

# M E S O S C O P I C Q U A N T U M C I R C U I T T H E O R Y T O P E R S I S T E N T C U R R E N T A N D C O U L O M B B L O C K A D E

Y O U - Q U A N L I<sup>y</sup>

Institute of Theoretical Physics, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

## A b s t r a c t

The quantum theory for mesoscopic electric circuit is briefly described. The uncertainty relation for electric charge and current modifies the traditional Heisenberg uncertainty relation. The mesoscopic ring is regarded as a pure L-design, and the persistent current is obtained explicitly. The Coulomb blockade phenomenon appears when applying to the pure C-design.

---

talk at the Fifth International Wigner Symposium, Vienna 25-29 August, 1997

<sup>y</sup>on leave from the absence of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027 China

Owing to the dramatic achievement in nanotechnology, there have been many studies on mesoscopic physics [1]. In present talk I briefly demonstrate a quantum mechanical theory for mesoscopic electric circuits based on the fact that electronic charge takes discrete values [2]. As the application of this approach, the persistent current on a mesoscopic ring and the Coulomb blockade phenomena are formulated from a new point of view, about which some details are presented. Most importantly, it is a physical realization of the deformation of quantum mechanics studied considerably by other authors in mathematical physics.

## I. QUANTIZED CIRCUIT WITH CHARGE DISCRETENESS

In order to taken into account the discreteness of electronic charge, we must impose that the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator  $\hat{q}$  (electric charge) take discrete values [2], i.e.  $\hat{q}|j\rangle = nq_e|j\rangle$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q_e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$  coulomb). Since the spectrum of charge is discrete, the inner product in charge representation will be a sum instead of the usual integral and the electric current operator  $\hat{P}$  will be defined by the discrete derivatives [3]  $r_{q_e}, \overline{r_{q_e}}$ . Thus for the mesoscopic quantum electric circuit one will have a finite-difference Schrödinger equation [2]. The uncertainty relation for electric charge and current modifies the traditional Heisenberg uncertainty relation, namely,

$$\hat{q} \hat{P} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (1 + \frac{q_e^2}{\hbar^2} < \hat{H}_0 >): \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{H}_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} r_{q_e} \overline{r_{q_e}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2q_e} (r_{q_e} - \overline{r_{q_e}})$ . The Hamiltonian of quantum LC-circuit in the presence of exterior magnetic flux reads

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2q_e L} (\hat{P}_{q_e} - \overline{D_{q_e}}) + \frac{1}{2C} \hat{q}^2 + \Phi \hat{q} \quad (2)$$

where  $L$  and  $C$  stand for the inductance and the capacity of the circuit respectively,  $\Phi$  represents the voltage of an adiabatic source, and the covariant discrete derivatives are defined by

$$D_{q_e} := e^{\frac{q_e}{h}} \frac{\hat{Q}}{q_e} e^{i\frac{q_e}{h}}; \quad \overline{D}_{q_e} := e^{\frac{q_e}{h}} \frac{e^{i\frac{q_e}{h}} \hat{Q}^\dagger}{q_e}; \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{Q} := e^{iq_e \hat{p}=h}$  is a minimum shift operator with the property  $\hat{Q}^\dagger |j\rangle = e^{i(n+1)} |j+1\rangle$  ( $\phi_n$ s are undetermined phases). The hamiltonian (2) is covariant under the gauge transformation,  $\hat{G} D_{q_e} \hat{G}^{-1} = D_{q_e}^0$ ;  $\hat{G} \overline{D}_{q_e} \hat{G}^{-1} = \overline{D}_{q_e}^0$  where  $\hat{G} := e^{i\frac{q_e}{h} \hat{q}}$  and the gauge field transforms as  $A \rightarrow A + \frac{h}{q_e}$ . The  $\phi$  plays the role of the external magnetic flux threading the circuit.

## II. QUANTUM L-DESIGN AND PERSISTENT CURRENT

Now we study the Schrodinger equation for a pure L-design in the presence of magnetic flux,

$$\frac{h^2}{2q_e L} (D_{q_e} - \overline{D}_{q_e}) |j\rangle = E |j\rangle; \quad (4)$$

Because its eigenstates can be simultaneous eigenstates of  $\hat{p}$ , eq.(4) is solved by the eigenstate  $|j\rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{in q_e p = h} |n\rangle$  ( $|n\rangle := \exp(i \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j)$ ). The energy spectrum is easily calculated as

$$E(p; \phi) = \frac{2h}{q_e^2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{q_e}{2h} (p - \phi) \right) \quad (5)$$

which has oscillatory property with respect to  $\phi$  or  $p$ . Differing from the usual classical pure L-design, the energy of a mesoscopic quantum pure L-design can not be large than  $2h=q_e^2$ . Clearly, the lowest energy states are those states with  $p = \phi + nh=q_e$ , then the eigenvalues of the electric current (i.e.  $\frac{1}{L} \hat{p}$ ) of ground state can be obtained [2]. The electric current on a mesoscopic circuit of pure L-design is not null in the presence of a magnetic flux (except  $\phi = nh=q_e$ ). This is a pure quantum characteristic. The persistent current in a mesoscopic L-design is an observable quantity periodically depending on the magnetic flux  $\phi$ . Because a mesoscopic metal ring is a natural pure L-design, the formula we obtained is valid for persistent current in a single mesoscopic ring [4]. One can easily calculate the

inductance of mesoscopic metal ring,  $L = 8\pi r(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{8r}{a} - 1)$  where  $r$  is the radius of the ring and  $a$  is the radius of the metal wire. Then the formula for persistent current is

$$I(\phi) = \frac{h}{8\pi r(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{8r}{a} - 1)q_e} \sin(\frac{q_e}{h} \phi) : \quad (6)$$

Differing from the conventional formulation of the persistent current on the basis of quantum dynamics for electrons, our formulation presented a method from a new point of view. Formally, the  $I(\phi)$  we obtained is a sine function with periodicity of  $\phi_0 = \frac{h}{q_e}$ . But either the model that the electrons move freely in an ideal ring [5], or the model that the electrons have hard-core interactions between them [6] can only give the sawtooth-type periodicity. Obviously, the sawtooth-type function is only the limit case for  $q_e \rightarrow h \neq 0$ .

### III. QUANTUM C-DESIGN AND COULOMB BLOCKADE

In Coulomb blockade experiments, the mesoscopic capacity may be relatively very small (about  $10^{-8}$  F) but the inductance of a mesoscopic circuit connecting to a source is relatively large because the inductance of a circuit is proportional to the area which the circuit spans. We can neglect the term reversely proportional to  $L$  in (2), and study the equation for a pure C-design.

$$\frac{1}{2C} q^2 - \phi q_j \geq E_j : \quad (7)$$

Apparently, the Hamiltonian operator commutes with the charge operator, so they have simultaneous eigenstates. The energy for the eigenstate  $|j\rangle$  is  $E_j = (nq_e - C\phi)^2/2C$ ,  $C\phi^2/2$ , which involves both the charge quantum number and the voltage source. After some analyses, we find the relation between charge  $q$  and the voltage  $\phi$  for the ground state,

$$q = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \phi - (m + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] - \left[ \phi - (m + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] q_e \quad (8)$$

where  $\{x\}$  is the step function. The corresponding eigenstate is

$$|j(\phi)\rangle_{\text{ground}} = \sum_1^{\infty} \left[ \phi - (m - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] - \left[ \phi - (m + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] |j\rangle : \quad (9)$$

The dependence of the current on time is obtained by taking derivative

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} q_e \left[ \psi'' - \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] + \left[ \psi' + \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{q_e}{C} \right] \frac{d\psi}{dt} : \quad (10)$$

Clearly, the currents are of the form of sharp pulses which occurs periodically according to the changes of voltage. The voltage difference between two pulses are  $q_e/C$ . This is the called Coulomb blockade phenomena caused by the charge discreteness. If considering the problem in  $p$ -representation, we have

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \frac{d}{dp} \left( i \frac{C}{\hbar} \psi' \right) \sim(p) = \left( E + \frac{C}{2} \psi'^2 \right) \sim(p) : \quad (11)$$

Apparently,  $\sim(p) / e^{i p}$  solves the equation with the energy  $E = \hbar^2 \left( \frac{C}{\hbar} \right)^2 = 2C / C^2 = 2$ , from which we know the ground state has  $\psi' = C / \hbar$ . So the wave function for the ground state is  $\sim_{\text{ground}}(p) = N e^{i \frac{C}{\hbar} p}$  ( $N$  is the normalization constant).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr. B. Chen for the collaboration in Zhejiang University; the supports by EPFL and by the Pao Yu-Kang & Pao Zhao-Long scholarship for Chinese scholars.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F . A . Buot, Phys. Rep. 224 (1993)73 and references therein.
- [2] Y . Q . Li and B . Chen, Phys. Rev. B , 53 (1996)4027.
- [3] Y . Q . Li, Commun. Theor. Phys. 24 (1995)255.
- [4] V . Chandrasekhar, R . A . Webb, M . J. Brady, M . B . K etchen, W . J. G allagher and A . K leinsasser, Phys. Rev. Lett., 67 (1991)3578.
- [5] H . F . Cheung, Y . G efen, E . K . R idel and W . H . Shih, Phys. Rev. B , 37 (1988)6050.
- [6] Y . Q . Li and Z . S . M a, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn ., 65 (1996)1519.