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#### Abstract

W e present a m echan istic model for a $N$ ew tonian uid called uid particle dynam ics. By analyzing the concept of \uid particle" from the point ofview of $\operatorname{V}$ oronoitessellation of a m olecular uid, we propose an heuristic derivation of a dissipative particle dynam ics algorithm that inconporates shear forces betw een dissipative particles. T he inclusion of these non-central shear forces requires the consideration of angular velocities of the dissipative particles in order to com ply w th the conservation of angular $m$ om entum. It is show $n$ that the equilibrium statisticalm echan ics requirem ent that the linear and angular velocity elds are G aussian is su cient to construct the random ther$m$ al forces betw een dissipative particles. T he proposed algorithm is very sim ilar in structure to the (isotherm al) sm oothed particle dynam ics algorithm. In this w ay, th is w ork represents a generalization of sm oothed particle dynam ics that incorporates consistently them al uctuations and exact angular $m$ om entum conservation. It contains also the dissipative particle dynam ics algorithm as a special case. Finally, the kinetic theory of the dissipative particles is derived and explicit expressions of the transport coe cients of the $u$ id in term $s$ of $m$ odel param eters are obtained. This allow $s$ to discuss resolution issues for the $m$ odel.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

C om plex uid system $s$ such as colloidal or polym eric suspensions, $m$ ioelles, im $m$ iscible $m$ ixtures, etc. represent a challenge for conventionalm ethods of sim ulation due to the presence ofdisparate tim e scales in their dynam ics. There is presently a great e ort in developing new techniques of sim ulation that overcom e som e of the di culties ofm icroscopic ( $m$ olecular dynam ics) and $m$ acroscopic (num erical solution of continuum equations) conventional techniques.

O $n$ one hand, $m$ oleculardynam ics captures all the detailed dynam ics from tim es scales of the order of atom ic collision tim es to m acroscopic hydrodynam ic tim es. H ow ever, in order to explore these large $m$ acroscopic tim es the num ber of particles required is enorm ous. A though large scale $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulations $w$ th $m$ illions of particles are currently perform ed one realizes that not all the inform ation generated is actually required or even relevant at the tim e scales at which rheological processes in com plex uids take place.

On the other hand, from a continuum point ofview the conventionalsolution of partialdi erentialequations like the N avier-Stokes equation encounters di culties due to the cum bersom e treatm ent ofm oving boundary conditions to be im posed in a system as, for exam ple, a colloidalsuspension. T hese problem s can be alleviated by the use ofLagrangian descriptions in which the discretizing grid $m$ oves according to the ow. A particularly exciting developm ent has been the technique of sm oothed particle dynam ics (SPD) which is essentially a discretization by weight functions that transform s the partial di erential equations of continuum m echanics into ordinary di erential equations 化, These equations can be further interpreted as the equations of $m$ otion for a set of particles interacting w ith prescribed law s of force. T he technique thus allow to solve P D E'sw ith m olecular dynam ics codes. A nother advantage of a Lagrangian description relies on the fact that no expensive recalculations of the $m$ esh are required as the dynam ics takes care of it. Sm oothed particle dynam ics has been used for sim ulating astrophysical ow s w ith non-viscous term s (this was the original aim of the technique at the early 70 's 2 27 ), and very recently in the study of viscous [3, 4] and therm al ow s [6] in sim ple geom etries. It has not been applied to the study of com plex uids and this may be due, in part, to the fact that there is no easy im plem entation of Lagrangian uctuating hydrodynam ics [ ] ] with SPD. Such im plem entation would be highly desirable in order to study the B row nian realm in which $m$ any of the processes in com plex uids take place. It m ust be noted that the particulate nature of the algorithm in SPD produces uctuations which, from a com putational point of view, are regarded as num erical noise. It is not clear that in the presence of
e-m ail: pep@ sfiun uned.es
viscous term $s$ this noise satis es the appropriate uctuation-dissipation theorem. A second problem with SPD is that for viscous problem $s$ the non-central nature of the viscous shear force breaks the conservation of angularm om entum, even though the initial continuum equations are perfectly isotropic and conserve angularm om entum .

In betw een $m$ icroscopic and $m$ acroscopic descriptions, $m$ esoscopic levels ofdescription are gaining attention in order to address ow problem s in com plex uids and/or geom etries. Lattice gas autom ata B, , lattice B oltzm ann autom ata [10] or the direct sim ulation $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod for dihute gases 11] have been usefultools in study ing hydrodynam ic problem s in com plex geom etries. For the case of colloidalsuspensions, lattice B oltzm ann techniques represent a serious com petitor to B row nian dynam ics [12] or Stokesian dynam ics [13] in that the com putational cost scales linearly w ith the num ber of colloidal particles w hereas, as a consequence of the long ranged hydrodynam ic interactions, it increases w th the cube of the num ber of particles in the latter techniques 10]. A draw back of the lattioe approaches is that the dynam ics is constrained by the lattice. This $m$ akes the consideration of boundary conditions on shaped bodies cum bersom e.

In the sam e spirit of looking at $m$ esoscopic descriptions, a very appealing idea $w$ as introduced by H oogerbrugge and $K$ oem an 14 15] in which a coarse grained description of the solvent uid in term $s$ of dissipative particles was devised. The technique w as coined dissipative particle dynam ics (DPD) and it is an o -lattice technique that does not su er from the above mentioned draw backs of lattice gas and lattice Boltzm ann sim ulations. D PD consists essentially on a m olecular dynam ics sim ulation in which the force between particles has, in addition to a conservative part, a dissipative part represented as a B row nian dashpot. This B row nian dashpot dam ps out the relative approaching velocity betw een particles and introduces a noise term that keeps the system in them al agitation. The dissipative particles are understood as \droplets" or cluster of $m$ olecules that interact $w$ th each other conserving the total m om entum of the system [14,16]. This global conservation law has its local counterpart in the form of a balance equation for the $m$ om entum density, and the dissipative particles behave hydrodynam ically in the low wave num ber and frequency regim e.

D P D has received a substantial theoretical support. It has been shown that the originalD P D algorithm ofR ef. 14] has associated, under a slightm odi cation, a Fokker $P$ lanck equation $w i t h ~ G i b s$ equilibrium states 17]. T he extension to $\mathrm{m} u$ ulticom ponent system s has also been considered 18]. A rst principles derivation ofD PD for a harm onic chain has been presented in [19]. The $m$ acroscopic hydrodynam ic equations have been obtained with projection operator techniques 20]. A very im portant further step has been the form ulation of the kinetic theory for DPD by M arsh, B ackx, and E mst 21], which allow s to relate the transport coe cients in the hydrodynam ic equations w th the D PD m odelparam eters. Finally, the ect of nite tim e steps on the equilibrium state of the system has been considered in 22]. D P D has been since applied to the study of colloidalsuspensions [15, 23, 24], porous ow [14], polym er suspensions 25], and m ulticom ponent ows 26].

In this work we provide a $m$ ore precise $m$ eaning to the concept of \droplet" or $\backslash$ uid particle" from a Voronoi tessellation of physical space. T his conceptual fram ew ork allow s to m odel the di erent processes that intervene in the interaction betw een uid particles orm esoscopic clusters of atom s of the uid. The outcom e is a generalization of the algorithm of DPD that includes shearing forces betw een the uid particles. These forces are non-central and do not conserve total angular $m$ om entum. This enforces the inclusion in the $m$ odelof a spin variable w ith a well-de ned physicalm eaning for the uid particles. In this way angularm om entum conservation is restored. W e also investigate the structure of the random forces that $m$ ust be inchded in order to recover a G aussian distribution of linear and angular velocities for the uid particles (note that the equilibrium uctuations of the hydrodynam ic velocity eld are G aussian). The structure of the random foroes is postulated after analogy w ith the structure of the random stress tensor in term s of the $W$ iener process in the uctuating hydrodynam ics theory 28].
$W$ e show that the form of the equations of this uid particle $m$ odel at zero tem perature (when uctuations are absent) and w th no angular variables is identical to the form of the equations obtained in a sim ple version ofsm oothed particle dynam ics as applied to uid system s . In this sense, this work can be regarded as a generalization of SPD that includes uctuations consistent $w$ ith the principles of statisticalm echanics and that conserves exactly the total angularm om entum of the system. In otherw ords, the obtained uid particle algorithm $m$ ay be view ed as a Lagrangian discretization of the equations of (isotherm al) uctuating hydrodynam ics.

The paper is structured as follows. Section II considers the de nition of a uid particle in term s of the Voronoi tessellation and this serves to $m$ otivate the type of forces and torques betw een uid particles introduced in Section III. Section IV presents the equivalent Fokker $P$ lanck equation to the equations of $m$ otion. This allow $s$ to establish requirem ents on the $m$ odel param eters in order to have a proper equilibrium distribution. A sum $m$ ary of the $m$ odel is presented in Section V. Section VI contains the kinetic theory of the $m$ odel in the sim ple case when conservative forces are absent. The transport coe cients are com puted and this perm its to discuss its dependence on the num ber density of uid particles in Section VII. A naldiscussion and conclusions is presented in the last section.

## II. FLU ID PARTICLES THROUGH VORONOITESSELLATION

In an attem pt to better understand the physicalm eaning of DPD, we have devised a coarse graining procedure for a m olecular dynam ics sim ulation of point particles (atom s) interacting through continuous potentials 16]. The coarsening is perform ed through the Voronoi tessellation that allows to divide physical space into a set of nonoverlapping cells that cover all the space in a well-de ned manner. Given a discrete set of points (that can be distributed at random ) the V oronoi tessellation assigns to each point (called \cell center"), that region of space that surrounds it and that is nearer to this point than to any other point of the set. W ith this tessellation the atom s of the $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulation are distributed into clusters around the centers of the V oronoiœells. T he practical way to perform the Voronoi tessellation in the sim ulation is by com puting the distance of a given atom to all the center cells and assign that atom to the nearest center. Subsequently, the Voronoi cells are set in $m$ otion according to the velocity and acceleration of the center ofm ass of the particles that are with in the cell. In this way, the cells capture the $m$ otion of the uid at $m$ esoscopic scales.

The Voronoi cells are a well de ned realization of what is loosely regarded in uid mechanics textbooks as $\backslash$ uid particles". W e would like to know how these uid particles move, that is, which explicit law of force between uid particles would reproduce the actualm otion of the V oronoi cells observed in the sim ulations. It is apparent that the num ber of cells is $m$ uch $s m$ aller than the num ber of atom $s$ in the $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulation, and therefore if one knows how the clusters $m$ ove, one can try to sim ulate the clusters and capture the $m$ esoscopic behavior of the underlying liquid w ith much less com putationale ort.

For su ciently large clusters, that is, when the typicaldistance $m$ es betw een cell centers is $m$ uch larger than the typical distance $m$ ic betw een atom $s$ we expect that the chusters $m$ ove hydrodynam ically. M ore precisely, we consider the conserved densities

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =\frac{M_{r}}{V_{r}} \\
g_{r} & =\frac{P_{r}}{V_{r}} \\
e_{r} & =\frac{E_{r}}{V_{r}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{r} ; \mathrm{P}_{r} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$ are the instantaneous totalmass, m om entum and energy, respectively, of the system of particles that happen to be w ithin the Voronoi cell centered at $r$ and $V_{r}$ is the volum e of the cell. O ne also introduces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{r}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the instantaneous velocity of the center ofm ass of the system of particles with in the Voronoicell at r.
If, 1) the cells are large enough for the system of particles that are within it to be considered as a therm odynam ic system, and 2) the variations of the conserved quantities from neighbor cells are $s m$ all, then the variables (1) obey the equations of uctuating hydrodynam ics 7] (see 28] for the non-linear case). The conserved quantities (1) are sub ject to uctuations because the atom s can enter and go out from the V oronoicells due to their therm alagitation. The size of uctuations, that is, the noise am plitude appearing in the equations of uctuatingphydrodynam ics is proportional to the square root of the inverse of the volum e of the cell, in accordance $w$ ith the $1=\mathrm{N}$ dependence of uctuations in equilibrium ensem ble theory 26]. Therefore, depending on the \resolution" (the num ber of Voronoi cells per unit volum e) used to describe the system, the am plitude of the noise term in the hydrodynam ic equations that govem the instantaneous values of the conserved variables w ill be di erent.

N ow, one is faced w th two possible routes in order to sim ulate the dynam ics of clusters. The rst route is to consider the conserved discrete variables (1) as the state variables and update them according to som e discretized version of the equations of hydrodynam ics. This poses som e subtle problem s regarding the form ulation of uctuating hydrodynam ics in a $m$ oving $m$ esh, in particular w ith the treatm ent of the $1=\bar{V}_{r}$ singularity. T he second route, which is the one we follow in this paper, is to postulate the law s of force betw een cells. D espite the strong assum ptions $m$ ade to $m$ odel these forces, the nal expressions satisfy sym $m$ etry requirem ents that ensure that the behavior of the clusters will be, on average, that of real chusters.

A nalword on the angular mom entum is in order. W e have not included in the above set of conserved variables 11) the angularm om entum density de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r}=r \quad g_{r}+\frac{S_{r}}{V_{r}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have decom posed the angular $m$ om entum of the system of particles in cell $r$ as the sum of the angular $m$ om entum of the center of $m$ ass $w$ th respect to the origin plus the intrinsic angular $m$ om entum $S_{r}$ of the particles of the cell $w$ th respect to the center ofm ass of the cell. $W$ e could, in principle, com pute the inertia tensor $I_{r}$ for the system of particles in cell $r$ and de ne the angular velocity $!_{r}$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{r}=I_{r}^{1} S_{r} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reason why the angularm om entum density is usually not considered in the derivation of $N$ ew tonian hydrodynam ics is because the second contribution in (3) vanishes in the \continuum lim tit. This can be seen by noting that $S_{r} m$ ust scale as a typical size of the cell. In the continuum lim it this typical distance goes to zero and there is no intrinsic angular $m$ om entum contribution. The situation here is di erent from the case ofm olecular uids with spin 27], where the rotation of the $m$ olecules them selves originates an angular $m$ om entum that does not scale with the size of the cells and produces a nite value in the continuum lim it.

## III. M ODELINGTHEFORCESAND TORQUESBETWEEN FLU DP PARTICLES

In this section we form ulate the uid particle $m$ odel under a set of sim plifying hypothesis. In the real chusters, the $m$ ass is a uctuating quantity as particles can enter and go out from the Voronoi cell. A lso, the shape of the cells changes as the cells $m$ ove. H ow ever, the rst assum ption is that all clusters are identical, having a xed $m$ ass $m$ and
xed isotropic inertia tensor of $m$ om ent of inertia I. We assum e that the state of the cluster system is com pletely characterized by the positions $r_{i}$, the velocities of the center of $m$ ass $v_{i}$ and the angular velocities ! $i_{i}$. N ote that we do not include any intemal energy variable and therefore, the resulting algorithm will not capture appropriately the therm ale ects that occur in real uids. This $m$ ay be a $m$ inor problem when one is interested only in rheological properties. A generalization of the $m$ odel including energy conservation has been recently proposed independently in Refs. 30] 29].

The next step is to specify the forces and torques that are responsible for changing the values of the linear and angu lar velocities of the clusters. W em odel the forces betw een tw o chusters by considering severalheuristic argum ents about how one expects that the actualV oronoichisters interact w ith each other. In this respect we m ake rst a strong pairw ise additivity assum ption. In the real system one expects that the force betw een two clusters (that is betw een all the atom s of the rst cluster that are interacting w ith the atom s of the second cluster) will depend in general not only on the state variables of these tw o clusters but also on the con guration of other neighboring clusters. For the sake of sim plicity, though, we neglect this collective e ect and assum e that the force betw een two clusters depends only on the position and velocities of these tw o clusters.
$T$ he equations of $m$ otion are therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{r_{i}}=v_{i} \\
& \underline{v_{i}}=\frac{1}{m}^{X} F_{i j} \\
& \underline{!_{i}}=\frac{1}{I}_{j \in i}^{X} N_{i j} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are the force and torque that chuster j exerts on cluster i . W e require that the forces satisfy N ew ton's third law, $F_{i j}=F_{j i}$, in such a way that the total linear mom entum $P={ }_{i} m v_{i}$ is a dynam ical invariant, $P=0$. In addition, we assum e that the torques in (5) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} r_{i j} \quad F_{i j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one checks im m ediately that the totalangular m om entum

$$
\begin{equation*}
J={ }_{i}^{X} r_{i} \quad p_{i}+I!_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is conserved exactly, $エ=0$.
W e w illm odel the force betw een chusters according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}=F_{i j}^{C}+F_{i j}^{T}+F_{i j}^{R}+F_{i j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst three contributions are determ in istic forces whereas the last one is random. Let us discuss them separately.

## A. D eterm in istic forces

The rst contribution $F_{i j}^{c}$ to the force is assum ed to arise from a conservative potential $V(r)$ that depends on the separation distance betw een clusters. In R ef. 16] we have argued that a plausible de nition ofth is potential is through the logarithm of the radialdistribution function of cluster centers. The resulting soft potential has a bell-shaped form and has the virtue that when used as the potential betw een clusters in a M D sim ulation, it reproduces consistently the radial distribution function of the real clusters (as it has been checked through an actualM D sim ulation). It therefore captures the static or equilibrium properties of the system of clusters. The physical interpretation of this force is that it provides the exchuded volum e e ect of each cluster. T he center of the chuster (and its center ofm ass) is usually located \in the $m$ iddle" of the cell and therefore it is not very probable that tw o cluster centers are closer to each other than the typical size of the cell.

It is clear, though, that this conservative contribution cannot be the only contribution to the force because it does not capture friction e ects betw een clusters. These friction e ects w ill depend on the velocities betw een clusters and will give rise to dissipative processes. The second contribution in (G) is a friction force that depends on the relative translational velocities of the chusters $i ; j w$ ith positions $r_{i} ; r_{j}$ and velocities $v_{i} ; v_{j}$ in the follow ing $w$ ay

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{mM}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $v_{i j}=v_{i} \quad v_{j}$ is the relative velocity and the dim ensionless $m$ atrix $M^{T}\left(r_{i j}\right)$ is the $m$ ost generalm atrix that can be constructed out of the vector $r_{i j}=r_{i} \quad r_{j}$, this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{T}\left(r_{i j}\right) \quad A\left(r_{i j}\right) 1+B\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here 1 is the unit $m$ atrix, $e_{i j}=r_{i j}=r_{i j}$ is the unit vector joining the particles, $r_{i j}=j_{i j} j$ and the dim ensionless functions A (r) and B (r) provide the range of the force. The friction coe cient has been introduced as an overall factor for convenience and has dim ensions of inverse of tim e. The rst contribution to the dissipative force ( 9 ) is in the direction of the relative velocities and tends to dam $p$ out the di erence betw een the velocities. It is a shearing force which is non-central. The second contribution is directed along the joining line of the particles and dam ps out the relative approaching $m$ otion of the particles. The dissipative force in the originalalgorithm of H oogenbrugge and $K$ oelm an is obtained $w$ th $A(r)=0$ 14]. N ote that the form of the force $F_{i j}^{T}$ is the $m$ ore general expression for a vector that depends on $r_{i j}$ and is linear in the relative velocities.
$W$ e now discuss the e ects of rotation in the dissipative force. Let us assum e for a m om ent that the clusters $i$ and $j$ were spheres of radius $r_{i j}=2$ in contact and spinning with angular velocities $!_{i} ;!_{j} w$ ith no translationalvelocities. W e would have a relative velocity at the \surface" of the spheres equal to $\frac{1}{2} r_{i j} \quad\left(!_{i}+!_{j}\right)$ and it is plausible to associate a friction force betw een the spheres proportional (in $m$ atrix sense) to this relative velocily. T herefore, the rotational contribution to the dissipative force is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}^{R}=m^{R}\left(r_{i j}\right) \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad\left[!_{i}+!_{j}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, the dim ensionless $m$ atrix $M^{R}$ depends only on the vector $r_{i j}$ and therefore it $m$ ust have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{R}\left(r_{i j}\right)=C\left(r_{i j}\right) 1+D\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $C(r) ; D(r)$ are scalar functions. The rst part of the $m$ atrix gives rise to a friction force proportional to the relative velocity at the \surface" of the spheres. The e ect of this force is twofold. On one hand, the spinning of a particle causes translationalm otion onto the neigbouring particles. On the other, it also causes rotationalm otion in such a way that tw o neigbouring particles prefer to be w ith opposite angular velocities (in a sort of \engaging" e ect). $T$ he presence of a third particle frustrates the spinning ofboth particles and, therefore, the globale ect of this force is to dam $p$ out to zero the angular velocities of the particles. The second contribution to the force (12) is actually zero because the cross product is perpendicular to $e_{i j} . W$ e retain this term just to $m$ aintain the analogy betw een both $m$ atrioes $M^{R}$ in (9) and $M^{T}$ in (11). Finally, we use the sam e value for in (9) and in (12) because any di erence can be taken into account through the functions A (r);B(r);C (r);D (r).

Ifw e use, instead of the polar vector representation for the angular velocity, the antisym $m$ etric tensor representation $\$_{\mathrm{xy}}=\$_{\mathrm{yx}}=!_{\mathrm{z}}$ (cyclic), we can write the force in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}^{R}=m^{R}\left(r_{i j}\right) \quad\left(\$+\$_{j}\right) \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show s explicitly the vectorial nature of the force (this is, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{R}}$ transform s under rotations as a vector).

## B. R andom forces

The rst three contributions $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{C}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{T}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{R}}$ to the force betw een clusters in 8) are determ in istic while the last one is stochastic. T he reason why we introduce a random force is because it is well-know $n$ that whenever a coarse-graining procedure is perform ed, dissipation and noise arise and both are related through a uctuation-dissipation theorem . A fter discussing the form of the dissipative forces we will now consider the form that the random force should have.

Inspired by the tensorial structure of the random forces that appear in the uctuating hydrodynam ics theory 28], we expect that the dissipation due to shear has associated a traceless sym $m$ etric random $m$ atrix and that the dissipation due to com pressions has associated a diagonal trace $m$ atrix. By sym $m$ etry reasons, we expect that the noise associated to rotational dissipation $w i l l$ involve an antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrix. T herefore, we postulate the follow ing velocity independent random force

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{i j} \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{m} \overparen{A}^{\Upsilon}\left(r_{i j}\right) \overline{d W}_{i j}^{S}+B^{\Upsilon}\left(r_{i j}\right) \frac{1}{D} \operatorname{tr}[d W \quad i j] 1+C\left(r_{i j}\right) d W{ }_{i j}^{A} \quad e_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\AA^{\Omega}(r) ; B^{n}(r) ; C(r)$ are scalar functions, is a param eter goveming the overallnoise am plitude, and we introduce the follow ing sym $m$ etric, antisym $m$ etric and traceless sym $m$ etric random $m$ atrices

$$
\begin{align*}
d W_{i j}^{S} & =\frac{1}{2} d W_{i j}+d W_{i j} \\
d W W_{i j}^{A} & =\frac{1}{2} d W_{i j} d W_{i j} \\
\overline{d W}_{i j}^{S} & =d W_{i j}^{S} \quad \frac{1}{D} \operatorname{tr}\left[d W_{i j}^{S}\right] 1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he overline in a $m$ atrix denotes its traceless part. Here, $D$ is the physicaldim ension of space and dW ij is a matrix of independent $W$ iener increm ents which is assum ed to be sym $m$ etric under particle interchange

$$
\begin{equation*}
d W_{i j}=d W_{j i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his sym $m$ etry $w$ ill ensure $m$ om entum conservation because $F_{i j}=F_{j i}$. Thematrix $d W{ }_{i j}$ is an in nitesim al of order $1=2$, and this is sum $m$ arized in the Ito $m$ nem otechnical rule

$$
d W \underset{i i^{\circ}}{0} d W \underset{j j^{0}}{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
i j & i^{0} j^{0}+ & i j^{0}  \tag{17}\\
j i^{0}
\end{array}\right] \quad 0 \text { odt }
$$

From this stochastic property, one derives straightforw ardly the follow ing rules from the di erent parts in (14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{dW} \mathrm{ii}^{0}\right] \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{dW} \mathrm{jj}^{0}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{ij} & \mathrm{i}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{0}+ & \mathrm{ij}{ }^{0} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}^{0}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{D} d \mathrm{dt}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d W \underset{i i^{0}}{A}{ }^{0} d W \underset{j j^{0}}{A}=\left[i j i^{0} j^{0}+j_{j} j^{0} i^{0}\right] \frac{1}{2}(00 \quad 0 \quad 0) d t \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{dW} \quad \mathrm{ii}^{0}\right] \mathrm{d} \bar{W}^{\mathrm{j} j^{0}} \mathrm{~S}=0 \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left[d W \quad i i^{0}\right] d W{ }_{j j^{0}}^{A}=0 \\
& \overline{d W}_{\text {ii }} \mathrm{S}^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{dW} \underset{\mathrm{jj}}{ }{ }^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{0}=0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese expressions show that the traceless sym $m$ etric, the trace and the antisym $m$ etric parts are independent stochastic processes. The apparently com plex structure of the random force (14) is required in order to be consistent w ith the tensor structure of the dissipative friction forces (9) and (11). This will becom e apparent when considering the associated Fokker-P lanck equation in the next section and requiring that it has a proper equilibrium ensem ble.

D espite of the heuristic argum ents and strong assum ptions $m$ ade in order to $m$ odel the force $F_{i j}$ betw een chisters, we note that this force is the $m$ ost general force that can be constructed out of the vectors $r_{i} ; r_{j} ; v_{i} ; v_{j} ;!i ;!j$ and that satis es the follow ing properties:

1. It is invariant under translational and $G$ alilean transform ations and transform $s$ as a vector under rotations.
2. It is linear in the linear and angular velocities. This linearity is required in order to be consistent with the G aussian distribution of velocities at equilibrium, as wew ill show later.
3. It satis es $N$ ew ton's third law $F_{i j}=F_{j i}$ and, therefore, the total linearm om entum willbe a conserved quantity of the system.
```
IV.FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION AND EQUILIBRIUM STATE
```

The equations of motion (5) are Langevin equations which in the form of proper stochastic di erential equations (SD E ) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& d r_{i}=v_{i} d t \\
& d v_{i}=\frac{1}{m}{ }_{i^{0}}^{X} F_{i i^{0}}^{C}+F_{i i^{0}}^{T}+F_{i i^{0}}^{R} d t+{ }_{i^{0}}^{X} d \nabla_{i i^{0}} \\
& d!_{i}=\frac{1}{I}_{X^{0}}^{X} \frac{r_{i i^{0}}}{2} \quad F_{i i^{0}}^{T}+F_{i i^{0}}^{R} d t \quad \frac{m}{I}{\underset{i^{0}}{ }}_{\frac{r_{i i^{0}}}{2}} d v_{i i^{0}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced

In principle, one should specify which stochastic interpretation (ItO or Stratonovich) m ust be used in these equations 31]. $N$ evertheless, both interpretations produce the sam e answ ers because the random forces are velocity independent. A ssociated to the SDE 19) there exists a m athem atically equivalent FokkerP lanck equation (FPE). The FPE govems the distribution function ( $r ; v ;!; t$ ) that gives the probability density that the $N$ clusters of the system have speci ed values for the positions, velocities and angular velocities. We show in the A ppendix that the FPE is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t}(r ; v ;!; t)=L^{C}+L^{T}+L^{R} \quad(r ; v ;!; t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $L^{C}$ is the usualLiouville operator of a H am iltonian system interacting w ith conservative forces $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{C}}$, th is is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{C}=4^{2} X V_{i} \frac{@}{@ r_{i}}+{ }_{i ; j \neq i}^{X} \frac{1}{m} F_{i j}^{C} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} 5 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he operators $L^{T} ; L^{R}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{T}=X_{i ; j \epsilon i}^{@} \frac{@}{@ V_{i}} L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \\
& L^{R}=\frac{m}{I}_{i ; j \epsilon i}^{X} \frac{@}{@!_{i}} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{T}}+\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}} \quad \frac{@}{\varrho \mathrm{v}_{j}} \\
& L_{i j}^{R} \quad \frac{1}{m} F_{i j}^{R}+\frac{m}{I} \frac{2}{2} T_{i j} \quad \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@!_{i}}+\frac{@}{@!_{j}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the $m$ atrix $T_{i j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{h}{A^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right)+C^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right)} 1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{D} \AA^{\sim}\left(r_{i j}\right)+\frac{1}{D} B^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} C^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The steady state solution of equation 21), $\varrho_{t}=0$, gives the equilibrium distribution eq. W e now consider the conditions under which the steady state solution is the Gibbs canonical ensem ble:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { eq }(r ; v ;!) & =\frac{1}{Z} \operatorname{expf} H(r ; v ;!)=k_{B} T g \\
& =\frac{1}{Z} \operatorname{expf} \quad X \quad \frac{m}{2} v_{i}^{2}+\frac{I}{2}!_{i}^{2}+V(r) \quad=k_{B} T g \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $H$ is the $H$ am iltonian of the system, $V$ is the potential function that gives rise to the conservative forces $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{C}}$, $k_{B}$ is Boltzm ann's constant, $T$ is the equilibrium tem perature and $Z$ is the norm alizing partition function. W e note that the velocity and angular velocity elds are G aussian variables at equilibrium and, therefore, one expects that the distribution function of the discrete values of theses elds is also G aussian.
$T$ he canonical ensemble is the equilibrium solution for the conservative system, i.e. $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{eq}^{\mathrm{eq}} 0$. If in addition the follow ing equations are satis ed

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{i j}^{T} \\
& L_{i j}^{R} \tag{27}
\end{align*}{ }^{\mathrm{eq}}=0
$$

then we willhave $L{ }^{\text {eq }}=0$ and the $G$ ibbs equilibrium ensem ble willbe the unique stationary solution of the dynam ics. Eqns. 27) willbe satis ed if

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}}{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a detailed balance condition, and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{R}\left(r_{i j}\right)=M^{T}\left(r_{i j}\right)=T_{i j} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the uctuation-dissipation theorem for the uid particle model. W e observe, therefore, that the initial hypothesis for the tensorial structure of the dissipative (9), 13) and random 14) foroes $w$ as correct and consistent $w$ ith equilibrium statisticalm echanics.

A nalword about an $H$ theorem is in order. It has been show in Ref. 21] that the originalD PD algorithm has an $H$-theorem that ensures that the equilibrium ensemble is the nal solution for whatever in itial condition selected. In the $m$ odelpresented in this paper there is also a functionalof $(z)$ that is a Lyapunov functional. It is not necessary to prove again that an $H$ theorem exists for the uid particle $m$ odel, because a generalH theorem exists for any Fokker$P$ lanck equation (32]. The only condition is that the di usion $m$ atrix accom panying the second derivative term $s$ of the FPE is positive (sem i) de nite. H ow ever, in the $m$ odel presented in this paper the di usion $m$ atrix is positive sem ide nite by construction, because the FPE has been obtained from a SDE.The di usion m atrix is obtained from the product of tw o identicalm atrices. Therefore, its eigenvalues are the square of the eigenvalues of these $m$ atrioes and are necessarily positive (or zero).

## V.SUMMARYOFTHEFLUDPARTICLEMODEL

In this section and for the sake of clarity we collect the results presented so far. The uid particle m odel is de ned by $N$ identical particles ofm ass $m$ and $m$ om ent of inertia I. The state of the system is characterized by the positions $r_{i}$, velocities $v_{i}$, and angular velocities ! i of each particle. T he foroes and torques on the particles are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{i}={ }^{X}{ }^{j} F_{i j}  \tag{30}\\
& N_{i}={ }^{j} X \quad \frac{r_{i j}}{2} F_{i j}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the force that particle $j$ exerts on particle $i$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}=F_{i j}^{C}+F_{i j}^{T}+F_{i j}^{R}+F_{i j} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conservative (C ), translational (T), rotational (R) and random () contributions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{i j}^{C}=V^{0}\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{T}}=\quad \mathrm{mT}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
& F_{i j}^{R}=m T_{i j} \frac{r_{i j}}{2}\left(!_{i}+!_{j}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he random bits are de ned in (15) and its stochastic properties are given in 18). Here, the $m$ atrix $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=A\left(r_{i j}\right) 1+B\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(r)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{h} \mathbb{A}^{2}(r)+C^{2}(r)^{i} \\
& B(r)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{h} \mathscr{A}^{2}(r) \quad C^{2}(r)^{i}+\frac{1}{D}{ }^{h} B^{2}(r) \quad \AA^{2}(r)^{i} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ odel is thus speci ed by providing the scalar functions $V(r) ; \mathbb{A}^{\Upsilon}(r) ; B^{r}(r) ; C^{\sim}(r)$. W e note that the case $\mathbb{A}^{\Upsilon}(r)=$ $C(r)=0$ corresponds to the originalD PD algorithm of H oogerbrugge and K oelm an 14, 17]. In this case, the random force is given in term s of a single random num ber (the trace), the foroes are centraland the torques vanish, rendering the spin variables unnecessary. N ote that there is som e freedom in selecting the functions $\mathbb{A}^{\sim}(r) ; B^{\Omega}(r) ; C^{r}(r)$ and it $m$ ight be convenient to take $\AA^{\sim}(r)$ or $C(r)$ equal to zero in order to com pute only four of seven random num bers in each step of a sim ulation.
$T$ he $m$ odel presented in the language of $S D E$ is $m$ ore appropriate for its direct use in sim ulations. For theoretical analysis it is more convenient to use the corresponding FPE which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}(r ; v ;!; t)=L^{C}+L^{T}+L^{R} \quad(r ; v ;!; t) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{C}=X_{i} V_{i} \frac{@}{@ r_{i}}+\frac{F_{i}^{C}}{m} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} \\
& L^{T}={ }_{i ; j \neq i}^{@} \frac{@}{@ V_{i}} L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \\
& L^{R}=\frac{m}{I}_{i ; j \notin i}^{X} \frac{@}{@!} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, the vector operators are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
L_{i j}^{T} & T_{i j} & V_{i j}+\frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@}{\varrho v_{i}} \frac{@}{\varrho v_{j}} & T_{i j} V_{j} \\
L_{i j}^{R} & T_{i j} & \frac{r_{i j}}{2} & {\left[!_{i}+!_{j}\right]+\frac{k_{B} T}{I}} & \frac{r_{i j}}{2}  \tag{37}\\
\frac{@}{@!_{i}}+\frac{@}{@!_{j}} \quad T_{i j} \quad W_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

were the last equality de nes the two vector operators $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}} ; \mathrm{W} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

## VI.K INETIC THEORY

O ne would like to predict the $m$ acroscopic behavior of the uid particle model and, in particular, check that this behavior conform $s$ to the law $s$ of hydrodynam ics (as expected from sym $m$ etry considerations) and predict the value of the transport coe cients in term s of m odel param eters. T he global conservation law s ofm ass, linear and angular m om entum in the uid particle m odel have a local countenpart in the form of balance equations. O ur aim is to form ulate these equations of transport w thin a kinetic theory approach, as has been done by $M$ arsh, $B$ ackx, and Emst recently for the case of the originalDPD model in Ref. 21]. A derivation of the hydrodynam ic equations w ith a projection operator technique for the originalD PD algorithm was presented in Ref. 20]. The pro jector used was the M oripro jector 33] and the resulting equations w ere the linearized equations of hydrodynam ics. By using a tim e-dependent pro jector one can obtain the non-linear equations of hydrodynam ics $w$ ith the transport coe cients expressed in term $s$ of $G$ reen $K$ ubo form ulae 34]. A though explicit calculations can be perform ed of these $G$ reen$K$ ubo form ulae under certain approxim ations [35], we adopt in this paper the approach of kinetic theory, allow ing for a straightforw ard com parison $w$ ith the results of $R$ ef. 21].
$T$ he starting point is the form ulation of the general rate of change equation for an arbitrary function $G(z)$ where $z$ is a shorthand for the set of all positions, velocities and angular velocities of the $N$ particles of the uid. By using the Fokker-P lanck equation 35), we can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{hG} i & =\mathrm{Z} \\
& \mathrm{dzG}(\mathrm{z}) @_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) \\
& =\mathrm{dzG}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{R}} \quad(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) \\
& =\mathrm{Z} \\
& d z(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) L^{\mathrm{C}+}+L^{\mathrm{T}+}+\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{R}+} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z})
\end{align*}
$$

where an integration by parts is perform ed and the adjoint operators are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{C+}=X_{i} V_{i} \frac{@}{@ r_{i}}+\frac{F_{i}^{C}}{m} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} \\
& L^{T+}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} ; j \neq \mathrm{i}}{\overline{V_{i j}}}+\bar{W}_{i j} \quad T_{i j} \frac{@}{@ V_{i}} \\
& \left.L^{R+}=\frac{m}{I} X_{i ; j \notin i} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad T_{i j} \quad \overline{(V}_{j j}+\bar{W}_{i j}\right) \frac{@}{@!i} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the vector operators are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{V}_{i j}^{+} & \mathrm{V}_{i j}+\frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@}{\varrho v_{i}} \frac{@}{\varrho v_{j}} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{i j}^{+} & \frac{r_{i j}}{2}\left[!_{i}+!_{j}\right]+\frac{k_{B} T}{I} & \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \tag{40}
\end{array} \frac{\varrho}{@!_{i}}+\frac{\varrho}{@!_{j}}
$$

to be com pared w ith 37).
B. B alance equations

The conserved density elds are expected to behave hydrodynam ically. The conserved density elds are the $m$ ass density $(r ; t)=m n(r ; t)$, where $n(r ; t)$ is the number density eld; the $m$ om entum density $(r ; t) u(r ; t)$, where $u(r ; t)$ is the velocity eld; and the total angular mom entum density eld $J(r ; t)=L(r ; t)+S(r ; t)$ where $L(r ; t)=$ $r \quad(r ; t) u(r ; t)$ is the $m$ acroscopic angular $m$ om entum density and $S(r ; t)=\operatorname{In}(r ; t)(r ; t)$ is the intrinsic angular $m$ om entum density or spin density. Here $(r ; t)$ is the angular velocity eld. The number density, the velocity and angular velocity elds are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(r ; t)=h^{X} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & \left.r_{i}\right) i
\end{array}\right. \\
& n(r ; t) u(r ; t)=h^{X^{i}} \quad v_{i} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & r_{i}
\end{array}\right) i \\
& n(r ; t) \quad(r ; t)=h^{X^{i}} \quad!_{i} \quad\left(\begin{array}{rl}
r & \left.r_{i}\right) i
\end{array}\right.  \tag{41}\\
& \text { i }
\end{align*}
$$

By applying 38) to the $m$ ass and $m$ om entum densities 41) we obtain the set of balance equations

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} & =r \mathrm{u} \\
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{u} & =\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{uu}+] \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where the total stress tensor $={ }^{K}+{ }^{C}+D^{D}$ and the kinetic, conservative and dissipative contributions to the stress tensor are

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{K}=1 m \quad\left(v_{i} \quad u(r ; t)\right)\left(v_{i} \quad u(r ; t)\right) \quad\left(r \quad r_{i}\right) i \\
& C=h \frac{1}{2}{ }_{i ; j \neq i}^{i} F_{i j}^{c} r_{i j}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d \quad\left(r \quad r_{i} \quad r_{i j}\right) i \\
& D=m h \frac{1}{2}_{i ; j f i}^{X} r_{i j} T_{i j} \quad F_{j}^{Z} d \quad\left(r \quad r_{i} \quad r_{i j}\right) i \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $g_{i j}=v_{i j}+r_{i j} \quad\left[!_{i}+!_{j}\right]=2$ is the relative velocity at the \surface of contact" of tw o identicalspheres separated a distance $r_{i j}$. In deriving these equations we have used the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & r_{i}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{rl}
r_{j}
\end{array}\right) & ={ }_{0}^{Z} d \frac{d}{d} \quad\left(\begin{array}{rl}
Z_{i}+ & r_{i j}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =r r_{1} d \quad\left(\begin{array}{rlll}
r_{i}+ & r_{i j}
\end{array}\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

W e note that the kinetic and conservative parts to the stress tensor are sym $m$ etric tensors but the dissipative part is not and therefore we m ust be careful w ith the ordering of the indiges. In C artesian com ponents we understand the m om entum balance equation 42) as follow $s$ (sum $m$ ation over repeated indices is im plied)

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{u}=@[\mathrm{u} \mathrm{u}+\quad] \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the dissipative stress tensor has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=m h \frac{1}{2}{ }_{i ; j \in i}^{X} r_{i j} T_{i j} g_{i j}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{1} d \quad\left(r \quad r_{i} \quad r_{i j}\right) i \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onceming the angular velocity eld, by using again Eqn. 38) on the de nition (41) we obtain

$$
@_{t} n=r h_{i}^{X} V_{i}!_{i}\left(\begin{array}{rl}
r & \left.r_{i}\right) i+\frac{m}{I} h_{i ; j \neq i}^{X}  \tag{47}\\
\frac{r_{i j}}{2} & T_{i j} \quad \Phi_{j} \quad\left(r \quad r_{i}\right) i
\end{array}\right.
$$

N ote that the rate of change of the spin $S=I n$ cannot be expressed entirely as the gradient of a ux. This is a re ection that the intrinsic angular $m$ om entum $S$ is not a conserved quantity. In the sam $e w a y$, the $m$ acroscopic angular $m$ om entum $L=r \quad u$ is not conserved either, as can be appreciated by taking the cross product of the m om entum balance equation (42) $w$ ith the position vector $r$, this is

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{t} \mathrm{~L} & =r r(u u+) \\
& =r(\mathrm{Lu}+r \quad)+2^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Where ${ }^{A}$ is the antisym $m$ etric part of the stress tensor (expressed here as an axial vector, this is $\mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{2}$
where is the Levi-C ivita sym bol). If the stress tensor is sym $m$ etric (i.e. its antisym $m$ etric part is zero), the m acroscopic angularm om entum is conserved. In the uid particle $m$ odel the non-centralnature of the forces im plies that the antisym $m$ etric part of the stress tensor is not zero. A ctually, it is given by (as an axial vector)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{A}=\operatorname{mh}_{\mathrm{m}_{i j \neq i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \mathrm{~T}_{i j} \oiint_{j} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \quad\left(r_{0} r_{i}+r_{i j}\right) i \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add the last tem of 47) w ith the last term of 48) which is 49), we obtain
where we have used again 44). Therefore, the totalangularm om entum density $J=L+S$ satis es a balance equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{Jv}+\mathrm{r}+] \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\text { C. B alance equations in term } s \text { of distribution functions }
$$

It is convenient to express the quantities appearing in the balance equations in term s of the single particle and pair distribution functions, de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x ; t) & =f(r ; v ;!; t)=h_{i}^{X} \quad\left(x \quad x_{i}\right) i \\
f^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0} ; t\right) & =\underset{i ; j \neq i}{h_{i}}\left(x \quad x_{i}\right) \quad\left(x^{0} \quad x_{j}\right) i \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

T he num ber density, the velocity and angular velocities in 41) are the rst $m$ om ents of the single particle distribution function,

$$
\begin{align*}
n(r ; t) & =Z_{Z}^{Z} d v d!f(r ; v ;!; t) \\
n(r ; t) u(r ; t) & =Z_{Z} d v d!v f(r ; v ;!; t) \\
n(r ; t) \quad(r ; t) & =d v d!!f(r ; v ;!; t)
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ext, by using that for an arditrary function $G$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{(2)}\left(r+R ; V ;!r+(1) R ; V^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

which, for $=0$ becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{i ; j \neq i}^{X} G\left(r_{i j} ; v_{i} ; v_{j} ;!_{i} ;!_{j}\right)\left(r \quad r_{i}\right) i={ }^{Z} \quad d v d v^{0} d!d!{ }^{0} d R G\left(R ; v ; v^{0} ;!;!{ }^{0}\right) \\
& \text { i;jもi } \\
& \mathrm{f}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{r} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { V }} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { F }} \text { ! ; r } \quad \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{V}^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

we can w rite the di erent contributions 43) to the stress tensor in term s of the distribution functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& K=d v d!m(v \quad u)(v \quad u) f(r ; v ;!; t) \\
& \text { Z Z } \\
& C=d v d!d v^{0} d!^{0} d R \frac{R}{Z} Z^{C}(R) \bar{f}^{(2)}\left(r ; v ;!; r^{0} ; v^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \\
& D=m \quad d v d!d v^{0} d!!^{0} d R \frac{R}{2} T(R) \quad \bar{g} f^{(2)}\left(r ; v ;!; r^{0} ; v^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g \quad v \quad v^{0}+\frac{R}{2} \quad\left[!+!^{0}\right]$. W e have introduced in these expressions the spatially averaged pair distribution function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{f}}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; \mathrm{r}^{0} ; \mathrm{v}^{0} ;!^{0}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{df}{ }^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; r+(\quad 1) \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{v}^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term $s$ of the distribution functions the term $s$ of the right hand side of 47) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad \operatorname{dvd}!(v \quad u)(!\quad) f(r ; v ;!; t) \quad n \quad u \\
& \text { Z Z } \\
& +u \text { dvd!!f(r;v;!;t)+ dvd!vf(r;v;!t) } \\
& \underset{i ; j \epsilon i}{\mathrm{X}} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad T_{i j} \quad \Phi_{j} \quad\left(r \quad r_{i}\right) i={ }^{Z} d v d!d v{ }^{0} d!^{Z} d R \quad \frac{R}{2} \quad T(R) \quad g \\
& \mathrm{f}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{v}^{0} ;{ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right) \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

F inally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=m^{Z} d v d!d v^{0} d!^{0^{Z}} d R \quad \frac{R}{2} \quad T(R) \quad g R \overline{\bar{f}}^{(2)}\left(r ; v ;!; r^{0} ; v^{0} ;!{ }^{0}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\overline{\bar{f}}^{(2)}\left(r ; v ;!; r^{0} ; v^{0} ;!^{0}\right)={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{0_{f}(2)}\left(r+{ }^{0} R ; v ;!; r+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \left.1) R ; v^{0} ;!{ }^{0}\right) \tag{61}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

> D . Fokker-P lanck Boltzm ann equation

The Fokker-P lanck Boltzm ann equation (FPBE) is an approxim ate kinetic equation for the single particle distribution function $f(x ; t)$. The FPBE is obtained by applying the general rate of change equation 38 ) to $f(x ; t)$. A fer som e algebra one arrives at

Z

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{t} f+v r f & =d R d v^{0} d!{ }^{0} @ F^{C}(R)+T(R) \quad g f^{(2)}\left(r ; v ;!; r \quad R ; v^{0} ;!{ }^{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{k_{B} T}{m} d R d v^{0} d!{ }^{0} @ T(R) \quad d^{2} \nmid\left(r ; v ; ; r \quad R ; v^{0} ;!^{0}\right) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ \quad \frac{@}{@ v}+\frac{m}{I} \quad \frac{R}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@!} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

In obtaining (62), we have inserted at som e point the identily

$$
1==^{Z} d R d v^{0} d!^{0}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
r & R & r_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(v^{0} \quad v_{j}\right)\left(!^{0} \quad!_{j}\right)
$$

Equation 62) is not a closed equation for $f(r ; v ;!; t)$ because the pair function $f^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0} ; t\right)$ appears. Nevertheless it can be closed approxim ately by using the m olecular chaos assum ption. In what follow $s$ we w ill assum e that the friction is so large to allow for a neglection of the conservative forces F C 21]. This sim pli es considerably the calculations in the next section. The $m$ olecular chaos assum ption in the absence of conservative forces becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(2)}\left(x ; x^{0} ; t\right) \quad f(x ; t) f\left(x^{0} ; t\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nalclosed Fokker $P$ lanck B oltzm ann equation for the distribution finction is, after using the m olecular chaos assum ption 65)

$$
@_{t} f+v \quad r f=I[f]=\quad \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dv}{ }^{0} d!{ }^{0} f\left(r \quad R ; v^{0} ;!^{0}\right) @ \quad T(\mathbb{R}) g+\frac{k_{B} T}{m} @ f(r ; v ;!)
$$

which is an integro-di erential non-linear equation.

O ur aim is to solve the nonlinear FPBE 6б) by using the perturbative $m$ ethod of $C$ hapm an and Enskog. The $m$ ethod is valid for situations in which the $m$ acroscopic conserved elds are slow ly varying in typicalm olecular length scales. In these situations, the distribution function decays in a very short kinetic tim e (short com pared to typicaltim es ofevolution of the conserved eld) tow ards the so called norm alsolution where the distribution function $f(v ;!\dot{d}(r ; t)$ ) depends on space and tim e only through the rst few m om ents a ( $r ; t$ ) 21]. D uring this last hydrodynam ic stage, the solution can be obtained perturbatively as an expansion in gradients, this is $f(v ;!j ; \quad)=f_{0}+f_{1}+O\left(r^{2}\right)$ where $f_{0}$ is of zeroth order in gradients and $f_{1}$ is of rst order in gradients. By substitution of this expansion into the FPBE 6) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} f_{0}+@_{t} f_{1}+v \quad r f=I\left[f_{0}\right]+\frac{d I}{d f} \quad f_{1}+O\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analogy w th the conventionalkinetic theory and also w ith the kinetic theory for D PD in Ref. 21], we expect that the low est order contribution $f_{0}$ is given by the local equilibrium form for the distribution function. In the presence of spin variables it takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(r ; v ;!; t)=n(r ; t) \quad \frac{m}{2 k_{B} T} \quad \exp \quad \frac{m}{2 k_{B} T}(v \quad u)^{2} \quad \frac{I}{2 k_{B} T}{ }^{D=2} \exp \quad \frac{I}{2 k_{B} T}(!\quad)^{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

This localequilibrium distribution provides the correct averages for the rst $m$ om ents of $f(r ; v ;!$; ), th is is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dvd}!\mathrm{f}_{0}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; \mathrm{t}) \\
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dvd}!\mathrm{v} f_{0}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; \mathrm{t}) \\
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}) & =\mathrm{dvd!}!\mathrm{f}_{0}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{v} ;!; \mathrm{t})
\end{align*}
$$

This, in tum, im plies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \quad \text { dvd! } f_{1}(r ; v ;!; t)=O\left(r^{2}\right) \\
& Z \quad \text { dvd!vf }(r ; v ;!; t)=O\left(r^{2}\right) \\
& Z \\
& \quad \text { dvd!! } f_{1}(r ; v ;!; t)=O\left(r^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The procedure is now a bit di erent from the $C$ hapm an Enskog $m$ ethod in $R$ ef. 21], because the inclusion of the spin variables produces new term $s$ w ith di erent orders in gradients. W e w rite the equation 67) as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d I}{d f} \quad f_{1} \quad \varrho_{\mathrm{t}} f_{1}=\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} f_{0}+v \quad r \ddagger \quad I\left[f_{0}\right] \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have neglected term s that are quadratic in gradients. W e w ill check in the follow ing that both sides of this equation are explicitly of rst order in gradients. This linear equation 71 w illbe solved for $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ and therefore we w ill obtain the solution of the FPBE 66) as $f_{0}+f_{1}$, up to tem $s$ of order $r^{2}$.

W e now consider each term of (71) separately. The tem poral and spatialderivatives of $f_{0}$ can be com puted to rst order in gradients $w$ th the use of the balance equations (42) and 47). Only term $s$ of order $r$ are to be retained, which am ounts to use the balance equations $w$ th the averages of the quantities appearing in them evaluated $w$ th the local equilibrium ensemble. Therefore, we need to com pute the local equilibrium average of the stress tensor in the $m$ om entum balance equation, and the local equilibrium average of the two contribution in 59) to the equation for the angular velocity eld. A fter using the $m$ olecular chaos assum ption one easily obtains the follow ing results

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K0}=\mathrm{nk}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} \\
& \left.\mathrm{D0}=\mathrm{mn}^{2} \frac{1}{2}\left[A_{2} \llbracket u+\quad\right]+\mathrm{B}_{2}[\mathrm{r} \mathrm{u}+@ u+@ u]\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{2} & \frac{1}{D}^{Z} d R R^{2} A(R) \\
B_{2} & \frac{1}{D(D+2)} \tag{73}
\end{array}
$$

The rst contribution ${ }^{k 0}$ produces an isotropic pressure term. C onsistently with our assum ption that the conservative forces are negligible this pressure is given by the ideal gas expression. The second contribution D 0 contains tem s of rst order in gradients. W e arrange a bit this contribution by introducing the velocily gradient tensor (r u) = @ u and its traceless sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric parts

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\overline{r u}^{S} & \frac{1}{2} r u+r u^{T} & \frac{1}{D} r u 1 \\
r u^{A} & \frac{1}{2} r u \quad r u^{T} \tag{74}
\end{array}
$$

W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D 0=m n^{2} \frac{1}{2} A_{2}\left(r u^{A}+\quad\right)+\left(A_{2}+2 B_{2}\right) \overline{r u}^{s}+A_{2}+(D+2) B_{2}\right] \frac{1}{D} r u 1 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The antisym $m$ etric part of the total stress tensor in the local equilibrium approxim ation to rst order in gradients is given by (as an axialvector)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{0}=\mathrm{mn}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{u} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a sim ilar way one com putes the quantities in 59) that appear in the balance equation for the spin 47). In particular, the last term in 59) is also given by $2^{A}$ in the localequilibrium approxim ation to rst order gradients.

Substitution of the local equilibrium expressions for the stress tensor into the balance equations produce the Euler equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{rnu} \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{u}=(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{r}) \mathrm{u} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} r n+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} r \quad \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{2}}{2} n^{2} \\
& @_{\mathrm{t}}=(\mathrm{u} r)+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{I}} A_{2} n \frac{1}{2} r \quad u \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have neglected a term of rst order in gradients which produces a term of order $r^{2}$ in the $m$ om entum balance equation. W e note that the tim e derivative of the angular velocity contains a term which is of zeroth order in gradients (the term in the last equation).
W ith the help of the Euler equations and the chain rule, we can now com pute the tim e and space derivatives of the local equilibrium distribution, to rst order in gradient. T he result is

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{t} f_{0}+v r f & =f_{0} \quad r \quad u+\frac{m}{k_{B} T}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
v & u
\end{array}\right)(v \quad u): r u+\frac{I}{k_{B} T}(!\quad)(v \quad u): r \\
& +n A_{2} \frac{m}{k_{B} T} \frac{1}{2 n}(v \quad u) r \quad \AA+A_{2} n \frac{m}{k_{B} T}(!\quad) \frac{1}{2} r \quad u \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double dot: denotes double contraction.
The next step is the calculation of $I\left[f_{0}\right]$. To rst order in gradients it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left[f_{0}\right]=n A_{2} \frac{m}{k_{B} T} f_{0} \frac{1}{2 n}(v \quad u) r \quad \hbar \quad+\left(!\quad \frac{1}{2} r u\right. \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, after som e happy cancelations the right hand side of 71) has the sim ple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} f_{0}+v \quad r \ddagger \quad I\left[\mathbb{E}_{0}\right]=f_{0} \quad r \quad u+\frac{m}{k_{B} T}(v \quad u)(v \quad u): r u+\frac{I}{k_{B} T}(!\quad)(v \quad u): r \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contains only term s of rst order in gradients.
N ext, we consider the term $@_{t} f_{1}$ in 71). We note that it is of rst order in gradients due to the term of zeroth order in the Euler equation for the angular velocity, this is

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{t} f_{1} & =\frac{@ f_{1}}{@} @_{t}+O\left(r^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{I} A_{2} n \frac{@ f_{1}}{@}+O\left(r^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{I} A_{2} n \frac{@ f_{1}}{@!}+O\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have assum ed that the dependence of $f_{1}$ on appears in the com bination!. . This assum ption $w$ ill be con m ed a posteriori.
$T$ he linearization of the functional $I[f] m$ ight be easier to perform by expanding $I\left[f_{0}+f_{1}\right]$ to rst order in gradients (at som e point one uses Eqns. 70). T he nal result for the left hand side of 71) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left[f_{0}+f_{1}\right] \quad I\left[f_{0}\right] \quad @_{t} f_{1}=n \quad\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right] L^{T}+\frac{m}{I} \frac{A_{2}}{2} L^{R} f_{1}+O\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
L^{T}=\frac{@}{@ v} \quad v & u+\frac{k_{B} T}{m} \frac{@}{@ v} \\
L^{R}=\frac{@}{@!} \quad! & +\frac{k_{B} T}{I} \frac{@}{@!} \tag{83}
\end{array}
$$

and the constants $\mathrm{A}_{0} ; \mathrm{B}_{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{0} & Z \\
& d R A(R) \\
B_{0} & \frac{1}{D}^{Z} d R B(R) \tag{84}
\end{array}
$$

Eqn. 71) can be written in com pact form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L f_{1}=f_{0} \quad r \quad u+\frac{m}{k_{B} T} V V: r u+\frac{I}{k_{B} T} O V: r \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{n}\left[A_{0}+\mathrm{B}_{0}\right]^{T}+\frac{m}{I} \frac{A_{2}}{2} L^{R} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the peculiar velocities are $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{O}=$ ! .
Equation 85) is an inhom ogeneous second order partial di erential equation. In order to obtain a special solution of the inhom ogeneous equations 85), we introduce the follow ing tensors

$$
\begin{align*}
J & =\frac{m}{k_{B} T} V V \quad \frac{1}{D} V^{2} \\
J & =\frac{m V^{2}}{D k_{B} T} \quad 1 \\
T & =\frac{I}{k_{B} T} O \quad V \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

W ith these quantities we w rite 85) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L f_{1}=f_{0}{ }^{h}: \overline{r u}^{s}+J r u 1+T: r^{i} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he quantities 87) satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} & =2 \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} & =0 \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} & =2 \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~J} & =0 \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~T} & =\mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~T} \\
\mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~T} & =\mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~T} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore, a special solution of 85) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=f_{0} \frac{1}{2 n\left(A_{0}+B_{0}\right)} \mathrm{J}: \overline{r u}^{s}+J r u^{i} \frac{1}{n\left(A_{0}+B_{0}+\frac{m}{I} \frac{A_{2}}{2}\right)} T: r \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

\#
as can be checked by substitution.
N ow it rem ains to obtain a generalsolution of the hom ogeneous equation $L f_{1}=0$. The solution of this hom ogeneous equation is an arbitrary linear combination of $f_{0} a$, where a are the collisional invariants $a=f 1 ; v \quad u ;!\quad g$. $N$ evertheless, the com bination of (54) and 69) im poses that the coe cients of the linear com bination are zero.
F.Transport coe cients

The phenom enological theory ofviscous ow of an isotropic uid 27] relates the trace tr [ ], the traceless sym $m$ etric —s and antisymm etric ${ }^{A}$ parts of the stress tensor $w$ ith the linear velocity gradients and angular velocity in the follow ing w ay

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{D} \operatorname{tr}[] & =r \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{p} \\
-\mathrm{S} & =2 \overline{\mathrm{ru}} \mathrm{~S} \\
\mathrm{~A} & =2 \mathrm{R} \frac{1}{2} r \quad u \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the antisym $m$ etric part is $w$ ritten as an axialvector. $H$ ere $p$ is the isotropic hydrostatic pressure. The coe cients are the bulk viscosity , the shear viscosity and the rotationalviscosity $R$.

W e now com pute the stress tensor 57) using the m olecular chaos assum ption 65) for the pair distribution function and the approxim ate solution $f_{0}+f_{1}$ for the single particle distribution function. $T$ his will produce $=0+1$ where the local equilibrium contribution o has been already com puted in 72). Regarding the term 1 com puted $w$ ith $f_{1}$ one observes that the only contribution which is of rst order in gradients is ${ }_{1}^{k}$ which is com puted along sim ilar lines to Ref. 21]. T he nal result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=n k_{B} T 1 \quad \frac{k_{B} T}{\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} \overline{r u} s \frac{k_{B} T}{D\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} r \text { u1 } \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem aining contributions ${ }_{1}^{D}$ are of order $r^{2}$ and $w$ ill be neglected. The nal expression of the stress tensor in linear order of gradients is given by collecting 75), 76) and 92)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{D} \operatorname{tr}[] & =m n^{2} \frac{A_{2}}{2 D}+\frac{(D+2)}{2 D} B_{2}+\frac{k_{B} T}{D\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} r u+n k T \\
-s & =m n^{2} \frac{A_{2}}{2}+B_{2}+\frac{k_{B} T}{\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} \overline{r u}^{s} \\
& =m n^{2} \frac{A_{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} r u \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

C om parison of 91) and 93) allow s to identify the viscosities as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =m^{2} \frac{A_{2}}{2 D}+\frac{(D+2)}{2 D} B_{2}+\frac{k_{B} T}{D\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}{m n^{2}}^{A_{2}}+B_{2}+\frac{k_{B} T}{\left[A_{0}+B_{0}\right]} \\
R & =m n^{2} \frac{A_{2}}{2} \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to com pare this expressions w ith those obtained by $M$ arsh et al. in $R e f$. 21], we should note that for the originalDPD algorithm we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(r)=0 \\
& B(r)=!(\mathbb{R}) \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ple substitution of 95) into (94) shows that the transport coe cient (44) coincide $w$ ith those provided in Ref. 21].

## G. Transport equations

Substitution of the stress tensor $=\operatorname{tr}[] 1=D+D^{S}+A$ 91) into the $m$ om entum balance equation 42) produces the $N$ avier-Stokes equations for a uid $w$ th spin 27. $(D=3)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} u=r p+r(2 r u s)+r(2=3) r \quad u+r \quad 2_{R}\left(\quad \frac{1}{2} r \quad u\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the substantialderivative $d=d t=\varrho_{t}+u r . T$ he last term in (q6) is the gradient of the antisym $m$ etric part of the stress tensor and describes the e ect of the spin on the $m$ om entum transport.

O $n$ the other hand by neglecting the term in the angularm om entum balance equation [51) 27] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{Jv}+\mathrm{r}] \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in com bination w ith 48) produces the follow ing balance equation for the spin density

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} S=r[S u] 2^{A} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, im plies the follow ing dynam ic equation for the angular velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
n I \frac{d}{d t}=2^{A} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of ${ }^{A}$ in 91) into this equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{4 \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{nI}} \quad \frac{1}{2} r \quad u=\frac{1}{-} \quad \frac{1}{2} r \quad u \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nalclosed set ofequations for the hydrodynam ic elds is given by Eqns. 96, 100, togetherw ith the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=r u \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation of state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Tn}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad \mathrm{c}^{2} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the speed of sound c.
Eqn. 100) show $s$ that the spin relaxes tow ards the vorticity $w$ ith a relaxation tim e scale given by $=n I=4 R$ 27]. In the $m$ odel of this paper, substitution of $R$ in 94) gives the follow ing tim e scale

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~A}} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

In sum $m$ ary, it has been show $n$ in this section that the $m$ acroscopic behavior of the uid particle $m$ odel is hydrodynam ical and the $m$ ass, $m$ om entum and angular $m$ om entum transport equations have been derived (E qns. 101), 96), and (100)). In this doing, explicit expressions for the transport coe cients in term $s$ of the originalm odel param eters have been obtained (Eqns. (94) and (103)). The equations here cited are the $m$ ain results of the kinetic theory of the uid particle model.

## VII.RESOLUTION ISSUES OF THE FLU DD PARTICLEMODEL

W ithin the picture of the V oronoicoarse-graining sketched in section II, it is possible to consider di erent levels of coarse-graining in which the num ber of atom ic particles with in a Voronoi cell is di erent. $W$ e expect that, provided that the num ber of atom ic particles w ithin the cell is large enough, the description of the hydrodynam ic behavior w ill be $m$ ore and $m$ ore accurate as the num ber ofV oronoicells increases. In other w ords, we expect to reach a \continuum lim 斗" as the number density of uid particles goes to in nity. The discussion resembles that of the resolution in the num erical solution of partial di erential equations. A ctually, the resemblance can be $m$ ade $m$ ore accurate by com paring the structure of the equations of $m$ otion of the uid particle $m$ odel $w$ th those of sm oothed particle dynam ics. Sm oothed particle dynam ics is a Lagrangian discretization of the continuum equations of hydrodynam ics that allow s to interpret the nodes of the grid in term $s$ of $\backslash \mathrm{sm}$ oothed particles".

For the case in which there is no coupling betw een the $N$ avier-Stokes equation and the energy equation (the pressure does not depends on the tem perature, for exam ple), Takeda et al. [3] propose a discretization of the $N$ avier-Stokes equations that produce equation ofm otions for the sm oothed particles that corresponds exactly in structure w ith the postulated equations of $m$ otion of the uid particle $m$ odel in this paper. The correspondence is

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(r)=2 \frac{p_{0}}{\mathrm{mn}_{0}^{2}} W \text { (r) } \\
& A(r)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{mn}_{0}^{2}} W^{\infty}(r)+2++\frac{h}{3} \frac{i^{W}(r)}{r} \\
& B(r)=\frac{1}{m n_{0}^{2}}+\frac{-}{3} \quad W^{\infty}(r) \quad \frac{W^{0}(r)}{r} \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here, $p_{0} ; n_{0}$ are the equilibrium pressure and num ber density, respectively, and $W(r)$ is the weight function used in the discretization of the N avier-Stokes equation (the assum ption that the density of all particles is alm ost constant has been taken).

In this respect, the uid particle $m$ odelpostulated in this paper is sim ply the sm oothed particle dynam ics with tw o additionalbonus: 1) them al noise is introduced consistently (that is, the uid particle $m$ odel can be interpreted as a Lagrangian discretization of the non-linear uctuating hydrodynam ic equations), and 2) the angularm om entum is conserved exactly in the uid particle $m$ odel, in contrast $w$ ith the $m$ oothed particle dynam ics $m$ odel. The rst bonus allows to apply sm oothed particle dynam ics to m icrohydrodynam ic problem s as those appearing in complex uids where B rownian uctuations are due to the uctuating hydrodynam ic environm ent. It can be also usefulin studying the e ect of them al uctuations near convective instabilities and, in general, in the study of non-equilibrium therm al uctuations in hydrodynam ic system s. T he actual relevance of the second bonus will be discussed later.
$T$ he com parison of SPD w ith the uid particle $m$ odel points out to an inconsistency that appears when using som e particular selections for the weight function like the Lucy weight function 5] or a G aussian weight function 3]. In these cases, it is easily seen that the function $A(r)$ can becom e negative for certain values of $r$. T his is unacceptable in view of Eqn. (34). From a physicalpoint of view this $m$ eans that if two particles are at a distance such that $A$ ( $r$ ) is negative, then the viscous foroes w ill try to increase its relative velocities!

In the derivation of the SPD m odel [0] , 3] it becom es apparent that the weight function $W$ ( $r$ ) m ust be norm alized to unity, in order to have correct discrete ( $M$ onte- $C$ arlo) approxim ations for integrals. If $W$ ( $r$ ) is norm alized to unity, then one expects that by increasing the num ber density of $s m$ oothed particles one is increasing the num erical resolution of the sim ulation. T he nom alization im plies that as the range of the weight function decreases w ith higher resolution, its height increases and, in the lim it of in nite resolution it becom es a $D$ irac delta function $W$ ( $r$ )! ( $r$ ). B ecause the weight function is steeper when the resolution is higher, the tim e step used in the SP D m odel has to be reduced as the resolution increases. This is also encountered in any nite di erence algorithm for solution of partial di erential equations in order to $m$ aintain stability.

Let us investigate the eect of the resolution e ects on the $m$ acroscopic param eters de ning the uid on hydrodynam ic scales and which have been com puted by m eans of the kinetic theory in the previous section. T he param eters that characterize the evolution of the velocity eld are, as can be appreciated from 96), the speed of sound de ned in (102) and the kinem atic viscosities de ned by $==, b==$, and $r={ }_{R}=$. From (94) they have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{b}= & =\mathrm{n} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{D}}+\frac{(\mathrm{D}+2)}{2 \mathrm{D}} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Dn}\left[\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{0}\right]} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}}{2}+\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}\left[\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{0}+\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{0}\right]} \\
\mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{n} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}}{2} \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

W e are assum ing, for the sake of the argum ent, that $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{0}$, that is, the density eld is constant. $T$ he conclusions, how ever, are valid in the com pressible case also.

Let us focus rst on the dim ensionless functions $A(r) ; B(r)$ that determ ine the range of the dissipative and random forces. $W$ e expect that the clusters interact only $w$ th their neighbors, which are a typicaldistance apart. Therefore, these functions will be of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(r)=a(r=) \\
& B(r)=b(r=) \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

where a;b are functions that do not depend explicitly on . This ensures that as the resolution is increased, the range of the force decreases, and this has the com putationally appealing feature that the interaction betw een uid particles rem ains alw ays local. By using these scaling function and after a change to the dim ensionless variable $x \quad r=$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathrm{A}}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{0}}{\mathrm{n}_{0}} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{0}}{\mathrm{n}_{0}} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{0}}{ }^{2} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{2}={\frac{\mathrm{b}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{0}}}^{2} \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dim ensionless coe cients are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{0}=\mathrm{Z} \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{0}=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{a}_{2}=\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{x}
\end{align*}
$$

and do not depend on the resolution. By using (107) into 105) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
b & =2 \frac{a_{2}}{2 D}+\frac{(D+2)}{2 D} b_{2}+c^{2} \frac{1}{D\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \frac{h_{2}}{2}+b_{2}+c^{2} \frac{1}{\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)} \\
R & =\frac{2}{2} a_{2} \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

W e observe that all the dependence on the resolution ( or $n_{0}$ ) has been $m$ ade explicit. In the lim it ofhigh resolution ( ! 0 or $n_{0}$ ! 1 ) the only contribution to the bulk $b$ an shear viscosities com es from the kinetic contribution
that depends linearly on the tem perature. $T$ his $m$ eans that at zero tem perature the system would not display any viscosity in the lim it of high resolution. We nd this behavior undesirable and we are lead to the conclusion that the friction coe cient must depend on. In particular, if we de ne ~ ${ }^{2}$ (which has dim ensions of a kinem atic viscosity) and assum e that ~ rem ains constant as the resolution varies, we will have

$$
\begin{align*}
b & =\sim \frac{a_{2}}{2 D}+\frac{(D+2)}{2 D} b_{2}+c^{2} \frac{2}{D \sim} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sim \frac{h_{2}}{2}+b_{2}+c^{2} \frac{2}{\sim} \\
R & =\frac{\sim}{2} a_{2} \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

where the norm alization $a_{0}=b_{0}=1$ has been used as in the originalD PD algorithm 14]. The relaxation tim e 103) w ill take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{I}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \frac{2}{\sim \mathrm{a}_{2}} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, in the lim it of high resolution ( ! 0) the viscosities are given essentially by $\sim$ and the relaxation tim e goes to zero (note that the $m$ om ent of inertia I must be of the form $/ \mathrm{m} \quad{ }^{2}$ so m ust tend to zero very fast). In the high resolution lim it the spin becom es equal to the vorticity in a short time scale. The spin becom es a slaved variable and can be dropped from the description. N ote also that in these situation the last term in the $N$ avier-Stokes equation $w$ ith spin (96) vanishes and one recovers the actualN avier-Stokes equation. $T$ his explains why, in SPD, the violation of angularm om entum does not poses a serious problem for su ciently high resolutions 3]. If low resolutions are to be used in problem swhere the correct transfer of angularm om entum is relevant (like in rotationaldi usion of concentrated colloidal suspensions, for exam ple), then the use of spin $m$ ight suppose a real advantage.
$W$ e have arrived at the conclusion that in order have a well-de ned continuum lim it the friction coe cient must increase as the resolution increases. This can be understood physically in the follow ing way. T he num ber of particles in betw een two reference uid particles at a given distance of each other increases as the resolution increases. If we require that the viscous interaction between these tw o reference particles $m$ ust rem ain the sam e as the resolution increases, the $m$ ediating particles $m$ ust interact stronger in order to transm it the sam e response betw een the tw o reference particles. From a $m$ athem aticalpoint of view, the ${ }^{2}$ factor can be intenpreted as the $\backslash$ lattioe spacing" that is lacking in the originalequations and that would be present in a num ericaldiscretization of a second order derivative term. Prelim inary sim ulation results for the DPD $m$ odel $(A(r)=0)$ with energy conservation 29] shows that the correct continuum lim it is obtained when the model param eter equivalent to increases with 2 36].

W e would like to comment nally on an apparent inconsistency between SPD and the uid particle modelwhich is sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ : if one discretizes the hydrodynam ic equations on a set of points and then constructs the kinetic theory of these points, one w ould expect that the com puted transport coe cientswould coincide w ith the input transport coe cients of the hydrodynam ic equations. If one naively uses the results (04) in the calculation of the transport coe cients in ( $\$ 4$ ), one arrives at an inconsistent result. The viscosities com puted through the kinetic theory 94) do not coincide w ith the input values. This could be traced back to the fact that the kinetic theory for the uid particle $m$ odel has been developed in the lim it where no conservative forces are present, whereas the pressure term in the hydrodynam ic equations (even for an idealgas!) produces a conservative term given by the rst equation in 104) in SPD. The kinetic theory $w$ ith conservative forces is a bit $m$ ore involved but the $m$ odi cations can be sum $m$ arized sim ply. The m olecular chaos assum ption 65) now involves the pair distribution function (which in the absence of conservative forces is equal to 1). This $m$ eans that the param eters $\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{2} ; \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{2}$ appearing in the transport coe cients $w$ ill be $m$ odi ed by the presence of the pair distribution function $w$ ithin the integral de ning these param eters. A lso a new contribution to the transport coe cients arises due to the conservative forces. It is an open question whether these $m$ odi ed transport coe cients due to conservative forces do coincide $w$ ith the input transport coe cients. The opposite case could also be possible sim ply due to the fact that the discretization procedure in SPD m ay induce \arti cial viscosities" in the language of num erical resolution of the hydrodynam ic partial di erential equations.
$T$ he uid particle $m$ odel is a consistent $m$ odel by itself, $w$ thout having to resort to the sm oothed particle $m$ odel for its validity. A ctually, the uid particle model, together $w$ th the kinetic theory developed in this paper has its advantages $w$ ith respect to SPD : precise predictions can be $m$ ade from the initial $m$ odel param eters about the transport properties of the uid. In this way, to obtain a prescribed uid of known transport properties, one sim ply adjust the $m$ odel param eters according to the form ulae of kinetic theory (slight errors stem $m$ ing from the faihure of the $m$ olecular chaos assum ption $m$ ight play a $m$ inor role 21]). In SPD, on the contrary, the only way to specify the uid is through the input transport coe cients in the originalhydrodynam ic equations. $T$ he discretization procedure
then produces a \uid" whose transport properties do not in general correspond w ith those of the uid intended to be $m$ odeled, and there is no system atic control on the appearance of arti cial viscosities.

It is apparent that this whole discussion can be applied to the DPD m odel, which is a particular case of the uid particle $m$ odel, and forw hich a kinetic theory has been form ulated previously in Ref. 21]. O ne of the $m$ ain $m$ otivations for introducing shear forces between dissipative particles into the original algorithm of DPD was the identi cation of the follow ing elem entary $m$ otion betw een dissipative particles that produces no force in that algorithm. Let us focus on two neigbouring dissipative particles, the rst one at rest at the origin and the second one orbiting in a circum ference around the rst one. This relative $m$ otion produces no force in DPD because the relative approaching velocity is exactly zero. N evertheless, on sim ple physical grounds one expect that the $m$ otion of the second particle m ust drag in som eway the rst particle. This is taken into account through the shear forces in the uid particle $m$ odel presented in this paper. W e note, how ever that this relative $m$ otion $m$ ight produce a drag even in the originalD PD algorithm if $m$ any DPD particles are involved sim ultaneously. T he same is true for a purely conservative m olecular dynam ics sim ulation. The point is, of course, that the e ect is already captured with a much sm aller num ber of particles in the uid particle $m$ odel.
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## A P PEND IX

The derivation of the FPE is best achieved by considering the di erentialofan anbitrary function $f$ to second order 31]

$$
\begin{align*}
d f & =\mathrm{X} d r_{i} \frac{@ f}{@ r_{i}}+d v_{i} \frac{@ f}{@ v_{i}}+d!_{i} \frac{@ f}{@!_{i}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{X} d v_{i} d v_{j} \frac{@^{2} f}{@ v_{i} @ v_{j}}+d v_{i} d!_{j} \frac{@^{2} f}{@ v_{i} @!_{j}}+d!_{i} d v_{j} \frac{@^{2} f}{@!_{i} @ v_{j}}+d!_{i} d!{ }_{j} \frac{@^{2} f}{@!_{i} @!_{j}} \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne then substitutes the SDE's 19) and uses the Ito stochastic rules 17) keeping term s up to order dt (the cross term s involving positions have been neglected in 112) on account of the fact that dr is already of order $d t$ ). Then after averaging $w$ th respect to the distribution function ( $r ; v ;!; t$ ), one perform $s$ a partial integration and uses the fact that $f$ is arbitrary, to obtain the Fokker $P$ lanck equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}(r ; v ;!; t)=L^{C}+L^{T}+L^{R} \quad(r ; v ;!; t) \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned the operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{C} \quad 4^{2} X_{i} V_{i} \frac{@}{@ r_{i}}+{ }_{i ; j \neq i} \frac{1}{m} F_{i j}^{C} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} 5 \\
& L^{T} \quad \begin{array}{l}
X \\
i ; j \neq i
\end{array} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} 4 \frac{1}{m} F_{i j}^{T}+F_{i j}^{R}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{@ v_{j}}{3^{i^{0} j^{0}}} \frac{X}{d t} d \nabla_{i i^{0}} d \nabla_{j j^{0}} \\
& \frac{1}{2} @!_{j} \frac{m}{I}{ }_{i^{0} j^{0}}^{X} \frac{1}{d t} d v_{i i^{0}} \frac{r_{j j^{0}}}{2} \quad d w_{j j^{0}} 5 \\
& L^{R} \quad \frac{m}{I}{ }_{i ; j 6 i}^{X} \frac{@}{@!} 4 \frac{1}{m} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad F_{i j}^{T}+F_{i j}^{R} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ v_{j}}{ }_{i^{0} j^{0}} \frac{1}{d t} \frac{r_{i j^{0}}}{2} \quad d w_{i i^{0}} d v_{j j^{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he operator $L^{C}$ is the usualL iouville operator of a $H$ am iltonian system interacting $w$ ith conservative forces $F^{C}$. W e need to arrange a bit the operators $L^{T}$ and $L^{R}$ by using the Ito rules (18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{2} \xlongequal{h} \not \AA^{\sim}\left(r_{i i^{0}}\right) A^{\sim}\left(r_{j j^{0}}\right) \quad C\left(r_{i i^{0}}\right) C^{C}\left(r_{j j^{0}}\right)^{i} e_{i i^{0}} e_{j j^{0}} \\
& +\frac{1}{D}{ }^{h} B^{\Upsilon}\left(r_{i i^{0}}\right) B^{\Upsilon}\left(r_{j j^{0}}\right) \quad \overparen{A}\left(r_{i i^{0}}\right) \AA^{\curvearrowleft}\left(r_{j j^{0}}\right)^{i} e_{i i^{0}} e_{j j^{0}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{i}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{0}+\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{j}^{0} \mathrm{i}^{0}\right]} \\
& { }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ii}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{jj}^{0}\left[\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{i}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{0}+\mathrm{ij} \mathrm{j}^{0} \mathrm{ji}^{0}\right] \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

The second order tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ii}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{jj}^{0}$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j i j}=T_{i j i j}=T_{i j j i} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ifwe de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j} \quad T_{i j i j}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right)+C^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right)^{i} 1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{D} \mathbb{A}^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right)+\frac{1}{D} \mathbb{B}^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} C^{2}\left(r_{i j}\right) e_{i j} e_{i j} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the follow ing identities are obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}{ }_{i j}^{X} \frac{@}{@!_{i}} \frac{@}{@ v_{j}}{ }_{i^{0} j^{0}}^{X} \frac{1}{d t} \frac{r_{i i^{0}}}{2} \quad d v_{i i^{0}} \quad d v_{j j^{0}}=\frac{2}{2}_{2}^{X} \frac{@}{@!} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad T_{i j} \frac{@}{@ v_{i}} \frac{@}{@ v_{j}} \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

By using these results into (114) the operators take the follow ing com pact form

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{T}=X_{i ; j \epsilon i}^{@} \frac{@}{@ V_{i}} L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \\
& L^{R}=\frac{m}{I}_{i ; j \notin i}^{X} \frac{@}{@!_{i}} \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad L_{i j}^{T}+L_{i j}^{R} \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the vector operators

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{L}_{i j}^{\mathrm{T}} & \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~F}_{i j}^{\mathrm{T}}+\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{~T}_{i j} \quad \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{v}_{i}} \frac{\varrho}{@ \mathrm{v}_{j}} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{R}} & \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~F}_{i j}^{\mathrm{R}}+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{I}} \frac{2}{2} \mathrm{~T}_{i j} \quad \frac{r_{i j}}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@!_{i}}+\frac{@}{@!_{j}} \tag{120}
\end{array}
$$
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