C om m ent on \Tricritical B ehavior in R upture Induced by D isorder"

In their letter, Andersen, Somette, and Leung describe possible behaviors for nupture in disordered m edia. Their analysis is rst based on the mean eld-like dem ocratic ber bundle m odel (DFBM). In the DFBM, N<sub>0</sub> (! 1) bers are initially pulled with a force F, which is distributed uniform ly. A ber breaks if the stress it undergoes exceeds a threshold chosen from a probability distribution p (x) =  $\frac{dP}{dx}$ . A fler each set of failures, the force F is redistributed over the remaining bers. Let N (F) be the nalnum ber of intact bers. W hat is the behavior of N (F), as F increases from 0 to 1 ? Ref. [1] claims the existence of a tricritical point, separating a \ rst-order" regime, characterized by a sudden global failure, from a \second-order" regime, characterized by a divergence in the breaking rate (response function) N<sup>0</sup> (F).

Here, we present a graphical solution of the DFBM. Unlike an analytical solution, this enables us to consider the qualitatively di erent classes of disorder distribution, and to distinguish the corresponding generic behaviors of N (F). We nd that, for continuous distributions with nite mean, the system always undergoes a macroscopic failure, preceded by a diverging breaking rate. A  $\$  rstorder" failure, with no preceding divergence, is an artifact of a (large enough) discontinuity in p (x).

Suppose that a set of failures leaves the system with N<sub>i</sub> unbroken bers. Each of these is now under a stress  $F=\!N_i$ . This leads to another set of failures, bringing the number of intact bers to N<sub>i+1</sub> = N<sub>0</sub> 1 P $\frac{F}{N_i}$ . The function N (F) de ned above is nothing but N<sub>1</sub>. A graphical scheme for this iteration is facilitated by setting x<sub>i</sub> = N<sub>i</sub>=F, f = F=N<sub>0</sub>, and (x) = 1 P (1=x), leading to

$$fx_{i+1} = (x_i)$$
. (1)

Since

$$^{0}(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}}p \frac{1}{x} , \qquad (2)$$

(x) is a monotonic function of x, increasing from 0 to 1. Therefore, from iterating Eq. (1) graphically, N (F) is given by the rightmost intersection of the curve y = (x)with the straight line y = fx. As the force is increased, the straight line becomes steeper, and the intersection consequently moves to the left.

We rst consider continuous in nite-support distributions p(x). We can distinguish three qualitatively di erent cases, depending on the behavior of p(x) at large x (see Fig. 1). (i) Forp(x) x<sup>r</sup>, with r < 2, intact bers remain at any force F. (ii) Forp(x) = x<sup>2</sup>, N(F) goes continuously to zero at  $F_c = N_0$ , with a diverging breaking rate (N<sup>0</sup>( $F_c$ ) = 1). In both cases, there may or may not be jumps in N(F) at smaller forces.

In particular, if the slope of (x) is monotonically decreasing ( convex), N (F) has no discontinuity. This is the case for e.g.  $p(x) = \frac{1}{x^r}e^{-x^{r-1}}$  (1 < r 2), with any . Note also that both classes of distributions yield an in nite mean hxi = 1 . (iii) For p(x) such that  $x^2p(x)$  ! 0, e.g.  $p(x) = \frac{x}{2}e^{-x^2}$  or  $p(x) = \frac{x}{2}e^{-x^2-2}$  with any , there is at least one jump in N (F), leading to N = 0. As seen graphically, any such jump is preceded by a diverging breaking rate, i.e. the curve N (F) reaches its discontinuity vertically, generically according to N  $^0$  (F) F  $F_c j^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .




FIG.1. Illustration of the graphical scheme for the three generic cases (i), (ii) and (iii) discussed in the text.

From our graphical method, it clearly appears that a sudden jum p in N (F) with no divergence preceding it, is possible only if (x) has a non-di erentiable point, which in turn, by Eq.(2), requires a discontinuity in p(x). We illustrate this in the context of nite-support distributions, for which p(x) = 0 for x < a and x > b. No ber breaks up to  $F_a = N_0 a$ . Then, as can be shown by the graphical method, a sudden failure occurs at  $F_a$  only if a, which is equivalent to requiring a minimal <sup>0</sup> (1=a) discontinuity p (a) 1=a at a. A lso, note that for any nite b, there will be at least one jum p in N (F), leading to N = 0. Thus, for the more physical case of a continuous - albeit nite-support - distribution, the behavior of the solution is identical to that of case (iii) above.

In sum m ary, the generic form of the DFBM 's solution depends on the disorder distribution only via its large x behavior - and possible discontinuity points.

I would like to thank Professor Mehran Kardar for fruitful discussions. This work was partly supported by the NSF through grant No. DMR-93-03667.

R ava da Silveira D epartm ent of P hysics M assachusetts Institute of Technology C am bridge, M assachusetts 02139

<sup>[1]</sup> J.V. Andersen, D. Somette and K.-T. Leung, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2140 (1997)