arXiv:cond-mat/9709256v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 24 Sep 1997

Supersymmetry and Theory of Heavy-Fermions

C.Pepin and M.Lavagna* Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique, Departement de Recherche Fondamentale sur la Matiere Condensee /SPSMS, 17, rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

We propose a new approach to the K ondo lattice in order to describe simultaneously the K ondo e ect and the local magnetism. This approach relies on an original representation of the S = 1=2 in purity spin in which the di erent degrees of freedom are represented by ferm ionic as well as bosonic variables. The magnetic instability is found at $J_c=D=0.67$ in de nite in provem ent compared to usual ferm ionic mean-eld theories. The dynamical susceptibility contains an inelastic peak in addition to the standard Lindhard-type Ferm i liquid contribution. The Ferm i surface sum rule includes $n_c + 1$ states corresponding to large Ferm i surfaces.

An outstanding feature of heavy-Ferm ion systems is the coexistence of Ferm i liquid-type excitations with localm agnetism resulting from RKKY interactions among spins. For instance, the dynam ic m agnetic structure factor measured in CeCu₆ and CeRu₂Si₂ by inelastic neutron scattering $(\mathbb{N}S)$ indicates two contributions [1,2]: a q-independent single-site slow component typical of the localized excitations of K ondo-type, superim posed on a strongly q-dependent intersite fast component, re ecting the magnetic correlations due to RKKY interactions. The form er is peaked around ! = 0 (quasi-elastic peak) while the latter is peaked around $!_0 \in 0$ (inelastic peak). Another important probe is provided by the de Haasvan Alphen (dHvA) experiments. The results [3] obtained by di erent groups on various com pounds indicate heavy e ective m ass. They also agree as to the existence of large Ferm i surfaces in the magnetically-disordered phase. Even though the charge degrees of freedom are frozen, the localized electrons seem to contribute to the Ferm isurface sum rule together with the conduction electrons.

This coexistence of Ferm i liquid-type excitations with low energy magnetic uctuations is likely to stem from the nature of the screening of the localized moments in the K ondo lattice. The interesting domain is reached when I T_K T_3 (I is the order of the RKKY interaction, T_K the K ondo temperature and T_3 the temperature scale at which the number of thermal states for conduction electrons just equals the number of in purities). Then, at T_K the available conduction electrons are exhausted [4] before achieving complete screening (incomplete K ondo e ect) leaving residual unscreened spin degrees of freedom on the in purities.

Traditionally, the spin is described either in ferm ionic or bosonic representation. If the former representation, used for instance in the 1=N expansion of the periodic Anderson-PAM [5] or the K ondo lattice-K LM [6] models, appears to be well adapted for the description of the K ondo e ect, it is also clear that the bosonic representation lends itself better to the study of local m agnetism. Quite obviously the physics of heavy-Ferm ions is dom inated by the duality between K ondo e ect and localized m om ents. This constitutes our motivation to introduce a new approach to the KLM which relies on an original representation of the impurity spin 1=2 in which the di erent degrees of freedom are represented by ferm ionic as well as bosonic variables. The form er are believed to describe the Ferm i liquid excitations while the latter account for the residual spin degrees of freedom.

Let us list the main results obtained in this paper: (i) the Fermi surface sum rule includes $n_c + 1$ states which means that the Fermi surface volume includes a contribution of one state per localized spin in agreement with dH vA experiments, (ii) the dynamical susceptibility contains an inelastic peak in addition to the standard Lindhard-type Fermi liquid contribution as observed in IN S, (iii) the instability towards long-range magnetic order appears at $J_c=D = 0.67$ signi cantly reduced to the value $J_c=D = 1.0$ obtained in purely fermionic mean-eld theories. While (i) has been already obtained in previous work, we stress on the fact that (ii) and (iii) constitutes new results established by this supersymmetric approach.

W e propose the follow ing m ixed ferm ionic-bosonic representation of the spin S = 1=2. The two states constituting the basism ay be written: $jl=2;l=2i = (X \ b_{\pi}^{y} + Y \ f_{\pi}^{y}) jli$ and jl=2; $l=2i = (X \ b_{\pi}^{y} + Y \ f_{\pi}^{y}) jli$, where b^y and f^y are respectively bosonic and ferm ionic creation operators, X and Y are parameters controlling the weight of both representations and jli represents the vacuum of particles: b jli=f jli=0. The spin operators are then given by $S = 0 \ b^{y} \ 0 \ b \ 0 + f^{y} \ 0 \ f \ 0 = S_{b} + S_{f}$, where (= (+; ; z)) are Paulim atrices. S_b and S_f are equivalent to Schwinger boson and A brikosov pseudo-ferm ion representations of the spin respectively.

O ne can easily check that the representation that we propose satis es the standard rules of SU (2) algebra: j1=2; 1=2i are eigenvectors of S^2 and S^z with eigenvalues 3=4 and 1=2, $[S^+;S^-] = 2S^z$ and $[S^z;S^-] =$ S provided that the following local constraint is satis ed: $b^y b + f^y f = n_b + n_f = 1$, which implies $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$. In order to eliminate the unphysical states as $X b^y + Y f^y$ jDi, we need to introduce a second local constraint and take: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} f^y b \\ f \end{pmatrix} = 0$ Let us consider the three-dimensional KLM near halflling (n_c 1). The ham iltonian is:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} X & & X \\ & & \\$$

In the representation introduced before, the partition function can be written as the following path integral:

$$Z = {}^{R} D c_{i} D f_{i} D b_{i} d_{i} dK_{i} exp {}^{H} d_{i} (L_{0} + H)$$

$$+ {}^{P} {}_{i} "_{fi} (n_{b_{i}} + n_{f_{i}} 1) + {}^{P} {}_{i} K_{i} Q_{i}) ;$$
with $L_{0} = {}^{P} {}_{i} (c_{i}^{Y} @ c_{i} + f_{i}^{Y} @ f_{i} + b_{i}^{Y} @ b_{i})$
and $H = {}^{P} {}_{P} {}_{k} c_{k}^{Y} c_{k} {}_{P}$

$$+ J {}_{i} (S_{f_{i}} + S_{b_{i}}) : s_{i} {}_{i} n_{c_{i}} ;$$

where J (> 0) is the K ondo interaction, and two timeindependent Lagrange multipliers "_{fi} and K_i are introduced to enforce the local constraints.

Performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation and neglecting the space and time dependence of the elds in a self-consistent saddle-point approximation, we have:

$$Z = {}^{R} d d C (_{0}; _{0}; _{i};) Z (;)$$

$$Z (;) = {}^{R} D c_{i} D f_{i} D b_{i} exp {}^{0} d (L_{0} + H^{0})^{i};$$
with C (_{0}; _{0}; ;) = exp[$_{0}^{0} \frac{\frac{2}{0} + 1}{1^{J}} {}^{P} i^{n}c_{i}]$

$$H^{0} = {}^{P} k (f_{k}^{y} c_{k}^{y} b_{k}^{y}) H_{0} (e_{k}^{0} A;)$$

$$H_{0} = {}^{0} (e_{0}^{0} - 1) {}^{H} b_{k}$$

$$H_{0} = {}^{0} (e_{0}^{0} - 1) {}^{H} b_{k}$$
(3)

Note the presence of a G rassm annian coupling between c_i and b_i , in addition to the usual coupling $_0$ between c_i and f_i responsible for the K ondo e ect. H $_0$ is of the type a in which a,b (,) are matrices consisting of commuting (anticommuting) variables. Note the supersymmetric structure of the matrix H $_0$ similar to the supermatrices appearing in the theory of disordered metals [7]. The second constraint is automatically satis ed in this scheme since there is no o -diagonal term mixing " end # states.

H₀ being herm itian, the matrix U^Y transforming the original basis $y = f^y c^y b^y$ to the basis of eigenvectors y = y y y is unitary (UU^Y = U^YU = 1). $y = yU^y$ with U^Y a supersymmetric matrix. y and y are the fermionic eigenvectors whose eigenvalues, determined from det (a E) (b E)¹ = 0, are:

$$E = \frac{(\mathbf{n}_{k} + \mathbf{n}_{f})}{2} \frac{p_{k}}{(\mathbf{n}_{k} - \mathbf{n}_{f})^{2} + 4(\frac{2}{0} + \frac{2}{0})}{2} :$$

 $^{\rm y}$ is the bosonic eigenvector whose eigenvalue, determined [9] from det (b E) (a E)^1 = 0 is E = "_f .

In the scheme we propose, $_0$ and $_0$ are slow variables that we determ ine by solving saddle-point equations, while , are fast variables de ned by a local approximation. As we will see, the latter approximation incorporates part of the uctuation e ects. Indeed, performing the functional integration of (3) over the fermion and boson elds [7] yields a superdeterminant (SD et) form written as follows:

$$Z(;) = SDet(0 + H);$$

where
$$SD et(0 + H) = \frac{D et(G^{1} D)}{D et(D^{1})};$$
 (4)
 $G^{1} = 0 + a \text{ and } D^{1} = 0 + b:$

Expanding to second order in , allow s us to de ne the propagator G (k;i!_n) associated to the G rassm ann variable and hence the closure relation for $x_0^2 = h$ i:

$$x_{0}^{2} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k \neq 1}^{X} G \quad (k \neq 1, n); \qquad (5)$$

with G
$$(k;i!_{n}) = \frac{J}{[I \quad J \stackrel{0}{_{cb}}(k;i!_{n})]}$$

and $\int_{cb}^{0} = \frac{1}{K} G_{cc}(k+q;i!_{n}+i!) D(q;i!_{n}):$

C ontrary to [8] which in the case of the underscreened K ondo in purity m odel, assum es $x_0^2 = 0$ leading to a twouid description, the closure equation (5) that we introduce de nes a nite x_0^2 . This parameter x_0^2 plays a major role in controlling the relative weights of ferm ion and boson statistics. It is directly connected to the X and Y parameters introduced in the initial representation of the states : $X^2 = x_0^2 = (\frac{2}{0} + x_0^2)$ and $Y^2 = \frac{2}{0} = (\frac{2}{0} + x_0^2)$.

The resolution of the saddle-point equations, keeping the number of particles conserved, leads to:

$$y_{\rm F} = D \exp \left[1 = (2J_0) \right];$$

$$1 = \frac{2_0 \left(\frac{2}{0} + x_0^2 \right)}{\frac{y}{D}};$$
(6)
$$= \frac{\left(\frac{2}{0} + x_0^2 \right)}{D};$$

;

where $y_F =$ ^T_f and $_0 = 1=2D$ is the bare density of states of conduction electrons. From this set of equations, we nd : "_f = 0.

The resulting spectrum of energies is schematized in Figure 1. At zero temperature, only the lowest band is lled with an enhancement of the density of states at the Fermi level (and hence of the mass) unchanged from the standard 1=N expansions: $^{2}_{0} + \mathbf{x}^{2}_{0}$) D 1 _ This large 1. ¥-) Λ mass enhancement is related to the at part of the band associated with the form ation of the Abrikosov-Suhl resonance pinned at the Ferm i level. W hile this feature was already present in the purely ferm ionic description, it is to be noted that the form ation of a dispersionless bosonic band within the hybridization gap is an entirely new result of the theory.



FIG.1. Sketch of energy versus wave number k for the three bands , , resulting of the diagonalization of supersymmetric H $_0$. Note the presence of a bosonic band separating the fermionic bands and . Note also the atness of the band at k = $k_{\rm F}$ relating large elective mass.

The relative weight of boson and ferm ion statistics in the spin representation is related to $x_0^2 : n_b = n_f = x_0^2 = \frac{2}{0}$. It is then interesting to follow the J-dependence of x_0^2 . The result is reported in Fig. 2. This bell-shaped curve can be interpreted in the light of the exhaustion principle mentioned in the introduction. In the lim it of large J, the K ondo tem perature-scale $T_K = D \exp[1 = (2J_0)]$ is of order of the bandwidth. One then expects a com plete K ondo screening as can be checked by remarking that the weight of c in the quasiparticle at the Ferm i level (noted $v_{k_r}^2$) just equals the added weights of f and b at the Ferm i level (respectively noted $u_{k_F}^2$ and $\frac{2}{1}$): $v_{k_F}^2 = (u_{k_F}^2 + \frac{2}{1}) = y_F^2 = (\frac{2}{0} + x_0^2) = 1$. The Kondo effect being complete in that lim it, there is no residual unscreened spin degrees of freedom : it is then natural to derive a zero value of x_0^2 (and hence of n_b). The opposite lim it at sm all J corresponds to the free case of uncoupled in purity spins and conduction electrons. It also leads to: $x_0^2 = 0$. The nite value of x_0^2 between these two limits with a maximum relects the incomplete K ondo screening e ect in the Kondo lattice, the unscreened spin degrees of freedom being described by bosons.



FIG.2. J=D -dependence of the coupling $x_0^2 = h$ i xing the relative weight of ferm ion and boson statistics. The unit on the vertical-axis is D².

Largely discussed in the litterature [11] is the question concerning the Ferm i surface sum rule: do the localized spins of the K ondo lattice contribute to the counting of states within the Ferm i surface or do they not? Depending on the answer, one expects large or sm all Ferm i surfaces. The supersymmetric theory leads to a m conclusion in favour of the form er. One can check that the num ber of states within the Ferm i surface is just equal to $n_c + n_b + n_f$, i.e. $n_c + 1$. The Ferm i surface volume includes a contribution of one atate per localized spin in addition to that of conduction electrons [3]. This conclusion which appears reasonable if one recalls that the KLM is an e ective ham iltonian derived from the PAM, has been reached before by several other authors [11,12]. We do think that it is a good sign to recover previously established results if they are correct.

The dynamic spin susceptibility (q; !) is calculated as usual from the second derivative of the generating function lnZ (H_i) with respect to a magnetic source H_i. The corresponding spin-spin correlation function is given by: < (S_f (t) + S_b(t)) (S_f (0) + S_b (0)) > . Projecting it in the eigenbasis f;; g and om itting negligible term s, one gets two contributions to "(q; !):

$$"(q;!) = "(q;!) + "(q;!)$$
 (7)

The rst one is of Lindhard type and corresponds to electron-hole pair excitations in the band:

"
$$(q;!)$$
 $(E_{\rm F}) \frac{!}{qv_{\rm F}}$ (8)

where v_F is the renorm alized Ferm i velocity. The second one corresponding to the excitations from the to the band has a peak at a frequency of order T_K :

$$(q;!) \qquad {}_{0} \frac{1}{!^{2} (_{0}^{2} + \mathbf{x}_{0}^{2})} \quad (! \qquad \mathbf{y}) \qquad (9)$$

W e believe that these two contributions m ay account for the quasielastic and inelatic peaks observed in INS.

"



FIG.3. J=D -dependence of the renormalized populations $\overline{N_0}$ and $\overline{N_b}$ (where $\overline{N_0} = N_0 \, \mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $\overline{N_b} = N_b \, \mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{K}}$) of the bosons in the condensate and the excited levels respectively. The intersection of the two curves determ ine the critical value $J_c=D=0.67$ below which long-range ferrormagnetic order appears. Note the rst order transition at $J_c=D$ with $\overline{N_0}$ (and $\overline{N_b}$) jumping to (and from) zero at this value.

One of the crucial questions raised by the K ondo lattice model is the possibility of long-range magnetic order at low J. So far, all the results have been obtained assum ing that no Bose condensation occurs. Let us now reconsider the problem allowing the bosons b condense. At the level of approximation that we use, bosons behave as free particles; so at T = 0, the bosons are either all in the excited levels or all in the condensate. In the latter case, we evaluate the number of bosons N $_{0}$ in the condensate to $N_0 = \exp[1=2_0 J]$. This value should be put together with the number of bosons $N_{\rm b}$ in the excited levels in the absence of Bose condensation: $N_b = 2 {}_0 x_0^2 = (y_F) = x_0^2 = (D^2 \exp [1 = 2 {}_0 J])$. It tums out that comparing the free energy of both states is equivalent to compare N $_0$ and N $_b$. Figure 3 represents the J-dependence of the two populations. The intersection of the two curves de nes the value of J below which the bosons condense. The ferrom agnetic instability associated to this Bose condensation appears at $J_c=D = 0.67$. This value is significantly reduced com pared to the ferm ionic theory mean-eld value of order 1.0 [13,14]. The reduction of $J_c=D$ that we predicted is similar to what is found in the related problem of the 1D K ondo necklace [11] for which num erical calculations also lead to smaller critical value ($J_c=D = 0.382$ and 0:24 within real-space renorm alization group and nite size scaling analysis respectively) com pared to mean-eld value $(J_c=D = 1:0)$. It is obvious that the sharp transition of N₀ and N_b at J_c associated with a rst-order transition is an artifact of our approximations. Further re nem ents including uctuations would introduce interactions am ong bosons, as in the Bogoliubov problem . We expect that it will produce progressive depletion of the condensate towards the excited levels transform ing the

ferrom agnetic transition from st to second order. With a few exceptions, most of the heavy Fermions are not ferrom agnetic but rather antiferrom agnetic. The present calculation cannot directly apply. However, we believe that the discussion here is very useful since (i) it draws the general lines of the study which could as well be extended to the case of antiferrom agnetism by considering a staggered instead of an uniform condensate and (ii) it can be considered as a test of the theory by com paring the prediction of the ferrom agnetic instability with the results of num erical calculations.

In summary, we have shown that the supersymmetric method proves to be a powerful tool to account for both Fermi-liquid excitations and residual spin degrees of freedom in heavy-Fermion systems. The key results are the prediction of a magnetic instability at $J_c=D = 0.67$ signi cantly reduced compared to purely fermionic theories, the presence of two contributions in the dynamical spin susceptibility, the existence of an enhanced density of states at the Fermi level while the Fermi surface sum rule includes the total number of $n_c + 1$ states. The work opens the way for further investigations as the system atic study of the elects of uctuations [15] in the pure K ondo lattice model or the incorporation of additional RKKY interactions in an extended K ondo lattice model.

We would like to thank P.Coleman, A.G.eorges, P.A.Lee, A.Yashenkin and T.Ziman for very helpful discussions.

* A loo Part of the Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que (CNRS).

- G.Aeppli, H.Yoshizawa, Y.Endoh, E.Bucher, J.Hugnagl,
 Y.Onuki, T.Kom atsubara, PhysRev Lett. 57, 122 (1986).
- [2] L P.Regnault, W A C. Erkelens, J.Rossat-M ignod, R.Lejay, J.F louquet, PhysRev B 38, 4481 (1988).
- [3] S.R. Julian, F.S. Tautz, G.J. M M ullan, G.G. Lonzarich, PhysicaB 199 & 200, 63 (1994).
- [4] P.Nozieres, Ann PhysFr. 10, 19 (1985).
- [5] A J.M illis, P A. Lee, PhysRev B 35, 3394 (1987).
- [6] A.Auerbach, K.Levin, PhysRevLett. 57, 877 (1986).
- [7] K B E fetov, A dv. Phys. 32, 53 (1983).
- [8] J.G.an, P.C. olem an, N.A.ndrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3476 (1992).
- [9] W e extend here the equation used in R ef.[7] for the determ ination of eigenenergies for ferm ions to the case of bosons.
- [10] S.Doniach, Physica 91B, 231 (1977).
- [11] H. T sunetsugu, M. Sigrist, K. Ueda (in press) and references within.
- [12] R M .M artin, PhysRevLett. 48, 362 (1982).
- [13] C. Lacroix, M Cyrot, PhysRev B 20, 1969 (1979).
- [14] N.Read, D M. Newns, S.Doniach, PhysRev B 30, 3841 (1984).
- [15] Note that part of the uctuation e ects has already been taken into account in the local approximation.