G round-State P roperties of Extended Two-Channel K ondo M odel

H iroakiK usunose

Departm ent of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-77

(Received October 2, 1997)

G round-state properties are exam ined for an extended two-channel K ondo m odel where the H ilbert space of the localized states is extended to include a singlet state in addition to the doublet states. By m eans of zero-th order variational wavefunctions with di erent sym m etries, which are associated with the non-Ferm i-liquid and the Ferm i-liquid ground states, we dem onstrate that the channel exchange coupling via the localized singlet state stabilizes the Ferm i-liquid wavefunction. The ground-state phase diagram s, which are in qualitative agreem ent with the previous study perform ed by K oga and Shiba, are obtained. The com parison to the structure of the resultant wavefunctions suggests that a unique non-Ferm i-liquid (Ferm i-liquid) xed point exists, irrespective of the localized ground state.

KEYW ORDS: quadrupolarKondo e ect, crystalline electric eld, extended two-channelKondo model, non-Fermi liquid, Fermi liquid, variationalmethod

In a num ber of heavy-ferm ion alloys, rem arkable deviations from the Ferm i-liquid behavior have been recently observed during the course of investigations of exotic heavy-ferm ion compounds.¹⁽³⁾ This non-Ferm i-liquid behavior can be initiated by several m icroscopic origins due to, for example, the two-channel quadrupolar K ondo e ect,⁴⁾ a distribution of K ondo tem peratures⁵⁾ or the critical phenom ena in the vicinity of a zero-tem perature quantum phase transition.⁶⁽⁹⁾ In m ost of anom abus albys, intersite correlations or disorder e ects are not negligible to understand the non-Ferm i-liquid behavior; how ever, a pure single-ion m echanism seems to be essential in som e dilute alloys.

In particular, $U_x T h_1 {}_x R u_2 S i_2$ (x 0.07) shows unusual ln T dependence in the speci c-heat coe cient , the c-axis magnetic susceptibility and the a-axis resistivity ${}^{(2)}$ A couple of experimental results scaled with uranium concentration convinced us that the anom alous behaviors are due to an intrinsic single-ion e ect, in particular to the magnetic two-channel K ondo e ect.

On the other hand, $U_xLa_1 _xRu_2Si_2$ exhibits an ordinary Kondo e ect,¹⁰⁾ in which an uranium ion sits on a crystalline electric eld (CEF) similar to that of the Th substituted material under tetragonal symmetry. The di erence between the two systems is the bcation of the rst excited CEF level under the 5f² conguration. The rst singlet excited state is located at around 25K above the time-reversal (t5) ground doublet in $U_xLa_1 _xRu_2Si_2$, while it is located at about 10^3 K in $U_xTh_1 _xRu_2Si_2$.

In the case of the CEF-singlet ground state, which m ay be realized in the hexagonal compound UPt₃, the low-energy physics, even for an impurity case, are still unclear; in contrast, extensive experimental investigations have been performed in order to understand exotic behaviors such as the unconventional superconductivity and extrem ely weak antiferrom agnetism .11)

From a theoretical point of view, several authors have investigated the in uence of the CEF-singlet state on the non-Ferm i-liquid xed point of two-channel K ondo m odelusing the num erical renorm alization-group (NRG) m ethod.^{12,13)} They revealed that them ixing between the two channels via the CEF-singlet state plays an important role for stabilizing the Ferm i-liquid xed point over the non-Ferm i-liquid one. A though the properties of the Ferm i-liquid xed point are mainly exam ined, it is not yet apparent how to stabilize the non-Ferm i-liquid xed point.

In this letter, it is dem onstrated that two ground states with di erent sym m etries can be obtained on the basis of the variational treatm ent due to a level-crossing between them $.^{14(16)}$ This approach is of great advantage for understanding how to stabilize the non-Ferm i-liquid state over the Ferm i-liquid state.

W e begin with an extended two-channelK ondo m odel for tetragonal and hexagonal sym metries, in which the tim e-reversal doublet f i and the CEF-singlet β i am ong the J = 4 multiplet are taken into account and only the lowest f¹ interm ediate state has been used in the Schrie er-W ol transform ation to derive the exchange H am iltonian.¹²⁾ W ithin these restrictions, four states, which are specified by channel and spin indices, am ong the j = 5=2 partialwave of conduction electrons are coupled with the intermal degrees of freedom at the impurity site.

Here it is convenient to introduce the local operators for the spin and the channel of conduction electrons dened as

m

$$= a_{km}^{y} \circ a_{k^{0}m^{0}};$$
 (1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ & a_{km}^{\gamma} & mm^{0}a_{k^{0}m^{0}}; \\ km & k^{0}m^{0} \end{array}$$

respectively. Then the extended two-channel K ondo

E-m ail: kusu@ cm pt01.phys.tohoku.ac.p

model is given in terms of both operators by

$$M^{A} = \frac{X}{N} \begin{pmatrix} X & X^{B}, X^{B}$$

where a_{km} annihilates the k-B loch state of conduction electrons with the spin (= "; #) in the channel m (= 1; 2). The kinetic energy k spreads over D + D, D being the half of a bandwidth. The exchange couplings J, K and j j which represent the energy splitting between the doublet state f i and the singlet state β i, are measured in units of D. The de nition for each state in terms of the azim uthal component of total angular momentum, J_z or j_z , is sum marized in Table I.

Table I. De nition for each state to describe the extended twochannelK ondo m odel. jn i represents the azim uthal com ponent of total angular m om entum .

State	Tetragonal	Hexagonal
E B a _{k1"} a _{k1#}	j $3i+$ j $1i$ ($2i+$ j $2i)=2$ 5=2i+ j $3=2i1=2i$	j 4i+ j 2i (β i+ j 3i)= $\frac{P}{2}$ β =2i j 3=2i j 1=2i β =2i β =2i
a _{k2"} a _{k2#}	j 1=21 j 5=2i+ j3=2i	j 3=2i j 5=2i j 3=2i j 3=2i

The second term in eq. (3) is reduced to the usual two-channel K ondo exchange interaction with the isolated singlet state β i in the case of K = 0. N onzero K term plays the role of mixing the channel degrees of freedom and the localized doublet state is simultaneously transferred to the singlet state and vice versa. The num – ber of conduction electrons in each channel is no longer conserved, how ever, the total num ber of electrons,

$$Q = \sum_{km}^{X} a_{km}^{y} a_{km} \frac{1}{2} ; \qquad (4)$$

is still conserved.

Since the H am iltonian (3) is invariant under the transform ation (m E) \$ (m E), namely, due to the time-reversal sym m etry in the original representation of total angular m om entum as given in Table $\frac{1}{F}$ we can revive a conserved quantity,¹²⁾ total spin, $J_z = j_z$ by assigning ctitious spin j_z to each state as

$$(1 ") ! (+ \frac{3}{2}); (2 ") ! (+ \frac{1}{2}); (1 #) ! (\frac{1}{2});$$
$$(2 #) ! (\frac{3}{2}); (E) ! (1); (B) ! (0): (5)$$

Now we construct possible variational wavefunctions for ground states of the extended two-channel K ondo m odel. A half-lled Q = 0 state with spin polarization of conduction electrons around the impurity will be a ground state. The non-Ferm i-liquid (NFL) ground state m ust include the overscreening state, $a_{k_1,k_2}^y a_{k_2,k_3}^y$ it 1 i (only one of the time-reversal pair is presented), which is involved in $(Q; J_z) = (0; 1)$ state, jj i being the localized state j_z and the state led inside the Ferm i sphere to the extent k_F . W hile the nondegenerate Ferm i-liquid (FL) state should be specified by the conserved quantities, (0;0).

The variational wavefunctions can be obtained¹⁴) if we begin with the states in which two excited electrons above the Ferm i level couple with the localized spin state and then collect higher-order states with particle-hole excitation which are connected with the next low er-order one by the exchange term s in eq. (1). We can write a zeroth order wavefunction \hat{D} ; 1 i for NFL and \hat{D} ;0 i for FL states in consideration of the time-reversal symm etry, J_z \$ J_z , as

j0;0i=

$$X^{k_{F}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{12}^{(1)} k_{1} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac{1}{k_{1}} \frac{1}{k_{2}} \frac$$

where we de ne j_{k_1m} ; $k_{2m} \circ j_{j_2i} = a_{k_1m}^y a_{k_{2m}}^y \circ j_{j_2i}$ and k_n is simply expressed as n in the variational coe – cients, ⁽ⁱ⁾ and ⁽ⁱ⁾. The Pauli principle requires that $j_{12}^{(2)} = j_{21}^{(2)}$ and $j_{12}^{(3)} = j_{21}^{(3)}$ is due to time-reversal sym – m etry. It is noted that $j_{k_1}1 \# j_{k_2}1 \# j_{0i}$ ($j_{k_1}1 \# j_{k_2}2 \# j_{0i}$) does not connect with the above wavefunction j_{0j} ; 1i (j_{0j} ; 0i) despite the fact that its conserved quantities are the sam e.

If we ignore the k dependence of the variational wavefunctions, they are sim ilar to the wavefunctions which are obtained by the diagonalization of zeroth-site H am iltonian in the NRG m ethod.¹⁷⁾ The successive renorm alization procedure can in prove these localized wavefunctions to involve the low energy states of conduction electrons. In our zeroth variational wavefunctions, such a strict in provem ent is replaced only to take into account the k dependence of conduction electrons.

The candidates in the variational functions are connected with each other by exchange interactions. The relations among them are schem atically shown in Fig.1. The circle with the number i stands for the i-th component of the variational function whose energy is indicated above the circle (the kinetic energy $_1 + _2$ is om itted). We can easily expect that J stabilizes the state (0; 1), while the state (0;0) can be stabilized due to the exchange K because the latter state has two m ixing paths with respect to K, while in the form er state, two m ixing paths are available for J instead of K. Since the relation



Fig. 1. The relations among each component in the variational wavefunction (a) j0; 1i, (b) j0;0i. The circle with the number i stands for the i-th component of the variational function whose energy is indicated above the circle (the kinetic energy $_1 + _2$ is om itted).

of the number of mixing paths remains the same when considering higher order wavefunctions, we expect that the zeroth order wavefunctions contain essential features to clarify level-crossing of ground states.

The Schrödinger equation \mathbb{H} E (Q;J_z)] \mathfrak{D} ;J_zi = 0 provides us with the following set of equations to determine $\stackrel{(i)}{_{12}}$ and $\stackrel{(i)}{_{12}}$ and E:

Q

where $_{12} = _{1P}^{+} _{2} E$, and for (C;) = (A;) or (B;) $C_{m}^{(i)} = (1=N)_{3}^{(i)} _{3m}^{(i)}$, $C_{m}^{(i)} = (1=N)_{3m3}^{(i)}$, $C_{m}^{(i)} = (C_{m}^{(i)} C_{n}^{(i)})=2$.

First, we consider the case J; K D 1, which is related to the local problem in the NRG method as mentioned above. We can assume $\binom{(i)}{12} = \overset{i}{12}$ (independent of k); then eqs. (8) and (9) are reduced to

respectively, where $(+ E)=2 = h_k i = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix}_k = N$ and the component of 2 vanishes because of the antisymmetric condition.

From these equations, we can obtain ground-state energies E (Q; J_z) = E $2h_k i$ as

E(0; 1) = min(2J;); (12a)

$$E(0;0) = m in(0;)$$
 (12b)

for J K and

$$E(0;0) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 $p - \frac{1}{2} + 32K^2$ (13b)

for J K. Thus, the ground state (0; 1) can be realized for the case J K; jjor J; K, while (0; 0) for K J; jjor J; K.

Next, we investigate the ground state properties for various J and K num erically. The variational param eters are adjusted suitably with advantage both in the exchange energies J, K and the kinetic energy $_{k}$. The phase diagram softhe ground state are shown in Fig. 2 (a) for = 0.2 (tim e-reversal-doublet ground state) and 2(b) for = 0.2 (CEF-singlet ground state). The boundary lines are determined by E(0;0) = E(0; 1)and the singlet state is stable for E(0;0) < E(0; 1), while the doublet state is stable for E(0; 1) < E(0; 0). These results are in qualitative agreem ent with the previous study using the NRG calculation.¹²⁾ The critical strength of the exchange coupling K $_{\rm c}$ for (a) or J $_{\rm c}$ for (b) is roughly determ ined by j j. It is noted that the boundary for negative is shifted to the right m ore than that expected as K J for large J, K . Typical exam ples for the state (0; 1) are given in the regions I and IV and for the state (0;0) in the regions II and III in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. The ground-state diagram s for exchange couplings J and K: (a) = 0.2 (b) = 0.2. The nature of the typical ground states can be seen in regions I{IV.

The changes of variational parameters at $k = k^0 = k_F$ $(k = k_F, k^0 = k_F + \text{ for } {}^{(2)})$ across the phase boundary are shown in Fig. 3(a) for J = 0.3 and = 0.2 (I! II) and 3(b) for K = 0.3 and = 0.2 (III! IV).

For typical (0; 1) ground state in region I, $^{(1)}$ $^{(3)}$ are dom inant. The $^{(3)}$ term associated with the overscreening state becomes most dom inant as expected in the conventional two-channel K ondo model. This situation is also realized in region IV, although the localized singlet state is stable (in this case, $^{(4)}$ term is expected to be dom inant), because the exchange energy K surpasses the loss of the localized energy j j. Since the distribution of intensity spreads over the higher kinetic-



Fig. 3. Changes of variational parameters at $k = k^0 = k_F$ ($k = k_F$, $k^0 = k_F$ + for ⁽²⁾) across the phase boundary: (a) for J = 0.3 and = 0.2 and (b) for K = 0.3 and = 0.2.

energy states due to the strong coupling constants, each intensity of variational param eters at the Ferm i level in the latter example is smaller than that of the form er example. On the other hand, the ⁽¹⁾ and the ⁽³⁾ terms are dom inant for (0;0) ground state in regions II and III, which include the decoupled CEF-singlet state as a limit

⁽¹⁾ ! 0.¹²⁾ This result in plies that a unique non-Ferm iliquid (Ferm i-liquid) xed point exists, irrespective of the CEF ground state.

The structure of the wavefunction changes drastically but continuously across the phase boundary in order to make fulluse of the larger exchange coupling. As a direct result of this change, one stable ground state is replaced by the other one. The low -lying excitations between both sides of the phase boundary might be completely different because the nature of the ground state changes abruptly at the boundary. This situation is essentially analogous to that of an anisotropic spin S = 1 in the magnetic eld, which is described by the Hamiltonian, $H = DS_z^2$ SzB. In this example, the ground state jDi for B < D is replaced by j+ 1 if or B > D. However, our variational state has a corresponding many-body resonance in contrast to the purely one-body state β_z i.

In sum mary, we have investigated the ground-state properties of the extended two-channelK ondo model on the basis of the variational treatment. We construct the ground-state wavefunctions with dierent symmetries, which are associated with the non-Fermi-liquid and the Fermi-liquid ground states. Within the Oth order wavefunctions, in which the particle-hole excitations are ignored, the non-Fermi-liquid ground state is realized due to the spin exchange J, while the channel exchange K stabilizes the Ferm i-liquid state both for the CEF tim e-reversal-doublet and the CEF -singlet ground states. This quantum phase transition comes from the competition between the di erent types of ground states with corresponding many-body resonances. The com - parison to the structure of the resultant wavefunctions suggests that a unique non-Ferm i-liquid (Ferm i-liquid) xed point exists, irrespective of the CEF ground state.

The author would like to thank Prof. Y.Kuram oto for the useful discussions and critical reading of this manuscript. He has bene ted greatly from the stimulating correspondence with Dr. M.Koga. He is also indebted to Prof. O.Sakai and Dr.R.Takayam a for the valuable suggestions on num erical calculations.

- M. B. Maple, M. C. de Andrade, J. Herrmann, Y. Dalichaouch, D. A. Gajewski, C. L. Seaman, R. Chau, R. Movshovich, M. C. Aronson and R. Osborn: J. Low Temp. Phys. 99 (1995) 223.
- H.Am itsuka and T.Sakakibara: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.63 (1994) 736.
- 3) H.v.Lohneysen: Physica B206& 207 (1995) 101.
- 4) D.L.Cox: Phys.Rev.Lett. 59 (1987) 1240; Physica B186 {
 188 (1993) 312; D.L.Cox and A.Zawadowski: condmat/9704103 (Submitted to Reviews of Modern Physics).
- 5) E.M iranda, V.D obrosavljevic and G.K otliar: J.Phys.Condens.M atter 8 (1996) 9871; Phys.Rev.Lett. 78 (1997) 290.
- 6) J.A.Hertz: Phys.Rev.B14 (1976) 1165.
- 7) A.J.M illis: Phys.Rev.B48 (1993) 7183.
- 8) M.A.Continentino: Phys.Rep.239 (1994) 179.
- 9) T.Moriya and T.Takimoto: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.64 (1995) 960.
- 10) K. M anum oto, T. Takeuchi, T. Taniguchi and Y. M iyako: Physica B206& 207 (1995) 519; K. M anum oto, T. Takeuchi and Y. M iyako: Phys. Rev. B54 (1996) 12194.
- 11) H. Tou, Y. Kitaoka, K. A sayam a, N. K im ura, Y. O nuki, E. Yam am oto and K. M aezawa: Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 1374.
- 12) M.Koga and H.Shiba: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 64 (1995) 4345; J. Phys.Soc.Jpn. 65 (1996) 3007; M.Koga and H.Shiba: J. Phys.Soc.Jpn. 66 (1997) 1485.
- 13) O. Sakai, S. Suzuki and Y. Shim izu: Solid State Commun. 101 (1997) 791.
- 14) K.Yosida: Phys.Rev. 147 (1966) 223; K.Yosida and A. Yoshim ori: Prog.Theor.Phys.42 (1969) 753.
- 15) C.M. Varm a and Y. Yafet: Phys. Rev. B13 (1976) 2950.
- 16) O.Gunnarsson and K.Schonhammer: Phys.Rev.Lett. 50 (1983) 604; Phys.Rev.B28 (1983) 4315; "Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths", ed.K.A.G schneidner, Jr. and L.Eyring (North-Holland, Amsterdam) 10 (1987).
- 17) K.G.W ilson: Rev.M od.Phys.47 (1975) 773; H.R.K rishnamurthy, J.W.W ilkins and K.G.W ilson: Phys. Rev. B21 (1980) 1003; 1044.