G eom etry and Therm odynam ic Fluctuations of the Ising M odel on a Bethe Lattice

Brian P.Dolan*

P hysikalisches Institut U niversitat B onn G erm any

em ail: bdolan@ thphysm ay.ie

ABSTRACT

A metric is introduced on the two dimensional space of parameters describing the Ising model on a Bethe lattice of co-ordination number q. The geometry associated with this metric is analyzed and it is shown that the Gaussian curvature diverges at the critical point. For the special case q = 2 the curvature reduces to an already known result for the one dimensional Ising model. The Gaussian curvature is also calculated for a general ferro-magnet near its critical point, generalising a previous result for t > 0. The general expression near a critical point is compared with the speci c case of the Bethe lattice and a subtlety, associated with the fact that the speci c heat exponent for the Bethe lattice vanishes, is resolved.

^{*} Currently on leave of absence from : D epartm ent of M athem atical P hysics, St. P atrick's C ollege, M aynooth, Ireland

x1 Introduction

The concept of de ning a distance function, or metric, on the space of states of a therm odynamic system or statistical distribution has recently been investigated by a number of authors (for a review from the statistical point of view of see [1], from a therm odynamical point of view see [2]). The idea has also been extended to eld theories $[\beta], [4], [5], [6]$. For two dimensional eld theories a metric was used to powerfule ect in the proof of the c-theorem [3]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate further the role of a metric in therm odynamics and statistical mechanics by examining in detail a class of exactly solublem odels | Ising models on a Bethe lattice of arbitrary co-ordination number, q. N ear the critical point, the analysis should not depend in detail on the underlying model and it is shown how the speci c results for the Bethe lattice t in with a general analysis for a ferro-m agnet near the critical point.

A metric may be de ned on the two dimensional space of states, which can be parameterised by the spin-spin coupling J and the external eld H, or by K = J=T and the magnetisation per site M. Near the critical point the curvature can be expressed purely in terms of critical exponents and the scaling function, provided the speci c heat exponent 0 < < 1, and it will be shown how the general result for the curvature relates to that of the Bethe lattice, for which = 0. This generalises the result of [2] for the curvature at, and just above, the critical temperature with vanishing magnetisation.

In the speci c example of the Bethe lattice, with co-ordination number q, it will be shown that the G aussian curvature is positive and tends to q=2 for large tem perature, but diverges at the critical point K $_{\rm c} = \frac{\rm J}{\rm T_c} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\rm q}{\rm q \cdot 2}$. These results generalise those of the one dimensional Ising model with periodic boundary conditions derived analytically in [7], and are signi cant because they are exact results for a class of models which exhibits a phase transition.

x2 The metric

The motivation for a metric comes from probability theory and the theory of large deviations [8]. For example in therm odynam ics [2] two states are considered to be close to one another if the probability of a uctuation between them is large | if the probability is unity the distance between the states should be zero, if the probability is zero the distance between the states should be zero, if the distance is a multiple of a positive power of minus the logarithm of the probability. The metric is thus related to the entropy.

Let g^a denote the intensive variables (tem perature, pressure, external magnetic eld, etc.) and _a the corresponding extensive variables. The probability of a uctuation from _a to 0_a , for given values of g^a corresponding to a most probable value _a, is given by a Gaussian distribution for _a = 0_a a small,

P (⁰;g) = N e <sup>S (⁰) +
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\frac{e^{2}S()}{e^{a}e^{b}}$ _{a b}+ ::: (1)</sup>

where N is a norm alisation factor and S the therm odynam ic entropy (the E instein sum – mation convention is used throughout).

Taking In P we see that a natural metric is given by

$$dl^{2} = \frac{\ell^{2}S()}{\ell_{a}\ell_{b}}d_{a}d_{b}$$
(2)

or, in terms of the Legendre transform to $W = \ln Z$

$$W(g) = S() + g^{a}_{a}$$
 (3)

with $g^a = \frac{e_s}{e_a}$,

$$dl^{2} = -\frac{\theta^{2}W(g)}{\theta g^{a}\theta g^{b}} g^{a} g^{b}; \qquad (4)$$

We shall work on a lattice with N sites and set $w = \frac{W}{N} = \frac{F}{NT}$, the free energy per site divided by the tem perature (we use units in which Boltzm ann's constant k = 1). It will then be convenient to rescale the line element (4) by the constant factor 1=N and use

$$dl^{2} = \frac{\theta^{2}w}{\theta g^{a} \theta g^{b}} g^{a} g^{b};$$
(5)

The reason for this rescaling is in order to ensure that the metric makes sense in the therm odynam ic lim it. If the line element were not rescaled all distances would be in nite in this lim it, since the probability of a uctuation between two states would always be zero. The curvature associated with the line element (5) is nite in general, except possibly at critical points (this will be seen explicitly for the Ising model on a Bethe lattice in the follow ing). Thus the curvature associated with the line element (4), which is 1=N times that of (5), would always vanish in the therm odynam ic lim it, except at critical points where it would be ill de ned. This procedure of rescaling quantities by powers of N in order to study their behaviour under uctuations is standard practice in the theory of large deviations. Thus the interesting geometry and non-zero curvature associated with (5) is due to therm al uctuations.

Equation (5) is not co-variant under general co-ordinate transform ations, but that is because it is written in a special co-ordinate system. If $_a = \frac{N}{N} < _a >$ is the expectation value of the rescaled extensive quantity $\frac{N}{N} = \frac{N}{a}$, then a co-variant form of the line element is

$$dl^{2} = fh_{a}_{b}i h_{a}ih_{b}igdg^{a}dg^{b};$$
(6)

which reduces to (5) when the partition function is the sum over states of the exponential of a linear combination of \overline{N}_{a} with co-e cients g^a,

$$Z(g) = \begin{cases} X & p \overline{N} g^{a} \\ states \end{cases}$$
(7)

The form of equation (5) is only preserved under linear transform ations of g^a , not under general ∞ -ordinate transform ations. How ever equation (6), which reduces to (5) when the partition function is of the form (7), is general ∞ -ordinate ∞ -variant. In what follows it will be convenient to use the special ∞ -ordinate system (7) in which the metric takes the form

$$G_{ab} = \frac{\theta^2 w}{\theta g^a \theta g^b} :$$
 (8)

For system s with two variables, e.g. $K = \frac{J}{T}$ and $h = \frac{H}{T}$ with J a spin-spin coupling and H an external magnetic eld, it is convenient to perform the Legendre transform from (K;h) to (K;M) with $M = \frac{g_W}{g_h}$. Changing to these variables, using

$$G_{a^{0}b^{0}} = \frac{\varrho g^{c}}{\varrho g^{a^{0}}} \frac{\varrho g^{d}}{\varrho g^{b^{0}}} G_{cd}; \qquad (9)$$

in equation (8), one nds that the metric in the co-ordinate system $(g^{a^0}) = (K;M)$ is diagonal,

$$G_{a^{0}b^{0}} = \begin{matrix} K & K & 0 \\ 0 & M & M \end{matrix};$$
(10)

where

$$(K;M) = w + hM$$
 and $_{KK} \coloneqq \frac{Q^2}{QK^2}$ $_{MM} \coloneqq \frac{Q^2}{QM^2}$: (11)

E quations (10) and (11) provide the basis of the calculations in the following two sections.

x3 Curvature of the Bethe lattice

The Ising model on a Bethe lattice, of co-ordination number q, has partition function

$$Z = \mathop{\exp}_{fg} K X X X$$

$$i j + h i$$

$$i \qquad (12)$$

where P = m eans a sum over all spin con gurations on the lattice and P = m is a sum over p = m is a su

$$f=T = \frac{1}{2}qK \qquad \frac{1}{2}q\ln(1 \quad z^2) + \frac{1}{2}\ln[z^2 + 1 \quad z(x + x^{-1})] + \frac{1}{2}(q \quad 2)\ln(x + x^{-1} \quad 2z) \quad (13)$$

where $z = e^{2K}$ and x is de ned implicitly by

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{e^{-2K} + e^{-2h} \mathbf{x}^{q-1}}{1 + e^{-2h-2K} \mathbf{x}^{q-1}}$$
(14)

with 0 < x < 1 . This model has a phase transition at T_c given by $K_c = J=T_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln [q=q \ 2]$. The magnetisation per site is

$$M = \frac{e^{2h} x^{q}}{e^{2h} + x^{q}}$$
(15)

which ranges from -1 for x ! 1 to + 1 for x = 0. A derivation of equations (13) (15) starting from (12) can be found in [9]. Explicitly, equations (14) and (15) give

$$x (M;z) = \frac{p \frac{1}{1 (1 z^2)M^2 + zM}}{(1 + M)} = \frac{1}{x (M;z)}$$
 (16)

The metric (10) is diagonal if the variables K and M are used, so consider the Legendre transform = f=T + M h

$$(K; M) = \frac{1}{2}q \ln \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)} + \frac{q}{2} \ln \frac{s}{1-M^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-M^2}{4} + \frac{qM}{2} \ln \frac{s+zM}{1+M} + \frac{M}{2} \ln \frac{1+M}{1-M}$$

$$(K; M) = \frac{1}{2}q \ln \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)} + \frac{q}{2} \ln \frac{s+zM}{1+M} + \frac{M}{2} \ln \frac{1+M}{1-M}$$

$$(K; M) = \frac{1}{2}q \ln \frac{1+M}{2} \ln \frac{1+M}{1-M}$$

$$(K; M) = \frac{1}{2}q \ln \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{s+zM}{1-M} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+M}{1-M}$$

$$(K; M) = \frac{1}{2}q \ln \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{s+zM}{1-M} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+M}{1-M} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1$$

where $z = e^{2K}$ and $s = \sqrt[F]{1} (1 z^2)M^2$. Equation (16) ensures that (K;M) = (K; M). The derivation of equation (17) from equation (13) can be performed by using equations (14) and (15) to deduce

$$\frac{@x}{@M}_{K} = \frac{x (x + x^{-1} - 2z)^{2}}{2f2 - z (x + x^{-1})g}$$
(18)

$$\frac{@x}{@K}_{M} = \frac{2zx(x x^{1})}{f2 z(x + x^{1})g};$$
(19)

As described in the introduction, the metric adopted here involves the second derivatives of

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} K & K & 0 \\ 0 & M & M \end{pmatrix} ;$$
 (20)

One nds,

$${}_{M M} = \frac{(y \ 2z)^2}{4} \frac{1}{(1 + z^2 \ zy)} + \frac{q}{(zy \ 2)}$$

$${}_{K K} = 8q \ \frac{z^2}{(1 \ z^2)^2} + \frac{z}{(y \ 2z)(zy \ 2)}$$
(21)

where $y = x + x^{-1} = 2 \frac{f^{\frac{D}{1}} \frac{(1 - z^2)M^2}{(1 - M^2)}}{(1 - M^2)}$. The Gaussian curvature can be determined from the metric (20) using (21). The algebra is tedious, but the nal result is remarkably simple

$$R = \frac{(1 \quad z^2)}{2} \frac{f2(1 + z^2 \quad yz) \quad q(3z^2 \quad 2zy + 1)g}{f(zy \quad 2) + q(1 + z^2 \quad yz)g^2}$$
(22)

 $P \frac{\text{his can be written explicitly as a function of M and K, with <math>z = e^{2K}$ and $s = \frac{1}{1} (1 - z^2)M^2$,

$$R (K;M) = \frac{(1 z^{2})(1 M^{2})}{2} \frac{f(q 2)(3z s) + 4zg}{(s z)f(q 2)(z s) + 2zg^{2}} :$$
(23)

For T ! 1 (K = 0) this reduces to

$$R (0;M) = q=2$$
 (24)

and for M 2 = 1

$$R(K;1) = q=2:$$
 (25)

The curvature is plotted in gure 1 for q = 3, higher q give qualitatively similar pictures.

Near the critical point, $T = T_c (1 + t)$ with M << 1 and t << 1, z is of the form $z = z_c f 1 + 2K_c t + o(t^2)g$ with $K_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{q}{q-2}$ and $z_c = \frac{q-2}{q}$. One then nds

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(q - 1)^2}{q^2 (q - 2)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{q}{q - 2} t + \frac{q - 1}{q^2} M^{-2}} :$$
(26)

In particular, R diverges to +1 at the critical point (M = 0; t! 0).

The line of spontaneous magnetisation for t < 0 is given by the smallest solution of

$$z = x \frac{(1 \quad x^{q \quad 2})}{(1 \quad x^{q})};$$
(27)

see reference [9], and R is nite along this line, except at the critical point M = t = 0. For sm all negative t, equation (27) gives the equation for the spontaneous m agnetisation

$$M^{2} = (t)\frac{3q^{2}}{2(q-1)}\ln \frac{q}{q-2} + o(t^{2}):$$
(28)

Together with equation (26) this determines the Gaussian curvature along the line of spontaneous magnetisation as

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(q \ 1)^2}{q^2 (q \ 2)} \frac{1}{(t) \ln \frac{q}{q \ 2}} + o \frac{1}{t} ;$$
 (29)

which is nite except at the critical point t = 0.

The curvature diverges along the line on which the magnetic susceptibility diverges (the pseudo-spinodal curve [10]). This line lies in the unstable region and does not coincide

with the line of spontaneous magnetisation (except when q ! 1) though they touch at the critical point. These two curves are plotted in gure 2 for the case q = 3.

These results are in complete agreement with the general analysis in [2]. Equation (23) reduces to the known result for one dimensional Ising model where q = 2 and $M = \sinh h = \sinh^2 h + e^{4K}$, [7].

x4 Curvature N ear the Critical Point

Near the critical point the \e ective action" for a general ferro-m agnet

$$(t; M) = \frac{f}{T} + M h$$
 (30)

is assumed to have the scaling form, independent of the microscopic model,

$$= jj F(x) + analytic part;$$
(31)

where $x = t=M^{1=}$ is a scaling variable and F (x) a scaling function, and are standard critical exponents (see for example [11]). In the variables t and M, the metric is diagonal

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta t^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\theta^2}{\theta M^2} \end{pmatrix} ;$$
(32)

Thus (G $_{\rm tt})$ is the speci c heat and G $_{\rm M~M}$ the inverse m agnetic susceptibility.

In terms of the scaling function, F(x).

$$G_{tt} = j_{tj} f(2) (1) F + (3 2) F + Fg$$

$$G_{M M} = \frac{j_{tj}^{2}}{2} x^{2} (F + F)$$
(33)

where $F_{-}=~x\frac{dF}{dx}$ etc. Stability requires $G_{\,tt}>~0$ and $G_{\,M}_{\,M}~>~0$, which puts conditions on the function F ,

(2) (1)
$$F + (3 2) F + F < 0$$

 $x^{2} (F + F) > 0$: (34)

In order that the internal energy $U = \frac{\theta}{\theta t}$ be nite at t = 0, we must have < 1, thus (2) (1) > 0. For x > 0 eliminating F from (34) requires

$$\frac{(3 \ 2 \)}{(2 \)(1 \)} E < F:$$
(35)

The calculation of the Gaussian curvature from equations (33) is straightforward but tedious. The nalresult can be succinctly expressed by introducing a \dual" scaling function $F(x) = x^2 - F(x)$. The result is

$$R = \frac{1}{4JJ} \frac{(+1)}{(F-+F)(F-F-)} \frac{(1)(F-F-F^{2})}{(F-F-)} \frac{(2)(F-F-F^{2})x^{2}}{(F-+F)} : (36)$$

...

This extends the result of [2] to the case of non-zero m agnetisation, and so is valid for t < 0 as well as t > 0, (for comparison note that the result of reference [2] is quoted in terms of a di erent function, $\frac{1}{T_c}Y$ (M =t) = F (t=M⁻¹)). The derivation of equation (36) assumes that the j term in G_{tt} (equation (33)) dominates over the analytic part, i.e. it assumes that > 0, so it is only valid for 0 < < 1. This means that equation (36) cannot be applied directly to the Bethe lattice at the critical point, for which = 0.

The scaling function, F (x) in equation (31), for the Bethe lattice can be obtained by Taylor expanding (K; M) in equation (17) about M = 0 and extracting the co-e cient of t^2 . First expand (K; M),

$$(K; M) = \frac{q}{2} \ln \frac{z^{2}}{1+z} + \frac{(q-1)}{2} \ln 2$$

$$+ \frac{M^{2}}{2!} 1 \frac{q}{2} (1-z)$$

$$+ \frac{M^{4}}{4!} 2 \frac{q}{2} (z+2) (1-z)^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{M^{6}}{6!} 24 \frac{3}{2} q (1-z)^{3} (3z^{2}+9z+8)$$

$$+ o (M^{8}):$$
(37)

...

Now let $t = \frac{T_c}{T_c}$, so that $z = e^{\frac{2K_c}{1+t}}$ where $K_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{q}{q-2}$, giving

 $= D + E t + t^{2}F (x) + o(t^{3})$ (38)

where the scaling function has the form (also derived in reference [9])

$$F(x) = a + \frac{b}{x} + \frac{c}{x^2};$$
 (39)

and we have de ned the following constants

$$D = \frac{q}{2} \ln \frac{(q - 2)^2}{q(q - 1)} \ln 2$$

$$E = \frac{q}{2(q - 1)} (3q - 2)K_{c}$$
(40)

$$a = \frac{1}{4} \frac{qK_{c}}{(q \ 1)^{2}} f2 (3q \ 2) (q \ 1) + q(q \ 2)K_{c}g$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} (q \ 2)K_{c}$$

$$c = \frac{(q \ 2) (q \ 1)}{12q^{2}}$$
(41)

(rem ember $x = t=M^{1=}$). The subtlety here is that, when is not strictly positive, (32) is not quite correct because it assumes that the non-analytic term $\frac{1}{t}$ dominates over the analytic part, which is not true when = 0. In general one has

$$G_{tt} = \frac{dK}{dt} {}^{2}G_{KK} = \frac{0}{0t} \frac{dK}{dt} \frac{0}{0K} + \frac{d^{2}K}{dt^{2}} \frac{0}{0K}$$

$$= {}_{tt} + \frac{d^{2}K}{dt^{2}} \frac{dK}{dt} {}^{1} {}_{t}:$$
(42)

For > 0 tt dominates over the second term on the R H S., but for the Bethe lattice = 0, so the second term must be retained. Taylor expanding $K = \frac{K_c}{1+t}$ gives

$$G_{tt} = tt 2_{t} + o(t)$$
: (43)

U sing (40), (39) and (41) with $x = t = M^2$ gives ($= \frac{1}{2}$ for the Bethe lattice)

$$G_{tt} = 2 \ a + \frac{b}{x} + \frac{c}{x^2} \qquad \frac{q}{(q \ 1)} (3q \ 2)K_c + o(t)$$

= 2 \ a + \frac{b}{x} + \frac{c}{x^2} + o(t) (44)

where $a := \frac{1}{4} \frac{q^2 (q 2)}{(q 1)^2} K_c^2 = \frac{b^2}{12c}$.

The whole e ect of having = 0 for the Bethe lattice can thus be absorbed into a rede nition of the constant a in the scaling function ((39) and (41)). Equation (36) can now be applied, with

$$F(x) = a + b = x + c = x^2$$
 (45)

to obtain the scaling form of the Gaussian curvature on the Bethe lattice as

$$R = \frac{b^{2}}{8at^{2}} \frac{1}{(b + 6c = x)^{2}} + o \frac{1}{t}$$

$$= \frac{(q - 1)^{2}}{2q^{2}(q - 2) K_{c}t + \frac{(q - 1)}{q^{2}}M^{-2}} + o \frac{1}{t}$$
(46)

in agreem ent with equation (26).

x5 C on clusions

In this paper we have explored the geometrical concept of a metric on the space of states of a therm odynamic system, two states are dened as being far apart if the probability of a uctuation between them is small. In particular a class of models which exhibits a phase transition, Ising models on a Bethe lattice, has been examined.

U sing expectation values to de ne a metric on the space of states, as in equation (6), the geometry of the Ising model on a Bethe lattice with co-ordination number q has been analysed and the Gaussian curvature is given by equation (23), which is the rst main result of this paper. This reduces to the previously known result for the one dimensional Ising model [7] when the co-ordination number q = 2. The curvature is seen to be positive de nite for all temperatures and magnetisations and diverges at the critical point, but is nite at all other points (in particular at all other points along the curve of spontaneous magnetisation). The Gaussian curvature tends to the constant value q=2 for T ! 1 as well as for M = 1 (a particular case of the latter situation is, of course, T ! 0 | for T > 0 it can only be obtained in the lim it of the external eld h going to in nity).

For a general ferro-m agnetic the scaling form of the Gaussian curvature near the critical point has been calculated in term s of the scaling function and it's derivatives, (36), which generalizes the t > 0, M = 0 result of [2]. This is our second main result. It has been shown how this relates to the scaling form of the Gaussian curvature on the Bethe lattice, equation (23).

An interesting open problem associated with the above analysis is to ascertain whether or not there is a relationship between the renormalisation ows for the Ising model on a Bethe lattice and the geometry described here. For the special case of the one dimensional Ising model it is already known that the renormalisation ow from T = 1 to T = 0, along the M = 0 axis, is a geodesic and that this is the only renormalisation trajectory that is a geodesic [6]. For the more general case with q > 2 it is certainly still true that the M = 0axis is a geodesic | this follows from the observation that the metric (and curvature) are even functions of M and thus invariant under a change in sign of M | and this axis will be a line of renormalisation ow, but it is an open question as to whether or not any other renormalisation trajectories are geodesics for the q > 0 case. This question merits further investigation.

The author w ishes to acknow ledge support from the A lexander von H um boldt foundation as well as the hospitality of the P hysikalisches Institut in B onn where the m anuscript w as completed. Some sponsorship was also received from Baker C onsultants Ltd., Ireland, networking specialists (http://www.baker.ie). References

- S.Amari, Di erential Geometric M ethods in Statistics, Lecture notes in Statistics 28 (1985) Springer
- [2] G.Ruppeiner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67 (1995) 605
- [3] A B Zam olodchikov, Pis'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43 (1986) 565
- [4] I. Jack and H. Osborn, Nuc. Phys. B 343 (1990) 647
- [5] D.O'C onnor and C.R. Stephens Geometry, The Renormalisation Group And Gravity in Directions In General Relativity, Ed.B.L.Hu, M.P.Ryan Jr., and C.V.V ishveshwava Proceedings of the 1993 International Symposium, Maryland, Vol1, C.J.P. (1993)
- [6] B.P.Dolan, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 12 (1997) 2413-2424
- [7] H. Janyszek and R. M rugala, Phys. Rev. A 39, (1989), 6551
- [8] R.S.Ellis, Entropy, Large D eviations and Statistical M echanics
 G rundlehren der M athem atischen W issenschaften 271 (1985) Springer
- [9] R.J.Baxter, Exactly Solved M odels in Statistical M echanics A cadem ic P ress, N.Y. (1982)
- [10] D S.Gaunt and C.Dom b, J.Phys.C3 (1970) 1442
- [11] J.Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (2nd edition) (1993) O JJ P.

Fig. 1: Gaussian curvature for the Ising model on a Bethe lattice (co-ordination number q = 3). The curvature diverges at the critical point $K = (1=2) \ln (3)$ 0:5493, M = 0, but is nite everywhere else in the stable region of the K M plane. The line of spontaneous magnetisation corresponds to the left hand edge of the graph (the vertical axis has been truncated at R = 100).




Fig. 2: C on parison of the line of spontaneous m agnetisation and the pseudo-spinodal line for the Ising m odel on a B ethe lattice with co-ordination number q = 3. The vertical axis is the m agnetisation M and the horizontal axis is $z = e^{2K}$. The leftm ost thick line is the pseudo-spinodal curve, along which the susceptibility diverges (this lies in the unstable region), the rightm ost thin line is the line of spontaneous m agnetisation, which corresponds to the left hand edge of the graph in gure 1. These two lines kiss at the critical point M = 0, z = 1=3.

