Observation of Andreev Re ection Enhanced Shot Noise

P.Dieleman, H.G. Bukkems, T.M. Klapwijk

Department of Applied Physics and Materials Science Center, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4,9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

M. Schicke, and K.H. Gundlach

Institut de Radio A stronom ie M illim etrique, 300 Rue de la P iscine, D om aine Universitaire de G renoble,38406 St. M artin d'H eres, France.

W e have experim entally investigated the quasiparticle shot noise in N bN /M gO /N bN superconductor – insulator – superconductor tunnel junctions. The observed shot noise is significantly larger than theoretically expected. We attribute this to the occurrence of multiple Andreev relation processes in pinholes present in the M gO barrier. This mechanism causes the current to ow in large charge quanta (Andreev clusters), with a voltage dependent average value of multiple and the set the electron charge. Because of this charge enhancement effect, the shot noise is increased by the factor m.

PACS numbers: 72.70.+m, 74.50.+r, 74.80Fp

A dc current I ow ing through a vacuum tube or a tunnel junction generates shot noise, tim e dependent uctuations of the current due to the discreteness of charge carriers. Shot noise studies provide inform ation on the nature of conduction not obtainable by conductance studies, e.g. the electric charge of carriers or the degree of correlation in the conducting system. For an uncorrelated system in which the electrons do not interact, the passage of carriers can be described by a Poisson distribution. The spectral density of current uctuations $S_{\rm I}$ then equals full shot noise: $S_{\rm I} = 2q{\rm I} = P_{\rm Poisson}$ for zero frequency and tem perature¹. The charge quantum q is norm ally the electron charge e.

In superconductor - norm alm etal (SN) system s Andreev re ection occurs, causing an e ective charge to be transferred of 2e. Due to this doubling of the charge, the shot noise in such a system is predicted to have a maximum of twice the Poisson noise 2^{4} . More recently, giant shot noise in the supercurrent is predicted in a singlechannel superconductor - norm alm etal-superconductor (SNS) point contact⁵ which is attributed to transport of large charge quanta (q e) at nite voltages caused by Multiple Andreev Re ection (MAR)⁶. Observation of enhanced charge quanta in SN or SNS structures requires a combination of conductance and shot noise measurem ents. D espite extensive theoretical work, experim ental results in this eld are rare. A recent experiment⁷ is perform ed on a NbN/c/Nb structure in which c is assumed to be a Nb constriction with a length of 7 nm and a diam eter of 15 nm . At 9.5 K the structure acts like an NS interface but doubled shot noise is not observed. The predicted giant supercurrent shot noise is not observed either (at 42K).

From an applied point of view, shot noise in superconducting structures is of interest since this noise form s a major limitation to the sensitivity of N bN /M gO /N bN Superconductor-Insulator-Superconductor (SIS) TH z radiation detectors⁸.

For these reasons, we have investigated quasiparticle current transport and shot noise in an SNS structure in which the quasiparticle current is carried by MAR.Anticipating the experimental shot noise results presented in this paper we demonstrate that in a system in which multiple Andreev rejections occurs the quasiparticle shot noise at V < 2 =e has a maximum value given by $S_{\rm I} = (1 + \frac{2}{eV})$ 2eI because current is electively carried by multiply charged quanta. The maximum shot noise is obtained if the transmission probability of the system approaches zero³.

The structure under study consists of a N bN /M gO /N bN SIS tunnel junction with sm all defects in the 1 nm thick M gO barrier acting as parallel SNS point contacts. Commonly used Nb/AD_x/Nb tunnel junctions are known to exhibit very low subgap "leakage" current because the barrier is form ed by thermaloxidation of a thin Allayer which wets the Nb surface. For NbN junctions such a therm aloxidation process is not yet established. Currently the best results are obtained by direct deposition of the barrier material, and defects occur due to "m issing atom s" since the barrier is comprised of merely 1 { 2 atom ic layers in high current density junctions¹⁰. In Fig. 1 the nearly perfect tunneling I; V characteristic of a N b junction is compared with that of a typical N bN junction. Both are measured at 42K.TheNbN junction area is 0.8 m², the norm al resistance R_N is 25 , the gap voltage 2 = e is 4.8 mV. The Nb junction has an area of 1 m^2 , a norm al resistance R_N of 56 and a gap voltage of 2.8 mV. C learly the subgap current of the N bN junction is much larger than the current in the Nb junction. In addition the subharmonic gap structure in the NbN I;V and dV=dI curves indicates that the subgap current is predom inantly carried by MAR.⁶. Since MAR is evidence for the presence of higher order processes¹¹ the observation of MAR indicates conducting paths with transmissivities close to 1, which we further call pinholes.



FIG.1. Normalized I;V and $R_{dyn} = dV = dI$ curves of a N b and N bN junction. The subgap structure is clearly visible up to the fourth harm onic in the N bN R_{dyn} curve.

A remarkable feature of a pinhole system is that varying the barrier thickness changes only the number of pinholes without a ecting the pinhole conductance¹⁰. Therefore the pinholes can be considered as reproducible barrier defects with identical transm ission probabilities. From the relative height of the current steps at the subharm onic gap structure in Fig. 1 a pinhole transm ission probability T of 0.17 is derived¹¹. The supercurrent (Fraunhofer) dependence on magnetic eld is nearly ideal, indicating a large number of pinholes with a hom ogeneous distribution over the barrier. All measured high current density N bN /M gO /N bN junctions exhibit sim ilar behavior.

The noise m easurem ent setup is schem atically depicted in Fig.2.



FIG.2. Schem atic layout of the current-voltage and noise m easurem ent system. All leads going into the dewar are low pass litered except for the 1.5 GHz wiring. The Alleads su ce as heat sink.

All DC leads are ltered, 30 m anganin wires carry currents between room temperature parts and those at cryogenic temperatures. The isolator is used to dissipate power relected of the amplier into a 50 load in order to avoid gain variations with varying junction output impedance. A band pass liter transmits an 85 M H z band centered at 1.5 G H z. A lithough a spectrum of the signal was not taken, we assume the measured noise to be white since 1.5 G H z is high enough to ignore 1=f noise of the device.

The total gain of the ampli cation section is measured by mounting the high quality Nb SIS junction shown in Fig. 1 in the measurement setup. Since the Nb junction exhibits single particle tunneling, the shot noise generated by the current in the junction can be accurately calculated from the I;V curve¹². Corrections due to the measurem ent frequency of 1.5 GHz are negligible for voltages V h! 0:05m V. The e ect of nite tem perature below 0.5 mV is taken into account by using $S_{I} = 2eI \operatorname{coth} (eV = 2k_{B} T)$ to calculate the noise coming from the junction. Comparing this noise with the noise powerafter Itering and amplication gives the total gain as well as the noise added by the isolator, cables and am pli er. The output power is given by¹²

$$P_{out} = G_{am p}B \left(\frac{1}{4}S_{I}R_{dyn} (1 ^{2})G_{iso} + k_{B}T^{2} + k_{B} (1 G_{iso})T \right) + P_{am p}$$
(1)

in which $G_{am p}$ is the ampli ergain, B is the bandwidth, I, V and R_{dyn} are the current, voltage and the di erential resistance dV=dI of the junction, is the rejection coe cient \Re_{dyn} $R_{am p} \neq (R_{dyn} + R_{am p})$, G_{iso} is the isolator gain, and $P_{am p}$ stands for the output noise of the ampli erchain. From the measured and calculated noise power curves the gain and noise of the ampli er and isolator can be accurately determined¹³ using Eq. 1. The loss of the isolator plus cables is 0.25 dB. The total am – pli cation including cable losses and rejections is 80 dB.



FIG.3. Contributions of the Nb junction, isolator, and ampli ers to the total output noise. The measured total noise power is accurately given by Eq.1. The deviation above the gap voltage may be connected to a proximity e ect layer near the tunnel barrier causing doubled shot noise.

The shot noise S_I generated in the NbN junction is obtained by performing a measurement of Pout, I and dI=dV as function of voltage sim ilar to that conducted for the Nb junction. Since the loss and gain of each component is known, the shot noise S_{I} can be derived from the output noise Pout using Eq. 1. The result is plotted in Fig. 4 together with the Poisson shot noise 2eI calculated using the measured I;V curve. The shot noise is expressed as a current by dividing the measured S_I by 2e to allow easy comparison with the shot noise calculated form the I;V curve. If the junction behaves as an ideal shot noise source as the Nb junction does, a comparison of S_I =2e with the dc current I will nd them identical at voltages above 0.5 m V.C learly, below the gap voltage the measured noise is much larger than Poisson noise.



FIG. 4. M easured and calculated shot noise generated by the NbN junction, all normalized to current by division by 2e. The square dots give the measured noise, the dashed line is the calculated Poisson shot noise curve. The calculation of the S_I curve labeled (MAR) is explained in the text. Above the gap voltage the shot noise follows Poisson noise since current transport through the barrier dom inates. The error bars, given at speci c points to avoid crow dedness of the gure, re ect the uncertainty in the noise contributions of the measurement equipment. Errors at intermediate voltage values can be roughly linearly interpolated. O sets in current and noise are negligible since at negative voltage bias the sam e results are obtained.

We explain this excess noise by taking into account multiple Andreev re ection processes in the pinholes. For an NS structure with a disordered region of length L which is much smaller than the mean free path 1 in this region, the shot noise at V = e is given by:³

$$P_{NS}(T) = \frac{8(1 - T)}{(2 - T)^2} P_{oisson}$$
 (2)

in which T is the transparency of the barrier between the normal- and superconducting region. For T $1 P_{NS} = 2P_{Poisson}$ indicating uncorrelated current of particles with charge 2e due to Andreev re ection. Realizing that via multiple Andreev re ection much larger charge quanta can be transferred⁵, the shot noise generated in pinholes by MAR is derived in the following way. The pinhole is assumed to consist of two SN and NS structures in series. If the measured transmission probability of 0.17 is inserted into Eq.2 the shot noise suppression is a mere 1 %, below the measurement accuracy. Therefore if the electively transferred charge q is known, the shot noise is simply found from $S_{\rm I}$ = 2qI. We calculate the charge q(V) in the limit of unity pinhole transmission probability using the trajectory method employed in the original paper on MAR⁶. This enables separate calculation of the currents I_m carried by m Andreev relations. The I_m values form weight factors used to calculate the average transferred charge carried by what might be called Andreev clusters.

The total current owing from the left superconductor (at voltage V) to the right superconductor (at zero voltage) is given by the di erence of^6

$$I_{LR} = \frac{1}{eR_0} \int_{1}^{Z_1} dE f_0 (E eV) [L A (E eV)]$$

$$[L + A (E) + A (E) A (E + eV) +] \qquad (3)$$

and

$$I_{RL} = \frac{1}{eR_0} \int_{1}^{2} dE f_0 (E) [1 A (E)]$$

[1 + A (E eV) + A (E eV) A (E 2eV) +] (4)

where R₀ is the total norm al state resistance of the pinholes, f₀ (E) is the Ferm idistribution and A (E) is the energy dependent Andreev relection probability. The rst term [1 A] denotes the fraction of available electrons in the superconductor which is transmitted into the norm al region. The last term [1+] gives the transferred charge multiple which is le if no Andreev relections take place, 2e for one Andreev relection, and so on. The currents I_m (m = 1;2;:::) carried by m -electron processes are calculated by splitting Eqs. 3 and 4 into the m -electron parts. For example I₂ is given by

$$I_{2}(V) = \frac{2}{eR_{0}} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dE (f_{0}(E eV)[1 A(E eV)]$$

A(E)[1 A(E + eV)]

$$f_0(E)[1 A(E)]A(E eV)[1 A(E 2eV)])$$
 (5)

Since the current $I_{m}\,$ is carried only by carriers with an m-fold charge, the m agnitude of this current divided by the total current gives the relative contribution to the average transferred charge. Therefore the average charge q of an Andreev cluster for a given voltage V is given by:

$$q(V) = \frac{\frac{\mathbf{F}_{1}}{\mathbf{m}=1} \mathbf{m}_{m} \mathbf{I}(V)}{\frac{\mathbf{F}_{1}}{\mathbf{m}=1} \mathbf{I}_{m} (V)}$$
 (6)

The resulting charge-voltage curve is shown in Fig.5 and is used to calculate the noise $S_I = 2q(V)I$ in Fig.4. The measured charge values in Fig.5 are obtained by dividing the measured shotnoise by 2eI. The similarly derived unity charge of the Nb junction is shown for comparison. The e ect of nite temperatures on the shot noise correction factor coth (eV = 2k_B T) at voltages V = 2 = e is negligible since coth (q(V)V = 2k_B T) coth ($(1 + \frac{2}{eV}) - \frac{eV}{2k_B T}$) coth ($(1 + \frac{2}{eV}) - \frac{eV}{2k_B T}$)

A part from a superposed subharmonic gap structure the e ective N bN charge is proportional to 1=V. This can be appreciated by performing the charge calculation ignoring Andreev re ection for energies f: j> . Higher order terms vanish and the average charge is equal to the charge quantum transferred; q_n = ne at voltages $V_n = \frac{2}{n-1}$ for n = 2;3;:::giving an analytical approximation $q(V) = e + \frac{2}{V}$.



FIG.5. E ective charge as function of voltage. The square dots give the charge derived from the measurements. The calculated charge values are used to calculate the shot noise in Fig.4. For comparison the e ective charge of the Nb calibration junction is shown by circles.

In conclusion, for the rst time shot noise much larger than Poisson noise is observed in an SIS structure. A NbN SIS tunnel junction with pinholes is employed to obtain current transport dom inated by multiple Andreev re ections (MAR). Due to the occurrence of MAR large charge quanta are transferred between the electrodes, causing a signi cantly enhanced shot noise. A simple m odel is developed to calculate the electric charge from which the shot noise is obtained. The model answers the question about the origin of excess noise in NbN⁸ and very high current density Nb¹⁴ SIS junctions in THz applications.

Note added in proof. A fter submission of this manuscript we became aware of theoretical work¹⁵ which analyzes MAR enhanced shot noise, in particular the dependence of S_{I} on temperature and transmission. In our sem i-em pirical theory we circum vent the problem of cal-

culating $S_{\rm I}$ by calculating $q\left(V\right)$ (ignoring coherence effects) and using the measured I.

W e thank N .W hyborn for a rem ark initiating this work and N B. Dubash for sharing his data and ideas. Helpful discussions and general assistance of SG. den Hartog, B J. van W ees, JB M. Jegers, JR. G ao, H. G olstein, H. van de Stadt, W. Hulsho, D. Nguyen, and H H A. Schae er are acknow ledged. This work is supported in part by the European Space A gency under contract No. 7898/88/NL/PB (SC) and the Stichting voor Technische W etenschappen.

Part of the work is performed at the Space Research O rganisation of the Netherlands at G roningen, The Netherlands.

- ¹ D.Rogovin and D.J.Scalapino, Ann. Physics 68, 1, (1974).
- ² V A.Khlus, Sov.Phys.JETP 66, 1243 (1987).
- ³ M JM .de Jong and C W J.Beenakker, Phys.Rev.B 49, 16070 (1994).
- ⁴ B A. M uzykantskii and D E. Khm elnitskii, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3982 (1994).
- ⁵ D. Averin and H.T. Im am, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3814 (1996).
- ⁶ T M . K lapw ik, G E . B londer, and M . T inkham, Physica 109-110B, C, 1657 (1982).
- ⁷ Y.M isaki, A.Saito, and K.Ham asaki, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys. 36, 2B 1190 (1996), Y.M isaki, A.Saito, S.Anezaki, and K.Ham asaki, unpublished.
- ⁸ P.D ielem an, T M.K lapwijk, H. van de Stadt, Eighth Int. Sym p. on Space THz Techn., M arch 1997, H arvard U niversity, C am bridge, M A, U SA.
- ⁹ A. Shoji, M. Aoyagi, S. Kosaka, F. Shinoki, and H.Hayakawa, Appl. Phys. Lett. 46, 1098 (1985).
- ¹⁰ A W . Kleinsasser, R E . Miller, W H . Mallison, and G B . A mold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1738 (1994).
- ¹¹ N. van der Post, E.T. Peters, IK. Yanson, and JM. van Ruitenbeek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2611 (1994).
- ¹² N B. Dubash, G. Pance, and M J. W engler, EEE Trans. M icrowave Theory Tech., 42, 715, (1994).
- ¹³ C E. Honingh, J.J. Wezelman, M M.T.M. Dierichs, G. de Lange, H.H.A. Schae er, T.M. Klapwik, and M W. M. de Graauw, J. Appl. Phys., 74, 4762 (1993).
- ¹⁴ G. de Lange, C E Honingh, J.J. Kuipers, H H A Schaeffer, R A. Panhuyzen, T M. K lapwijk, H. van de Stadt, and M W M. de Graauw, Appl. Phys. Lett., 64, 3039 (1994).
- ¹⁵ J.P. Hessling, M.Sc. thesis, Chalmers, 1996. See further Report No. cond-m at 9509018.