Coupled Cluster Treatment of the XY model

D JJ.Famell, SE.K ruger and JB.Parkinson

Department of Physics, UM IST, P.O. Box 88, Manchester M 60 1QD.

<u>Abstract</u> W e study quantum spin systems in the 1D, 2D square and 3D cubic lattices with nearest-neighbour XY exchange. W e use the coupled-cluster m ethod (CCM) to calculate the ground-state energy, the T = 0 sublattice m agnetisation and the excited state energies, all as functions of the anisotropy parameter . W e consider S = 1=2 in detail and give some results for higher S. In 1D these results are compared with the exact S = 1=2 results and in 2D with M onte-C arb and series expansions. W e obtain critical points close to the expected value = 0 and our extrapolated LSUBn results for the ground-state energy are well converged for all except very close to the critical point.

 \star P erm an ent address: Institut fur T heoretische P hysik, U niversitat M agdeburg, P O . B ox 4120, D – 39016 M agdeburg, G erm any

PACS num bers: 75.10 Jm ,75.50 Ee,03.65 Ca

Short Title CCM for the XY model.

1. Introduction and CCM form alism

In this paper we consider the T = 0 properties of the quantum spin system known as the XY -m odel, described by the Ham iltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l_{p}}^{X} [(1 +)s_{l}^{x}s_{l+p}^{x} + (1)s_{l}^{y}s_{l+p}^{y}] \quad in the regime 0 \quad 1 \quad (1)$$

where index 1 runs over all N lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions, and p over the z nearest-neighbour sites.

For s = 1=2 and 1D this model was solved exactly by Lieb, Schultz and M attis (1961) and its properties have been studied by m any authors (see N iem eyer, 1967, and Barouch et al., 1971, for example). For higher spin in 1D or in 2D (square) and 3D (simple cubic) useful results have been obtained using spin-wave theory (Zheng et al., 1991), M onte-C arb m ethods (D ing, 1992, Zhang and Runge, 1992), series expansions (H am er et al., 1991) and, for = 0, nite size extrapolations (B etts et al., 1996).

In a recent paper (Bishop, Famell and Parkinson, 1996, referred to as I), the coupled-cluster method (CCM) was applied to the XXZ model in the j j< 1 regime. It was found that good results could be obtained by using a planar model state in which the spins are aligned in the xy plane, as in the classical ground state, rather than along the z axis. Here we shall use a similar model state for (1), again motivated by the classical ground state.

For a description of the CCM applied to spin system s see B ishop et al. (1991) and also the references given in I. To calculate the ground state wave function j i of a spin system we start with a <u>model state</u> j i and a correlation operator S such that

For the H am iltonian (1) we expect that in the ground state the spins are aligned in the xy plane. We choose j i to be a N \approx l state with spins aligned parallel and antiparallel to the x axis. In 1D this has the form

It is useful to introduce <u>local axes</u> such that each spin in j i is pointing in the negative z direction, by m cans of the following transform ation:

 s^{x} ! s^{z} ; s^{y} ! s^{y} ; s^{z} ! s^{x} left-pointing spins s^{x} ! s^{z} ; s^{y} ! s^{y} ; s^{z} ! s^{x} right-pointing spins.

Thus (1) becomes (with $s = s^x is^y$)

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,p}^{X} [A s_{l}^{z} s_{l+p}^{z} + B (s_{l}^{+} s_{l+p}^{+} + s_{l} s_{l+p}) + C (s_{l}^{+} s_{l+p} + s_{l} s_{l+p}^{+})]$$
(2)

with

A
$$(1 +);$$
 B $\frac{1}{4}(1);$ C = B:

For the correlation operator S we choose a linear combination of creation operators relative to j i, a creation operator being any combination of spin raising operators (s^+ in the local axes). Because of the form of (2) the total number of spin ips in each creation operator must be even.

The simplest possible choice for S is to ip two spins, known as the SUB2 approxim ation scheme:

$$S = \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{l=1}^{N}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{X} b_{r} s_{l}^{+} s_{l+r}^{+}$$
(3)

where r runs over all distinct lattice vectors (r \neq 0 for s = 1=2).

The full SUB4 scheme involves 4- ip con gurations as well 2 ips and is too complicated to handle in general. However the most important extra term is the one with 4 ips on adjacent sites. Including this term gives the SUB2+LSUB4 scheme which we have applied only in 1D:

$$S = \sum_{l=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{X} b_{r} s_{l}^{+} s_{l+r}^{+} + g_{4} s_{l}^{+} s_{l+1}^{+} s_{l+2}^{+} s_{l+3}^{+}$$
(4)

A third approximation scheme is to include in S all possible combinations of spin ips within a region of size n, known as the LSUBn scheme. This is particularly useful for numerical extrapolation as a function of n, and will be discussed in detail in section 5.

From the Schrödinger equation H ji = E ji we obtain the equation for the ground state energy:

$$E = h j e^{-S} H e^{S} j i = \frac{1}{2} z N - \frac{1}{4} A + b_1 B$$
 (5)

This equation is exact whatever approximations are made for S.

To determ ine the coe cients b_r and g_4 in the SUB2+LSUB4 scheme we operate on the Schrödinger equation with exp(S) then one of the destruction operators and then by h j:

$$h js_{1} s_{l+r} e^{S} H e^{S} j i = \overset{X h X}{B} b_{r^{0}} b_{r r^{0}+p} (A + 4B b_{l}) b_{r}$$
$$+ 2C b_{r p} + (B (2b_{l}^{2} + 2g_{4} + 1) + A b_{l}) _{p,r} + B g_{4} _{3p,r} = 0$$
(6)

h
$$js_1 s_{l+1}s_{l+2}s_{l+3}e^{-s}H e^{s}ji = A (b_1^2 + 3b_2^2 + 2b_1b_3) 4B (b_1b_2b_4 + b_1b_3^2 + b_2^2b_3)$$

4C $(2b_1b_2 + b_2b_3) + g_4 B (2b_5 2b_3 8b_1) A = 0$ (7)

The corresponding equations for the SUB2 scheme are obtained by setting $g_4 = 0$ everywhere in the set of these and ignoring the second.

These coupled <u>non-linear</u> equations are solved by rst Fourier transform ing Eq.(6) and then solving the resulting equations and Eq.(10) self-consistently. For dimension d we obtain:

(q)
$$\sum_{r}^{X} e^{irq} b_{r}; b_{r} = d^{d}q(2) d^{d}e^{irq}(q); (q) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{p}^{X} e^{ipq}$$

 $b_{1} = d^{d}q(2) d^{d}(q) (q); X_{1} \sum_{r}^{X} b_{r} b_{r+p} = d^{d}q(2) d^{d}(q) (q)^{2}(q)$

leading to

$$a^{2}(q) + b(q) + c = 0;$$

where

a B (q), b A
$$4Bb_1 + 2C$$
 (q);
c [B $(2b_1^2 + 2g_4 + 1) + Ab_1$)] (q) + B g₄ (3q) B X₁ 2C b₁

with the usual solution

(q) =
$$\frac{b + p \frac{b^2}{b^2} 4ac}{2a}$$
:

The equations can now be solved num erically in a self-consistent way.

Results for the ground state energy using the SUB2 and SUB2+LSUB4 approxim ation schemes are shown in Figures 1 and 3, and Tables 1 and 2 for 1D and 2D. The LSUBn results are discussed in section 5.

A notable feature of the CCM is the existence of term inating points as a function of . These are believed to correspond to the actual T = 0 phase changes, known to be at = 0 in 1D and believed also to be at = 0 on symmetry grounds for 2D and 3D. In 1D term inating points only occur if correlations of in nite range are explicitly included in S and occur at = 0.10789 in SUB2 and at = 0.09605 in SUB2+LSUB4. In 2D there is a term inating point at = 0.03033 in SUB2. These are reasonably close to = 0 considering the simple nature of these approximations. In 2D and 3D term inating points can also occur within the LSUBn scheme as described in section 5.

2. In-plane Sublattice M agnetisation

In the CCM the bra ground state is not in general the H erm itian conjugate of the ket state. Instead we introduce a new operator S such that

$$h^{j} = h j S exp(S)$$
:

The SUB2+LSUB4 approximation for S is

$$S = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{X} \tilde{b}_{r} s_{l} s_{l+r} + g_{4} s_{l} s_{l+1} s_{l+2} s_{l+3}$$
(8)

where r runs over all distinct lattice vectors (with $r \in 0$ for s = 1=2).

The bra-state equations are found variationally by taking the partial derivatives of

with respect to the ket-state coe cients. By CCM theory (Bishop et al. 1991) these derivatives must be equal to 0. Hence we obtain two bra state equations:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial b_{r}} = N \sum_{p}^{X \ h} \sum_{r^{0}}^{X} b_{r^{0}} b_{r^{0} \ r+p} (A + 4B \ b_{1}) b_{r} + 2C \ b_{r \ p} + (B + (A + 4B \ b_{1}) b_{1} \ 4B \sum_{r^{0}}^{X} b_{r^{0}} b_{r^{0}})_{p;r} + g_{4} = 2f \ [4A \ (b_{1} + b_{3}) \ 8B \ (b_{2} \ b_{4} + b_{3}^{2}) \ 16B \ g_{4} \ 16C \ b_{2}]_{p;r} + [12A \ b_{2} \ 8B \ (b_{1} \ b_{4} + 2b_{2} \ b_{3}) \ 8C \ (2b_{1} + b_{3})]_{2p;r} + [4A \ b_{1} \ 8B \ (2b_{1} \ b_{3} + b_{2}^{2})_{i} \ 4B \ g_{4} \ 8C \ b_{2}]_{3p;r} + [4B \ b_{1} \ b_{2} \ 4p;r + 4B \ g_{4} \ 5p;rg = 0$$
(9)

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial g_4} = N \frac{h}{B} (2b_1 + b_3) + g_4 (2B (b_5 b_3 4b_1) A) = 0$$
(10)

Again we perform a Fourier transform on Eq.(9) and the resulting equations and Eq.(10) may be solved self-consistently in order to obtain the bra-state correlation \cos cients.

F inally the results are used to calculate the magnetisation using the formula for SUB2:

$$M = 2h^{2} j_{1} j_{1} = 1 2 \int_{r}^{X} b_{r} b_{r}$$

and for SUB2+LSUB4:

$$M = 2h^{j} \mathfrak{s}_{1}^{z} \mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{i} = 1 \quad 2 \quad \overset{X}{\underset{r}{}} \mathfrak{b}_{r} \mathfrak{b}_{r} \quad 8g_{4}g_{4}$$

3. Excitations

A similar method can be used for the excited state energies, introducing the operator $$_{\rm X}$$

$$X_1 = X_i s_i^+$$
; i belongs to one sublattice only

leading to

$$h \dot{p}_{1} e^{S} H X_{1} e^{S} j i = \frac{1}{2} z (A + 4B b_{1}) X_{1} + B^{X}_{r,p} b_{r} X_{l+r+p} = "_{1} X_{1}$$
 (11)

and hence, via Fourier transform

) "(q) =
$$\frac{1}{2}z(A + 4Bb_1) + Bz(q)(q)$$
 (12)

4. General spin s, (SUB 2 on ly)

We have also considered the general case of s 1=2 within the SUB2 approxim ation scheme. The main features are as follows.

The correlation operators S and S are the same as before (without g_4). The ket state equations become:

$$h js_{1} s_{l+r} e^{S} H e^{S} j i = 4s^{2} 4s^{2} B^{K} b_{r^{0}} b_{r^{-r^{0}+p}} 2s (A + 4B b_{l}) b_{r} + 4sC b_{r^{-p}} + (B (2b_{l}^{2} + 1) + A b_{l})_{p,r} = 0$$
(13)

and the energy is

h je ^s H e^s j i = 2s² zN
$$\frac{1}{4}$$
A + b₁B (14)

Using these equations we nd for the ground-state energy per spin of the s = 1 system at the = 0 point the value 1:09179. This com pares with a num erical result from extrapolating rings with N 14 of 1:1157 0:0003. This is a very similar accuracy to that obtained using SUB2 for s = $\frac{1}{2}$ at the same point.

There are similar modications to the brastate equations which become

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial b_{r}} = 4s^{2}N \sum_{p}^{X} \sum_{r^{0}}^{h} \sum_{r^{0}}^{X} \sum_{r^{0}}^{h} \sum_{r^{0}}^{r} \sum_{r^{0}}^{h} \sum_{r^{$$

F inally the magnetisation is given by:

$$M = \frac{1}{s}h^{2} j_{1} j_{1} i = 1 \quad 4s \int_{r}^{X} b_{r} b_{r}$$
(16)

5. The LSUBn Approxim ation

The LSUBn scheme contains all possible (connected and disconnected) terms in S which are contained within a 'locale' of size n. We use all possible connected con gurations of n spins to de ne this locale; in 1D we may see that this locale is simply a chain of length n. Disconnected and connected con gurations of less than n spins are then generated by successively removing sites from the original connected con gurations of n spins, thus covering all possibilities. The lowest order LSUBn approximation scheme is the LSUB2 (i.e., SUB2-2) approximation in which only a single nearest-neighbour, two-body term is retained in S. W e note that the Ham iltonian of Eq. (2) includes products of the spin operators which contain even num bers of these spin operators only. This means that the ground state contains only even numbers of spin ips with relation to the model state. We restrict the LSUBn approximation to include only those con gurations which contain an even number of spin raising operators. A further restriction is that each fundam ental con guration must be independent of all others under the symmetries of both the lattice and the H am iltonian; we note that both lattice and the H am iltonian have identical sym m etries for the X Y model.

Tables 1, 2, and 3 show the numbers of fundamental congurations for given LSUBn approximation level, and we can see from these tables that the number of congurations grows very rapidly with n. Hence, for higher-order approximations we need to enumerate all possible congurations computationally, and we furthermore need to obtain and solve the CCM LSUBn equations computationally also. A full explanation of the computationalmethod used here is given in Zeng et al. (1997). It is now possible to obtain values for the ground-state energy and sublattice magnetisation for the LSUBn approximation scheme. Results for these quantities are given in Figs.

1,2,3 and 4, and results at the isotropic point of = 0 are given in Tables 1, 2, and 3. A simple extrapolation of the ground-state energy and sublattice magnetisation has also been carried out by plotting the ground-state energy against $1=n^2$ and the sublattice magnetisation against 1=n, and then performing polynomial ts on this data. The extrapolated LSUB1 results obtained from this simple, haive' approach are shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3. The results are clearly at least as good as obtained by series expansion. Results in 2D and 3D are especially valuable since no exact results are available.

A nother consequence of this approxim ation scheme is that the second derivative of the ground-state energy is found to diverge for some critical value of the anisotropy parameter, denoted $_{\rm c}(n)$, in 2D and 3D only. These points are related to phase transitions of the true ground state of the system (Zeng et al., 1997), and the results for given LSUBn approximation level are shown in Tables 2, and 3. We note that critical $_{\rm c}(n)$ approaches = 0, the point at which the true phase transition point is believed to be (in all dimensions), with increasing approximation level. Again, a simple extrapolation of the LSUBn critical points is attempted by plotting $_{\rm c}(n)$ against $1/n^2$, as in B ishop et al. (1994), and the extrapolated results are also shown in Tables 2 and 3.

6. A cknow ledgem ents

We have bene ted from discussions with R F.B ishop.D J.J.Farnellacknow ledges a research grant from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP – SRC) of G reat Britain.SK ruger is grateful to the Physics Department, UM IST, for the opportunity to visit to carry out this work.

References

Barouch E. and M cC oy B M ., 1971, Phys. Rev. A, 3, 786-804
Betts D D, M atsui S., Vats N. and Stewart G E ., 1996, Can J Phys., 74, 54-64
Bishop R F ., Parkinson J B. and Yang X ian, 1991, PhysRev B 44, 9425-43
Bishop R F ., Famell D J.J. and Parkinson J B ., 1996, J Phys. CM 8, 11153-65
Bishop R F ., Hale R G . and X ian Y ., 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3157-60
D ing H Q ., 1992, Phys. Rev. B, 45, 230-42
Ham er C J., O itm aa J. and Zheng W ., 1991, Phys. Rev. B, 43, 10789-96
Lieb E ., Schultz T . and M attis D ., 1961, Annals of Phys. 16, 407-66
M cC oy B M ., 1968, Phys. Rev., 173, 531-41
N iem eyer T h., 1967, Physica 36, 377-419
Zeng C ., Famell D J.J. and B ishop R F ., 1997, (to be published).
Zhang S. and Runge K J., 1992, Phys. Rev. B, 45, 1052-5
Zheng W ., O itm aa J. and Ham er C J., 1991, Phys. Rev. B, 44, 11869-81

Figure captions

Fig.1

Results for the CCM ground-state energy of the one dimensional XY model. The term inating points of SUB2 and SUB2+LSUB4 schemes are indicated.

Fig.2

Results for the CCM ground-state sublattice magnetisation of the one dimensional XY model.

Fig.3

Results for the CCM ground-state energy of the square lattice XY model. All the approximation schemes have term inating points except LSUB2.

Fig.4

R esults for the $C\,C\,M\,$ ground-state sublattice m agnetisation of the square lattice $X\,Y\,m$ odel.

Table 1: G round-state energy and sublattice m agnetisation for the one dimensional XY model at $= 0 \mod \text{pared}$ to exact results of M cC oy (1968). N_f indicates the number of fundam ental con gurations for a given LSUB n approximation level.

LSUBn	N _f	E _g /N	М
LSUB2	1	0.303813	0.837286
SUB2	{	0.310377	0.779517
LSUB4	4	0.314083	0.722916
LSUB6	13	0.316301	0.660064
LSUB8	43	0.317137	0.617624
LSUB10	151	0.317542	0.586067
LSUB1	{	0.31829	{
Exact	{	0.318310	0.0

Table 2: G round-state energy and sublattice m agnetisation for the square lattice X Y m odel at $= 0 \mod pared$ to series expansion calculations of H am er, O itm aa and Zheng [2]. N_f indicates the number of fundam ental con gurations for a given LSUB n approximation level, and also shown are the critical values of for the anisotropic m odel { where the value in parentheses is the estimated error in the nal decimal place shown.

LSUBn	N _f	E _g /N	М	_c (n)
LSUB2	1	0.540312	0.949634	{
SUB2	{	0.546325	0.918953	0.030(1)
LSUB4	10	0.547267	0.915768	0.175(1)
LSUB6	131	0.548329	0.901357	0.073(1)
LSUB8	2793	0.548616	0.893665	0.04(1)
LSUB1	{	0.54892	0.869	0.00(1)
Series Expansion	{	0.5488	0.872	0.0

Table 3: G round-state energy and sublattice m agnetisation for the cubic lattice X Y m odel at = 0. N_f indicates the number of fundamental con gurations for a given LSUBn approximation level, and also shown are the critical values of for the anisotropic m odel { where the value in parentheses is the estimated error in the naldecimal place shown.

LSUBn	N _f	E _g /N	М	_c (n)
LSUB2	1	0.786866	0.971488	{
SUB2	{	0.790901	0.958282	0.01666(1)
LSUB4	13	0.791224	0.958648	0.172(1)
LSUB6	327	0.791702	0.954759	0.071(1)
LSUB1	{	0.79201	0.948	0.01(1)







