0 (dotted), 5.0 (dashed), and 10.0 (long\{dashed).




