## NONLINEAR FEEDBACK OSCILLATIONS

## IN RESONANT TUNNELING THROUGH DOUBLE BARRIERS

C.Presilla

Scuola del Dottorato di Ricerca in Fisica dell'Universita \La Sapienza", Roma, I 00185

and

D ipartim ento di Fisica dell'Universita, Perugia, I 06100

G.Jona-Lasinio

D ipartim ento di Fisica dell'Universita \La Sapienza", Roma, I 00185

and

F.Capasso

AT & T Bell Laboratories, 600 M ountain avenue, M urray H ill, N J 07974

## Abstract

We analyze the dynam ical evolution of the resonant tunneling of an ensemble of electrons through a double barrier in the presence of the self-consistent potential created by the charge accumulation in the well. The intrinsic nonlinearity of the transmission process is shown to lead to oscillations of the stored charge and of the transmitted and reected uxes. The dependence on the electrostatic feedback induced by the self-consistent potential and on the energy width of the incident distribution is discussed.

PACS.numbers: 03.65 - w, 73.40G k

In recent years there has been renewed interest in the phenom enon of resonant tunneling (RT) through double barriers. The unique capabilities of molecular beam epitaxy make it possible to investigate fundam ental questions on RT through simple man-made potentials by controlling the barrier and well parameters (e.g. height, thickness or barrier phase area) down to the atom ic scale<sup>[1]</sup>.

In this paper we investigate the dynam ics of RT of ballistic electrons in the presence of the potential created by the charge trapped within the barriers<sup>[2]</sup>. This problem is interesting not only from a technological point of view but also as a test of quantum mechanical non-equilibrium situations in which many particles are involved.

The model we propose tries to describe the following situation. A bunch of electrons is created within a contact layer and launched towards embedded semiconductor layers forming a double barrier potential. The charge dynamically trapped by the resonance will produce a reaction eld which modi es the time evolution of the system. An exact treatment of such a problem looks very complicated. We assume a decoupling between the longitudinal (in the direction x of motion perpendicular to the double barrier) and transversal degrees of freedom. This is a common assumption in treating tunneling phenomena. It makes the problem one-dimensional and allows the following factorization of the wave function:

$$(x_{1};x_{2};...;x_{N};t) = (x_{1};x_{2};...;x_{N};t) \quad (y_{1};z_{1};y_{2};z_{2};...;y_{N};z_{N};t) \quad (1)$$

where x x;y;z are the coordinates of each electron and t the time. We remark that antisym metry of is established if we take, for example, symmetric and antisymmetric in their arguments. The experimental set-up we have in m ind puts ideally all the electrons in the same high-energy longitudinal state while the transversal degrees of freedom are essentially decoupled<sup>[1]</sup>. Therefore the choice (1) with sym m etric is the only possibility. In other words the transversal degrees of freedom assure the respect of Pauli principle.

F inally we assume the electrons in the bunch at the initial time uncorrelated which corresponds to a choice of as a product state of single-particle states (x;0). At this point theorem 5.7 of R ef. [3] guarantees in the mean eld approximation (which is reasonable due to the large number of electrons involved) that the state remains a product state during its evolution and allow sus to write the following self-consistent equation for (x;t):

$$i\hbar\frac{\theta}{\theta t} (x;t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\theta^2}{\theta x^2} + V (x) + W (t;t^0;x;x^0)j (x^0;t^0)j dt^0 dx^0 (x;t)$$
(2)

The external potential, V(x), is assumed, as custom any, to be a step function (no electric eld is applied):

$$V(x) = V_0[(x \ a) (b \ x) + (x \ c) (d \ x)]$$
 (3)

with a < b < c < d and where (x) is the H eaviside function. The nucleus W (t;t<sup>0</sup>;x;x<sup>0</sup>) is modelled assuming that memory elects can be neglected, i.e. W / (t t<sup>0</sup>). We represent the global repulsive feedback elect, induced by the charge localized in the well, by a shift of the bottom of the well to a higher energy,  $V_Q$  (t), proportional to the charge, i.e.:

$$W (t;t^{0};x;x^{0}) j (x^{0};t^{0}) j^{2} dt^{0} dx^{0} \qquad V_{0} \frac{Q(t)}{Q_{0}} (x b) (c x)$$
(4)

Q (t) is the charge localized in the well at time t and  $Q_0$  is a norm alization charge which depends on the shape of the initial state, assumed localized around  $x_0$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & Z_{x_0+(c \ b)=2} \\ Q (t) & & & dx^0 j \ (x^0;t) \ f & & Q_0 & & & dx^0 j \ (x^0;0) \ f & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & x_0 \ (c \ b)=2 \end{array}$$
 (5)

 $Q_0$  introduces an arti cialdependence of the Eq. (2) on the initial condition. We have used this parameterization to make the comparison of dierent numerical simulations easier.

The parameter in Eq. (4) can be varied to reproduce phenom enologically the response of the medium to the charge trapped in the well and the characteristics of the electron bunch, e.g. its areal density.

The 1-particle state which is the initial condition in our mean eld equation (Eq. 2) has been chosen to be a gaussian shaped superposition of plane waves with mean momentum  $hk_0$ :

$$(x;0) = \frac{1}{p-p} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{x - x_0}{2}\right)^2 + ik_0 x$$
 (6)

with energy spread (energy full width at half maximum of the square modulus of the Fourier transform of (6))  $_{0} = 2^{p} \overline{\ln 2} h^{2} k_{0} = m \cdot x_{0}$  is chosen so that at the initial time no appreciable charge sits in the well, i.e. Q(0) = 0.

The solution of the di erential equation (2-5) with the initial condition (6) has been achieved by a num erical integration on a two-dimensional lattice<sup>[4]</sup>. A ssum ing for the barrier and wellwidths the values b = d = c = 20 and c = b = 15 a (a<sup>0</sup> / 0.529 A being the Bohr radius) and for the barrier height 0.3 eV and using form the free electron m ass, the potential V (x) exhibits a single resonance in the transmission coe cient at energy  $E_R$  / 0.15 eV, the shape of which is well approximated by a lorentzian of full width  $_R$  / 5 m eV. The choice of these parameters was a comprom ise between the requirement of standard technological values and that of reasonable computation times. The electron m ass was set to its free value to avoid the complications of a space variable electric m ass.

The incoming state mean-energy has been chosen so as to satisfy the resonance condition  $h^2 k_0^2 = 2m = E_R$ . Finally, the normalized charge in the well, Q (t)=Q<sub>0</sub>, has been plotted as a function of time. The results for di erent choices of the parameter are shown in Figs. 1-4 in the case of states with energy-spread larger, of the order of and smaller

than the resonance width.

The Fig. 1 represents the scattering of a wave packet on the xed double barrier (linear Schrodinger equation). When the packet is energetically much wider than the resonance, the building up and the decay of the charge are asymmetric, the decreasing following<sup>[5]</sup> the law exp(t=) with = h= R (decay of a lorentzian-shaped quantum state). On the other hand, for a wave packet narrower than the resonance, the charge presents a symmetric behavior, like the law exp[(t=t\_d)=)<sup>2</sup>], where = =v\_0 =  $2^{p} \frac{1}{\ln 2} h = 0$ , to ' j(b+c)=2 x<sub>0</sub> j=v\_0 and v\_0 = hk\_0=m (free evolution of a gaussian-shaped quantum state for negligible time-spreading). The latter result is not surprising, since now the packet traverses the double barrier alm ost undistorted. In the case of a state energy-spread com parable with the resonance width, the evolution of the trapped charge interpolates between these two extrem e behaviors.

W hen the non-linear term is elective, i.e. 60, the evolution of the trapped charge changes drastically and oscillations can appear. This phenom enon has been qualitatively anticipated by R icco and A zbel<sup>[6]</sup>. Their reasoning was very simple. At the initial time no charge is present in the well, the electrons are moving towards the double barrier and the resonance condition is fullled. When some charge penetrates into the well, the modi cation of the potential destroys the resonance condition. As a consequence the quantity of trapped charge has a maximum followed by a decrease. The resonance condition tends to be restored and a new cycle begins. However, as it will appear in the following, the nonlinearity makes the interpretation of the phenom enon signi cantly more complicated. For example, the conclusion by R icco and A zbel that the above elect should be maximal for monochromatic states, is not correct.

A detailed analysis of Figs. 2-4 (that are a sample of our global num erical work)

5

suggests the follow ing observations. O scillations are present, for appropriate values of the strength of the non-linear term, , only when the energy spread of the state is wider or com parable to the resonance width. No oscillations are seen for nearly monochrom atic states. When increases, the oscillations, if present, tend to increase in number but decrease in amplitude.

To understand these results, let us rst interpret the dependence of the intensity of the trapped charge as a function of the parameters and . We simplify the question by considering a time-average of the charge dynamically present inside the well. Since during the time evolution  $V_Q$  (t) and Q (t) are related with each other by the Eq. (4), a similar relation has to hold between the relevant time averaged quantities denoted by  $V_Q$ and Q. Let us suppose, now, that we have a time independent situation with the bottom of the well at level  $V_Q$ . As can be shown by explicit calculations, the charge Q present in the well is a fraction, , of the asymptotically transmitted charge Q<sub>T</sub><sup>[5]</sup>:

$$Q_{T}(V_{Q}) = \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dk j^{e}(k;0) j^{2} j_{V_{Q}}(k) j^{2}$$
(7)

where e(k;0) is the Fourier transform of (5) and  $j_{V_0}(k)f$  is the transmission coe cient of the depicted potential. The time-average of Eq. (4) can be combined with Eq. (7) to obtain a self consistent relationship for  $V_0$  (or Q):

$$\frac{V_Q}{V_0} = \frac{Q_T (V_Q)}{Q_0}$$
(8)

The two sides of this equation are plotted in Fig. 5 for di erent values of and ; their intersection points represent our estim ate for the tim e-averaged norm alized charge trapped in the well during the interaction of the packet with the double barrier. The factor is xed by in posing that for = 0 the results of Fig. 1 are reproduced. As expected it is

of the order of unity. Fig. 5 predicts correctly the time-averaged obtained from Fig.s 2-4. We also notice that for very large the charge tends to disappear due to the sm allness of the transmitted am plitude.

We then try to understand the oscillating behavior. Let us assume that this phenom enon is due to the competition of two processes: (a) the lling up of the well by the incom ing wave packet and (b) the natural decay of the trapped charge. For the process (a) the time scale is of the order of h = 0 (this estimate appears more reasonable for states narrower in energy than the resonance width). For the process (b) a reasonable time scale is  $h = v_0$ , where  $v_0$  is the energy spread of the function to be integrated in Eq. (7) (spectral decomposition of the charge present in the well). O scillations are then expected if a substantial crossover of  $V_0$  and 0 is realized for the  $V_0$  values reached during the time evolution. The analysis of the function  $j^e(k;0) f_{v_0}(k) f$  shows that  $v_0$  rises from , approximatively,  $_{0 R} = \frac{p}{0} + \frac{2}{R} \text{ at } V_{Q} = 0$ , to a maximum greater than  $_{0}$  (position and amplitude of the maximum are roughly proportional to  $_{0}$  =  $_{R}$  ) and, eventually, decreases to  $_0$ . As a consequence when  $_0$   $_R$ ,  $_{V_0}$  is very close to  $_0$  and independent of  $V_Q$  . No oscillations are possible in this case for any value of  $\ .$  On the other hand, when  $_{\rm R}$ ,  $_{\rm V_0}$  crosses  $_0$  at a som e V $_{\rm Q}$ ; oscillations are then realized for a su ciently high value of . This critical value of increases with the ratio  $_0 = _R$ . These predictions agree quantitatively with the results of the simulations reported above.

The e ects we have discovered exhibit a considerable stability under m odi cations of the m odel for example in the direction of a m ore realistic choice of the self-consistent potential. A deeper analysis of the problem, together with an investigation on the possibility of an experim ental study, is matter for a forthcom ing publication.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank F.M archesoni, L.A.Pastur and F.Sacchetti for their helpful suggestions

and comments.

## References

- For a review see F. Capasso and S. Datta, Phys. Today, 43 (2), 74, (1990) and Physics of Quantum Electron Devices, F. Capasso, ed., Spinger-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg (1990)
- M. Tsuchiya, T. Matsusue and H. Sakaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2356 (1987); J.
  F. Young, B. M. Wood, G. C. Aers, R. L. S. Devine, H. C. Liu, D. Landheer,
  M. Buchanan, A. S. Springthorpe and P. Mandeville, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2085 (1988); V. S. Goldman, D. C. Tsui and J. E. Cunningham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1256 (1987)
- [3] H. Spohn, Rev. M od. Phys. 53, 569 (1980)
- [4] A.Goldberg, H.M. Schey and J.L.Schwartz, Am. J.Phys. 35, 177 (1967); W.H. Press, B.P.Flannery, S.A. Teukolsky and W.T. Vetterling, Numerical Recipes: the Art of Scienti c Computing (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986)
- [5] S. Collins, D. Lowe and J. R. Barker, J. Phys. C 20, 6233 (1987); A. Grinowajg, On the time dependence of double barrier resonant tunneling (D iplom a thesis at the Chalmers University of Technology, G oteborg 1986)
- [6] B.Ricco and M.Ya. Azbel, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1970 (1984)

- Fig. 1 Time development of the normalized charge trapped in the well in the case of linear Schrodinger equation, i.e. = 0, for states with energy spread much wider  $( = 110 a_0 \text{ and } _0 = 43.2 \text{ meV} )$ , of the same order of  $( = 825 a_0 \text{ and } _0 = 5.8 \text{ meV} )$  and much smaller  $( = 5775 a_0 \text{ and } _0 = 0.8 \text{ meV} )$  than the resonance width  $( _R ' 5 \text{ meV} )$ . An atom ic unit of time corresponds to 4.83 10<sup>-17</sup> seconds and the Bohr radius  $a_0$  is 0.529 A.
- Fig. 2 As Fig. 1 but in the case of e ective non linearity in the Schrödinger equation with = 0:1.

Fig. 3 As Fig. 2 but with = 1. The case with =  $5775 a_0$  is not shown.

Fig. 4 As Fig. 3 but with = 10.

Fig. 5 Self-consistent estim at of the time-averaged norm alized charge trapped in the well for dierent values of the parameters and .







 $Q(t)/Q_0$ 





