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#### Abstract

W e re-exam ine the calculation of the transverse spin-di usion coe cient in a dilute degenerate spin-polarized Ferm i gas, for the case of swave scattering. T he special feature of this lim it is that the dependence of the spin di usion coe cient on tem perature and eld can be calculated explicitly w ith no further approxim ations. This exact solution uncovers a novel interm ediate behaviour betw een the high eld spin-rotation dom inated regim $e$ in which $D_{?} / \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$, and the low-eld isotropic, collision dom inated regim e with $\mathrm{D}_{?}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{T}{ }^{2}$. In this interm ediate regim $e, D_{? ~ ; ~} / T^{2}$ but $D_{?} D_{k}$. W e em phasize that the low-eld crossover cannot be described w thin the relaxation tim e approxim ation. W e also present an analytical calculation of the selfenergy in the $s-w$ ave approxim ation for a dilute spin-polarized Ferm i gas, at zero tem perature. This em phasizes the failure of the conventional Ferm i-liquid phase space argum ents for processes involving spin ìp. W e close by review ing the evidence for the existence of the interm ediate regim e in


[^0]experim ents on weakly spin-polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \quad{ }^{4} \mathrm{Hem}$ ixtures.

PACS num bers: 67.65.+ z, $51.10 .+\mathrm{y}, 51.60 .+\mathrm{a}, 67.60 \mathrm{Fp}$

## I．IN TRODUCTION

The unusual features of spin dynam ics in spin－polarized quantum system s have been intensively studied since the pioneering paper of Leggett and $R$ ige［1］on spin di usion in norm al liquid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ．The m ain e ect arises from the observation that the presence of a $m$ olecular eld（induced by the applied $m$ agnetic eld）leads to an additionalprecession ofthe spin－current which in steady state acquires a com ponent perpendicular to the m agnetization gradient；through the continuity equation this results in an anom alous reactive com ponent （dam ped spin－w ave）to spin transport．This \spin－rotation＂e ect is also present in the case of spin－polarized Boltzm ann gases［⿴囗⿱一兀寸i］．

From a m icroscopic point of view，it was expected that a num ber of qualitatively novel phenom ena $m$ ight arise in the case ofsu ciently high polarizations［ $\sqrt{6}$ ．A naturalsuggestion， $m$ ade by $M$ eyerovich［4］，was that low－tem perature spin－di usion becom es highly anisotropic for nite polarizations．$M$ ore precisely，processes involving spin－ìps $m$ ake use of the phase－ space volum e betw een the two distinct Ferm i surfaces（for \up＂and \down＂spins）leading to a nite scattering rate in the $\lim$ it of $T!0$ ．This is in contrast with processes involving scattering in the vicinity of each of the Ferm i surfaces which are sub ject to the phase－space restrictions of unpolarized Ferm i liquids and are thus characterized by the conventional Ferm i－liquid behaviour of scattering rates，／$T^{2}$ 目］．M eyerovich＇s suggestion was recently supported by $m$ easurem ents of the transverse spin－di usion coe cient in weakly polarized
 for $T$ \＆the only available estim ates are based on a variational solution of the Boltzm ann equation［7］］，or on a phenom enologicalM athiessen－type rule 10］．

In this paper we present the analytical calculation of the nite tem perature behaviour of $D_{\text {？for }}$ a dihute Ferm igas in the swave approxim ation，by solving the appropriate kinetic equations exactly．W hile at $H>T$ our results for $D_{\text {？}}$ agree $w$ ith those of previous work ［7］］，in the opposite case of $T \quad H$ the exact solution of kinetic equation results in a qualitatively new behaviour ofD ？．W e show，that the crossover between the high－eld and
low - eld behaviours is described by two dim ensionless param eters rather than only one as im plied in Refs. 7才10]: The rst is the ratio $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T}$, which determ ines whether the phase space that is available for intenparticle scattering is due to the eld-induced splitting of the Ferm ispheres (H T) or to the tem perature sm earing of the Ferm idistribution function (T H ). The di usion coe cient is, roughly speaking, inversely proportional to the square of the available phase space volum e; and hence in the two lim its we obtain D? / H ${ }^{2}$ and D?/ T ${ }^{2}$, respectively. The second param eter,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(H=T)(\text { ap })^{1}(F=T) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $a$ is the $s$-wave scattering length and $F_{F}$ and $p_{F}$ are Ferm i energy and $m$ om entum in the absence of $m$ agnetic eld) is the ratio of two frequency scales appearing in the kinetic equations for transverse spin di usion, $=!_{1}=!_{2}$. The scale $!_{2}$ is determ ined by the them al term in the transverse relaxation rate, $!_{2} \quad 1={ }_{T} \quad \mathrm{ma}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$, where m is particle m ass; while $!_{1}$ ap $H$ is the molecular eld contribution to the precession frequency of the transverse spin current. (Its origin is the spin-dependent exchange scattering am plitude of two particles interacting through a spin-independent interparticle potential []]). Thus, the value of $m$ easures the number of oscillations of the spin current during a collision tim e. For $\quad 1$ the \spin-rotation" e ect strongly a ects the spin di usion process, which rem ains anisotropic even for $H \quad T$ : in this case, we obtain $D$ ? $0: 89 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}$. The crossover to isotropic behaviour occurs for $\quad 1$, so that $D_{?} \quad D_{k}$ only once $\quad 1$.
$W$ e note that the crossover between isotropic and anisotropic behaviours of $D_{? ; k}$ at
1 has already been discussed previously [1] [12]. H ow ever, the qualitative changes in the collision integral which occur at H T were overlooked. Sim ilarly, the subtleties that arise in the solution ofB oltzm ann equation at 1 have not been notioed in Refs. [T, [10], which how ever provide the correct results for $\quad 1$. In the present paper, we will give the com plete description of eld and tem perature dependence of $D$ ? for a dilute gas (w ithin the s-w ave approxim ation for the two-body scattering) which is valid for any value of $H$ provided that the gas rem ains degenerate for both values of spin pro jection,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}_{n ; \#}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n}$ ；\＃are the Ferm im om enta for spin－up and spin－dow $n$ particles：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}_{n}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\#}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{H} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}_{n^{3}}+\mathrm{p}_{\#}^{3}}{6^{3}}=\mathrm{N}_{n}+\mathrm{N}_{\#}=\mathrm{N} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{pm}^{3} \mathrm{p}^{3}}{12^{3}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ＂；${ }^{\prime}$ are the num bers of spin－up and spin－dow $n$ particles per unit volum $e, M_{k}$ is the longi－ tudinalm agnetization per unit volume induced by the eld $H$ ，and $N$ is the density of the gas．A short account of the present work was already published in $R$ ef．13］．

W e em phasize that throughout this paper we concentrate on the hydrodynam ic regim e de ned by nite longitudinaland transvense relaxation tim es，$? ;$ ，, which，in principle，satisfy the condition $D_{? ; k} \mathrm{k}^{2} ? ; \mathrm{k} \quad 1$ ，where $\mathrm{k} \quad 1=\mathrm{L}!0$（ L is the size of the system）is the characteristic w avevector ofm agnetization uctuations．The T ！0 lim it of our calculations leads to di erent physics from that of the strict $\mathrm{T}=0,0017 \mathrm{ision}$ less regim e discussed by I ． A．Fom in 14］．Indeed，one should interpret our $T=0$ results as describing uctuations with su ciently long wavelength，and at low but nite tem peratures，T H，such that the hydrodynam ic condition is satis ed（note that $D_{k}$ and ${ }_{k}$ diverge at $T!0$ ）．The interesting issue of the validity of the linearized B oltzm ann equation approach in the context of spin－polarized Ferm i gases at low tem peratures，raised in Refs．［14，15］，is left for future investigations．H ere，we lim it ourselves to the linearized equations，which should be valid even for $T \quad H$ as long as the extemal probe used to drive the system out of equilibrium is weak enough and su ciently slow ly varying in space．

The plan of the paper is as follow s：In Section $⿴ 囗 ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ of the kinetic equations derived $m$ ore generally in A ppendix B．To get a better feeling for the physical content of these equations we begin $w$ th an analysis of the relaxation time approxim ation．We then solve the T ！ 0 equations exactly and recover the variational result ofR ef．［7］．This is followed in Section \＃w ith the discussion of the nite tem perature equations in the lim it of $s m$ all polarization，$H \quad F$ ，and the resulting exact solution for the di usion coe cient．Since the results of Section $\Psi$ Tare valid everyw here in the region

H T our expressions are thus covering the entire range of eld and tem perature values in the degenerate regin e, T F.This analysis uncovers the two crossovers already discussed above. In Sect. $\mathbb{I V}$, our results are com pared w ith the available experim ental data in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e\{ ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ em ixtures $\left.16,17,18,19\right]$ and in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ [6]. The derivation of the selfenergies (w hich are used as an input into the B oltzm ann equations) is relegated to A ppendix A. T he calculations are instructive as they uncover the sim ple phase-space origin of the nite value ofD ? at $T!0$.

Throughout we use the units w ith $k_{B}=0=h=1$, where $k_{B}$ is $B$ oltrm ann constant and $0=2$ is the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of a particle; also we take the volum e of the system, $V=1$.
II. TRANSVERSESPINDIFFUSION AT T ! OAND THE TRANSVERSE RELAXATIONTME

W e begin w ith the kinetic equations for the transverse (o -diagonal in spin space) com ponent of the density $m$ atrix in the rotating fram $e_{1} n_{\# \#}(t ; x ; p)$ (see A ppendix B):

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sim_{" \#}^{(2)++} \quad t ; \Upsilon ; \frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \quad ; \rho\left(n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{p}^{\#}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the chem ical potential and "(2) ${ }_{\text {";\#\# }}^{\left.()^{2}\right)}$ denote the contributions in Eqns. A (A 14) quadratic in the scattering length a; sim ilarly, the transverse self energy,
 bution functions for spin-up and spin-down particles, $n_{p}^{"}$ and $n_{p}^{\#}$, can be approxim ated by ( $p_{;} ;{ }^{\#} \quad \mathrm{p}$ ).

It is easy to verify that the r.h.s. ofEqn. (4) does notm ix di erent Legendre com ponents of the quantity $n_{" \#}\left(t_{;} \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{p}\right)$ as a function of $m$ om entum. Therefore, we $w$ ill search for the solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{n \#}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathbb{Y} ; \mathrm{P})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathbb{Y} ; \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathbb{Y} ; \mathrm{p}) \cos ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is the angle form ed by vector $p$ and the $x$ axis. It tums out that in the $s-w$ ave approxim ation the higher Legendre harm onics do not contribute to $n " \#(t ; x ; p)$ (see below ). Eqn. [5) im plies that, in the rotating fram $e$, the transverse $m$ agnetization and spin current are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{?}(x ; t) \quad M_{?}^{x}(x ; t) \quad i M_{?}^{y}(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} n_{n \#}(t ; x ; p) \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}}={\frac{1}{4^{2}}}_{0}^{Z} \quad g(t ; x ; p) p^{2} d p \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{12^{2} m}{ }_{0}^{Z^{1}} f(t ; x ; p) p^{3} d p ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the indioes $x$ and $y$ refer to spin space.
To nd the transverse spin di usion coe cient D ? one has to calculate the value of the spin current $J_{\text {? }}$ induced by a slow spatial variation of the transverse $m$ agnetization. W e will assum e , w thout loss of generality, that $\tilde{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{M}$ ? is uniform and is directed along the x axis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t ; x ; p)=\left(G_{1}+G_{2} \quad x \text { bott;p); } \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x} M_{?}={\frac{G_{2}}{4^{2}}}_{0}^{Z_{1}} g_{0}(t ; p) p^{2} d p ;\right. \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j G_{1}+G_{2} \quad x j \quad 1$ for any $x$ inside the sam ple.
$T$ he value ofD ? should then be found from the $m$ acroscopic constitutive relation [1020], which can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{?}=\frac{D_{?}}{1 \quad i} \frac{@}{@ x} M_{?} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quantity is referred to as the spin-rotation param eter. T he non-zero im aginary part of the denom inator in (9) re ects the fact that, due to the spin-rotation e ect, the spin current is not parallel to the driving $m$ agnetization gradient.

Substituting Eqns. (图) and (8) in the Boltam ann equation (4) and separating the Legendre harm onics, we obtain two coupled kinetic equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{@}{@ t} g_{0}(t ; p)+\frac{1}{G_{1}+G_{2}} \times \frac{p}{3 m} \frac{@}{@ x} f(t ; x ; p)+\frac{2 a}{m} i_{0}^{Z_{1}} g_{0}(t ; q) q^{2} d q\left(n_{p}^{"} \quad n_{p}^{\#}\right) \\
\frac{4 a}{m} i\left(\mathbb{N}{ }^{4} \quad N_{\#}\right) g_{0}(t ; p)=\quad I_{e e l}\left[g_{0}\right] \quad i \xi_{r}\left[g_{0}\right] \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} f(t ; x ; p)+\frac{p}{m} G_{2} g_{0}(t ; p) \quad \frac{4 a}{m} i\left(\mathbb{N} n \quad N_{\#}\right) f(t ; \Psi ; p)=I_{e l l}[f] \quad i I_{r 1}[f]: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the linear operators representing the \relaxation" and \spin-rotation" contributions to the collision integral are de ned (for an arbitrary function $W$ ( $\rho$ )) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(8 \quad a)^{2}}{m}\left(n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{p}^{\#}\right){ }^{Z} 1 \quad n_{s+\tilde{k}}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{s k}^{\#}+2 n_{s+k}^{"} n_{s k}^{\#}{ }^{i}  \tag{12}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\rho & \rho^{0}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~K}^{2} \mathrm{~W} \quad\left(\rho^{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} k d^{3} \mathrm{p}^{0}}{(2)^{6}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=\left(\rho+p^{0}\right)=2$, and

The sim plest way of understanding the physicalm eaning of the kinetic equations (10, 11) is to appeal to the relaxation tim e approxim ation for the collision integrals, Eq. (14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{rell}}[\mathrm{~W} \quad(\mathrm{p})]=\frac{1}{?} \mathrm{~W} \quad(\mathrm{p}) ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{sr} 1}[\mathrm{~W} \quad(\mathrm{p})]=\quad{ }^{(2)} \mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{p}) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ? is the transverse relaxation time, while ${ }^{(2)}$ can be identi ed as a second-order correction to the precession frequency of the spin-current (see below). Upon integration, Equations $10[11$ ) then read [ 7 ] (see also A ppendix B) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho}{@ t} M_{?}+\frac{\varrho}{@ x} J_{?}=0 ;  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{@}{@ t} J_{?}+\frac{p_{n}^{2}}{5 m^{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d}{d} \frac{@}{@ x} M ?  \tag{17}\\
& i\left({ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(2)}\right) J_{?}=\frac{1}{?} J_{?} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $d=p_{\#}=p_{w}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) }=\frac{4 a}{m}\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{n} \quad N_{\#}\right)=\frac{8 a}{m} M_{k} \text { : } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them ain feature ofEqn. 17) is the precession of the spin current around the olecular eld $\left(^{(1)}+{ }^{(2)}\right.$ ) originating from the exchange contributions to the single-particle self energies. $T$ his does not appear in the equation form agnetization (Eqn. (16)) which only precesses at the bare Larm or frequency. In the simple case taken here, $@ M_{?}=@ t=@ J_{?}=@ x=0$ and the solution to Eqn. (17) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{?}(t)=\frac{D_{?}}{1 i^{~}} \frac{@}{@} M_{?}+J_{? \operatorname{tr}}(0) \exp i\left(\left(^{(1)}+{ }^{(2)}\right) t \frac{t}{?}:\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the steady state current takes the form (G) w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{?} \quad \frac{p_{n}^{2}}{5 m^{2}} \frac{1}{1} d^{5} ? ; \quad=\left({ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(2)}\right) ?: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $J_{\text {? tr }}$ (0) represents the initialvalue oftransient spin current which in our case is independent ofx.)

H aving used the relaxation tim e approxim ation to illustrate the physical content of our kinetic equations $\sqrt{19}\{11)$ for the transverse density $m$ atrix we now proceed w the the exact solution of these equations.

For the purpose of com puting the spin di usion coe cient it is su cient to consider the steady-state solution (5) w ith a tim e-and coordinate-independent functions $f(t ; \mathbb{Y} ; p) \quad f(p)$ and $g_{0}(t ; p) \quad g(p)$. In this case, the rst two term $s$ on the $1 . h . s$. of Eqn. (10) vanish along with the rst term on the l.h.s. of 11) A. $W$ ith the help of Eqns. AS (A6) one can easily check that Eqn. (10) is then solved exactly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\#}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed w ith the solution of the Boltzm ann equation we note that, already on sym m etry grounds, we expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {rell }}[\mathrm{f}] \quad \mathrm{m} a^{2} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{f} ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\text {srl }}[\mathrm{f}] \quad\left(\mathbb{N}^{1=3} \mathrm{a}\right)^{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{f} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]which also follows from explicit integration: after a rather cum bersom e calculation we nd that for any function $W$ (p) that vanishes outside the region $p_{\#}<p<p_{n}$ (as required by (A15)),
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} I_{\text {rell }}[W(p)] p^{3} d p=\frac{a^{2} p_{n}{ }^{4}}{3 \mathrm{~m}}{ }_{d}^{1} F(x ; d) W\left(x p_{n}\right) d x \text {; } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F(x ; d)= & \frac{16}{35} x^{7} \quad 2 x^{4} d^{3} \quad \frac{1}{5} x^{2} d^{5}+x^{2} d^{3}+\frac{3}{35} d^{7}+\frac{1}{5} d^{5}+ \\
& +\frac{2}{35}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x^{2}+d^{2} & \text { 1) } & \left(8 x t d^{2}\right. & \text { 1) }\left(x^{2}+d^{2}\right.
\end{array} \quad 1\right)^{5=2}: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the two term s on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (11) are sm all com pared to the third term on the l.h.s. which is of order $\mathrm{N}^{1=3} \mathrm{aH} f$. Therefore, Eqn. (11) m ay be solved iteratively by substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p}) \quad \frac{i}{4} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\#}\right)\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\#}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the r.h.s. . U pon integration, Eqn. (G) then leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{16^{2} a}{15 m}\left(p_{n}^{5} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}^{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}_{n}^{3} \quad \mathrm{p}_{n}^{3}\right) \quad \begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{R}_{1} & 1 \\
0 & I_{\text {rell }}\left[\mathrm{pg}_{0}(\mathrm{p})\right] \mathrm{p}^{3} \mathrm{dp}
\end{array}  \tag{26}\\
& \begin{array}{cc}
R_{1} & I_{\text {sr1 }}\left[p g_{0}(p)\right] p^{3} d p \\
R_{1} & I_{\text {rell }}\left[\mathrm{pg}_{0}(p)\right] p^{3} d p \\
0 &
\end{array} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In tum, Eqns. (21), 23(24), and 26(27) can now be used to extract the nalexpressions for $D$ ? and, exact in leading order in $N^{1=3} a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{?} \quad \frac{p_{n}^{2}}{5 m^{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d^{5}}{d^{2}} ; \quad \frac{4 a}{m}\left(\mathbb{N}_{n} \quad N_{\#}\right)_{D} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the di usion time $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{D}= & \frac{16 a^{2}}{189 m} \frac{p_{n}^{4}}{1 \quad d} 1 \frac{21}{64} d^{3}(1 \\
(1) & \left.d^{3}\right)\left(5+2 d^{2}+17 d^{4}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{1}{8}\left(2 d^{2}\right. \\
1) & \left(1+{ }^{7} d\left(2 d^{2}\right.\right. \\
1)^{7=2} & :
\end{align*}
$$

This proves that the result originally reported as variational in Ref. 团] is in fact exact in the appropriate lim it (we note that this result has been substantiated earlier through the num erical evaluation of the expressions in Ref. 目]). Equations [28(29) show that the transverse spin di usion coe cient rem ains nite at $T!\quad 0$, as was already discussed above. In particular, in the lim it of low polarizations, when $1 \quad d \quad \mathrm{mHp}^{2} \quad$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{H})=\frac{9}{8} \overline{\mathrm{ma}^{2}} \mathrm{H}^{2} \text { : } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The detailed results for the spin di usion time, $\quad$, are plotted in Fig. 1 (dashed line) together w ith variational bounds, ?, on the relaxation time, ?, which appears in the relaxation tim e approxim ation 21].
III. TRANSVERSESPIN D IFFUSION AT FIN ITE TEM PERATURESAND SMALLPOLARIZATIONS

In this section, we extend our results to the case of nite tem peratures in weakly polarized lim it, within the degenerate regim $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$. The appropriate B oltzm ann equation is derived in the A ppendix B (see Eqn. B33)). The only di erence from the T ! 0 case of Eqns. 5 \{ 14) is in the form of collision term $s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\text {rel }}\left[\begin{array}{l}
W(\rho)]=W \quad(\rho) \quad A\left(\rho ; p^{0}\right) \frac{d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{3}} \quad Z \quad A\left(\theta^{0} ; p\right) W \quad\left(\theta^{0}\right) \frac{d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{3}} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~
\end{array}\right. \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Here
and
(here $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{1=2}$ is the Ferm im om entum of the non-polarized system ). O nce the kinetic equations are solved, the values ofD ? and should again be deduced from Eqns. ( $\$$ ( $\ddagger$ ). W e start w ith show ing that the solution of Eqn. (10) with $@ f=@ x=0$ again takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(p)=n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{p}^{\#}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The di erence from zero-tem perature case (see Eqn. (21)) is that the Ferm ifunctions on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (35) are now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{p}^{\text {;; }}=\frac{h}{h} \frac{1}{\exp \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}} \frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}}{2} \quad+1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

rather than by sim ple step functions.
Since for the function $g_{0}(\mathrm{p})$ given by Eqn. (35) the l.h.s. of Eqn. (19) vanishes, and so does the operator $I_{s r}\left[g_{0}\right]$ on the r.h.s. (see Eqn. (32)) we have to prove only that the function $g_{0}(p)$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {rel }}[g(p)]=0: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eqns. (31) and 33), we rew rite (37) in term s of dim ensionless variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (p) }=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~T}} \quad \bar{T} ; \mathrm{h}=\frac{\mathrm{H}}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
g()\left({ }^{2}+h^{2}+{ }^{2}\right){ }^{Z_{1}} & g()(+)  \tag{39}\\
& \frac{1}{e^{+h}+1}+\frac{1}{e^{h}+1}+\frac{2}{e^{+} 1} d=0 ;
\end{array}
$$

where $g((p)) \quad g(p)$. It is convenient to transform Eqn. (39) into a di erential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\infty}(k) \quad & \quad\left(h^{2}+{ }^{2}\right) g(k)+2^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} k g(k)= \\
= & 2 \operatorname{kgk} \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}}{\cosh ^{2} k}(\sin k h \sinh \quad k \quad h \operatorname{coskh} \cosh \quad k) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

for the function

$$
g(k)={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{i k} g() \cosh -d \text {; }
$$

w ith the nom alization constant kgk given by

$$
\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{l}):
$$

U sing a function $g_{0}(p)$ of the form (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}()=\frac{1}{e^{h}+1} \quad \frac{1}{e^{+h}+1} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding Fourier transform ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(k)=\frac{2 \sinh \frac{h}{2} \operatorname{coskh}}{\cosh k}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, verifying that $g_{0}(k)$ is a solution of Eqn. 49) proves that the function 35) satis es Eqn. 37).

W e can then rew rite Eqn. 11) in the steady state lim it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad\left(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{*}\right) \quad \mathrm{i}^{(1)} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})=\quad \mathrm{I}_{\text {ell }}[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})] \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r} 1}[\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{p})\right]: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is the Fem i velocity and

$$
{ }^{(1)}=2 \mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{H}=(\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{Eqn} \cdot(1 \mathrm{~g})) .
$$

W ith the help of Eqns. (31) and (33) we obtain the explicit expression for the integral of the relaxational term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (43):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z_{1} I_{\text {rell }}[W(p)] p^{3} d p p_{1}^{Z_{1}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} F(T ; H ; p) W \text { (p)dp; } \\
F(T ; H ; p)=\frac{8 m a^{2}}{3} 4 \frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \quad{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{4} H^{2}+{ }^{2} T^{2} 5: \tag{45}
\end{array}
$$

Here the function $W$ ( p ) is assum ed to be localized in the vicinity of the Ferm i surfaces, where $V_{F} j p \quad \mathrm{P} j^{\text {j }} \max (\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H})$.
$T$ his yields the expected estim ate $I_{\text {rell }}[f(p)] \quad m a^{2} f(p) m a x\left(H^{2} ; T^{2}\right)$ for the relaxational term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (43). In the case of spin-rotation term, the functions $n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{p}^{\#}$ in Eqn. (32) carry a factor of $H$, and thus $I_{\text {srl }}[f(p)] \quad(p \text { a })^{2} H f(p) \quad{ }^{(1)} f(p)$.

The ratio of the precession term ${ }^{(1)} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p})$ to the relaxational term is always large for $H^{>} T$, whereas for $H \quad T$ it is of the order of (see Eqn. (L- ) ) and can vary from 1 (high - eld region) to 1 (low - eld region). O $n$ the other hand, the eld and tem perature dependence of relaxational term itself is determ ined by the ratio $H=T$. Below we willuse two di erent $m$ ethods to solve Eqn. (43) in two regions, 1 and $H=T \quad T=F_{F}$, assum ing in both cases that H ; $T$. O W ing to the presence of a large factor $\left(\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{1}$ in the de nition of , the two regions overlap. Since the highly-polarized case H $\quad$ (w th no requirem ent H F ) is described by the $T$ ! 0 lim it of Sect. 四, we w ill thus cover the entire degenerate region ( $T \quad{ }_{F}$ ) for all values of $H$.

H igh $\mp$ ield Behaviour, $\quad 1$ : In this case the relaxationalterm in (4 3 ) is sm all, $I_{\text {rell }}$ [ $f$ ]
${ }^{(1)} \mathrm{f}$, and the -approxim ation estim ate for the spin-rotation param eter (see Eqn. (2Q)) yields $\quad 1$, so that in the spin-space the current $J$ is alm ost penpendicular to the $m$ agnetization gradient. In this lim it the solution of Equation 43), $f_{D}(p)$, can be obtained iteratively in com plete analogy w ith the zero-tem perature case of Sect. $\mathbb{H}$ :

U pon integration this leads to
 ? [W (p)] are de ned by

The values of these functionals at the exact leading-order solution, $n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad \eta_{p}^{\#}$, represent respectively the second order correction to the spin current precession frequency and the \di usion tim e" . Calculating the value of D w ith the help ofEqns. (44 (45) and perform ing explicit integration in (2), we arrive at 22]:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{?} & =\frac{V_{F}^{2} D}{3}=\frac{3 V_{F}^{2}}{8 m a^{2}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}+4{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}\right)}  \tag{49}\\
& =\left({ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(2)}\right)_{D}=\frac{9 H V_{F}}{4 a\left(H^{2}+4^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}\right)}\left[1+\frac{4}{5^{2}} a p_{F}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \ln 2)]:
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst thing to stress is that in the entire high-eld region the value of $D$ ? in 49) di ers from that of the longitudinal spin di usion coe cient [4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{k}}{3} \quad\left(2=8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\quad 1=3) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C ( $1=3$ ) 0:843 is the B rooker\{Sykes coe cient [24]. The two di usion coe cients, $D_{\text {? }}$ and $D_{k}$, are plotted in $F$ ig. 目. N ote that the spin di usion rem ains anisotropic even at T H (provided that 1), in which case we obtain from (49):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{?} \quad \frac{3 v_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}{32 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}} \quad 0: 890 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w th Ref. 11]. The di erence between $D_{\text {? }}$ and $D_{k}$ at 1 re ects the fact that in this regim e the spin rotation e ects dom inate, as can be seen from the leading role of the precession term $i^{(1)} f(p)$ in Eqn. (43). O bviously, no such term enters the B oltzm ann equation for the longitudinalspin di usion, and the longitudinalspin current is by de nition parallel to the gradient of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Thus in spite of the identical tem perature dependence ofD ? and $D_{k}$, the nature of the spin transport di ers in the two cases.

The crossover between $T{ }^{2}$ and $H{ }^{2}$ behaviours of $D$ ? occurs at T H. Eqn. (49) show s that the di usion rate ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ obeys $\backslash M$ atthiessen's nule",

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{D}=\frac{1}{H}+\frac{1}{T} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{9}{8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{T}=\frac{9}{32 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{2}} \quad 0: 890_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be thought of as the relaxation tim es for eld- and tem perature-dom inated collision processes.

W e note that it has been com m only accepted [ $9 \sqrt{9}, 10]$ that the crossover of D ? at H corresponds to transition from anisotropic to isotropic behaviour of spin di usion. W e w ill see that in really this transition occurs at much low er elds, $H \quad$ (apr ) $T^{2}={ }_{F}$.

The dotted line in $F$ ig. 目 corresponds to the quadratic $t$ [6, [0]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{?}=\frac{\nu_{F}^{2}}{8 m a^{2}} \frac{1}{3} H^{2}+C(\quad 1=3) T^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obtained by substitution $\quad$ ! $k$ in Eqn. 53) and is w idely used to describe the tem perature dependence of $D$ ? . O ne can see that while this $t$ provides correct order-of$m$ agnitude estim ate, 边 deviates from the exact result 49) at $\mathrm{T}^{>} \mathrm{H}$.

C rossover and Low $F$ ield B ehaviour, $H=T \quad T={ }_{F}$ : In this region, the spin-rotation term on the r.h.s. of the steady-state equation (43) is sm allin com parison to both the relaxational and precession term $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s r 1}[f(p)] \quad I_{r e l l}[f(p)] ; \quad I_{s r 1}[f(p)] \quad{ }^{(1)} f(p) ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, it m ay be om itted in the leading-order calculation. Furtherm ore, one $m$ ay also set $H=0$ in evaluating the collision term $I_{\text {rell }}$.

In term s of the reduced variables 38), Eqn. 43) then becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{V_{F}}{T^{2}} G_{2} \frac{1}{e^{h}+1} \quad \frac{1}{e^{+h}+1}=\frac{2 a^{2} m}{f()}{ }^{2}+2 \frac{i}{2 a^{2} m^{2}} \text { (1) } \\
& \frac{4 a^{2} m^{Z}}{3}{ }_{1}^{1}(+) f() \frac{1}{e+1}+\frac{1}{e^{+} 1} d \text {; } \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e f(p)) \quad f(p)$ and $h \quad$ 1. Since the $1 . h . s$. of this equation is even in and the integral term on the r.h.s. has the parity of the function $f()$, the inhom ogeneous equation 57) should have an even solution $f()=f(\quad)$. In nding this solution, we willm ake use of the $m$ ethods of $R$ ef. 24] and begin by transform ing (57) into the di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\infty}(\mathrm{k}) \quad 2{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \mathrm{kF}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{{ }^{2} h v_{\mathrm{F}}}{2 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~T}^{2}} G_{2} \frac{\operatorname{coskh}}{\cosh \mathrm{k}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the function

$$
F(k)={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{i k} f() \cosh \frac{-}{2} d \quad ; \quad F(k)=F(k):
$$

Here

$$
{ }^{2}=1 \frac{i^{(1)}}{2 a^{2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~T}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 2 i=^{2}:
$$

The solution of Eqn. (58) can be written as an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{A_{n}} F_{n}(k) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the basis provided by the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}(k)=\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{=2} C_{n}{ }^{+\frac{1}{2}}() ; \quad=\tanh k ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}{ }^{+\frac{1}{2}}()$ are $G$ egenbauer polynom ials $w$ ith a complex index $+1=2$. The functions ${ }_{n}(k)$ satisfy the hom ogeneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\infty}(k) \quad 22(k) \quad(+n)(+n+1) \operatorname{sech}^{2} k \quad(k)=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are orthogonal to each other,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z_{n}}{ }_{n}(k)_{m}(k) \operatorname{sech}^{2} k d k=\frac{2^{2}(2+n+1)}{n!\left(n+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{12}\right.} \mathrm{mn}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n(k)=\left(1^{n} n_{n}(k)\right.$, only the functions $n(k)$ w ith even $n$ contribute to the expansion (5G) of an even function $F$ (k). Substituting the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{3} \frac{\operatorname{coskh}}{\cosh k} \quad \overline{3} \frac{1}{\cosh k}=\operatorname{sech}^{2} k{\underset{n=0}{x_{n}} g_{n}(k) ; ~ ; ~}_{\text {m }} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $m$ ation again has to be perform ed over even $n$ only, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{n}=\frac{5=2\left(n+\quad+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{3 \cos { }_{2} \quad\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(1+\quad+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad \overline{2}\right.} \quad \frac{n}{2}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the r.h.s. of Eqn. 58) we nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{3 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~h}}{2 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~T}^{2}} G_{2} \frac{g_{\mathrm{n}}}{\frac{2}{3}+(+\mathrm{n})(+\mathrm{n}+1)} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for even $n$. A s was already m entioned above, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$ for odd n .
The transverse spin current (7) can now be evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{?}=\frac{T p_{F}^{3}}{12^{2}}{ }_{1}^{{ }_{1}} \frac{F(k)}{\cosh k} d k=\frac{T p_{F}^{3}}{12^{2}}{ }_{n=0}^{X^{1}} F_{n} a_{n} ;  \tag{66}\\
& a_{n} \quad{ }^{Z_{1}} \frac{n(k)}{\cosh k} d k=  \tag{67}\\
& =\frac{(+1)(2+n+1)}{\mathrm{n}!\cos _{\overline{2}}(2+1)\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}\right)\left(+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+1\right)\left(\overline{2}+\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2} \quad \overline{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for even $n$, and $a_{n}=0$ for odd n. Finally, Eqns. (G) ( G) and (35) yield the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{D_{?}}{1 i_{i}} & ={\frac{V_{F} T}{3 H G_{2}}}_{n=0}^{X} F_{n} a_{n}= \\
& =\frac{1}{4}{\frac{V_{F}^{2}}{m a^{2} T^{2}}}_{n=0}^{X} \frac{g_{n} a_{n}}{\frac{2}{3}+(+n)(+n+1)} ; \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

from which one can extract $D$ ? and spin-rotation param eter (see Fig.[ $\beta$ ). In the high- eld lim it 1, Eqn. (6\$) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{?} \quad 0: 890 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad=\frac{9}{8^{2}} \quad 1 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w ith Eqns. (52) and (50), while in the low - eld case of 1 we obtain the isotropic result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{?}=D_{k} ; \quad 0: 139 \quad 1: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that while the value ofD ? in (70) can be obtained from the -approxim ation form ula (20) with $?=k$ (see Eqn. (51)), spin-rotation param eter is not given by ${ }^{(1)}{ }_{k}$.

The crossover between Eqns. (69) and (79) at 1 cannot be properly accounted for w ithin the relaxation-tim e approxim ation (Eqns. (2G)) because the relaxation operator $I_{\text {rell }}$ on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (43) (see also Eqns. 44,45)) does not depend on the magnetic eld for H T. This is in contrast w ith the interpretation of the low eld crossover as a result of the com petition between tw o di erent term sin the relaxationalpart of the collision operator 25]. As ilhustrated in Fig. [4, what changes at 1 is the form of the solution, $f(p)$, to the steady-state equation (43). It is this change in $f(p)$ which is not accounted for w ithin the relaxation tim e approxim ation, hence the absence of the second crossover in that approach 10].

A lthough quantitatively our considerations apply only to the degenerate case ( $\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{F}$ ), there is no reason to doubt that the crossover at

1 should also be present in the case of $H^{>}(\mathrm{ap})_{F}$ and $T^{>}{ }_{F}$, in the presence of higher partial waves, or in a system w ith stronger interactions. Since the separation of scales of the tw o param eters is provided by the factor $(F=T)=\left(a p_{F}\right) \quad 1$ in Equation (1), in both of these cases the interm ediate region between the two crossovers should shrink. In the next section, we w ill discuss the experim ental results that support this point of view .

## IV.DISCUSSION OFEXPERIMENTALRESULTS

Som e com $m$ ents are in order conceming the possible relevance of our ndings to experi$m$ ent. Even though, strictly speaking, our analysis does not apply to the strongly interacting case, it is worth noting that the available experim ental data in weakly polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ [6] deviate system atically from the sim ple theoretical $t$ which uses a single adjustable param eter ( $T_{a}$ in [6]) to cover the entire tem perature range including both $>1$ and $<1$. $M$ uch better agreem ent is obtained by restricting the $t$ to the ${ }^{>} 1$ region $w$ ith an overall prefactor sm aller than the one im plied by tting to the value of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in the low eld, high tem perature regim e (in re-analyzing the data we use the value A $\quad 7: 7 \quad 10{ }^{s} \mathrm{sec}=\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ instead of 5:8 $1 \$^{5} \mathrm{sec}=\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}$ for the inverse overallprefactor in Eqn. (2) ofR ef. 园]; we also take the value 12.5 mK instead of 16.4 mK for the \anisotropy tem perature" $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ). This is consistent w th our picture, w th $\mathrm{D}_{\text {? }}\left\langle\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$ for ${ }^{>} 1$ (i.e. ${ }^{>}$1) and T H. In addition, although it appears that the region betw een the two crossovers cannot be clearly identi ed \{ m ost likely due to large Ferm i liquid renorm alization e ects $\{$ the isotropic lim it is indeed reached in the regim e 1 [阴]. W e also note the system atic deviations from the quadratic $t$ of Ref. [10] visible in the m easurem ents of $D$ ? in a $6: 4 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left\{{ }^{4} \mathrm{Hem}\right.$ ixture [16] in the interm ediate region betw een $T \quad T_{a}$ and j j 1 . H ow ever, the interactions in this system are still too strong for our theory to be applicable. The fact that in both cases of $R$ efs. [G] and [16] the interm ediate region appears to be rather narrow is in line w ith our expectations
(see Sect. \#). In principle, our calculations should be $m$ ore relevant to the $m$ easurem ents in dilute ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\left\{{ }^{4} \mathrm{He} m\right.$ ixtures. A though in the available data (for : $18 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ) the crossover to the isotropic lim it occurs for $\quad 1 \mathrm{w}$ ith $H \quad T$, the tem perature is not su ciently far below F and, m oreover, the polarization is som ew hat high, $25 \%$. Nevertheless, for $>1$ $D_{?}$ / $D_{k} \mathrm{~W}$ ith the ratio $\mathrm{D}_{?}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}$ slightly less than unity [17,18]. A lso, them easured T dependence of the \spin-rotation" param eter, , near the crossover to the isotropic ( $\backslash$ low - eld") lim it is qualitatively consistent w ith our results in both the data of $R$ eference 17] and those obtained in the degenerate regim e ofm ore concentrated solutions ( $2: 6 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ) 19] w ith low er polarization ( $2 \%$ ). In both situations, the crossover to the isotropic regim e can be clearly distinguished. H ow ever, in the form er case the polarization was again rather high ( $25 \%$ ) and the \low - eld" crossover itself occurs beyond the degenerate lim it. A lso, there is a large discrepancy in the $m$ agnitude of the shiff of $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ in the latter case (see Fig . 5) which can be attributed to Ferm i liquid renorm alizations anticipated in high concentration solutions. The deviations of at 1 from the predictions of $R e f[9]$ are also seen in the data for the dilute (:05\% and :1\% ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ) m ixtures of Ref. [16], in which case due to the high polarization 1 corresponds to $T \quad$. A gain, our results do not apply quantitatively to this situation. In addition, the data points are som ew hat too scattered for a clear identi cation of the crossover. To shanpen the identi cation of two crossovers the data of reference 19] should be extended to low er tem peratures (to study the $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T} \quad 1$ behaviour).$Q$ uantitative com parisons could be $m$ ade only in the $m$ ore dihute case of references [1716] where lower eld and lower tem perature experim ents should be perform ed.
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## APPENDIX A: ON THEDYNAM ICSOFQUASIPARTICLES IN A SPIN POLARIZED FERMIGAS

In this A ppendix, we derive the im aginary parts of quasiparticle selfenergies at $T=0$ which are used as input into the kinetic equations.

W e start w ith a spin-polarized gas ofneutral ferm ions interacting via a repulsive potential U ( $\mathrm{j} x \quad x^{0} j$ ). In the dilute $\lim$ it, $N^{1=3} r_{0} \quad 1$, where $r_{0}$ is the range of the potential, the leading contribution com es from the s-w ave scattering between spin-up and spin-down particles. Then the natural sm all param eter is provided by $N^{1=3} a$, where $a$ is the $s-w$ ave scattering length. W e w ill keep term sup to the second order in $N^{1=3}$ a; within this accuracy, the scattering is fully described by a two-body T m atrix which obeys the Bethe-Salpeter equation:
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}^{" \#}(\tilde{K})=1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}+\mathfrak{K}}^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s} \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}}^{\#} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ and $n_{p}^{\#}$ are the Ferm idistribution functions for spin-up and spin-dow $n$ particles respectively, and ( $\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}$ ) is the 4 m om entum of the center of m ass. The m om enta of the incom ing (outgoing) particles ares 1 , (s R). Equation A1) is solved by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{k}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{3}} ; \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a straightforw ard generalization of a well-known result 26] for the case of a spinpolarized system .

To obtain the self energies of spin-up ( " $(!; p))$ and spin-down ( $\# \#(!; p)$ ) particles, one has to perform the integration of the $T \mathrm{~m}$ atrix m ultiplied by the single-particle G reen's function, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 6. Wew ill rst consider the on-shellselfenergies $n "(!=n(9) ; p)$ and \#\# (! = \# $(\rho) ; p)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(\rho)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \quad \frac{1}{2} H \quad ; \quad \#(\rho)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} H \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the single-particle energies. To second order in aN ${ }^{1=3}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { "" }(\mathrm{Q}) \quad{ }_{2}{ }_{2}(\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{\rho}) ; \mathrm{p})=\frac{4 \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~N}_{\#}+ \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { \#\# ( } \varphi \text { ) } \quad \text { \# }(\#(\rho) ; \rho)=\frac{4 \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~N}_{n}+ \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the center-ofm ass $m$ om entum $s$ that enters the de nition of ${ }^{\prime \prime}(\mathbb{K})(s e e ~ E q n$. A2)) is equal to $\left(p+p^{0}\right)=2$.

Separating real and im aginary parts in Eqn. A5) yields
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{2} \frac{\left(\rho \quad p^{0}\right)^{2}}{4} \frac{d^{3} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~d}^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{6}} \text {; } \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

smilar equations with "\$ \# hold for Re \#\# and Im \#\#. In the non-polarized case, when $n_{p}^{\prime \prime}=n_{p}^{\#}$, the expressions A5 (A8) reduce to the fam iliar form ulae for the selfenergy 26,27].

At $T=0$, it is possible to perform the integration in Eqn. A8) (and in the sim ilar expression for Im \#\#) explicitly. A fter a cum bersom e calculation we obtain the follow ing results for the im aginary parts of self energies:

Atq> p",

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Im "n (q) }=\frac{a^{2}}{15 q m} 5 q^{2} p_{\#}^{3} \quad 2 q^{5} \quad 5 p^{2} p_{\#}^{3}+ \\
& +2\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}+\mathrm{pu}^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
0
\end{array}+\mathrm{p}_{n}^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{5=2^{1}} \text {; }  \tag{A9}\\
& \operatorname{Im} \# \#(q)=\frac{a^{2}}{15 q m} 5 q^{2} p_{n}{ }^{3} \quad 3 p^{5}+p_{\#}^{5} \quad 5 p^{2} p_{\#}{ }^{3}+ \\
& +2\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}+\mathrm{pu}^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{pu}^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{5=2^{i}}: \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

In the interm ediate region $\mathrm{p}_{\#}<\mathrm{q}<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+2\left(q^{2} \quad p^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}\right) \quad q q p^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}\right)^{5=2^{i}} \text {; }  \tag{A11}\\
& \operatorname{Im}_{\# \#}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{a^{2}}{15 \mathrm{qm}^{h}} 2 q^{5} 5 \mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{p}^{3}+3 \mathrm{p}^{5}{ }^{5^{i}}: \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

A nd, nally, in the innem ost region $\mathrm{q}<\mathrm{p}$ \#,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Im "n (q) }=\frac{a^{2}}{60 q^{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} 7 \mathrm{q}^{5} \quad 20 \mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{p}_{\#}^{2} \quad 10 \mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{p}^{2} \quad 15 \mathrm{qp}^{4}+30 \mathrm{qp}_{\#}{ }^{2} \mathrm{p}_{n}{ }^{2}+ \\
& \left.+8\left(q^{2} \quad p^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}\right) \quad \text { qq } p^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}\right)^{5=2^{i}} \text {; }  \tag{A13}\\
& \operatorname{Im}_{\# \#}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{~m}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\#}^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{2} \text { : } \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations A 9) and A14) coincide w ith those reported in Ref. (8]. The expressions A10 ( A13) are presented here for the rst time.

The singularity at $q^{2}=p_{n}{ }^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}$, which is present at Eqns. Ag A10) corresponds to a process in which an incom ing particle excites a particle of opposite spin from within the Ferm isphere. At $q>\left(p_{n}^{2}+p_{\#}^{2}\right)^{1=2}$, the incom ing particle has enough energy to excite any particle of opposite spin. Geom etrically, this singularity corresponds to the exterior tangency of the shifted Ferm ispheres, and it is already present in the unpolarized case 26].

In the spin-polarized case there is one $m$ ore singularity at $q^{2}=p_{n}{ }^{2} \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (see Eqns. (A11) and A 13)), associated w th the interior tangency betw een the tw o Ferm ispheres. In this case, the corresponding virtual process is a recom bination of the incom ing spin-up hole, followed by an excitation of spin-down particle; at $q<\left(p_{n}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{P}^{2}\right)^{1=2}$, the hole has enough energy to excite any of the spin-down particles from within the spin-down Ferm isphere.

The functions Im "" (q) and Im \#\# (q) change their signs at $q=p_{n}$ and $q=p_{\#}$ respectively. The new feature of the above results, relevant for the transverse spin dynam ics, is that, due to the availability of the scattering phase space betw een the tw o Ferm isurfaces, the lifetim es of spin-dow $n$ particles and spin-up holes in the interm ediate region $p_{\#}<q<p_{v}$ are nite.

The transverse spin processes are described by the $W$ igner transform of the transverse com ponent of the density matrix, $n " \#(t ; x ; q)$, which obeys the Boltzm ann equation of a peculiar type (see Sect. 凹(I) . In the appropriate linearized approxim ation su cient for the calculation of transport coe cients the transverse com ponents decouple from the longitudinal ones, enabling one to discuss the transverse and longitudinal spin dynam ics separately [20]. By rotational sym $m$ etry, the transverse deviations from the equilibrium (uniform ) polarization $m$ ust be sm all at any point in $p$ space, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{n \#}(\mathrm{t} ; \Upsilon ; q) \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\#}: \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his also respects the fact that the eigenvalues of the density $m$ atrix are betw een zero and unity.

Thus, in a non-equilibrium state the value of $n_{" \#}\left(t ;{ }^{\boldsymbol{x}} ; \mathbb{q}\right)$ has to di er from zero over a nite interval of values of $q$ w ithin the interm ediate region $p_{\#}<q<p_{n}$. The fact that the quasiparticle relaxation rates A 11 (A12) also di er from zero within this region results in the nite relaxation time ? of the transverse spin current even at T ! 0 (see Sect. \#).

We note that from the view point of longitudinal spin dynam ics the system behaves as a conventional Ferm i liquid: the non-equilibrium term $s$ in the diagonal com ponents of density $m$ atrix are con ned to the respective Ferm ispheres, where the im aginary parts of the quasiparticle spectrum vanish. A s expected [28], the im aginary part of the o -shell self energy at the Ferm isurface behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} n n\left(n\left(p_{n}\right)+!; p_{n}\right) \quad \operatorname{sign}!\frac{a^{2}}{m} \frac{p_{\#}}{p_{n}}!^{2}: \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

```
APPENDIX B:DERIVATION OF BOLTZMANN EQUATIONS
```

In this A ppendix, we derive the kinetic equation for transverse spin processes using the K eldysh approach 29]. We w ill consider tim e dependent $G$ reen's functions of the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{iG}_{12}=\mathrm{hT} \wedge_{1} \wedge_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{iG}_{12}^{++}=\mathrm{hr}^{\wedge}{ }_{1} \wedge_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} ; \\
& \mathrm{i} \mathrm{G}_{12}^{+}=\mathrm{h}^{\wedge}{ }_{1} \wedge_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{iG}_{1}^{+}=\mathrm{h}_{2}^{\wedge}{ }_{2}^{\wedge}{ }_{1} \mathrm{i} ; \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfy the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{iG}_{12}+\mathrm{iG}_{12}^{++} \quad \mathrm{iG}_{12}^{+} \quad \mathrm{iG}_{12}^{+}=0: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the indices $1 ; 2$ stand for the two space-tim e argum ents $X_{i}=\left(t_{i} ; x_{i}\right), T$ and $T$ are the operators of chronological and anti-chronological ordering respectively, and the average h i is taken over the given non-equilibrium state.

In the absence of the interaction, the functions B1) obey the follow ing equations of m otion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{01}^{1} \mathrm{G}_{12}^{(0) \mathrm{ab}} & ={ }_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{1} & \left.\mathrm{X}_{2}\right) ; \\
\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{02}^{1} \quad \mathrm{G}_{12}^{(0)} \mathrm{ab} & ={\underset{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{ab}}} \quad\binom{\mathrm{X}_{1}}{\mathrm{X}_{2}} ;
\end{array},\right. \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the indices a;b accept values + or and ${\underset{z}{e}}_{a b}$ is a Paulim atrix: $z_{z}={ }_{z}^{++}=1$, $z^{+}={\underset{z}{+}}_{+}=0$. Here and below we presum e that the sum should be taken over the repeated Latin or $G$ reek (i.e. spin) indioes. The operator $\hat{G}_{01}{ }^{1}$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{01}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho \mathrm{t}_{1}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\right) \quad+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{z}: \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The G reen's functions of the interacting system satisfy the D yson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{G}_{12}^{(0) \mathrm{ab}}+{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{G}_{13}^{(0) \mathrm{ac}}{\underset{3}{\mathrm{od}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{G}_{42}^{\mathrm{db}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{X}_{4} ; ~ ; ~}_{\text {; }} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{G}_{12}^{(0) \mathrm{ab}}+{ }^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{G}_{13}^{\mathrm{ac}}{\underset{34}{\mathrm{~cd}} \mathrm{G}_{42}^{(0) \mathrm{db}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{X}_{4} .}^{\text {and }} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}^{a b}$ are the proper self-energies.
Our aim is to exam ine the equation of $m$ otion for $G_{1 " 2 \#}^{+}$which is the o -diagonal com ponent of the density $m$ atrix in spin-space which is ultim ately related to the transverse magnetization and associated spin current (see Eqns. 6 \{ 7) ). To derive this equation we act on Eqns. B6) and $\overline{B 7}$ ) w ith the operators $\hat{G}_{01 " 1}^{1}$, and $\hat{G}_{02 \# \#}^{1}$, respectively, and subtract the resulting equations from one another to obtain,
where $X$ and $X^{0}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) ; \quad X^{0}=X_{1} \quad X_{2}: \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will be interested in departures from equilibrium which are (i) su ciently sm all so that the equations can be linearized and (ii) slow ly varying as functions of X so that only leading term $s$ in the gradient expansion are required. T he rst approxim ation im plies that
 transverse $G$ reen's function $G_{3 " 4 \# ;}^{a b}$; by sym m etry, the departures of the diagonal functions $G_{1 " 2 " r}^{a b}, G_{1 \# 2 \# r}^{a b} \quad{ }_{1 " 2 "}^{a b}$ and $\underset{1 \# 2 \#}{\mathrm{ab}}$ from their equilibrium form are already quadratic in $G_{3 " 4 \#}^{a b}$ resulting in the decoupling of the transverse and longitudinal B oltzm ann equations in the linearized lim it. The second approxim ation requires that, in the rotating fram e, the char-
 $m$ icroscopic scales in the system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{\#}} ; \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{p}_{\#}^{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{n} \mathrm{p}} ; \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{H}}: \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The separation of scales discussed above is im plem ented as usual by rst transform ing all quantities into the rotating fram e and then perform ing the gradient expansion in the W igner transform. T he latter is de ned as the Fourier transform $w$ ith respect to the relative coordinate, $\mathrm{X}^{0}$ (here $\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ is an arbitrary function) :

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(X_{1} ; X_{2}\right)= & { }^{Z} e^{i P} X^{0} F(X ; P) \frac{d^{4} P}{(2)^{4}} ; ; \\
F(X ; P)= & e^{i P} X^{0} F\left(X+\frac{1}{2} X^{0} ; X \quad \frac{1}{2} X^{0}\right) d^{4} X^{0} ;  \tag{B11}\\
& P=(!; P) ; P \quad X=!t^{0} \quad p x^{0}:
\end{align*}
$$

In transform ing into the rotating fram e all transverse self energies and $G$ reen's functions acquire Larm or precession phase factors,

For exam ple, for the m ixed Fourier transform (only tim e argum ents are shown) of a term $K$ containing $G_{1 " 2 \# \prime}^{a b}$,

$$
K\left(t_{1} ; t_{2}\right)={ }^{z} F\left(t_{1} \quad \frac{t}{\frac{1}{2}} ; t_{3} \quad t_{4} ; t_{4} \quad t\right) G_{3^{n} 4 \#}^{a b} d t_{3} d t_{4}
$$

one obtains,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(!; t)=e^{i H} t K(!; t)= \\
= & e^{\text {iH } t} F \quad!\quad \frac{1}{2} H ;!\quad!;!+\frac{1}{2} H \quad G_{n \#}^{a b}(!\quad ; t) \frac{d!^{0}}{2}: \tag{B13}
\end{align*}
$$

For the purposes of deriving the kinetic equations, the rotating fram e function , $\mathrm{K}(!; \mathrm{t})$, will then be treated as a slow ly varying in the center-offm ass tim e variable, $t$.

W th the help of Eqns. B11( B13 $^{3}$ ) the kinetic equation B8) can be rew ritten as:
where $P=\left(!\frac{1}{2} H ; p\right) . N$ ote that in Eqn. B14), the dependence on $X$ only appears in the transverse $G$ reen functions and selfenergies as expected in the linearized lim it.
$T$ he various selfenergies on the right hand side of Eqn. (B14) are related to the $T$ $m$ atrioes as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 7 ; since both ends of the interaction line bear the sam e sign ( + or ), there are only four kinds of the $T$ matrioes involved ( $T, T{ }^{+}, T^{+}$and $T^{++}$, see Fig. (]). All T m atrioes are determ ined by B ethe-Salpeter equations which, to low est order
in the transverse $G$ reen functions, conserve the spin of each of the incom ing particles. It is convenient to rew rite the corresponding integralequations by separating out the $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{m}$ atrioes involving independent propagation on each of the K eldysh contours, $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}$; the full $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{ab}}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix is then obtained by connecting factors of $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}$by the appropriate $\mathrm{G}^{+}$ or $\mathrm{G}^{+}$insertions (see Fig. 回).

Thus $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}$are determ ined by the Bethe-Salpeter equations w ith no $\mathrm{G}{ }^{+}$or $\mathrm{G}^{+}$interm ediate lines. It is easy to see that, for $\mathrm{T}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad\left(\hat{\rho}_{1} ; \hat{\rho}_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(\hat{1}_{1} ; \dot{\rho}_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right) ; \tag{B15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T\left(\rho_{1} ; \rho_{2} ;\left(S_{0} ; s\right)\right)$ is the usualequilibrium $T$ m atrix calculated in A ppendix A (see Eqn. (A3)); while at $T \in 0$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{0} \underset{2}{\left(\rho_{1} ; p_{2} ;\left(s_{0} ; s\right)\right)}=\frac{4 a}{m} \frac{4 a^{2}}{m} \\
& z^{2} P^{4} \frac{N^{\prime \#}(\mathbb{K})}{2 s_{0}} \quad s^{2}=m+2 \quad k^{2}=m \quad \frac{m}{p_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{k}^{2} 5 \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}}+ \tag{B16}
\end{align*}
$$

(see Equation AZ)). Sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}\left(\mathrm{\rho}_{1} ; \rho_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \boldsymbol{s}\right)\right)=\quad \mathrm{T}_{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{\rho}_{1} ;{\rho_{2}}_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \boldsymbol{s}\right)\right) \quad: \tag{B17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the ( + ) insertions cannot modify the physical scattering lengthat and thus, as can be seen from $F$ ig. $8^{8} \mathrm{~b}$, to second order in $\left(\mathbb{N}^{1=3}\right.$ a), the T m atrices T and $\mathrm{T}^{++}$ are determ ined by the rst term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T} \quad\left(\rho_{1} ; \rho_{2} ; ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right)=\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad\left(\rho_{1} ; \rho_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{T}^{++}\left(\rho_{1} ; \rho_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right)=\quad \mathrm{T}_{0} \quad\left(\rho_{1} ; \beta_{2} ;\left(\mathrm{s}_{0} ; \mathrm{s}\right)\right) \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]Sim ilarly, to second order in the scattering length, $T+$ is determ ined by the rst diagram in F ig. 8 b, proportional to an integral of $\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\# \#}^{+} \mathrm{G}_{n}{ }^{+} \mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}$.

Finally, the selfenergies required in the derivation of the kinetic equations can now be calculated from $F$ ig. 7. For exam ple, the real and im aginary parts of the on-shell selfenergies ( F ig. 7 ) "" ( $(\mathrm{p})$; p ) are given by Eqns. A7) and A8) (see Eqn. B18)); sim ilar equations hold for \#\# ( $n(p) ; p) . M$ oreover,

We are now in the position to reexpress (B14) as a kinetic equation for the transverse com ponent of the density $m$ atrix (in the rotating fram e),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{n \#}(\mathrm{t} ; x ; \varphi)=\stackrel{\mathrm{z}}{\text { i } G_{n \#}^{+}(X ; P) \frac{d!}{2}: ~} \tag{B19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e rst note that the expansion of the 0 -diagonal self energies $\quad{ }^{+}$in powers of $\mathrm{N}^{1=3} \mathrm{a}$ startsw ith the term sproportional to $\left(\mathbb{N}^{1=3}\right.$ a) (see Figs. 7 \{ 8). In the diagonalselfenergies, and ${ }^{++}$, we separate the rst-order contributions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{{ }_{\#}^{(1)}}{\sim}(X ; P)=\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\#}{(1)++}(X ; P)=\frac{4 a^{z}}{m} \quad n_{\# \#}\left(t ; x ; p^{0}\right) \frac{d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{3}} \text {; } \tag{B20}
\end{align*}
$$

and $m$ ove the corresponding term $s$ in Eqn. B14). to the l.h.s.. Expanding the G reen's functions $G_{\# \#}^{+}$and "n" $^{+}$w th the help of Dyson's equation B6) and Eqn. B20), we nd, to linear order in $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t} ; \times ; \mathrm{p}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\underset{n_{\#}^{(1)}}{\sim}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{G}_{\# \#}^{+}\left(\mathrm{P}_{+}\right)+\mathrm{G}_{n \prime}^{+}(\mathrm{P})\right)_{n \#}^{\sim}{ }_{n}^{(1)++}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{P})= \tag{B21}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we also used the follow ing form ulae for the non-interacting longitudinal $G$ reen's functions:

$$
\mathrm{G}_{n "}^{(0)}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{G}_{n "}^{(0)++}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{!\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+}+i\left(2 n_{\mathrm{p}}^{"} \quad 1\right) \quad!\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\quad \text {; }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{n "}^{(0)+}(\mathrm{P})=2 i(1 \quad \underset{p}{\eta})\left(!\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\right) ;  \tag{B22}\\
& G_{n "}^{(0)}+(P)=2 \operatorname{in}_{p}^{\prime \prime}\left(!\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for the \#\# com ponents, in which case $P$ is replaced by $P_{+}$. K eeping the term $s$ of up to the second power of $\mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{F}}$, we thus re-w rite Eqn. B14) as
where to the leading order in interaction we can replace the transverse $G$ reen's functions on the r.h.s. with

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{n \#}^{(0)+}(X ; P)= & G_{" \#}^{(0)+} \quad(X ; P)=G_{n \#}^{(0)++}(X ; P)=G_{" \#}^{(0)} \quad(X ; P)= \\
& =2 \text { in"\# }(t ; x ; P)\left(!\frac{p^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\right): \tag{B24}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, using also Eqns. B20) and B22), we nd upon integrating Eqn. B23) over!:

Above, $I_{d}\left[n_{" \#}(t ; x ; p)\right]$ and $I_{e}\left[n_{n \#}(t ; x ; p)\right]$ are the direct and exchange contributions to the collision integral, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\underset{\# \#}{(2)++}(\#(\mathrm{p}) ; \mathrm{p})+\underset{\# \#}{+}(\#(\mathrm{p}) ; \mathrm{p})^{\circ} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}_{\#}(\mathrm{t} ; \underset{\sim}{ } ; \mathrm{p}) \tag{B26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{e}\left[n_{n \#}(t ; x ; p)\right]=i^{\sim}{ }_{\# \#}^{+}\left(X ; \frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \quad ; p\right)\left(r_{p}^{\prime \prime}+n_{p}^{\#} \quad 1\right)+ \tag{B27}
\end{align*}
$$

where the superscript (2) again im plies that only the quadratic term $s$ in a should be included in the corresponding self-energies (we have used the notation A4)).

Equations B23 (B27) are valid at any tem perature; at T ! 0, the kinetic equation can be simpli ed further by assum ing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{n \#}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathbb{\Upsilon} ; \mathrm{p})=0 ; \mathrm{p}<\mathrm{p}_{\#} \text { or } \mathrm{p}>\mathrm{p}_{n} ; \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ansatz is consistent with the linearized equation: to see this, rst note that Eqns. (B22), (B24), and B28) together with energy conservation in the corresponding diagram s of F igs. $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{B} \mathrm{b}$ im ply

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sim_{" \#}^{+}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}\right. & ; p)=0 ; p>p ; \\
\sim_{" \#}^{+}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}\right. & ; p)=0 ; p<\mathbb{F}: \tag{B29}
\end{array}
$$

From the transverse com ponent of the selfenergy identity 2g],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{++}{12}+12+{ }_{12}^{+}+\stackrel{+}{12}=0 \tag{B30}
\end{equation*}
$$

it then follow s that the exchange contribution to the collision integral B27) indeed vanishes outside of the interm ediate region, $\mathrm{p}_{\#}<\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{\prime}}$ (the direct term already vanishes by B28)).

Sim ilarly, in the case of longitudinal self energies, energy conservation yields:
which, w th the help of (30) and B18) lead to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \#_{\#}^{+}(\#(p) ; p)=2 \mathrm{i} \mathrm{Im}_{\# \#}(\#(\mathrm{p}) ; \mathrm{p}) ; \mathrm{p}<\mathrm{p}_{\#} \text { : } \tag{B31}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations B29 (B31) then enable us to rew rite the Boltzm ann equation at $T$ ! 0 in the form (4).

The nite tem perature Boltzm ann equation is derived by substituting the explicit expressions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\#_{\#}^{+}(\#(\rho) ; p)=2 i \frac{(4 a)^{2}}{m} n_{s k}^{\#} n_{s+\mathfrak{k}}^{" \prime} n_{p^{0}}^{" \prime} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{4}(\rho) p^{0}\right)^{2} \quad k^{2} \frac{d^{3} k d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

into B23 (B27) (here and below $\left.s=\left(\rho+p^{0}\right)=2\right)$ to obtain the equation valid at any tem perature and $m$ agnetic eld in the dihute $s w a v e \lim$ it:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { z i } \frac{4 a}{m} \quad B\left(\rho ; p^{0}\right) \quad\left(n_{p^{0}}^{\prime \prime} \quad{\underset{p}{p}}_{\#}^{\#}\right) \quad \text { A }\left(\rho ; p^{0}\right) \frac{d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{3}} \\
& { }^{2} \quad i \frac{4 a}{m} \quad B\left(\rho^{0} ; \theta\right)\left(n_{p}^{\prime \prime} \quad n_{p}^{\#}\right) \quad A\left(\rho^{0} ; \theta\right) \quad n " \#\left(t ; x ; \dot{\theta}^{0}\right) \frac{d^{3} p^{0}}{(2)^{3}}: \tag{B33}
\end{align*}
$$

Here
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\theta ; \varphi^{0}\right)=\frac{(8 a)^{2}}{m} P \frac{n_{s+K}^{"}+n_{s ~ k}^{\#}}{\left(\rho \quad \rho^{0}\right)^{2}} 4 k^{2} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}}: \tag{B35}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that Eqns. B33 (B35) are in com plete agreem ent with the appropriate lim it (see inequalities B1G) above) of the kinetic equation of $R e f$. 30 . Upon integration of Eqn .

[^3]B33) over the m om entum space the two term s on the r.h.s. (\direct" and \exchange" term s) cancel each other, and, according to Eqns. (6: $[7$ ), we are left as expected w th the continuity equation (18).

Elem entary integration in Eqn. B34) leads to the follow ing expression for A $\left(\rho ; 0^{\circ}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\frac{A\left(\rho ; \rho^{0}\right)}{2 a^{2} T}=\frac{2}{s} \frac{1}{1 \quad \exp (2 w)_{\#}} \ln \frac{1+\exp (s u}{1+\exp (s u} \quad w+h\right) \quad w h\right) \\
& \ln \frac{1+\exp (s u+w+h)}{1+\exp \left(s u+w_{n}+h\right)}+ \tag{B36}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the follow ing notation:

$$
u=\frac{j p p^{0} j}{2 m T} ; \quad w=\frac{\left(p^{2}+p^{02}\right) 4 m}{4 m T} ; \quad h=\frac{H}{2 T}:
$$

In the lim it of low tem peratures and sm all polarizations, when $\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}$, only the electrons from the vicinity of the Fem ispheres $m$ ay participate in the scattering. Therefore, in the expression B36) we may assume that p $\quad \mathrm{p} \quad(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~F}=2$ and $\mathrm{w}<\mathrm{max}(\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H})=\mathrm{T}$. M oreover, it is easy to check that the contribution of badkward and forw ard scattering regions (w here the product su $m$ ay be arbitrarily sm all) is negligible, so one can also assum e that suT $\max (\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H})$.

W ith this assumptions, we obtain Eqn. (33) for A $\left(p ; p^{0}\right)$. The quantity B $\left(\rho ; p^{0}\right)$ at T ; H is given by Eqn. 34).
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## FIG URES

FIG. 1. The upper and lower bounds of the relaxation time functional ? as functions of $d=p_{\#}=p_{n}$. Solid lines corresp ond to the functions $a^{2} p_{n}{ }^{4} \quad(d)=m$. The dashed line represents the di usion time $\quad$ (see Eqn. 29)).

FIG.2. Spin di usion coe cient in the high-eld region $H \quad>\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{T}$ he transverse spin diffiusion coe cient $D$ ? (Eqn. 49)), the longitudinal coe cient $D{ }_{k}$, and the simple $t$ [0,10] $1=D_{?}^{(0)}+1=D_{k} \quad$ (Eqn. 55)) for $D_{\text {? }} \quad\left(D_{?}^{(0)}=3 v_{F}^{2}=8 \mathrm{ma}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{2}\right.$ is the lim iting value of D ? at T ! 0) are represented by the solid, dashed and dotted lines, respectively.

FIG. 3. The crossover at $T \quad T_{C}=\frac{P}{H_{F}=a_{F}}$ (i.e. 1). Fig. $\beta$ a show $s$ the ratio $D_{?}=D_{k}$. The spin-rotation param eter is plotted in Fig. 3 b.

FIG.4. The functions $f(p)$ that solve the steady-state equation 43) in the isotropic lim it 1 (solid line) and in the interm ediate regim $e, \quad 1$ and $H \quad T$ (dashed line) at the sam $e$ tem perature T .

F IG . 5. T he low - eld behaviour of the spin-rotation param eter in the concentrated ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e $\left\{{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\right.$ $m$ ixture. The points represent the experim ental data of $R$ eference [19], the dashed line $\left\{\right.$ the $T{ }^{2}$ $t$ for the behaviour of below the crossover, and the solid line is our theoretical result for the s-w ave approxim ation (w the values of $T$ and scaled by appropriate factors). $N$ ote, that the latter is not a straight line.

$$
\text { F IG . 6. T he diagram s for the self-energies " " }(\otimes) \text { and \#\# }(\otimes) \text {. }
$$

 ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{\#}} \mathrm{a}$ in the K eldysh technique; the indices a and $\mathrm{b} m$ ay take the values + or •

FIG.8. (a). B ethe $\left\{\right.$ Salpeter equations for the auxiliary $T m$ atrices $T_{0}$ and $T_{0}^{++}$. (b). The diagram $m$ atic series for the $T m$ atrices $T$ and $T{ }^{+}$. $T$ he series for $T^{++}$and $T^{+}$can be draw $n$ in a sim ilar way. $W$ ithin the second order in $N^{1=3} a$ only the rst term $s$ in these diagram $m$ atic series should actually be taken into account.









$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{0}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (a) } \\
& \leftarrow \mathrm{T}^{-}{ }^{-}=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{-}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{T}_{0}^{--}-\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{-}{ }^{-}+\ldots \\
& <\mathrm{T}^{+}+=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{-}=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}+ \\
& +\mathrm{T}_{0}^{-}=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{++}-\mathrm{T}_{0}^{--}=\mathrm{T}_{0}^{+{ }^{+}}+\ldots \\
& \text { (b) }
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    P resent address: A rgonne N ational Laboratory, M aterials Science D ivision, 9700 S. C ass A ve., A rgonne, II 60439, U . S.A.E m ail: golosov@ franck uchicago .edu
    ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ A lso at: Insitut fur $T$ heorie der K ondensierten M aterie, U niversitat K arlsnuhe, 76132 K arlsnuhe, G erm any. E m ail: andreir@ physics.nutgers.edu

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The only coupling between the rst and second Legendre com ponents would involve $@ f=@ \mathrm{x}$ which vanishes in our case in the steady state. This justi es the form assum ed in Eqn. (5).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Form ally this follow s from the fact that the integrals over energy and $m$ om entum in a \bubble" com posed of two ( + ) G reen's functions are convergent.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ T he origins of the di erence in num erical factors betw een Eqns. 333 ( 3 35) and the results of Ref. 25] (which contains another derivation of Boltzm ann equation w ithin $K$ eldysh form alism ) are unknown to us.

