# A Universal Formula for Percolation Thresholds II. Extension to Anisotropic and Aperiodic Lattices

Serge G alam and A lain M auger Laboratoire des M ilieux D esordonnes et H eterogenes Tour 13 - C ase 86, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris C edex 05, France

Phys. Rev. E, 1997

PA Classi cation Numbers: 64.60 A, 64.60 C, 64.70 P

#### A bstract

In a recent paper, we have reported a universal power law for both site and bond percolation thresholds in any B ravais lattice with q equivalent nearest neighbors in dimension d. We now extend it to three dimensions of lattices which are, respectively, anisotropic lattices which not equivalent nearest neighbors, non-B ravais lattices with two atom unit cells, and quasicrystals. The investigation is focussed on d = 2 and d = 3, due to the lack of experimental data at higher dimensions. The extension to these lattices requires the substitution of q by an elective (non integer) value  $q_{eff}$  in the universal law. For each out of 17 lattices which constitute our sample, we argue the existence of one  $q_{eff}$  which reproduces both the site and the percolation threshold, with a deviation with respect to numerical estimates which does not exceed 0.01.

Laboratoire de l'Universite P. et M. Curie – Paris 6, associe au CNRS (URA n 800)

## 1 Introduction

Very recently we have posulated a universal power law for both site and bond percolation thresholds [1]. The form ula yields thresholds for any B ravais lattice, at any dimension with an impressive accuracy. It writes

$$p_c = p_0 [(d \ 1) (q \ 1)]^a d^b$$
 (1)

with d the space dimension and q the coordination number. W hile b = a for bond dilution, it is b = 0 for site dilution. Three di erent classes were found with three distinct parameter sets  $fp_0$ ; ag. The rst class includes twodimensional triangle, square and honeycom b lattices. It is characterized by  $fp_0 = 0.8889$ ; a = 0.3601g for site dilution and by  $fp_0 = 0.6558$ ; a = 0.6897g for bond dilution. Two-dimensional K agome and all other lattices of cubic symetry (for 3 d 6) constitute the second class which is characterized by  $fp_0 = 1.2868$ ; a = 0.6160g and  $fp_0 = 0.7541$ ; a = 0.9346g for sites and bonds respectively. A third class has been found at high dimensions (d > 6), which recovers the in nite C ayley tree limit, but is not relevant to present investigation which deals with lattices only at d = 2 and d = 3.

Besides the dimension d, percolation thresholds within a class depend only on q. This is understood in lattices where the q nearest neighbors of any site are equivalent, which is indeed the case for all lattices above mentioned. This is how ever a drastic restriction since m any percolation problem s in physics deal with lattices which do not have this property. It is the purpose of the present work to investigate the extension of Eq. (1) to other lattices, via the substitution of q by an elective parameter  $q_{eff}$ .

We have checked on a sam ple of 17 lattices that, for each of them, there exists one value of  $q_{\rm bff}$  which reproduces both the site and the bond percolation thresholds. The error is within 0:006 for all the lattices, except for two of them (dual of Penrose, and dodecagonal lattice with ferrom agnetic links), where the error reaches 0.01 for reasons we also discuss in this paper. We consider this sample is large enough to be representative of a general trend, and then conclude in the existence of such a  $q_{\rm eff}$  in any lattice. For a lattice which does not belong to our sample, this parameter could be used as an interm ediate quantity to predict bond from site percolation thresholds, or vice versa, with the same accuracy.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 discusses a series of lattices with non-equivalent neighbors. The introduction of an elective number of nearest neighbors in our universal formula for percolation is argued in Section 3. The results are shown and discussed in Section 3. The last section contains some remarks for future work.

## 2 Non-equivalent neighbors

Som e periodic B ravais lattices are anisotropic, for instance the hexagonal lattice at d = 3. In this case, any lattice site has 6 equivalent nearest neighbors in the a; bplane (bonding angle is  $60^{\circ}$ ) and 2 non-equivalent sites along the c axis (bonding angle is  $90^{\circ}$ ). A ctually, the anisotropic lattice percolation threshold should depend on the degree of anisotropy. For the hexagonal lattice, it m eans  $p_c$  should be di erent from that of the corresponding isotropic lattice with the sam e set d = 3; q = 8, i.e., the box lattice. This di erence has been observed indeed recently [2] in the particular case of the stacked triangular lattice, which becom es the hexagonal lattice when a = b = c.

There also exist non-B ravais lattices which are periodic like fcc and bcc. Another case is the hexagonal close packed (hcp) lattice which, on a topologic view point, is a simple hexagonal lattice with two atoms per unit cell. Percolation thresholds for the hcp were obtained long ago  $\beta$ ].

Some lattices are not even periodic. This is the case of quasicrystals which are aperiodic lattices with long-range order. Besides their own interest such structures can serve as models for alloy-like materials making a growing interest in the determination of the quasicrystalline lattice percolation thresholds. First determinations of percolation thresholds on 2-d quasilattices have been made on the Penrose tiling and its dual [4, 5, 6]. Recently, percolation thresholds have also been computed in two of them ost in portant quasilattices, the simple octagonal and dodecagonal tilings [7], which belong to the Penrose local isom orphism class.

By analogy with other lattices, one can require that lattice sites are connected only via the tile edges. The corresponding percolation problem is named chemical percolation. Adding connection through the diagonals of the tiles which are shorter than the tile edge leads to the so-called ferrom agnetic percolation [8]. Both these percolations will be considered here. These lattices are reproduced in Fig. (1).

At last, som e lattices do not have a single-valued coordination num ber q.

Lattices with m ixed-valued coordination can be either periodic or non-periodic. An exemple of periodic lattice at d = 2 is provided by the dice lattice which m ixes q = 3 and 6. An exemple of non-periodic lattice is the Penrose tiling, which m ixes q = 3; 4; 5; 6 and 7. Note the dual of dice is the K agom e lattice and vice versa, while the dual of the Penrose tiling is named dual lattice of Penrose. Both the K agom e and the dual of Penrose are lattices with the single-valued coordination q = 4. O ctagonal and dodecagonal lattices have m ixed-value coordinations. Numerical estimates of the percolation thresholds of dice can be found in [6].

## 3 An e ective number of nearest neighbors

In the past, attempts have been made to generalize empirical relations such as the Scher and Zallen approximate [9] which depends on dimension. For instance at d = 2, these are  $qp_c$  (bond) ' 2.0 and  $fp_c$  (site) ' 0.45, with f the lattice lling factor. Extension to quasilattices [6, 7] consists in replacing q by mean coordination number  $\overline{z}$  in the invariant appropriate to bonds (the problem for sites is not solved, because there is no ambiguous de nition of the lling factor in quasicrystals [7]).

In the same spirit, we propose to extend Eq. (1), replacing q by an elective value  $q_{eff}$ . The dependence of the percolation thresholds on site connectivity does not imply that the relevant variable should be the arithmetic average  $\overline{z}$ . Therefore, we regard  $q_{eff}$  as a parameter which has to be determined, rather than arbitrarily forced to be equal to  $\overline{z}$ .

The need of substituting q by  $q_{eff}$  in the equations is easily understood in both the case of quasilattices and the case of lattices which m ix di erent values of q. It is also needed in anisotropic lattices. Let us again consider the hexagonal lattice with spacing a, b and c in the three lattice directions. In the lim it c ! +1, one is left with decoupled ab planes for physical system s having a nite range of interaction. Therefore, the percolation threshold of the hexagonal lattice m ust depend som endow on the ratio c=a. It is expected to range between that of isotropic bcc with d = 3;q = 8 and that of the d = 2 triangular lattice, associated to the lim it c=a ! +1. Note in this lim it one recovers an isotropic lattice with q = 6 instead of q = 8, which suggests an e ective coordinance 6  $q_{eff}$  8. In view of such considerations, we propose to extend Eq. (1) substituting q by som e  $q_{eff}$  for any lattice.

Note within the two classes de ned by the set  $(a;p_0)$ ,  $q_{eff}$  is the unique

unknown parameter. For each lattice, we nd a value of this tting parameter which, when inserted into Eq.1, reproduces both the site and the bond percolation threshold within 0:01. Values of  $q_{\rm eff}$  are reported for dierent lattices in Table (1), together with site and bond percolation thresholds  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm s}$ ,  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm b}$  obtained when q is replaced by  $q_{\rm eff}$  in Eq. (1). Mean coordination  $\overline{z}$  and  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm e}$  for site and bond percolation are also reported for comparison. Exact or num erical estimates  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm e}$  are from Refs [6, 7, 10].

### 4 The results

For lattices at d = 2,  $q_{eff}$  di ers from  $\overline{z}$  by 1% in the case of the dual lattice of Penrose, and is smaller than 0.5% for all the other lattices. These data corroborate that two dimensional lattices are divided into the two distinct classes de ned in [1]. This is illustrated in Figs. (2) and (3), where  $p_c^{e'}$ 's are reported in a log log plot such that the experimental points for lattices in the same class align on a straight line according to Eq.1.

The pertinent variable after Eq. (1) is (d 1) ( $q_{eff}$  1) for sites and (d 1) ( $q_{eff}$  1)=d for bonds. Those are the variables in abscissa in Fig. (3) which reports data for lattices in dimensions d = 2 and d = 3 (data in higher dimensions have been already displayed in a similar plot in [1] with  $q_{eff}$  = q). Since the rst class concerns only lattices which are allat d = 2, above variables for respectively sites and bonds may reduce to one single common variable  $q_{eff}$ as shown in Fig. (2). j j reaches 0:01 only in the dodecagonal lattice with ferrom agnetic links, and in the dual lattice of Penrose. In all the other cases, the error in the percolation threshold estim ates is only on the third decim al.

Note the larger error in the dodecagonal lattice. We attribute it to an actual bond percolation threshold  $p_c^e(\text{bond}) = 0.495$  [6] larger than a priori expected. One would indeed have expected  $p_c(\text{bond}) = 0.475$  from the straight line in Figure 3, in which case a value  $q_{\text{pff}} = 4.289$ , close to  $\overline{z} = 4.27$ , would have reproduced both site and bond percolation thresholds within j = 0.001. The problem with the estimate  $p_c^e(\text{bond}) = 0.495$  is also evident from the Scher and Zallen invariant. It yields  $\overline{z}p_c^e(\text{bond}) = 2.11$ , the largest value of this parameter among all the lattices investigated [6]. At the opposite,  $p_c(\text{bond}) = 0.475$  would yield  $\overline{z}p_c(\text{bond}) = 2.028$ , close to the invariant value 2.0 at d = 2.

At d = 3, percolation values are reproduced with a very good accuracy, since j j 0:004 for all the lattices. The di erence between  $q_{eff}$  and  $\overline{z}$  is not

negligible as it reaches few per cent in som e cases. This is easily understood in the case of the hexagonal (stack triangle) case, where we have argued earlier that a value  $q_{\rm eff}$  sm aller than 8 is expected. A ctually, we nd  $q_{\rm eff} = 7.66$ , as a consequence of the anisotropy. The hexagonal close packed (hcp) lattice has percolation thresholds which are di erent from those of the fcc lattice, as expected since the hcp lattice is not a B ravais lattice.

However, di erences are small which may be attributed to the fact that both lattices are indeed isotropic, each site being surrounded by 12 equivalent neighbors. In this context, the small value of  $q_{eff}$  close to 11 in hcp lattice is not only due to the non-B ravais nature of the lattice. It is also related to the fact that in the fcc lattice,  $q_{eff}$  is only 11:6, signi cantly smaller than q = 12, although the coordination number is single-valued.

On the other hand,  $q_{\text{eff}}$  di ers from q by only 1% in the other lattices (sc, diam ond, bcc). Yet one would expect  $q_{\text{eff}} = q$  in such isotropic lattices with single-valued coordination number. The di erence between  $q_{\text{eff}}$  and q in this case illustrates that our form ula for the percolation thresholds is not exact as we already stated in [1], and show n convicingly in ref. 2. N evertheless, both site and bond percolation thresholds for all the lattices in any dimension are provided within 1% by our universal law involving only two parameters: the dimension d and a parameter  $q_{\text{eff}}$  which contains more inform ation on the geometry than the mean coordination.

## 5 Conclusion

We have shown that our universal formula for percolation threholds we reported earlier [1] for periodic B ravais lattices with equivalent nearest neighbors does extend to any kind of lattice, provided the coordination number is replaced by an elective value  $q_{eff}$ . We then conclude that a good estimate of both site and bond percolation thresholds can be obtained from the formula in Eq. 1 involving only the dimension d of the lattice, and one parameter  $q_{eff}$  which contains the geometric information of the lattice. This parameter how ever, does not reduce to the mean coordination number  $\overline{z}$ , although  $\overline{z}$  and  $q_{eff}$  diler by few percent only. Indeed, we not the universality does include dilerent numerical values for  $q_{eff}$  and thus dilerent percolation thresholds for lattices which have the same set (d;  $\overline{z}$ ). This is evident from Table (1) which reports results for as many as six lattices with  $d = 2; \overline{z} = 4$ , two lattices with ( $d = 3; \overline{z} = 8$ ), two other ones with ( $d = 3; \overline{z} = 12$ ). In our previous work, we had only three lattices belonging to the rst class. The present work extends this class from 3 to 8 lattices. We might have invoked chance for three points aligned on a same line, but not for 8 points like in Fig.1. Therefore, we can me the existence of two dimensional lattices, one for some of the two-dimensional lattices, the other one for all the other two-dimensional lattices and all the lattices up to d=7.

We do not have a scheme to derive the relevant variable  $q_{\rm bff}$  from the geom etry of the lattice. However, an important result of this work is that this variable does exist. It means that there exists a single value for  $q_{\rm bff}$  which accounts for both the site and the bond percolation thresholds for any given lattice within 0:01. This result is su cient to give our form ula a prediction ability for percolation thresholds of other lattices which have not been computed yet. For example, the know ledge of one site (bond) percolation threshold for a given lattice is su cient to determ ine a point on the relevant straight line in Fig. 2 or 3. Then  $q_{\rm bff}$  can be found from the absissa of this point, which in turn allows for the determ ination of the bond (site) percolation threshold from our universal form ula, within 0:01. D epending on whether  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm s}$  or  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm b}$  is know n, the value of  $q_{\rm bff}$  deduced from Fig. (2) or (3) will be di erent. However, this di erence only corresponds to the deviation of the  $p_{\rm c}^{\rm e}$ 's with repect to the universal law, i.e. less than 1% with the exception of only few out-liers.

The robustness of our form ula suggests the extension to more complex problems such as directed percolation. Also, anisotropic percolations with di erent bond probabilities in di erent directions may be adressed in the near future.

#### A cknow ledgm ents.

We would like to thank Dietrich Stau er for stimulating comments.

## References

1.S.Galam and A.M auger, Phys. Rev. E <u>53</u>, 2177 (1996).

2. Van der Marck, Phys. Rev. E <u>55</u>, 1228 (1997); S.Galam and A.Mauger, Phys. Rev. E <u>55</u>, 1230 (1997).

3. V K S. Shante and S. Kipatrick, Adv. Phys. <u>20</u>, 326 (1971).

4. J.P.Lu and J.L.Bim an, J.Stat. Phys. <u>40</u>, 1057 (1987).

5. F. Babalievski and O. Pesshev, C. R. A cad. Sci. Bulg. <u>41</u>, 85 (1988).

6. F. Yonesava, S. Sakamoto, K. Aoki, S. Nose and M. Hori, J. Non-Cryst. Solids <u>123</u>, 73 (1988).

7. F. Babalievski, Physica <u>220</u>, 245 (1995).

8. D. Leduie and J. Teillet, J. Non-Cryst. Solids <u>191</u>, 216 (1995).

9. H. Scher and R. Zallen, J. Chem. Phys. <u>53</u>, 3759 (1970).

10. D. Stau er and A. Aharony, Introduction to Percolation Theory, 2nd ed. (Taylor and Francis, London, 1994).

# Figure captions

Fig. 1. Less common lattices studied in this paper : K agome (a) and its dual, D ice lattice (b); Penrose quasicrystalline lattice (c) and its dual (d); octagonal quasicrystalline lattice with ferrom agnetic links (e) and chem ical links (f); dodecagonal quasicrystalline lattice with ferrom agnetic links (g) and chem ical links (h).

Fig. 2. Inverse of percolation thresholds as a function of the variables  $(d \ 1) (q_{\text{eff}} \ 1)$  and  $(d \ 1) (q_{\text{eff}} \ 1)=d)$  which reduce here (d = 2) to the single variable  $q_{\text{eff}}$  in logarithm ic scales for lattices belonging to the rst class.

Fig. 3. Inverse of percolation thresholds as a function of the variables (d 1) ( $q_{\rm eff}$  1) and (d 1) ( $q_{\rm eff}$  1)=d) approxiate to site and bonds, respectively, in logarithm ic scales for lattices belonging to the second class.

rst class								
			site			bond		
Lattice	Z	$q_{\rm eff}$	$p_c^e$	$p_c$		p c e	$p_{c}$	
Square	4	4.02	0.5928	0.5970	+ 0.0042	0.5	0.4935	-0.0064
H oneycom b	3	2.99	0.6962	0.6938	-0.0024	0.6527	0.6581	+ 0.0054
Triangular	6	5.98	0.5	0.4986	-0.0014	0.34729	0.34955	+ 0.0023
D ice	4	4.189	0.5851	0.5854	+ 0.0003	0.476	0.4754	-0.0006
Penrose	4	4.194	0.5837	0.5851	+0.0014	0.477	0.4748	-0.0022
0 cta.chem .links	4	4.170	0.585	0.5867	+0.0017	0.48	0.4773	-0.0027
0 cta ferro links	5.17	5.013	0.543	0.5389	-0.0041	0.40	0.406	+ 0.0057
Dode.chem .links	3.63	3.638	0.628	0.6269	-0.0011	0.54	0.5419	+0.0019
second class								
			site			bond		
Lattice	Z	$q_{\rm eff}$	p_e	$p_{c}$		p <sup>e</sup> <sub>c</sub>	$p_{\rm c}$	
K agom e	4	3.980	0.6527	0.6567	+ 0.0040	0.5244	0.5195	-0.0049
dualPenrose	4	4.04	0.6381	0.6487	+0.0106	0.5233	0.5099	-0.01341
Dode.ferro.links	4.27	4,218	0.617	0.6264	+ 0.0094	0.495	0.4835	-0.0115
hex.com pact	12	11.146	0.204	0,2015	-0.0025	0.124	0.1263	+ 0.0023
stac.triangle	8	7.661	0.2623	0,2611	-0.0012	0.1859	0.1872	0.0013
D iam ond	4	4.0087	0.43	0.4260	-0.0040	0.1859	0.3935	+ 0.0055
SC	6	5.9558	0.3116	0.3132	+0.0016	0.2488	0.2468	-0.0020
bœ	8	8.1355	0.246	0.2502	+ 0.0042	0.1803	0.1755	-0.0047
fcc	12	11.626	0.198	0.1958	-0.0022	0.119	0.1210	0.0020

Table 1: percolation thresholds from this work  $p_c$  compared to \exact estimates"  $p_c^e$  taken from [6, 7 and 10].  $p_c p_c^e$ . refers to multi-valued coordination number (mean coordination  $\overline{z}$ ). All the lattices are in dimension d = 2, except the 6 last ones in the second class which have d = 3. We have completed the table caption as follows:  $q_{\rm eff}$  has been chosen as the arithmetic average of the parameters q which reproduces  $p_c^e$  for respectively site and bond when inserted in Eq. (1).