

The $su(n)$ Hubbard model

Z. Maassarani*

Département de Physique, Pav. A-Vachon
Université Laval, Ste Foy, Qc, G1K 7P4 Canada[†]

Abstract

The one-dimensional Hubbard model is known to possess an extended $su(2)$ symmetry and to be integrable. I introduce an integrable model with an extended $su(n)$ symmetry. This model contains the usual $su(2)$ Hubbard model and has a set of features that makes it the natural $su(n)$ generalization of the Hubbard model. Complete integrability is shown by introducing the L -matrix and showing that the transfer matrix commutes with the hamiltonian. While the model is integrable in one dimension, it provides a generalization of the Hubbard hamiltonian in any dimension.

PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

Key words: Hubbard model, $su(n)$ spin-chain, integrability

September 1997
LAVAL-PHY-24/97
cond-mat/9709252

*Work supported by NSERC (Canada) and FCAR (Québec).

[†]email address: zmaassar@phy.ulaval.ca

The two-dimensional Hubbard model [1] was first introduced as a model for the description of the effects of correlation for d -electrons in transition metals. The two-dimensional Hubbard model was then shown to be relevant to the study of high- T_c superconductivity of cuprate compounds. Few exact results are known [2] and the model is still actively investigated.

In contrast to the two-dimensional model, the one-dimensional Hubbard model is integrable. However ever since the one-dimensional model was recognized as integrable, its peculiar integrable structure still stands alone outside an integrable hierarchy. In this letter I introduce an n -state generalized model which contains the usual $su(2)$ model. This model has been forecast in [3]. It is studied in one dimension where it is shown to be integrable. Note however that the hamiltonian density is independent of the dimension of the lattice and therefore generalizes the Hubbard model in any dimension.

The one-dimensional fermionic $su(2)$ hamiltonian was first diagonalized by means of the coordinate Bethe Ansatz [4], a ‘proof’ of integrability. A Jordan-Wigner transformation shows that the fermionic hamiltonian is equivalent to a bosonic one; both forms of the integrable structure were investigated in the context of the quantum inverse scattering method [5, 6]. This algebraic framework unifies integrable one-dimensional systems and two-dimensional classical statistical mechanics problems [7]. The transfer matrix of the latter models provides a compact expression for all the conserved quantities of the quantum models. Showing that two such matrices commute directly implies the mutual commutation of the conserved charges of the quantum model. Moreover, the method provides a powerful diagonalization procedure of all the conserved charges and is a prerequisite to studying the model in the thermodynamic limit with the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz.

I first introduce the bosonic hamiltonian and the cubic conserved charge. The two-dimensional ‘covering’ statistical model and the conserved quantities are then defined. A calculation shows that the hamiltonian commutes with the conserved quantities. Finally some comments and possible directions are outlined.

Let $E^{\alpha\beta}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix with a one at row α and column β and zeros otherwise. I define the $su(n)$ Hubbard hamiltonian as:

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \sum_i h_{ii+1} + \sum_i h'_{ii+1} + U \sum_i h_i^c \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{\alpha < n} \left(x E_i^{\alpha n} E_{i+1}^{n\alpha} + x^{-1} E_i^{n\alpha} E_{i+1}^{\alpha n} + (E \rightarrow E') \right) + U \sum_i \left(\rho_i + \frac{n-2}{2} \right) \left(\rho'_i + \frac{n-2}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\rho = \sum_{\alpha < n} E^{\alpha\alpha} - (n-1)E^{nn}$, and primed and unprimed quantities correspond to two commuting copies of the E matrices. The complex free parameter x is a deformation inherited from the XX model. I am considering periodic boundary conditions. The hamiltonians h and h' are just $su(n)$ XX hamiltonians [3]. These terms correspond to particle-hopping and the coupling term is an on-site Coulomb-like interaction. For $|x| = 1$ the hamiltonian is hermitian. For $n = 2$ and $x = 1$, and using Pauli matrices, the hamiltonian is just the integrable bosonic version of the usual Hubbard hamiltonian [5]:

$$H_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y) + (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma') + U \sum_i \sigma_i^z \sigma'_i{}^z \quad (2)$$

It is possible to write the $n = 3$ and $x = 1$ hamiltonian in terms of $su(3)$ Gell-Mann matrices:

$$H_2^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{a \neq 1,2,3,8} \lambda_i^a \lambda_{i+1}^a + (\lambda \rightarrow \lambda') + 3U \sum_i (\lambda_i^8 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}})(\lambda_{i+1}'^8 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}) \quad (3)$$

I then constructed a cubic charge which commutes with H_2 . Investigation of the $su(3)$ case showed that two copies of the only integrable models which satisfy the Reshetikhin criterion [8], can only be coupled in an *integrable* way through λ^8 . Another argument leading to a single coupling along ρ will be the natural generalization of the integrability proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h_3 &= \sum_i \left[\sum_{\alpha < n} \left(x^2 E_i^{\alpha n} E_{i+1}^{nn} E_{i+2}^{n\alpha} - x^{-2} E_i^{n\alpha} E_{i+1}^{nn} E_{i+2}^{\alpha n} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\alpha, \beta < n} \left(x^{-2} E_i^{n\alpha} E_{i+1}^{\alpha\beta} E_{i+2}^{\beta n} - x^2 E_i^{\alpha n} E_{i+1}^{\beta\alpha} E_{i+2}^{n\beta} \right) \right] \\ h_3^c &= nU \sum_i \left[(x E_i^{\alpha n} E_{i+1}^{n\alpha} - x^{-1} E_i^{n\alpha} E_{i+1}^{\alpha n})(\rho'_i + \rho'_{i+1} + n - 2) + (E \rho' \rightarrow E' \rho) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The conserved cubic hamiltonian is: $H_3 = h_3 + h_3' + h_3^c$. A direct calculation shows that H_2 and H_3 commute. The shift of the generator ρ appearing in the coupling terms is necessary. The existence of a cubic conserved quantity is a strong indication of integrability; however, as in the $su(2)$ case there is no boost giving H_3 [9].

I now construct the transfer matrix which is the generator of the infinite set of conserved quantities. Consider the R -matrix of the $su(n)$ XX model [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda) &= a(\lambda) [E^{nn} \otimes E^{nn} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta < n} E^{\beta\alpha} \otimes E^{\alpha\beta}] \\ &\quad + b(\lambda) \sum_{\alpha < n} (x E^{nn} \otimes E^{\alpha\alpha} + x^{-1} E^{\alpha\alpha} \otimes E^{nn}) \\ &\quad + c(\lambda) \sum_{\alpha < n} (E^{n\alpha} \otimes E^{\alpha n} + E^{\alpha n} \otimes E^{n\alpha}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $a(\lambda) = \cos(\lambda)$, $b = \sin(\lambda)$ and $c(\lambda) = 1$. The functions a , b and c satisfy the ‘free-fermion’ condition: $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. Consider also the matrix

$$I_0(h) = \cosh\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) C_0 C_0' \quad (5)$$

where $C = \sum_{\alpha < n} E^{\alpha\alpha} - E^{nn}$ and h is so far a free parameter. The transfer matrix is the trace of a product of L -matrices over the auxiliary space 0:

$$L_{0i}(\lambda) = I_0 R_{0i} R_{0i}' I_0, \quad \tau(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_0 (L_{0M} \dots L_{01}) \quad (6)$$

where M is the number of sites. The conserved quantities are given by

$$H_{p+1} = \left(\frac{d^p \ln \tau(\lambda)}{d\lambda^p} \right)_{\lambda=0}, \quad p \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

where one drops trivially commuting contributions. This gives back the quadratic and cubic quantities written above, provided relation (14) is satisfied. The non-existence of a boost, noted earlier, is explained by the structure of the Lax, L , matrix: $\check{L}''(0) - \check{L}'^2(0)$ is not proportional to the identity operator ($\check{L} = PL$ and P is the permutation operator).

The proof that H_2 commutes with τ follows the lines of the first reference in [5]. This is one more indication that we are considering the natural multistate generalization of the Hubbard model. The commutator can be written as

$$[H_2, \tau(\lambda)] = \sum_i \text{Tr}_0 (L_{0M} \dots [H_{ii+1}, L_{0i+1} L_{0i}] \dots L_{01}) \quad (8)$$

Let $R_{0ii+1} = [H_{ii+1}, L_{0i+1} L_{0i}]$, where H_{ii+1} is the hamiltonian density. Let

$$D_{0i} = \frac{c}{a} \left(b \frac{\partial}{\partial c} + c \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) R_{0i} R'_{0i} \quad (9)$$

A tedious but straightforward calculation yields the following two equations which are at the root of the integrability proof:

$$[h_{ii+1}, R_{0i+1}] R_{0i} + R_{0i+1} [h_{ii+1}, R_{0i}] = R_{0i+1} D_{0i} - D_{0i+1} R_{0i} \quad (10)$$

$$[h_{ii+1}, R_{0i+1}] C_0 R_{0i} + R_{0i+1} C_0 [h_{ii+1}, R_{0i}] = -R_{0i+1} C_0 D_{0i} + D_{0i+1} C_0 R_{0i} \quad (11)$$

Using these equations one finds

$$R_{0ii+1} = L_{0i+1} Q_{0i}^t - Q_{0i+1} L_{0i} \quad , \quad Q_{0i} = I_0 D_{0i} I_0^{-3} - \frac{n^2 U}{8} [C_i C'_i, L_{0i}] \quad (12)$$

Writing the operator Q as a sum of a symmetric piece and an antisymmetric piece one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{0i} &= A_{0i} - B_{0i} \quad , \quad Q_{0i}^t = A_{0i} + B_{0i} \\ R_{0ii+1} &= L_{0i+1} A_{0i} - A_{0i+1} L_{0i} + L_{0i+1} B_{0i} + B_{0i+1} L_{0i} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The exact expression of A is not needed while that of B is similar to that of [5]. A calculation then shows that for

$$\sinh(2h) = \frac{n^2 U}{4} \times \frac{2ab}{c^2} = \frac{n^2 U}{4} \sin(2\lambda) \quad (14)$$

one has

$$B_{0i} = \left(\frac{c^2 + 2b^2}{4ab} \right) [L_{0i}, I_0^4] \quad (15)$$

Combining this with eq. (13), substituting into eq. (8), and using the cyclic structure of the transfer matrix we find $[H_2, \tau(\lambda)] = 0$.

We have shown that all the quantities obtained from the transfer matrix are conserved thus establishing the integrability of the model. The conserved quantities will also turn out to commute among themselves. One just needs to show that two transfer matrices at different spectral parameters commute. This follows trivially if one finds an R -matrix intertwining the monodromy matrices. Then one can start diagonalizing the hamiltonians by the method of the algebraic Bethe Ansatz.

I conclude with some remarks. The eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of E^{nn} seems to play a special role. However the Hubbard model can be defined with respect to any other eigenstate $|\alpha_0\rangle$, and is unitarily related to the foregoing model by a unitary transformation built out of the transformation exchanging $|n\rangle$ and $|\alpha_0\rangle$.

In one dimension the local symmetry is $(su(n-1) \oplus u(1)) \times ((su(n-1) \oplus u(1)))$. This is readily seen by showing that the operators $\sum_i E_i^{nn}$, and $\sum E_i^{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta < n$, commute with the transfer matrix. The primed copies are also symmetries. The proof outlined in [3] holds here. Finally a transformation of the Jordan-Wigner type (when $n > 2$) to fermionic variables cannot exist because of dimensional considerations. Whether the above local symmetry can be extended to a non-local one involving E^{an} , $E^{n\alpha}$, is an open question.

Acknowledgement: I thank P. Mathieu for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] M.C. Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 159 (1963); J. Hubbard, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A276**, 238 (1963).
- [2] *The Hubbard model*, a reprint volume edited by A. Montorsi, World Scientific (1992).
- [3] Z. Maassarani and P. Mathieu, *The $su(n)$ XX model*, LAVAL-PHY-22/97, cond-mat/9709163.
- [4] E.H. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1445 (1968).
- [5] B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1529 (1986); Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2453 (1986).
- [6] M. Wadati, E. Olmedilla and Y. Akutsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 1340 (1987); E. Olmedilla, M. Wadati and Y. Akutsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 2298 (1987).
- [7] For reviews on QISM and the Hubbard model see *Proceedings of the Panchgani Winter School* edited by B.S. Shastry, S.S. Jha and V. Singh, Lectures Notes in Physics Vol. 242, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985).
- [8] K.-H. Mütter and A. Schmitt, J. Phys. **A 28** (1995) 2265.
- [9] M. P. Grabowski and P. Mathieu, Ann. Phys. **243**, 299 (1995); J. Phys. **A 28**, 4777 (1995).