14 April 1997

Critical behavior of an Ising model with aperiodic interactions

S.T.R.Pinho, T.A.S.Haddad, and S.R.Salinas
Instituto de Fisica
Universidade de Sao Paulo
Caixa Postal 66318
05315-970, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil

A bstract

We write exact renormalization-group recursion relations for a ferromagnetic Ising model on the diamond hierarchical lattice with an aperiodic distribution of exchange interactions according to a class of generalized two-letter Fibonacci sequences. For small geometric uctuations, the critical behavior is unchanged with respect to the uniform case. For large uctuations, the uniform xed point in the parameter space becomes fully unstable. We analyze some limiting cases, and propose a heuristic criterion to check the relevance of the uctuations.

I. Introduction

The experim ental discovery of quasi-crystals motivated many investigations of the elects of geometric uctuations produced by dierent types of aperiodic structures. There are several special results for phonon and electronic spectra of linear problems. There are also some results for more dicult non-linear problems, as the analysis of the critical properties of a ferrom agnetic Ising model on a layered lattice with aperiodic exchange interactions along an axial direction.

In a recent publication,³ Luck presented a detailed study of the critical behavior in the ground state of the quantum Ising chain in a transverse eld (which is known to be related to the transition at nite temperatures of the two-dimensional Ising model). The nearest-neighbor ferrom agnetic exchange interactions are chosen according to some (generalized) Fibonacci sequences. The geometric uctuations are gauged by a wandering exponent! associated with the eigenvalues of the substitution matrix of each sequence. The critical behavior remains unchanged (that is, of Onsager type) for bounded uctuations (small values of!). Large uctuations induce much weaker singularities, similar to the case of a disordered Ising ferrom agnet.

In this paper, we take advantage of the simplications introduced by a hierarchical lattice to give another example of the elects of geometrical uctuations on the critical behavior of a ferrom agnetic model. It should be pointed out that hierarchical lattices have been widely used as a toy model to test critical properties. In some cases the (approximate) Migdal-Kadano renormalization-group transformations on a Bravais lattice are identical to the (exact) transformations on a suitable hierarchical structure. There are rather detailed studies of these exact transformations for the Ising model on a variety of hierarchical structures. There are also some recent investigations of an Ising spin-glass on the diam ond hierarchical lattice.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we make some comments on Fibonacci sequences and introduce a nearest-neighbor ferrom agnetic Ising model on a diam ond hierarchical lattice with q branches. To simulate a layered system, the exchange interactions ($J_A>0$ and $J_B>0$) are distributed along the branches according to the same aperiodic rule. For a large class of two-letter Fibonacci sequences, we write exact renormalization-group recursion relations in terms of two parameters, $x_A=\tanh K_A$, and $x_B=\tanh K_B$,

where K $_{\rm A}=$ J $_{\rm A}$, and K $_{\rm B}=$ J $_{\rm B}$, and is the inverse of the temperature. In Sections 3 and 4, we analyze two typical and distinct examples. We obtain the xed points and the ows of the recursion relations for these specic cases. In the rst example, for small!, the critical behavior is unchanged with respect to the uniform case (J $_{\rm A}=$ J $_{\rm B}$); the physical xed point in param eter space is a saddle point. In the example of Section 4, however, the uctuations turn the physical xed point fully unstable. We also analyze some limiting cases. For q = 1 (Ising chain), there is no transition at nite temperatures. The xed point at zero temperature, however, may change its character depending on the value of the wandering exponent. In a particular in nite-branching limit (q! 1), we obtain rather simple results. In Section 5, we present some conclusions, as well as a heuristic adaptation for the diam ond hierarchical lattice of Luck's criterion for the relevance of geometric uctuations.

II. De nition of the model

Consider a particular two-letter generalized Fibonacci sequence given by the substitutions

If we start with letter A, the successive application of this in ation rule produces the sequences

At each stage of this construction, the numbers N $_{\rm A}$ and N $_{\rm B}$, of letters A and B , can be obtained from the recursion relations

with the substitution matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & ! \\ 1 & 0 & : \end{pmatrix}$$
 (4)

The eigenvalues of this matrix, $_1 = 2$ and $_2 = 1$, govern most of the geometrical properties. In a more general case (that is, for a more general

rule), at a large order n of the construction, the total number of letters is given by the asymptotic expression

$$N^{n} = N_{\lambda}^{n} + N_{R}^{n} \qquad _{1}^{n}; \tag{5}$$

where $_1 > j_2 j$. The smaller eigenvalue governs the uctuations with respect to these asymptotic values,

$$N^n N_A^n = N_B^n j_2 j^n$$
: (6)

From these equations, we can write the asymptotic expression

with the wandering exponent

$$! = \frac{\ln j_2 j}{\ln j_2}$$
 (8)

Now we consider a nearest-neighbor Ising model, given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{(i,j)\\(i,j)}}^{X} J_{i,j-i-j}; \qquad (9)$$

with the spin variables $_{i}=-1$ on the sites of a diam ond hierarchical structure. In Fig. 1, which is suitable for the period-doubling Fibonacci rule of Eq.(1), we draw the rst stages of the construction of a diam ond lattice with a basic polygon of four bonds (ram i cation q=2). As indicated in this gure, we simulate a layered system by the introduction of the interactions $J_{A}>0$ and $J_{B}>0$ along the branches of the structure. Considering the elementary transform ations of Fig 2, and using the rules of Eq. (1), it is straightforward to establish the recursion relations

$$\tanh K_{A}^{0} = \tanh^{2} \tanh^{1} (\tanh K_{A} \tanh K_{B})^{i}; \qquad (10)$$

and

$$tanh K_B^0 = tanh 2 tanh 1 tanh 2 K_A ;$$
 (11)

where K $_{\text{A}\,;\text{B}}$ = $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ In this particular case, these equations can also be written as

$$\mathbf{x}_{A}^{0} = \frac{2\mathbf{x}_{A}\,\mathbf{x}_{B}}{1+\mathbf{x}_{A}^{2}\,\mathbf{x}_{B}^{2}};$$
(12)

and

$$\mathbf{x}_{B}^{0} = \frac{2\mathbf{x}_{A}^{2}}{1 + \mathbf{x}_{A}^{4}}; \tag{13}$$

w here

$$x_{A;B} = \tanh K_{A;B}$$
: (14)

In Section 3, we analyze the xed points associated with these simple recursion relations.

We can use similar procedures to consider much more general Fibonacci rules. To avoid any changes in the geometry of the hierarchical lattice, in this paper we restrict the analysis to period-multiplying Fibonacci in ation rules of two letters (the largest eigenvalue of the substitution matrix, 1, gives the multiplication factor of the period). For example, let us consider the substitutions

$$A ! A^{k}B^{1};$$

 $B ! A^{k+1};$
(15)

where k; 1 are two integers. From the substitution matrix,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} k & k+1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; (16)$$

we have the eigenvalues, $_1 = k + l$ and $_2 = l$, and the wandering exponent, ! = ln l = ln (k + l). Now we consider a diam ond lattice with q branches and a basic polygon of q (k + l) bonds. The exchange interactions are chosen according to the general Fibonacci rule of Eq. (15). We then write the recursion relations

$$tanh K_A^0 = tanh qtanh^1 tanh^k K_A tanh^l K_B^i; (17)$$

and

$$tanh K_B^0 = tanh qtanh^1 tanh^{k+1}K_A^i :$$
 (18)

III. Irrelevant uctuations

Consider again the Fibonacci in ation rules given by Eq. (1). From the eigenvalues of the substitution matrix, $_1 = 2$ and $_2 = 1$, we have ! = 0. For the branching number q = 2, the recursion relations are given by Eqs.

(12) and (13). The xed points and some orbits of the second iterates of this map are shown in Fig. 3. Besides the trivial xed points, there is also a non-trivial xed point, given by

$$x_A = x_B = 0.543689:::;$$
 (19)

which comes from the solution of the polynomial equation

$$x_{\lambda}^{8} + 2x_{\lambda}^{4} + 4x_{\lambda}^{2} + 1 = 0$$
: (20)

The linearization about this uniform xed point yields the asymptotic expression !

w here

$$M^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (22)

is the transpose of the substitution matrix, and

$$C = \frac{1 - (x_A)^4}{2x_A} = 0.839286 ::::$$
 (23)

The diagonalization of this linear form gives the eigenvalues

$$_{1} = C _{1} = 2C = 1:678573::;$$
 (24)

and

$$_2 = C _2 = C = 0.839286::::$$
 (25)

As $_1 > 1$ and $_1 < _2 < 0$, the xed point is a saddle point with a ipping approximation (in Fig. 3, we draw the trajectories of some second iterates of this map). Moreover, if we make $J_A = J_B$, it is easy to see that the same eigenvalue $_1$ characterizes the (unstable) xed point of the uniform model (see the diagonal ow in Fig. 3). Therefore, in this particular example the geometric uctuations are unable to change the critical behavior of the uniform system.

It is not dicult to check that the same sort of behavior (saddle point; largest eigenvalue associated with the uniform system) stillholds for all nite values of the branching number q of the diam ond structure. In the lim it of

in nite branching (q ! 1 , K_A ; K_B ! 0, with q^2K_A and q^2K_B xed), the recursion relations are particularly simple,

$$y_{A}^{0} = y_{A} y_{B};$$
 (26)

and

$$y_{R}^{0} = y_{L}^{2};$$
 (27)

where $y_A=q^2K_A$ and $y_B=q^2K_B$. The linearization about the uniform xed point, $y_A=y_B=1$, yields the relations

which correspond to a limiting case of Eq. (21), with C! 1. As the limiting eigenvalues are given by $_1 = _1 = 2$, and $_2 = _2 = 1$, a linear analysis is not enough to check the (ipping saddle-point) character of this marginal case.

A nother particular case of interest is the simple Ising chain (q = 1). The recursion relations are given by

$$x_{A}^{0} = x_{A} x_{B};$$
 (29)

and

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}; \tag{30}$$

with the same form of Eqs. (26) and (27), but with the parameters x_A and x_B given by Eq. (14). As shown in Fig. 4(a), the zero-temperature xed point displays the character of a saddle point. As there is no phase transition at nite temperatures, it cannot be reached from physically acceptable initial conditions.

IV. Relevant uctuations

To give an example of relevant uctuations, consider the generalized Fibonacci substitutions,

From the substitution matrix,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (32)

we have the eigenvalues, $_1 = 3$ and $_2 = 2$, and the wandering exponent, $! = \ln 2 = \ln 3 = 0$:630929::.. For a general branching number q, we can use Eqs. (17) and (18), to write the recursion relations

$$\tanh K_{A}^{0} = \tanh q \tanh^{1} \tanh K_{A} \tanh^{2} K_{B}$$
(33)

and

$$tanh K_B^0 = tanh q tanh^1 tanh^3 K_A^i$$
: (34)

For the particular case q = 2, Eqs. (33) and (34) reduce to the sim ple relations

$$x_{A}^{0} = \frac{2x_{A} x_{B}^{2}}{1 + x_{A}^{2} x_{B}^{4}};$$
(35)

and

$$x_{B}^{0} = \frac{2x_{A}^{3}}{1 + x_{A}^{6}};$$
(36)

where the parameters x_A and x_B are given by Eq. (14). In Fig. 5, we show the xed points and some second iterates associated with these recursion relations. The nontrivial xed point, given by

$$x_A = x_B = 0.786151:::;$$
 (37)

com es from the physical solution of a polynom ial equation. The linearization about this uniform xed point yields the relations

where the substitution matrix is given by Eq. (32), and

$$C = \frac{2 (x_A)^2 (x_A)^6}{h} (x_A)^6 = 0.618033 :::: (39)$$

From the diagonalization of this linear form we have the eigenvalues

$$_{1} = C _{1} = 1.854101:::;$$
 (40)

and

$$_{2} = C _{2} = 1236067 \dots$$
 (41)

The absolute values of $_1$ and $_2$ larger than unit indicate the relevance of the geometric uctuations. The uniform xed point is fully unstable (and there should be no transition for J_A \in J_B).

Again, it is not dicult to check that the uniform $\,$ xed point remains unstable for all values of the branching number q. In particular, for q! 1, and K_A ; K_B ! 0, with $q^{1-2}K_A$ and $q^{1-2}K_B$ xed, we have the limiting recursion relations,

$$y_A^0 = y_A y_B^2; (42)$$

and

$$y_{\rm B}^0 = y_{\rm A}^3;$$
 (43)

where $y_A=q^{1=2}K_A$ and $y_B=q^{1=2}K_B$. The linearization about the uniform xed point, $y_A=y_B=1$, yields the relations

with the substitution matrix given by Eq. (32). We then have the limiting eigenvalues, $_1 = _1 = 3$, and $_2 = _2 = 2$, which con m the unstable character of this xed point.

For the particular case of the linear chain (q = 1), we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{A}}^{0} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{B}}^{2} ; \tag{45}$$

and

$$x_{\rm B}^0 = x_{\rm A}^3$$
: (46)

In agreement with the lack of a phase transition, the xed point at zero temperature is unstable [see Fig. 4(b)].

V. Conclusions

From the analysis of the exact renormalization-group recursion relations associated with an Ising model on a variety of hierarchical diamond structures, we show that aperiodic uctuations of the ferromagnetic exchange interactions may change the character of a (uniform) xed point. In a particular example, with a small wandering exponent, the uctuations are irrelevant. In this case, the critical behavior is still characterized by the same exponents of the corresponding uniform system. In another example, however, stronger geometric uctuations turn the physical xed point unstable in the parameter space. Even in one dimension, although there is no phase transition at nite temperatures, we show that the geometric uctuations change the stability of the uniform xed point at zero temperature.

As in the work of Luck, it should be interesting to devise a general criterion to gauge the in uence of the geometric uctuations on the critical behavior of the Ising model on the diam ond hierarchical lattice. For a large lattice, with qbranches, the total uctuation J in the exchange interactions should be proportional to N. Thus we can write the asymptotic relation

$$J N N ! L^{q!};$$
 (47)

where L is a measure of the total length. The critical temperature, $T_{\rm c}$, should be proportional to the total value of the exchange (that is, to L^q). We can then do not a reduced temperature, $t=(T-T_{\rm c})=T_{\rm c}$, whose uctuations are given by the asymptotic form

$$t \frac{L^{q!}}{L^{q}} = L^{q(! 1)} : (48)$$

In the neighborhood of the critical point, we have L the parameter of the critical exponent. Thus, we can write

$$\frac{t}{t} = \frac{t^{-q(!-1)}}{t} = t; \tag{49}$$

with the exponent

$$= q(! 1) 1:$$
 (50)

If > 0, the uctuations are irrelevant. If < 0, however, the critical behavior is changed drastically. To calculate a suitable value of , we consider

the largest eigenvalue of the diagonal form about the physical xed point, and write the usual renormalization-group expression

$$_{1} = C _{1} = b^{y_{1}} = b^{1=} :$$
 (51)

As the largest eigenvalue $_1$ of the substitution matrix gives the multiplication factor of the F ibonacci rule, we write

$$b = {\binom{1}{1}}^{1=q}$$
: (52)

From Eqs. (51) and (52), we have

$$=\frac{\ln_{-1}}{\operatorname{gln}\left(C_{-1}\right)}:\tag{53}$$

Inserting into Eq. (50), we nally have

$$= \frac{\ln_{1}}{\ln (C_{1})} (! 1) 1:$$
 (54)

The uctuations are relevant for

$$! > \frac{\ln C}{\ln_{1}}; \tag{55}$$

where the prefactor C can be obtained from the linear analysis of the unstable xed point of the uniform $(J_A = J_B)$ system. Although the existence of some counterexample should not be ruled out, the validity of this criterion has been con rmed by the application to a fair number of cases (including the examples of Sections 3 and 4). In the limit of in nite branching, the prefactor C tends to unit, and the criterion of relevance is reduced to the P isot condition, 3 ! > 0.

A cknow ledgm ents

We thank a suggestion of D.M. ukameland several discussions with R.F. S.Andrade. STRP is on leave from Instituto de Fisica, Universidade Federal da Bahia, and thanks a fellow ship from the program CAPES/PICD. TASH and SRS are partially supported by fellow ships from CNPq.

R eferences

- ¹See, for exam ple, the reviews by T. Janssen and J. Loos, Phase Transitions 32, 29 (1991), and by H. Hiram oto and M. Kohm oto, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B6, 281 (1992).
- ²See, for example, C.A. Tracy, J. Phys. A 21, L603 (1988); V. Benza, M. Kolar, and M. K. Ali, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9578 (1990).
- ³J.M.Luck, J.Stat.Phys. 72, 417 (1993).
- ⁴A.N.Berker and S.Ostlund, J.Phys.C12, 4961 (1979); P.M.Bleher and E.Zalys, Comm.Math.Phys.67, 17 (1979).
- ⁵M .Kaufm an and R.B.G ri ths, Phys.Rev.B24, 496 (1981); R.B.G ri ths and M.Kaufm an, Phys.Rev.B26, 5022 (1982); M.Kaufm an and R.B.G ri ths, Phys.Rev.B28, 3864 (1983).
- ⁶E.Nogueira Jr., S.Coutinho, F.D.Nobre, E.M.F.Curado, and J.R.L. de Almeida, Phys.Rev.E00,0000 (1997).
- ⁷J.M.Luck, Europhys. Lett. 24, 359 (1993).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1-Som e stages of the construction of a diam ond hierarchical lattice with q=2 branches. Letters A and B indicate the ferrom agnetic exchange interactions ($J_A>0$ and $J_B>0$).
- Fig. 2-Basic graphs to obtain the recursion relations for an Ising model on a diam ond hierarchical lattice with q=2 and exchange interactions given by the generalized Fibonacci rules, A! AB, and B! AA.
- Fig. 4-Second iterates and xed points for the Ising chain: (a) exchange interactions according to the rules A ! AB, and B ! AA (irrelevant uctuations); (b) exchange interactions according to the rules A ! ABB, and B ! AAA (relevant uctuations). The diagonal solid line corresponds to the uniform model.
- Fig. 5-Second iterates and xed points (black circles) of the recursion relations in the parameter space, x_A = tanh K $_A$ versus x_B = tanh K $_B$, for an Ising model on a diam ond hierarchical lattice with q = 2 and exchange interactions given by the generalized Fibonacci rules, A ! ABB, and B ! AAA (relevant uctuations). We draw the stable trivial xed points (at zero and in nite temperatures) and the unstable node. The uniform model is recovered along the diagonal, x_A = x_B . The dashed lines are indications of the ow associated with the second iterates of the map. The light arrows indicate the directions of the eigenvectors in the neighborhood of the physical xed point.




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5