D ynam ical properties of the pinned W igner crystal

R.Chitra¹, T.Giam archi¹ and P.LeDoussal²

¹ Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, UPS Bat. 510, 91405 Orsay, France.

²CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Theorique de l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure, 24 rue Lhom ond,75231 Cedex 05, Paris, France.

We study various dynam ical properties of the weakly pinned W igner crystal in a high m agnetic eld. U sing a G aussian variational method we can compute the full frequency and eld dependence of the real and im aginary parts of the diagonal and H all conductivities. The zero tem perature H all resistivity is independent of frequency and remains una ected by disorder at its classical value. We show that, depending on the inherent length scales of the system, the pinning peak and the threshold electric eld exhibit strikingly di erent m agnetic eld dependences.

Though the exotic possibility of electron crystallisation was discussed decades ago by W igner [1], its experim ental realization has been a challenge due to di culties in obtaining su ciently low density electron system s. How ever, this problem can be circum vented by subjecting the 2DEG to large magnetic elds which facilitate crystallisation of even dense electron system s. The quest for the W igner crystal (W C) in m ono [2{5] and bilayer [6] quantum Hall samples indicated the existence of a quaint insulating state at lling fractions where crystallisation was theoretically expected. These and other detailed studies [7] of the insulating state revealed that the diagonal resistivity xx diverges as the tem perature T ! 0 and shows activated behaviour at nite T whereas the Hall resistivity xy is tem perature independent and has a value close to the classical Hall value.

A lthough m easurem ents of activated linear and nonlinear dc conductivity and the lum inescence spectrum of radiative recombination [8] were consistent with interpretations in term s of a pinned W C, the nite value seen for xy was unexpected. This prompted other interpretations of the observed insulating phase [9,10] and in particular the existence of a new phase, the Hall insulator (HI) [10]. The Hall insulator is de ned as a phase where $\lim_{y \to 0} \frac{x_y(y)}{y^2} = cste which yields x_x ! 1 and$ a nite xy in the lim it T ! 0; !! 0. This was proved only for non interacting electrons in a random potential (i.e an Anderson insulator in presence of a magnetic eld) and qualitative arguments suggested that it holds for interacting system s as well. How ever none of these arqum ents take into account the possible local crystalline order which could result in radically di erent physics as com pared to the disordered electron uid. Indeed periodicity plays an important role in other disordered system s, such as vortex lattices [11].

It is thus of prime importance to investigate in detail the transport properties of a pinned W C.Transport properties are especially important here because of the extrem e di culty of a direct experimental veri cation of local crystalline order. One of the few theoretical attempts made to predict these properties was that of Ref. [12] where the related problem of charge density waves (CDW) in a magnetic eld was studied. The harm onic approximation used, however, did not allow the extraction of the detailed frequency dependence of the conductivities. Later works [13] focussed on the sliding state and the e ects of free carriers, or on the e ect of strong disorder [14]. A noteworthy point is that none of these calculations consider both a lattice structure and m odulation of disorder at scales smaller than the lattice spacing [11]. This feature which is absent in CDW turns out to play a crucial role in the physics of the W C.

In this Letter, we compute for the st time the real and imaginary parts of the frequency dependent conductivities of a weakly pinned W C.W e nd that even some of the main features derived in Ref. [12] are incorrect. The results we obtain provide a basis for comparison with recent experiments which map out the low frequency behaviour of the conductivity [15,16].

Our starting point is the W C in a magnetic eld B with lattice spacing a modelled by an elastic ham iltonian [12]. The electrons at site i are displaced from their mean equilibrium positions R_i by u (R_i;t). We also take into account the C oulom b repulsion between density uctuations. We use the following decomposition u (q) = $\hat{q}u_L(q) + \hat{q} \hat{z}u_T(q)$ where L;T denote the longitudinal and transverse components. The corresponding action in the imaginary time form alism is

$$S[u] = \begin{bmatrix} Z & X \\ q_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{q;!n}^{L} (m !_{n}^{2} + \alpha q^{2} + dq) u_{q;!n}^{L} \\ + u_{q;!n}^{T} (m !_{n}^{2} + \alpha q^{2}) u_{q;!n}^{T} + m !_{c}!_{n} (u_{q;!n}^{L} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ Z & Z \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \\ U_{q;!n}^{T} u_{q;!n}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

m; c are the mass and charge densities. c and d are the shear and bulk modulus respectively. For the W C, the presence of C oulom b forces results [13,17] in a bulk modulus d = $\frac{2}{0}$ much greater than the shear modulus $c = \frac{2}{0}$ (0 is the dielectric constant of the substrate). $!_c = cB = m$ is the cyclotron frequency and the M atsubara frequencies at temperature T are $!_n = 2$ n= h where = 1=T. From (1), we see that the C oulom b interaction a ects only the longitudinal modes. The magnetic eld couples the transverse and longitudinal modes. h i denote averages over quantum and therm al uctuations and ______ are disorder averages. For the pure system the quantum uctuations result in hu²i $\stackrel{2}{t}$ where l_c is the m agnetic length l_c = h=eB. The time-independent disorder potential W (x) is short range correlated (of range r_f) W (x)W (x⁰) = $r_r (x_p x^0)$ and couples to the density of electrons (x;t) = $i (x R_i u(R_i;t))$. Using the decomposition of the density into lattice harmonics [11] (valid in the absence of topological defects) and replicas to average over disorder we obtain the electric action

$$S_{e} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ H \\ u^{a} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2h} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2h \\ x \end{bmatrix} d d^{0}$$

$$K \cos(K : (u^{a} (x)) u^{b} (x; ^{0}))) \qquad (2)$$

a;b denote the replica indices, K are the reciprocal lattice vectors and $_{\rm K}$ exp ${\rm K}^2 r_{\rm f}^2$. Note that it is important to retain all harm onics. The disorder averaging also yields a term quadratic in the displacements which has been absorbed by a shift in u. This shift does not a ect the conductivity and we neglect it henceforth. Actions sim ilar to (2) can be used to describe 3-d classical problem s such as vortex lattices with correlated disorder [18] and long range interactions.

To study this model we use the gaussian variational m ethod (GVM). This quantitative m ethod allows us to compute the G meen functions and hence the conductivity of the system described by (2). Unlike previously used m ethods [12] the GVM is self-consistent, has no undeterm ined adjustable parameters and also incorporates im portant physical feautures of the problem such as the existence of m any m etastable states. It allows one to go beyond simple static arguments as will be shown later. W e introduce the variational action [18]

$$S_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q_{n}}^{Z} u_{;q;!_{n}}^{a} G^{ab_{1}} (q;!_{n}) u_{;q;!_{n}}^{b}$$
(3)

where the G reen functions G^{ab} are the variational param eters (; = L;T and sum mations over repeated indices are implicit). They are determined by solving the self-consistent saddle point equations obtained by extrem izing the variational free energy $F_{var} = F_0 + hS_e$

 $S_0 i_0$. The method extends the one used in [18] and all technical details will be presented in [19]. As in [18] the solution has a replica symmetry broken structure necessary to correctly describe the localization. The nal result [19] is a closed set of equations for the connected part of the G meen function $G_c^{-1} = {}^{-}_{b} G^{-1}_{;ab}$ which determ ine all physical quantities of interest here. These equations are respectively:

$$G_{cT}^{\ 1} = (\alpha q^{2} + m !_{n}^{2}) + F + \frac{2 m !_{n}^{2} !_{n}^{2} !_{c}^{2}}{(\alpha q^{2} + dq + m !_{n}^{2} + F)}$$

$$G_{cL}^{\ 1} = (\alpha q^{2} + dq + m !_{n}^{2}) + F + \frac{2 m !_{n}^{2} !_{n}^{2} !_{c}^{2}}{(\alpha q^{2} + m !_{n}^{2} + F)}$$

$$G_{cLT}^{1} = m!_{n}!_{c} + \frac{(\alpha q^{2} + m!_{n}^{2} + F)(\alpha q^{2} + dq + m!_{n}^{2} + F)}{m!_{n}!_{c}}$$

with $F = I(!_n) + (1_{n;0})$. The localized phase is characterized by a non zero , from which a length scale l can be de ned through $= cl^2$. The function $I(!_n)$ is de ned as:

$$I(!_{n}) = \frac{2}{h} \int_{0}^{Z} d(1 \cos(!_{n})) (V^{0}(B^{*}())) V^{0}(B)) (5)$$

where the local diagonal correlation $B() = 1=2h(u(0;) u(0; 0))^{2}i = 1=2(B_{L}() + B_{T}())$ and the o diagonal part B are given by:

$$B_{T;L}^{r}() = \frac{2h}{2} \sum_{\substack{q \in 0 \\ q \in 0}}^{Z} G_{cT;L} (1 \cos(!_{n}))$$

$$B = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{\substack{q \in 0 \\ q \in 0}}^{Z} G_{cL} (q; !_{n}) + G_{cT} (q; !_{n}))] + \frac{1}{\alpha q^{2} + \alpha q^{2} + \alpha q^{2} + \alpha q^{2}}$$
(6)

F inally the set of equations close as is itself determ ined by

$$1 = 2V^{(0)}(B) \int_{q}^{Z} \frac{1}{(\alpha q^{2} + \alpha)^{2}} + \frac{1}{(\alpha q^{2} + \alpha q + \alpha)^{2}}$$
(7)

The primes denote derivatives. All information on the disorder is contained in the auxiliary function V $[B] = (4h)^{1}_{K} K \exp(K^2B)$.

In this paper, we focus on the transport properties but other quantities such as positional correlation functions can also be computed [19,18]. The dynam ical conductivities are given by the standard analytical continuation of the G reen's functions (!) = $i \frac{2}{c}!G$ (q = 0; ! + i). R otational invariance combined with fact that a m agnetic eld breaks parity and tim e-reversal im plies

$$xx = yy = \frac{2}{c} \frac{i! [m!^2 + i!(!)]}{(m!^2 + i!(!))^2 m!^2!^2_{m}!^2!^2_{c}}$$

$$xy = yx = \frac{2}{c} \frac{i! [im!!_{c}]}{(1 m!^2 + i!(!))^2 m!^2!^2_{c}}$$
(8)

In the absence of disorder one has I = 0 in (8). _{xx} vanishes in the dc lim it ! = 0 and has a function peak at cyclotron frequency ! = !_c. On the other hand _{xy} (! = 0) = _c=B and __{xy} has a pole at ! = !_c. In the presence of disorder the crystal is pinned and conductivities develop a new peak at the pinning frequency ! = !_p. Sim ultaneously, there is an upward shift of the cyclotron resonance peak from !_c by a quantity of order !_p.

(4) To obtain the full frequency dependence of the conductivities one needs to com pute I (!). This can be obtained from the above equations which are valid for all values of B. Here we present a solution in the experimentally

relevant limit ! _ d=c. A typical plot of Re xx obtained by solving (5) numerically is shown in Fig. 1. Since I(! = 0) = 0 by de nition in the pinned crystal, the dc value of $_{xx}$ is still zero but that of $_{xy}$ is zero in contrast to the pure case where it was nite. The peaks at the new resonance frequencies have a nite height and width due to disorder induced dissipation. The extent of this dissipation is determined by I(!n) continued to real frequencies. Earlier results [12] can be recovered by setting $I(!_n) = 0$ in all the equations. However, the presence of the I(! n) term has many important physical consequences as will be discussed below . Firstly, in the absence of I (! n) the peaks would be delta functions at $!_{p}^{0}$ and $!_{c} + !_{p}^{0}$ with $!_{p}^{0} = = !_{c}$. In contrast, here the peaks are centered around a frequency $!_p < !_p^0$ and this shift is given by . The peaks have a non-trivial structure and are asym m etric about the resonance frequencies as can be inferred from (8) and seen in Fig. 1. This invalidates the Lorentzian shape of the peaks which was used to arbitrarily broaden the delta functions in Ref. [12]. We note that the peaks we obtain are much narrower than the Lorentizian broadened ones.

For frequencies ! !p and !p ! !c, analytical solutions can be obtained. We nd

$$I(!_{n}) = 2_{m} + \frac{\frac{2}{m} \frac{!_{c}^{2}}{c}}{2 c c c^{2}} j!_{n} j; !_{p}$$

$$I(!_{n}) = \frac{1}{6} \log \frac{\frac{2}{m} \frac{!_{n}^{2}}{c}}{d^{\frac{3}{2}}}; !_{p} !_{c} (9)$$

Using (9) in (8), we obtain the following low frequency behaviour for ! $_{\rm p}$

$$Re_{xx}(!) = {}^{2}_{c} 2_{m} + \frac{! {}^{2}_{p} {}^{2}_{c}}{2} {}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{c} {}^{\frac{1}{2$$

In the region $!_p$! $!_c$ we inducing (9)

Re_{xx}(!)
$$\frac{\frac{2}{c}}{\frac{2}{m}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{c}!}$$
 Re_{xy}(!) $\frac{c}{B}$ (11)

Note that Re $_{xx}$ and Re $_{xy}$ are both quadratic in !. Since the pinned W C has the characteristics proposed for the H I, it seems unnecessary here to invoke the existence of the H I as a new phase. The results of (10) can be used to calculate the dielectric constant (!) = Im $_{xx}$ (!)=!. Its dc value is given by $= \frac{2}{c} =$. Thus the dielectric constant is also a measure of the characteristic frequency de ned by disorder.



FIG.1. Re $_{xx}$ (!) (in units of b $_m = _c$) as a function of ! (in units of $_c^3 = _m^2$ b) where b = $cAa^2 = _m^2$ (e=h)³ for the case $r_f < l_c$ for di erent values of the eld B m eausred in units of $_c^2 = _m$ b. The dashed line represents B = 0:33, the full line B = 0:4 and the long dashed line B = 0:5. The inset is a m agni cation of the pinning peak.

Calculating the resistivities given by = = $({}^{2}_{xx} + {}^{2}_{xy})$ we nd that the pinned crystal is indeed insulating i.e., ${}_{xx} (! = 0) = 1$. More importantly, the Hall resistivity ${}_{xy}$ turns out to be independent of ! and T and has the same value as that in the pure system ${}_{xy} (!) = B = {}_{c}$. A similar result was argued to hold at T = 0 in Ref. [13]. At T > 0 it would be necessary to go beyond the GVM approximation to ascertain whether ${}_{xy}$ still sticks to its classical value. Indeed the GVM m isses soliton like excitations which are known to be important for nite T physics [18,23].

It is interesting to calculate the eld dependences of the above quantities. This is of direct experim ental relevance and we use our results to calculate the same. The eld dependence of the pinning peak (whose width is naively of (!p)) is governed by whose value is in turn dictated by the relative sizes of the length scales r_f and l_c . Traditionally, in the context of CDW, has been related to the Fukuyam a-Lee length R_a at which relative displacem ents are of order a, as R_a^2 . How ever for the present case such a connection does not hold, because disorder can a priorivary at scales much sm aller than a unlike in CDW . This is similar to the situation in vortex systems where pinning is controlled by the Larkin length R_c, de ned as the scale below which the physics of (2) can be described perturbatively by a model where uncorrelated gaussian random forces of strength $f = \frac{1}{K} K^2 K$ act independently on each electron. W ithin this model R $_{\rm c}$ is given by h[u (R_c) u (0) $\frac{3}{2}$ i_f = m ax [r_f^2 ; $\frac{2}{c}$] $\frac{2}{0}$. When R_c a the crystal is pinned collectively. In this regime using the GVM one nds = $cAR_{p^2}^2 (a=_0)^6$. Here A is an overall constant, R_a $m^2 = ad_0 = max[r_f; l_c]$.

 cR_{c}^{2} , im plying that 1 This corresponds to R_{c} (de ned above), which shows that the length scale determ ining the peak in the conductivity is R_c and not R_a . It is in portant to distinguish between these two lengths since R_c can have an explicit dependence on the magnetic eld. This yields two very di erent regimes. One is $r_f < l_c$, which gives = bB³ (b = cAa² m² (e=h)³) hence $!_{p}(B) / B^{2}$ and the pinning peak moves up and broadenswith increasing eld. This is the case illustrated in Fig. 1 where Re xx has been plotted for various values of B. The second regime is $r_f > l_c$ leading to a independent of B and $!_p$ (B) / B 1 . Thus the pinning peak m oves tow ards the origin and gets narrow erw ith increasing eld. For CDW in a magnetic eld rf a and one is always in the second regime. In these two regimes the height of the pinning peak decreases as B 1 with increasing eld. For the case r_f l_c, !_p does not increase inde nitively with B, rather there is a crossover to another regime when R_c a where single particle pinning e ects are dom inant. Here the correspondence between R_{c} and l and hence no longer holds. One nds then that / B $\frac{3}{2}$ and l_p / B $\frac{1}{2}$ provided l_c r_f . In contrast the peak at ! c + ! p alw ays m oves upw ards with increasing B. A summary of the results in various regimes is given in Table I.

A nother in portant m easurable quantity is the threshold electric eld E_T necessary for the crystal to slide. This again shows the interplay between l_c and r_f . Using collective pinning arguments [21] one gets $E_T = cR_c^2_0$ in the regime R $_{\rm c}$ a. The threshold eld has the same regimes as above and the eld dependence are shown in Table I. Note that for $r_f > l_c E_T$ is independent of the eld (as for CDW). Since both E $_{\rm T}$ and $!_{\rm p}$ are related to R_{c} one has E_{T} / $!_{p}$ but with a prefactor depending on 0 and not on a asgiven by previous CDW estimates. When a, we enter the regime of single particle pinning. R_c Using / B $\frac{3}{2}$, the threshold eld is now E_T / l_c / B [25]. Finally, due to the variation of with the eld the dielectric constant will exhibit the behaviours shown in Table I. Therefore in addition to detailed frequency m easurem ents of the conductivity, m easurem ents of the B dependences of the dielectric constant could serve as an experim ental signature for the W C.

Some of the existing experimental results can be interpreted within our theory. Contrary to previous estimates of the pinning frequency, it allows a scenario where the pinning frequency increases with the eld as was seen in recent experiments [16]. A simultaneous increase in E_T vs. B is observed which is in qualitative agreement with the above predictions. Our theory also predicts that the Hall resistance takes its classical value which is observed experimentally [7]. However, many problems remain both theoretically and in comparison with experiments. Experimentally the peak height in (!) seems to increase with B which we cannot account for at present. Some experiments [7] seem to report a dimensional section.

for the conductivity (see how ever [24]). Finite tem perature e ects also need to be understood since m any experiments are performed in the d.c. limit at T > 0. Strong disorder e ects have also to be understood. Both problems require a careful treatment of the topological defects and solitons which are beyond the scope of the present study. W hile a phase transition similar to the one occuring in 3d vortex lattices [11] is unlikely in d = 2, one expects a marked crossover between a weakly pinned W C and a strongly pinned one.

To conclude, we have developed a comprehensive theory for the W C pinned by weak disorder. In addition to detailed frequency dependences of the real and in aginary parts of the conductivities, we have obtained the m agnetic eld dependences of various dynam ical quantities. W e nd that the magnetic eld not only con nes the electrons but also plays a crucial role in determ ining the response of the system to disorder. This dynam ical ect, not captured by previous static approximations, allows the possibility of observing novel eld dependences.

We thank F.I.B.W illiams for enlightening remarks.

Laboratoire associe au CNRS.

- [1] E.W igner, Phys. Rev. 46, 1002 (1934).
- [2] E.Y. Andrei, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60,2765 (1988).
- [3] R L W illet et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65,633 (1990).
- [4] F.IB.W illiam s, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3285 (1991);
 F. Pernuchot thesis, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, 1995.
- [5] V.J.Goldm an et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2189 (1990).
- [6] H.C.Manoharan, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1813 (1996).
- [7] V J. Goldman, M. Shayegan and D. Tsui, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61,881 (1988); T. Sajoto et al, ibid 70, 2321 (1993).
- [8] I.V. Kukushkin and V.B. Timofeev, Phys-Uspekhi 36, 549 (1993).
- [9] A A. Shashkin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3141 (1994).
- [10] S.C. Zhang, S.K ivelson and D H.Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1252 (1992).
- [11] T.Giam archi and P.LeDoussalPhys.Rev.B 52,1242
 (1995).
- [12] H.Fukuyam a and P.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 18, 6245 (1978).
- [13] B G A . Norm and, P B . Littlewood and A J.M illis, Phys. Rev. B 46, 3920 (1992); X. Zhue, P B . Littlewood and A J.M illis, ibid 50, 4600 (1994).
- [14] U.W ulf, J.K ucera and E.Sigm und Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 2993 (1996).
- [15] Y P.Liet al, Solid State Comm. 95, 619 (1995).
- [16] C C. Liet al, preprint; F JB.W illiams, private communication.
- [17] L.Bonsall and A A.M aradudin, Phys. Rev. B 15, 1959 (1977).
- [18] T.Giam archiand P.LeDoussalPhys.Rev.B 53 15206 (1996).
- [19] R. Chitra, T. G iam archi and P. Le Doussal, m anuscript in preparation.

- [20] A.J.Larkin, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.58,1466 (1970).
- [21] A J. Larkin and Y M . Ovchinnikov, J. Low Temp. Phys. 34, 409 (1979).
- [22] D.R. Nelson and V.M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B 48, 13060 (1993).
- [23] A.I.Larkin and P.A.Lee Phys.Rev.B 17 1596 (1978).
- [24] They have reported Re $_{xx}$ and Im $_{xx}$ / !. However, this linear behaviour in both Re $_{xx}$ and Im $_{xx}$ violates analyticity properties and m ore work is needed to clarify this point before a comparison with theory can be made.
- [25] This holds because here the \single particule localization length" (see [11,22]) l; l. There could be an additional

regime where r_f l, l_c where arguments similar to [22] can be made.

Regime		! p	Ε _T	
r _f > l _c	B ⁰	B ¹	B ⁰	B ⁰
$r_{\rm f}$ < $l_{\rm c}$	B ³	B ²	B ⁵ / ₂	B ³
R _c < a	B ³ / ₂	$B^{\frac{1}{2}}$	В	B ³ / ₂

TABLE I. Magnetic eld dependences of various dynamical quantities.