

Heisenberg exchange enhancement by orbital relaxation in cuprate compounds

A.B. van Oosten, R. Broer and W.C. Nieuwpoort

Laboratory of Chemical Physics and Materials Science Centre, University of Groningen,
Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

We calculate the Heisenberg exchange J in the quasi-2D antiferromagnetic cuprates La_2CuO_4 , $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$, Nd_2CuO_4 and $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$. We apply all-electron (MC)SCF and non-orthogonal CI calculations to $[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{11}]^{18-}$, $[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_9]^{14-}$, $[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_7]^{10-}$ and $[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_7\text{Cl}_4]^{14-}$ clusters in a model charge embedding. The (MC)SCF triplet and singlet ground states are well characterized by $\text{Cu}^{2+} (d_{x^2-y^2})$ and O^{2-} . The antiferromagnetic exchange is strongly enhanced by admixing relaxed (MC)SCF triplet and singlet excited states, in which a single electron is transferred from the central O ion to Cu. We ascribe this effect to orbital relaxation in the charge transfer component of the wave function. Close agreement with experiment is obtained.

cond-mat/9706272 26 Jun 1997

Cuprate compounds have been the subject of a massive research effort since the discovery of high T_c superconductivity [1-3]. Nevertheless we are still far from a complete understanding of their fascinating properties. The cuprates consist of $[\text{CuO}_2]^{2-}$ planar structural units, which are separated and, to a good approximation, electronically and magnetically insulated from each other by layers of counter-ions. Each Cu site has one unpaired electron with nearly pure Cu-d character [4], which is localised because of Mott-Hubbard correlation. A further complication is that the band gaps, which are typically 1.5 to 2 eV wide, are of the charge transfer type instead of the Mott-Hubbard type. The magnetic behaviour can be described with a Heisenberg hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (1)$$

where the summation runs over nearest neighbour pairs. Cuprates have negative J values and display long range two-dimensional antiferromagnetic order [5] in the absence of doping or excitation. The antiferromagnetism is qualitatively explained by the superexchange mechanism [6-8], which in the present case involves electron transfer between Cu via one bridging O. Conventional LDA based band structure approaches fail to account for the strong correlation between the Cu holes and predict a half-filled Cu-O hybridised band[9], whereas one expects a filled lower and an empty upper Hubbard band, separated by approximately U_d , and a filled oxygen band situated above the former.

The electronic structure of cuprates is even more complicated when additional holes are present. Such holes reside preferably on in-plane O sites [10] and display strong local relaxation effects [11-14]. Also in O 2p to Cu 3d charge transfer excited states relaxation effects have been shown to be very important[16]. Because the superexchange mechanism involves charge transfer excitations, such effects have to be taken into account in the calculation of J . Correlation and local relaxation can be described with *ab initio* quantum chemical cluster methods. An early GVB study of La_2CuO_4 [15] yielded a value of $J=-35$ meV. A standard SD-CI calculation gives $J=-69$ meV, or $J=-83$ meV if the Davidson estimate for the contributions of quadruples is included [16]. In a recent *ab initio* cluster model study by Casanovas et al. [17] a

best calculated value of 98 meV was obtained for J . The experimental values are $J=-128$ meV [18,19] from Raman measurements and $J=-134$ meV [20] from neutron scattering. The above results demonstrate the capability of the *ab initio* cluster approach to properly predict the antiferromagnetic ground state of La_2CuO_4 and related materials. Casanovas et al. [17] have suggested that the remaining difference of about 20 % with the experimental value is due to the inability of two-center models to give a quantitative description of J . It is shown below, however, that a more complete inclusion of local relaxation and correlation effects in the charge transfer state does give rise to a correct prediction of J within the two-center model.

In a previous paper [14] we obtained $J=-120$ meV for La_2CuO_4 . Here we present results on four antiferromagnetic cuprate compounds. Three of the cuprates we study, La_2CuO_4 [1], $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$ [2] and Nd_2CuO_4 [3], are superconductor parent compounds. The fourth cuprate, $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$, is closely related to superconductor compounds. We selected these compounds because they have been studied by Raman [18,19,21,22] and neutron scattering [5,20,23,24]. From the data accurate values for J have been derived for La_2CuO_4 , $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$ and Nd_2CuO_4 , which are listed in table 2. Also listed is a literature estimate for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ that we will discuss below.

We perform (MC)SCF and non-orthogonal CI calculations on the basic planar Cu_2O_7 cluster occurring in all four compounds, extended with the first out-of-plane O or Cl neighbours of the Cu ions. For La_2CuO_4 , $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$, Nd_2CuO_4 and $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ we employ Cu_2O_{11} (D_{2h}), Cu_2O_9 (C_{2v}), Cu_2O_7 (D_{2h}) or $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_7\text{Cl}_4$ (D_{2h}) clusters, respectively. As an example, the Cu_2O_{11} cluster as used for La_2CuO_4 is shown in figure 1. Structural data were taken from references [25-28], respectively. The clusters are embedded in a point charge environment that accurately represents the Coulomb potential inside the cluster region of a surrounding infinite lattice of formal ionic charges. The use of the simple point charges at nearest neighbour positions may lead to spurious occupation of diffuse orbitals, because these orbitals feel the strong attractive potential of the Cu^{2+} and La^{3+} point charges, without being repelled by the ion core [13, 16]. Therefore in the present study the potential due to the ions at the nearest neighbour positions to the cluster, is modified to be flat inside a small sphere with an ionic radius around these point charges. These modified potentials improve the stability

against variation of the most diffuse components of the basisset, whereas in the cluster region the potential due to the modified charges is identical to that of the bare point charges. The complete specification of the background potential is available from the authors on request.

As a first step we perform all-electron (MC)SCF calculations of the lowest singlet and triplet states. We introduce local exchange and correlation effects on the bridging oxygen atom through admixture of excited (MC)SCF states, that differ from the MCSCF ground states by an O \rightarrow Cu electron excitation. This is an example of non-orthogonal CI (NOCI) [29]. NOCI involves the computation of Hamilton and overlap matrix elements between determinants constructed from non-orthogonal orbital sets [30]. It has the advantage over conventional CI methods that it leads to a short, physically transparent wave function and that it is free of size consistency errors. We use contracted Gaussian basis sets: for Cu we adopt the Wachters (14s,9p,5d) basis set optimised for the 2D state [31], augmented with the Hay diffuse d-function [32] and two diffuse p-functions (exponents 0.31 and 0.12). The final (14s,11p,6d) basis set is contracted to (8s,6p,3d). For O a (9s,5p) Huzinaga basis set, contracted to (3s,2p) [33], was extended with a diffuse p-function (exponent 0.11), giving a final (3s,3p) contracted oxygen basis. For Cl we use the (12s,8p) Huzinaga basis set contracted to (6s,4p) [33].

In an SCF wavefunction for the lowest triplet state of the undoped model clusters two open shell orbitals occur, denoted by d_g and d_u , respectively. This wavefunction can be written as

$$\Psi_t = | \sigma \bar{\sigma} d_g d_u | . \quad (2a)$$

The subscripts g and u denote even and odd symmetry under inversion. For the D_{2h} clusters that we use to describe La_2CuO_4 , Nd_2CuO_4 and $Sr_2CuO_2Cl_2$, d_g and d_u transform as a_g and b_{3u} , respectively. For $YBa_2Cu_3O_6$, where C_{2v} symmetry applies, d_g and d_u transform as a_1 and b_1 . In (2a) σ denotes the O($2p_\sigma$) orbital at the bridging oxygen. It has the same symmetry as d_u . For clarity all other closed shell orbitals are suppressed in the notation. The calculated triplet SCF ground states for each compound are very well characterised by Cu^{+2} ($3d^9$) and O^{-2} ($2p^6$), respectively. As an example, table 1 gives the open shell Mulliken populations of the

triplet ground state of the Cu_2O_{11} cluster describing La_2CuO_4 . The Cu holes are seen to have almost pure $3d(x^2-y^2)$ character, in agreement with experiment [4].

An alternative way to write the triplet SCF wavefunction is in terms of symmetry equivalent, mutually orthogonal open shell orbitals d_1 and d_2 :

$$\Psi_t = | \sigma \bar{\sigma} d_1 d_2 | . \quad (2b)$$

in which d_1 and d_2 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_g + d_u) , \\ d_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_g - d_u) , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The singlet corresponding to the triplet (2) is

$$\Psi_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| \sigma \bar{\sigma} d_1 \bar{d}_2 | - | \sigma \bar{\sigma} \bar{d}_1 d_2 |) \quad (4)$$

Within this description we obtain the direct exchange contribution. As shown in table 1, the direct exchange ranges between $J=+13$ meV and $J=+15$ meV, if the triplet orbitals are used to describe the singlets. If the orbitals are separately optimised for Ψ_s and Ψ_t , we obtain $J \approx +6$ meV for all four compounds.

Let us now, in (2b) and (4), replace the open shell orbitals d_1 and d_2 by normalised, mutually nonorthogonal orbitals

$$\begin{aligned} d'_1 &= \frac{d_1 + \lambda d_2}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}} , \\ d'_2 &= \frac{d_2 + \lambda d_1}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}} , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with overlap $S = \langle d'_1 | d'_2 \rangle = \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}}$. The singlet wave function can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_s &= (2+2S^2)^{-1/2} | \sigma\bar{\sigma} (d'_1\bar{d}'_2 - \bar{d}'_1d'_2) | \\
&= (2+2S^2)^{-1/2} \{ | \sigma\bar{\sigma} (d_1\bar{d}_2 - \bar{d}_1d_2) + S | \sigma\bar{\sigma} (d_1\bar{d}_1 + d_2\bar{d}_2) | \}, \\
&= (2+2S^2)^{-1/2} \{ | (1+S) \sigma\bar{\sigma}d_g\bar{d}_g - (1-S) \sigma\bar{\sigma}d_u\bar{d}_u | \}, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

For the singlet wave function the substitution (5) introduces an additional variational parameter λ or, equivalently, S . Contrarily, for the triplet (2) the substitution (5) has no effect and S can be set to zero without loss of variational freedom. Note also that the wave function (6) is identical to the CASSCF wave function with d_g and d_u in the active space, provided that λ as well as the orbitals are optimized. The wave functions (2) and (6) form an appropriate starting point of a balanced calculation of the singlet-triplet splitting [34]. The splittings correspond to the Anderson superexchange [7]. The singlet states (6) with optimized orbitals all have $S \approx 0.04$ and their energies are 20 to 30 meV below the triplets. These values should be compared to the value of $J = -35$ meV obtained by Guo et al. [15] for La_2CuO_4 . These authors used an equivalent wave function but slightly different basis sets and a point charge embedding.

Anderson superexchange is due to charge transfer excitations of the type $d_1)^1d_2)^1 \rightarrow d_1)^2d_2)^0 + d_1)^0d_2)^2$, which can only occur for the singlet. Geertsma [8] has discussed charge transfer excitations of a different type, namely $d_1)^1\sigma)^2d_2)^1 \rightarrow d_1)^2\sigma)^0d_2)^2$. These can be included by extending the active space to d_g , d_u and σ . The corresponding CASSCF wave function is

$$\Psi_s = c_1 | \sigma\bar{\sigma}d_g\bar{d}_g | + c_2 | \sigma\bar{\sigma}d_u\bar{d}_u | + c_3 | d_g\bar{d}_gd_u\bar{d}_u | + c_4 | (d_g\bar{d}_g(\sigma\bar{d}_u - \bar{\sigma}d_u) |. \tag{7}$$

This was rewritten as a linear combination of closed shell determinants and treated in the Hartree-Fock-Roothaan scheme. We find that the additional degree of freedom does not lower the energy of the singlet state.

As a next step we admix to Ψ_t and Ψ_s relaxed charge transfer excitations of the form $d_1)^1\sigma)^2d_2)^1 \rightarrow d_1)^1\sigma)^1d_2)^2 \pm d_1)^2\sigma)^1d_2)^1$. Admixture of *unrelaxed* excitations of this type has

no effect [35], but we find that this is quite different for the relaxed charge transfer excitations. The excited states of ${}^3B_{3u}$ (3B_1) and 1A_g (1A_1) symmetry are

$$\Psi_t^* = | d_u \bar{d}_u d_g \sigma | , \quad (8)$$

$$\Psi_s^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| d_g \bar{d}_g d_u \bar{\sigma} | - | d_g \bar{d}_g \bar{d}_u \sigma |) . \quad (9)$$

The orbitals of the triplet state Ψ_t^* could not be optimised, because the SCF process converged to the triplet ground state, Ψ_t . We therefore use the orbitals of the corresponding singlet wave function, $\Psi_s^{**} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| d_u \bar{d}_u d_g \bar{\sigma} | - | d_u \bar{d}_u \bar{d}_g \sigma |)$, which has ${}^1B_{3u}$ (1B_1) symmetry. This is a reasonable approximation, because the exchange integral between the a_g and the b_{3u} orbital for this wave function is only 0.20 eV, to be compared to the energy separation of about 10 eV between Ψ_t and Ψ_t^* .

In the excited singlet state Ψ_s^* (10) again an overlap between the open shell orbitals is allowed, just as in the case of Ψ_s . Moreover, the open shell orbitals are allowed to mix because they have the same symmetry. We therefore consider normalised, mutually non-orthogonal orbitals of the form

$$\begin{aligned} d'_u &= \frac{d_u + \lambda_1 \sigma}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2}} , \\ \sigma' &= \frac{\sigma + \lambda_2 d_u}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_2^2}} . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Their overlap is

$$S^* = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\sqrt{\{(1 + \lambda_1^2)(1 + \lambda_2^2)\}}} . \quad (11)$$

This transformation does not alter Ψ_t^* , while Ψ_s^* acquires extra variational freedom.

Ψ_s^* may then be written in the form

$$\Psi_s^* = (2+2S^2)^{-1/2} \{ |d_g \bar{d}_g d'_u \bar{\sigma}'| - |d_g \bar{d}_g \bar{d}'_u \sigma'| \} . \quad (12a)$$

Equivalently, Ψ_s^* can be written in terms of mutually orthogonal open shell orbitals, d_u and σ , as a CASSCF wave function with d_u and σ in the active space

$$\Psi_s^* = c_1 (|d_g \bar{d}_g d_u \bar{\sigma}| - |d_g \bar{d}_g \bar{d}_u \sigma|) + c_2 |d_g \bar{d}_g d_u \bar{d}_u| + c_3 |d_g \bar{d}_g \sigma \bar{\sigma}| . \quad (12b)$$

A third equivalent form, which was actually employed in the calculations, is a linear combination of two closed shell configurations

$$\Psi_s^* = \frac{(1+S^*) |d_g \bar{d}_g u_1 \bar{u}_1| - (1-S^*) |d_g \bar{d}_g u_2 \bar{u}_2|}{\sqrt{(2+2S^{*2})}} . \quad (12c)$$

Here new orbitals u_1 and u_2 are introduced that are related to d_u and σ by an orthogonal transformation

$$\sigma = \cos \alpha u_1 + \sin \alpha u_2 ,$$

$$d_u = \sin \alpha u_1 - \cos \alpha u_2 ,$$

$$\cos \alpha = \sqrt{ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+p^2}} \right\} } ,$$

$$p = \frac{1+\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} . \quad (13)$$

A Mulliken population analysis of the optimized u_1 and u_2 orbitals of equation (12c), as obtained for the Cu_2O_{11} cluster representing La_2CuO_4 is given in table 1. We find $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2$ and $S^* \approx 0.66$ and similar results for the other three cuprates. These results indicate a tendency in the excited singlet state towards covalent bond formation between the bridging O and the remaining Cu^{2+} neighbour, which is enhanced by orbital relaxation. These effects are absent in Ψ_t^* .

A NOCI between the wave functions (2) and (8) lowers the energy of the triplet state by an energy varying from 15-35 meV for the four cuprate compounds. The singlet NOCI is performed in three steps using progressively more accurate wave functions for Ψ_s^* . First we mix (6) with (9), which has orthogonal orbitals. We find that the singlet energy is lowered by the same amount as the triplet, so that J is unaltered. A slightly larger energy lowering of singlet, leading to a slightly larger singlet-triplet splitting, occurs if we admix (12) to (6). In the final NOCI calculation, we allow S in (6) and the expansion coefficients in (12b) to be reoptimised. This amounts to reoptimising λ in (5), as well as λ_1 and λ_2 in (11). Since the triplet wave function is invariant under changes in λ , λ_1 and λ_2 , this procedure achieves the correct variational balance. In this final calculation a substantial differential effect is found, which accounts for more than half of the calculated exchange splitting.

The results of the different stages of the calculation for the different compounds are listed and compared to the experimental values in table 2. The discrepancy between the theoretical and the literature value of J for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ deserves further comment. The calculation gives a value close to that of Nd_2CuO_4 . As the values of d and ΔV_m for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ and Nd_2CuO_4 are quite close, this result is reasonable. Our result also agrees with Raman spectra [21]. The spectrum of $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ coincides with that of Nd_2CuO_4 but is downshifted with respect to La_2CuO_4 . This leads us to believe that an analysis of $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ data with the approach of reference [18] should improve the agreement with our calculated result.

From our results we conclude that the Heisenberg exchange in cuprate compounds is strongly enhanced by orbital relaxation. This follows also from the fact that a CASSCF with d_u , d_g and σ in the active space, as in (7), is insufficient, while admixture of a relaxed charge transfer excitation has a large effect.

We find that Ψ_s^* as given by (12) has a large overlap with Ψ_s , which is the lowest root CASSCF wave function with d_u , d_g and σ in its active space. Ψ_s^* , however, is the lowest root CASSCF wave function with the smaller (d_u, σ) active space. It is plausible that reoptimisation of λ , λ_1 and λ_2 allows Ψ_s^* , after orthogonalisation to Ψ_s , to approximate the second root CASSCF wave function in the (d_u, d_g, σ) active space. This suggests two alternative

approaches for future research: 1) Calculation of the first and the second root CASSCF wave functions in the (d_u , d_g , σ) active space followed by NOCI between these, or 2) Extension of the active space to include an extra set of valence orbitals to describe the orbital relaxation that occurs when a hole moves to the bridging O.

We have repeated the La_2CuO_4 calculation on a Cu_2O_7 cluster and obtained 110 meV, in good agreement with the 120 meV obtained for the Cu_2O_{11} cluster. This gives confidence that our calculation is reasonably stable against cluster size effects.

In summary, we have shown that orbital relaxation in the charge transfer component of the wave function is responsible for the large Heisenberg exchange observed in cuprate compounds.

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Netherlands Foundation of Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM). This research was sponsored by the Netherlands National Computer Facilities Foundation (NCF) for the use of supercomputer facilities, with financial support from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). We also thank Dr. W. Geertsma for many valuable discussions.

TABLES

state	orbital symmetry	orbital occupation	Cu 3d ($3z^2 - r^2$)	Cu 3d ($x^2 - y^2$)	O 2p (x)
Ψ_s	a_g	0.54	0.000	0.947	0
	b_{3u}	0.46	0.000	0.913	0.030
Ψ_t	a_g	1.00	0.000	0.945	0
	b_{3u}	1.00	0.000	0.917	0.028
Ψ_s^*	b_{3u}	0.96	0.004	0.352	0.589
	b_{3u}	0.04	0.009	0.461	0.428
Ψ_t^*	a_g	1.00	0.363	0.487	0
	b_{3u}	1.00	0.034	0.057	0.847

Table 1. Occupation and Mulliken population of the open shell orbitals of $[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{11}]^{18-}$ ground and excited states (La_2CuO_4).

	a	b	c	d	e
La_2CuO_4	15	9	-30	-120	-128 ¹
$\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_6$	13	6	-22	-98	-98 ¹
Nd_2CuO_4	13	6	-23	-102	-108 ¹
$\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$	13	6	-22	-106	-125 ² ~-108 ³

Table 2. Calculated J: a) Singlet constructed from triplet orbitals (eq. 2); b) Relaxed, orthogonal singlet (4); c) Relaxed, non-orthogonal singlet (6); d) Non-orthogonal CI involving (6) and (12b), see text; e) Experiment. ¹Ref. [18]; ²Ref. [23]; ³Ref. [21] and see text.




Figure 1. The cluster model Cu_2O_{11} .

REFERENCES

- [1] J.G. Bednorz and K.A. Müller, Z. Phys. B 64 (1986) 189.
- [2] M.K. Wu, J.R. Ashburn, C.J. Torng, P.H. Hor, R.L. Meng, L. Gao, Z.J. Huang, Y.Q. Wang and C.S. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 908.
- [3] Y. Tokura, H. Takagi and S. Uchida, Nature 337 (1989) 345.
- [4] A. Fujimori, E. Takayama-Muromachi, Y. Uchida and B. Okai, Physical Review B 35 (1987) 8814.
- [5] D. Vaknin, S.K. Sinha, D.E. Moncton, D.C. Johnston, J.M. Newsam, C.R. Safinya and H.E. King, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 2802.
- [6] H.A. Kramers, Physica 1 (1934) 182.
- [7] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 2.
- [8] W. Geertsma, Physica B 164 (1990) 241.
- [9] L.F. Mattheis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1028.
- [10] N. Nücker, J. Fink, J.C. Fuggle, P.J. Durham and W.M. Temmerman, Physical Review B 37 (1988) 5158.
- [11] H. Burghgraef, Department of Chemistry Doctoral Scription, Groningen (The Netherlands): University of Groningen (1990).
- [12] A.B. van Oosten, R. Broer, B.T. Thole and W.C. Nieuwpoort, J. Less-Comm. Metals 164-165 (1990) 1514.
- [13] R. Broer, A.B. Van Oosten and W.C. Nieuwpoort, Rev. Solid State Sci. 5 (1991) 79.
- [14] A.B. van Oosten, R. Broer and W.C. Nieuwpoort, Int. J. Quant. Chem. QCS29 (1995) 241.
- [15] Y. Guo, J.-M. Langlois and W.A. Goddard III, Science 239 (1988) 896.
- [16] R.L. Martin and P.J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 8691.
- [17] J. Casanovas, J. Rubio and F. Illas, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 945.
- [18] R.P. Singh, P.A. Fleury, K.B. Lyons and P.E. Sulewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 2736.
- [19] P.E. Sulewski, P.A. Fleury, K.B. Lyons, S.-W. Cheong and Z. Fisk, Physical Review B 41 (1990) 225.

- [20] G. Aeppli, S.M. Hayden, H.A. Mook, Z. Fisk, S.-W. Cheong, D. Rytz, J.P. Remeika, G.P. Espinosa and A.S. Cooper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62 (1989) 2052.
- [21] K.B. Lyons, P.A. Fleury, J.P. Remeika, A.S. Cooper and T.J. Negran, *Physical Review B* 37 (1988) 2353.
- [22] Y. Tokura, S. Koshihara, T. Arima, H. Takagi, S. Ishibashi, T. Ido and S. Uchida, *Physical Review B* 41 (1989) 11657.
- [23] D. Vaknin, S.K. Sinha, C. Stassis, L.L. Miller and D.C. Johnston, *Physical Review B* 41 (1990) 1926.
- [24] M. Greven, R.J. Birgeneau, Y. Endoh, M.A. Kastner, B. Keimer, M. Matsuda, G. Shirane and T.R. Thurston, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72 (1994) 1096.
- [25] J.M. Longo and P.M. Raccach, *J. Solid State Chem.* 6 (1973) 526.
- [26] M.F. Garbaukas, R.W. Green, R.H. Ahrendt and J.S. Kasper, *Inorg. Chem.* 27 (1988) 871.
- [27] H. Müller-Buschbaum and W. Wollschläger, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 414 (1975) 76.
- [28] L.L. Miller, X.L. Wang, S.X. Wang, C. Stassis, D.C. Johnston, J. Faber Jr. and C.-K. Loong, *Physical Review B* 41 (1990) 1921.
- [29] R. Broer and W.C. Nieuwpoort, *Theor. Chim. Acta* 73 (1988) 405.
- [30] The program GNOME was written for this purpose by R. Broer, J. Th. van Montfort and B. Vunderink.
- [31] A.J.H. Wachters, *J. Chem. Phys.* 52 (1970) 1033.
- [32] P.J. Hay, *J. Chem. Phys.* 66 (1977) 4377.
- [33] S. Huzinaga, Department of Chemistry Technical Report, Edmonton (Alberta): University of Alberta (1971); H. Dunning and P.J. Hay, in "Modern Theoretical Chemistry", Vol. 3 (Plenum Press, New York, 1977).
- [34] R. Broer and W.J.A. Maaskant, *Chem. Phys.* 102 (1986) 103.
- [35] A.J.H. Wachters, Thesis, University of Groningen (1971).