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#### Abstract

Recent analytical and num ericalwork have show $n$ that the spectrum of the random non-herm itean H am iltonian on a ring which models the physics of vortex line pinning in superconductors is one dim ensional. In the axim ally nonherm itean lim it, we give a sim ple \one-line" proof of th is feature. W e then study the spectral curves for various distributions of the random site energies. We nd that a critical transition occurs when the average of the logarithm of the random site energy squared vanishes. For a large class of probability distributions of the site energies, we nd that as the random ness increases the energy E at which the localization-delocalization transition occurs increases, reaches a maxim um, and then decreases. The C auchy distribution studied previously in the literature does not have this generic behavior. W e determ ine ci, the critical value of the random ness at which \w ings" rst appear in the energy spectrum. For distributions, such as C auchy, w ith in nitely long tails, we show that $\mathrm{cl}=\mathrm{O}^{+}$. W e determ ine the density of eigenvalues on the $w$ ings for any probability distribution. We show that the localization length on the $w$ ings diverge generically as L (E) $\quad \frac{1}{\Xi E}$ as E approaches E. These results are all obtained in the $m$ axim ally non herm itean lim it but for a generic class of probability distributions of the random site energies.


## 1 Introduction

Recently, there has been a great dealofw ork on non herm itean $m$ atrioes 愐], operators
 begin $w$ th a brief review of the $m$ aterial relevant for our discussion. $N$ on-herm tean operators appear in a broad class of problem s in statisticalphysics describing di usion and grow th $[\underline{15}]$ exem pli ed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P_{j}}{d t}=w_{j+1 ; j} P_{j+1}+w_{j 1 ; j} P_{j 1}+w_{j ; j} P_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $P_{j}$ representing for example the concentration on site $j$ or the population of species j. Thew's denote various transition probabilities and grow th rates. W riting (11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \stackrel{!}{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{L} \stackrel{!}{\mathrm{P}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the operator $L$ is in general non-herm itean.
A non-herm itean H am iltonian inspired by the problem of vortex line pinning in
 In one version, we are to study the eigenvalue problem

$w$ ith the periodic identi cation i+ $N$ i of site indioes. H ere the real num bers $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are drawn independently from som e probability distribution P (w) (which we will henceforth take to be even for simplicity.) This Ham iltonian describes a particle hopping on a ring, w ith its clockw ise hopping am plitude di erent from its counterclockw ise hopping am plitude. O n each site there is a random potential. The num ber of sites N is understood to be tending to in nity. W e will also take $t$ and $h$ to be positive for de niteness. (T he hopping am plitude $t$ can be scaled to 2 for instance but we will keep it for later convenience.) $N$ ote that the $H$ am iltonian is non-herm itean
for $h$ non-zero and thus has com plex eigenvalues. It is represented by a real nonsym $m$ etric $m$ atrix, $w$ th the reality implying that if $E$ is an eigenvalue, then $E$ is also an eigenvalue. For $P$ (w) even, for each particular realization $f w_{i} g$ of the random site energies, the realization $f \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ is equally likely to occur, and thus the spectrum of $H$ averaged with $P$ (w) has the additionalsym $m$ etry $E$ ! $E$.This eigenvalue problem is clearly a special case of ( $\overline{1} 1)$.

W ithout non-herm iticity ( $h=0$ ), alleigenvalues are of course real, and A nderson and collaborators [1] 0 ), B loch told us that the $H$ am iltonian is im m ediately solvable w ith the eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{tcos}\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \text { ih }\right) ; \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

tracing out an ellipse. The corresponding wave functions ${ }_{j}^{(n)} \quad \exp 2$ in $j=N$ are obviously extended. W e are to study what happens in the presence of both nonhem ticity and the im purities.


F igure 1: T he eigenvalues of one particular realization of the H am iltonian in (3) w ith $\mathrm{N}=300, \mathrm{t}=2, \mathrm{~h}=0: 5$ and w th the site energies drawn from the box distribution (13) w th $V=1: 8$.

W ith im purities present, tw o \w ings," a right wing and a left wing, em erge out of the two ends of the ellipse. (See gure 1.) Som e eigenvalues are now real. Evidently,
the \forks" where the tw o w ings em erge out of the \ellipse-like" curve represent a nonperturbative e ect, and cannot be obtained by treating the im purities perturbatively. For $P$ (w) even, we can focus on the right $w$ ing in light of the sym $m$ etry $m$ entioned above.

Le D oussal [1] [1] and $H$ atano and $N$ elson $\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$ em phasized that $H$ has a special prop-
 the non-herm ticity can be concentrated on one arbitrarily chosen bond, on which the hopping am plitudes are $\frac{t}{2} e^{\mathrm{Nh}}$, without changing the spectrum. Indeed, perform ing this transform ation on (

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}_{i j} & =\frac{t}{2}\left({ }_{i+1 ; j}+i 1 ; j\right)+w_{j} i j \\
\tilde{H}_{N 1} & =\frac{t}{2} e^{N h} ; \\
\tilde{H}_{1 N} & =\frac{t}{2} e^{N h} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The non-herm ticity has been m oved to be on only one particular link, which in (気) is betw een sites 1 and $N$, but it is clear that by a suitable transform ation we can put the hopping am plitude $\frac{t}{2} e^{N h}$ on any link.
$T$ his leads to an extraordinarily sim ple argum ent $[\overline{-}[7]$ that the states corresponding to com plex eigenvalues are extended, that is, delocalized. A ssum e that an eigenstate of ~ offr is localized around som e site j. W e can alw ays gauge the non-hem ticity to a link which is located as far aw ay from the site $j$ as possible, where $j^{\sim} j$ is exponentially sm all. Thus, ifwe cut the ring open at that link, thee ect on the Schrodinger equation would be exponentially sm all, if the localization length of the state is sm aller than $h{ }^{1}$. The state does not know that the H am iltonian is non-herm itean and so its eigenvalue $m$ ust be real. Transform ing back to $H$, we have a localized state whose eigenvalue is real. On the other hand, ifh is larger than the inverse localization length of this state, we no longer have a norm alized eigenstate of $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}$ atano and N elson
 w ith com plex eigenvalues. They thus conchuded that the states of $H$ corresponding
to com plex eigenvalues are extended，that is，delocalized．
Rem arkably，non－herm itean localization theory is sim pler in this respect than the standard herm itean localization theory of A nderson and others $[\underline{1} \mathbf{I} 2 \overline{1}]$ ．To understand the localization transition，we have to study only the energy spectrum of H ．

O ne way to think about this problem is to m agine starting with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$（that is， H $w$ th $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ set to zero）and then increasing the random ness（ $m$ easured by a param eter ，say）and ask ifthere is a criticalstrength ofrandom ness c1 at which localized states rst appear．T here $m$ ay also be another critical strength $c$ at which all the extended states becom e localized．O bviously another way of thinking about the problem is to start w th the herm itean random problem（ $h=0$ ）in which all states are localized． $W$ e then increase the non－herm iticity and ask about the critical strength $h_{c}$ of the non－hem iticily at which extended states rst appear．Sím ilarly，we can ask，for given $h$ and ，the critical hopping am plitude $t_{c}$ needed for the states to becom e delocalized．

A swe havem entioned，a num ber of authors have considered（（⿳亠二八斤丶 ）．In this paper，we w ill refer extensively to $[\underline{10} 0]$ and $\left[1 \overline{1} 11_{1}\right]$ w hich we w ill denote by FZ and B Z respectively． H ere，as in FZ and B Z ，the em phasis is to understand analytically the essential physics involved．

We will now describe some of the results from FZ and BZ，partly to $x$ the fram ew ork for our subsequent discussion．A s is standard，we are to study the $G$ reen＇s function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z \quad H} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The averaged density of eigenvalues，de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y) \quad h_{N^{\prime}}^{x} \quad\left(x \quad R_{i}\right) \quad\left(y \quad \operatorname{Im} E_{i}\right) i ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is then obtained（upon recalling the identity $\frac{@}{@ z} \frac{1}{z}=(x) \quad(y)$ ）as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{@} \frac{@}{@(z)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $z=x+$ iy. Here we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{G(z)} \quad h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{trg}(z) i \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and < :::> denotes, as usual, averaging w th respect to the probability ensemble from which $H$ is drawn. A sm all subtlety is that while $G(z)$ is ostensibly a function of $z$ only, hg (z)i depends on both $z$ and $z$. A carefuldiscussion is given in [ī $\left.\bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$. It is also usefiul to de ne the $G$ reen's function $G_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z H_{0}}$ in the absence of im purities and the corresponding $\overline{G_{0}(z)} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}}$. For the spectrum in $(\underline{\overline{4}})$, we can determ ine


In the literature [ī], the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z) \quad \frac{1}{2 N} h \operatorname{Tr} \log (z \quad H)\left(z \quad H^{y}\right) i \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has also been introduced. $W$ e see that the density of eigenvalues is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{2}{\varrho} \frac{@^{2}}{@ \mathrm{a} \mathrm{z}} \quad(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be interpreted as the charge density generating the two-dim ensional electrostatics potential ( $z ; z$ ). Se $\underline{\underline{1}}_{1}$ for a carefuldiscussion.

The follow ing probability distributions were studied in FZ and BZ: the sign distribution

$$
\left.P(w)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(w & r \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)+(w+r)\right]
$$

w th som e scale r , the box distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=\frac{1}{2 V} \quad\left(V^{2} \quad w^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by \sm earing" the sign distribution, and the C auchy distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=-\frac{1}{w^{2}+2^{2}} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith its long tails extending to in nity.

W e m ight also wish to consider the e ect of diluting the im purities by setting random ly some fraction $d$ of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}$ 's to zero. In other words, given P (w) we can consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}(w)=d(w)+(1 \quad d) P(w) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with 0 d. As d increases, there should be m ore extended states.
In BZ, a general form alism for studying this problem was established. A form ula for the $G$ reen's function
was obtained. H ere we have de ned the pro jection operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=j k i h k j \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

onto the site k and the repeated scattering am plitude on the im purity potential at site $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k} \quad \frac{w_{k}}{1 w_{k} h k j G_{0} j k i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he reader is invited to average the trace of (1] $\overline{1})$ w ith his or her favorite $P$ (w ), and thence to obtain the density of eigenvalues (x;y) by $(\underset{-1}{-})$. For an arbitrary $P$ (w) it is presum ably not possible to average (1] $1 \overline{-1})$ explicitly and obtain hg ( $z$ ) i in closed form, not any $m$ ore than it is possible to obtain hg (z)i in closed form for the herm itean problem. Indeed, (1] $\overline{1}$ ) was derived by only assum ing translation invariance for $\mathrm{H}_{0}$,
 to any desired powers of and average. Som e results are given in BZ.

Rem arkably, explicit results can be given for the C audhy distribution. These results were also obtained independently by $G$ oldsheid and $K$ horuzhenko tī̄]. we state the results from $B Z$.

The density ofeigenvalues consists of $t w o$ arcs and tw $o w$ ings. A way from the real axis we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=0(x ; y+)(y)+0(x ; y) \quad(y) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th 0 the eigenvalue density corresponding to $\overline{\mathrm{G}_{0}(z)}$. In other words, the two arcs of the ellipse are pushed tow ards the realaxis by a distance. . O n the two wings, the eigenvalue density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { wing }(x ; y)=\frac{1}{\overline{2}} \quad \text { (y) } \quad\left(x^{2} \quad x_{m \text { in }}^{2}()\right) \frac{q \overline{x^{2}+t^{2}+B(x ; ~ ; t)}}{B(x ; ~ ; t)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

B ( x ; ; t$)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \overline{\left(x^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+2 t^{2}\left({ }^{2} x^{2}\right)+t^{4}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}() \quad\left(^{q} \overline{(t \sinh h)^{2}} \quad{ }^{2}\right) \tanh h \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical value of at which the arcs disappear is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=t \sinh h \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ll states are now localized.
In this model, c1 is $0^{+}$. The wings appear as soon as $>0 . W$ esee that for insu cient non-herm iticity, $h<h_{c}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{c}=\sinh ^{1}\left(\frac{-}{t}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

all states are localized. N ote that in accordance with A nderson et al $1 \underline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{2} \overline{1}]$, for any nite non-zero , no $m$ atter how sm all, there are localized states for $h$ sm allenough . Trivially, we can express ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ in yet another way, by saying that for a given nonherm iticity $h$ and site random ness, we need the hopping to exceed a critical strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c}=\overline{\sinh h} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

before states becom e delocalized．
For P（w ）other than C auchy，we are not able to obtain（ $x ; y$ ）explicitly．
In FZ，it was pointed out the the problem（今ָi）simpli es in the maxim ally non－ herm itean or \one way＂lim it，in which the param eters in（（⿳亠二口斤）are allowed to tend to the（ $m$ axim ally non－herm itean）lim it h！ 1 and $t$ ！ 0 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t}{2} e^{h}!1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The particle in（ $(\overline{3})$ ）can only hop one way．The spectrum（ $\overline{-1}$ ）changes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \frac{2 \text { in }}{\mathrm{N}} ; \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1) ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ellipse associated with（ $\overline{4}$ ）expands into the unit circle．In BZ，bounds on the dom ain over which the density of eigenvalues is non－zero were obtained for ar－ bitrary P（w ）＇s w th bounded support．Furtherm ore，it was shown that for the sign distribution the original unit circle spectrum of the determ inistic $H_{0}$ is distorted by random ness into the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=r^{2}+e^{i} ; 0<2 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the com plex z plane．（See gure 2．）C learly，$r_{C}=1$ is a criticalvahue ofr．For r $<1$ ， the curve（ $\overline{2} \overline{\bar{q}} \overline{-1})$ is connected，enclosing a dum b－bell region free of energy eigenvalues． At $r=r_{c}$ ，the curve traces out a gure eight．For $r>1$ it breaks into two disjoint sym $m$ etric lobes that are located to the right and to the left of the im aginary axis．

Incidentally，it is instructive to understand this phase transition in the language of $(\underset{1}{1})$ and in particular the appearance of a zero eigenvalue at $r=1$ ．In the one way lim it we have for $r=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P_{j}}{d t}=P_{j+1}+w_{j} P_{j} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A static solution $\frac{d P_{j}}{d t}=0$ is obtained with $P_{j+1}=\quad w_{j} P_{j}$ ．Since $w_{j}=1$ occur w ith equal probability，we have a $50 \%$ chance of $m$ atching the boundary condition
(a)

(b)

(c)


Figure 2: The spectral curve for the sign $m$ odel, for (a) $r=0: 9$, (b) $r=1$, and (c) $r=12$.
$P_{N+1}=P_{1}$. Thus, we have a zero eigenvalue in the averaged density of eigenvalues and hence a static state.

Here we should mention that, strictly speaking, it is not clear that the sim ple argum ent $m$ entioned above show ing that localized states have real eigenvalues and that com plex eigenvalues im ply extended states would still hold in the one way lim it. The spectrum should certainly behave sm oothly since after allwe are sim ply letting the clockw ise hopping am plitude go to 1 and the anti-clockw ise hopping am plitude go to $0 . W$ e have checked this num erically and can easily check this in the explicitly solvable C auchy m odel. W e have studied in FZ and BZ the localization properties of various $m$ odels in the sim plifying lim it of having only one im purity present, and show ed analytically that the states on the wings are localized. Indeed, we found that the localization length L ( $\mathbb{E}$ ) diverges as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(E) \quad \frac{1}{\log j \frac{E}{E} j} \quad \frac{1}{j E \quad E j} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

as E varies along the w ings and approaches the points E at which the w ings are attached to the arcs of com plex eigenvahes. W e con jectured in B Z that the behavior in ( $(\overline{3} 0 \underline{1})$ ) is generic. W e have veri ed num erically that in the one way lim it the states on the wings are indeed localized. W e w ill thus take as our working assum ption that the argum ent $m$ entioned above continues to hold in the one way lim 五, and hence refer to E as the energy of the localization-delocalization transition in the one way lim it as well as in the m ore general tw o way case. In the next section, we give a sim ple proof that this assum ption is indeed correct.

## 2 SpectralC urves ofN on $H$ erm itean $H$ am iltonians

In the explicit solutions $m$ entioned here, the eigenvalue density ( $x ; y$ ) traces out a one-dim ensional curve. This feature also appears to hold in various num erical studies
 a rather nice proof of this fact. We will describe a sim pli ed version of their proof in the appendix. ( W e m ention in passing that the spectra of som e of the random hopping $m$ odels studied in FZ are explicitly (and interestingly) tw o dim ensional, but it can be readily checked that these $m$ odels violate the assum ptions $m$ ade in G K .)

W enow give, in them axim ally non-herm itean lim it, a sim ple \one-line" proofthat the spectrum of H is one dim ensional. In FZ it was found by direct evaluation that in the $m$ axim ally non-herm itean lim it the determ inant of ( $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right)$ sim pli es drastically to (we take N to be even for de niteness)

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & H
\end{array}\right)={ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{31}
\end{array}\right) \quad 1
$$

(For arbitrary $t$ and $h$ the corresponding form ula is considerably $m$ ore com plicated.) $N$ ote that ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}$ ) is com pletely sym $m$ etric in the $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$, and thus, for a given set of site energies it is independent of the way the im purities are arranged along the ring. (Incidentally, this property is destroyed ifwe include a next nearest neighbor hopping $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i} ; \text { i+ } 2}=\mathrm{s}$ in addition to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i}+1}=1$, and thus is not solely a consequence of the particle hopping one way.)

For an altemative derivation of ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ let us w rite down Schrodinger's equation in the $m$ axim ally non-hem itean lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E \quad w_{j}\right) \quad j=j+1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting with $1={ }_{\mathrm{N}+1}$, we have $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}=\left(\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{1}{ }_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{N}}^{1}$ (E $\left.\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1}{ }_{1}$, and so on, until nally $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1} \quad{ }_{1}$. Hence the eigenvalue equation is indeed obtained by setting the right hand side of (

W ith this simple form for $\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right)$ we obtain the potential de ned in ( $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{O}}$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z)=\frac{1}{2 N} h \log j \underset{i=1}{N}\left(z \quad w_{i}\right) \quad 1 \text { Ji } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us understand the behavior of this function. Sim ply stated, the essence of our
 neglibible com pared to the 1 in ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ to being com pletely dom inant over the 1 . The suddenness of the transition is due to the large $N$ lim it. The quantity in question is the product of $N$ factors, w th $N$ tending to in nity. Thus, if each of the factors is larger than 1 on average this quantity is exponentially large, and ifeach of the factors is sm aller than 1 on average, exponentially sm all.
$W$ e can esh out this argum ent som ew hat. C onsider the absolute $m$ agnitude of $\underset{i=1}{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\end{array}\right)$ and ask if it is larger or sm aller than 1 in $(\overline{3} \overline{3})$. For convenience, de ne

$$
q(z) \quad \frac{1}{N} \log j_{i=1}^{j^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & w_{i}
\end{array}\right) j
$$

$R$ ather than dress up our proof w th $m$ athem atical abstractions, we will describe what actually happens in speci c num erical term s. To focus our thoughts, let us im agine we are doing num erical com putation with $\mathrm{N}=10^{3} \mathrm{w}$ th P (w) the box distribution $w$ ith $V=1$, that is, the $w_{i}$ 's are evenly distributed between 1 and +1 . $C$ onsider $q(1: 5)$, say, the average of $10^{3}$ num bers ranging between $\log 0: 5$ and $\log 2: 5$ and equal to $\log 1: 5$ on the average. Obviously, $q(1: 5)$ is $m$ ost likely positive. In contrast, consider $q(0: 5)$, the average of $10^{3}$ num bers ranging between $\log j 0: 5 j$ and $\log 1: 5$. Evidently, the function $q(x)$ is $m$ ost likely negative for $x$ less than som $e$ critical value x and then m ost likely goes positive for x greater than x . (For a given realization $f w_{i} g$, the function $Q(z) \quad e^{N} q(z)$ is the absolute value of a perfectly sm ooth polynom ialwith $N$ zeroes at the $w_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ s, and does not uctuate signi cantly from realization to realization since for $N$ large, realizations in $w$ hich $m$ ost of the $w_{i}$ 's are alm ost equal to +1 , say, are exponentially unlikely.) In the $N$ ! 1 lim it, the
function $Q(x)$ jumps from zero for $x<x$ to in nity for $x>x$. To see that this jump is abrupt in the large N lim 立, consider that in going from $\mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ to $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$, we basically take the $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x})$ for $\mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ and raise it to the power $10^{3}$. Sim ilarly, for a xed, aswe increase $r$ there is a criticalr () at which $Q$ ( $r^{i}$ ) jumps from zero to in nity.

From ( from essentially zero (when $Q(z)=j^{Q} \underset{i=1}{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & w_{i}\end{array}\right) j$ is sm all com pared to 1 ) to a non-zero value (when $Q(z)$ is large and the 1 inside the logarithm is ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ can be neglected.) The transition occurs when $Q(z)=1$ and hence $q(z)=0$. A s $N!1$, the transition region becom es narrow er and narrow er and so the transition region is one-dim ensional.

Hence we see that in the complex plane there is a curve across which (z;z ) changes from

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; z)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{align*}
(z ; z) & =h \frac{1}{2 N} \log j{ }_{i=1}^{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & w_{i}
\end{array}\right) f_{i} \\
& =h \log j z \quad w \ddot{j} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e have proved that the spectrum in the $m$ axim ally non-herm itean lim it is onedim ensional and that the spectral curve is given by the dividing line between (3̄క్) and (

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log j z \quad w \ddot{\mu}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur proof can be $m$ ade $m$ ore $m$ athem atically rigorous by the usual considerations that for $N$ large the properties of $H$ for a given realization $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{i}} g$ are the sam $e$ as the averaged properties of $H$ for $N=1$.

To sum $m$ arize, we want to em phasize how sim ple our proof is. $F$ irst, we note that in the $m$ axim ally non-herm itean lim it the determ inant of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right)$ is given sim ply by ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$. Then we just look at ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ and ask which of the tw $o$ term s on its right hand side is larger. T he transition betw een the tw o regim es gives the spectral curve.

W e are now in a position to understand the wings in an extraordinarily simple fashion. In the region where ( to (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { wing }(x ; y)=\quad(y) \quad(x \quad x) P(x) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here x is de ned by the region where ( from the central \elliptical" region, since inside the curve de ned by ( $\overline{3} \bar{\eta} \bar{\prime})$ the potential vanishes and hence vanishes.
$T$ his discussion also show $s$ that the density of eigenvalues on the $w$ ings sim ply follow s the probability distribution of the site energies. W e can check this result against our explicit result in $(\overline{2} \overline{0})$. In the one way $\lim$ it, $t!0$ and hence B ! $x^{2}+{ }^{2}$.
 in the sign $m$ odel in the one way lim it.

W hile ( $\overline{3} \bar{\delta} \overline{1})$ is not im mediately obvious from the Schrodinger equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, we can see heuristically from (3̄11) that for a given $w_{k}$ how one $m$ ight be able to nd an eigenvalue near $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}$ by w riting $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{k}$ for z in ( solution is that the product ${ }^{Q}{ }_{\mathrm{i} 6 \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is approxim ately equal to $\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}$. A coording to ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ ), this is possible in a statistical sense for $w_{k}$ large enough (larger than x .) W e see from ( $\overline{3} \overline{2})$ ) that the solution is clearly peaked on the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ site.
 was essentially how the spectral curve ( $\overline{2} \overline{\bar{q}})$ for the sign model was obtained. In the sign $m$ odel, each $w_{i}$ is equal to either + r or $r w$ ith equal probability. For $N$ large, in a given realization, $m$ ost likely about half of the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's are equal to $+r$, and the rest equal to $r$. Thus $Q(z)=j \underset{i=1}{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & w_{i}\end{array}\right) j, j z^{2} \quad r^{2} \frac{N}{j^{2}}$. Thus, for $j z^{2} \quad r^{2} j>1$ the 1 in (
the 1 dom inates. $T$ he spectral curve is given by $j z^{2} \quad r^{2} j=1$ as in ( $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$.
$W$ e also $m$ ention that the bounds in $B Z$, alluded to in section $I$, were obtained by a related argum ent based on whether $j h \frac{1}{z w}^{l} i j$ is less than 1 for som e integer $l$.

The proof ofG oldsheid and $K$ horuzhenko is $m$ ore involved but also $m$ ore general, and applies to the non-m axim ally non-herm itean lim it. In the appendix we give a much sim pli ed version of their proof, purging what we do not need for our purposes.

Finally, the discussion in this section enables us to calculate the localization length $\mathrm{L}(\mathbb{E})$ on the wings. A coording to the discussion follow ing ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, if we m ove $n$ lattice spacings away from the site where the wave function attains its peak value, the absolute value of the wave function $j$ jdecreases by a factor

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{j\left(E \quad w_{j}\right) j} & =e^{\log E w_{j j}} \\
& =e^{n\left(\frac{1}{n} \quad \log E w_{j}\right)} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where the product and sum in ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{9})$ involve $n$ term $s$. For $n$ large, we see that the localization length $L(E)$ is none other than the inverse of the function $q(E)$ de ned in (

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(E)=\frac{1}{q(E)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $q(E)$ is positive on the wings. As E approaches $E$ (also called $x$ in som $e$ contexts) at which the wings are attached to the arcs of com plex eigenvalues, $q(E$ ) vanishes according to ( 3 (35) $)$ and hence generically

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(E) \quad \frac{1}{j E E j} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we conjectured.

## 3 C riticalP oints in M axim ally N on H erm itean M odels

W e will try to extract as much as we can from (3̄7) for a general probability distribution $P$ (w ) of the site energies. (For sim plicity, we will, as before, take $P$ (w) to be even.) Later, we willobtain explicit results for speci c choioes ofP (w ). T he equation (3̄-1 ${ }^{-1}$ ) which we write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log (x \quad w)^{2}+y^{2} \quad i=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

de nes a curve $s(x ; y)=0$ in the complex plane. $W$ ith $P(w)$ even, we have $s(x ; y)=s(x ; y)$ and sowew illhenceforth focus on the rst quadrant $x \quad 0 ; y \quad 0$.

Let us focus on the point $y$ at whid the spectralcurve s intersects the im aginary axis. In general, the probability distribution $P$ (w) is characterized by one or m ore param eters which $m$ easure the random ness. We will by convention take $=0$ to describe no random ness, and associate increasing random ness with increasing . De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y ;)=h \log \left(y^{2}+w^{2}\right) i \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The desired function $y()$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}() ; \quad)=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e know that w ith no random ness $\mathrm{y}(0)=1$, and we expect that as increases, $y()$ decreases until a critical point $c$ at which point $y(c)=0$.

These expectations are easy to prove. $F$ irst, note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d y}=2 y h \frac{1}{y^{2}+w^{2}} i>0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that we restrict ourselves to the rst quadrant) and hence $f(y ;)$ is a m onotonically increasing function of $y$. At $y=0$, the function starts $w$ ith the value $f(0 ;)=h \log w^{2} i$, and increases to $f \quad \log y^{2}$ for large $y . T h u s$, ifh $\log w^{2} i<0$,
the function $f(y ;)$ vanishes at somey（ ）．But ifh $\log w^{2}$ i $>0$ ，there is no $y()$ ． H ence，the critical value $c$ is determ ined sim ply by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log w^{2} i=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

A critical transition occurs when the average of the logarithm of the site energy squared vanishes．

Let us give a speci c exam ple，the box distribution in（ an overall multiplicative factor in $f(y ;)$ is irrelevant and thus we can just as well w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
f(y ;) & ={ }^{z} \mathrm{v} \operatorname{dw} \log \left(y^{2}+w^{2}\right) \\
& =2 y \arctan \frac{v}{y}+v \quad 2+\log \left(y^{2}+V^{2}\right) \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

（Here the generic param eter is denoted by V．）In particular，up to an irrelevant


$$
\begin{equation*}
2+\log V_{c}^{2}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thuswe nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}=e \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we increase the random ness（as m easure by ），we expect the eigenvalues to $m$ igrate tow ards the real axis，and hence $y()$ to decrease．This expectation can be easily proved for a generalP（w ）．To avoid notational clutter，let P（w）contain only one param eter relative to which the random site energy w is m easured so that we can write $P(w)=c() p(\underline{W})$ ．（T hus，for exam ple，for the box distribution（1］⿱龴⿱乛亅㇒⿵冂⿱八乂：）， the one param eter is called $V$ ，and $C()=\frac{1}{2 V}$ ，and $p(\underline{W})=1(\underline{W})^{2}$ ．） D i erentiating the de ning equation for $y$（ ）

$$
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dw} p\left(-\frac{\mathrm{w}}{-}\right) \log \left(\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)=0
$$

w ith respect to and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{z_{1}} d w \quad y \frac{d y}{d}+\frac{w}{}^{!} p \underline{w}^{!} \frac{1}{w^{2}+y^{2}}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus proving that $\frac{d y}{d}<0$, as desired.
Indeed, it is easy to obtain a general result for sm all random ness. Expanding ( $(\overline{4} \overline{4})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log y^{2}+w^{2} i=0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $y=1 \quad y$, we obtain $h 2 y+w^{2} i=0$ and hence im m ediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
y()=1 \quad \frac{1}{2} h w^{2} i+ \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s m$ all random ness. In particular, for the box distribution, $y(V)=1 \quad \frac{1}{6} V^{2}+$ A lso, for the box distribution, in the other extrem $e$, when random ness reaches the critical value $V_{C}$ given in $\left(\overline{4} \bar{q}_{1}\right), y(V) \quad$, $\underline{2}\left(V_{C} \quad V\right)$ vanishes linearly.

It is now just a matter of doing the appropriate integral to obtain c for various probability distributions of the reader's choige. N otige that in $m$ any cases we $m$ ay be able to determ ine c analytically w thout being able to determ ine y ( ) analytically. Let us give som e exam ples. The sim plest is the sign distribution (1̄2̄) for which $f(y ; r)=\log \left(y^{2}+r^{2}\right)$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=P \frac{r^{2}}{1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $r_{C}=1$ in agreem ent $w$ ith $(\underline{2} \bar{O})$. It is also interesting to look at the $C$ auchy distribution ( $\overline{1}_{-1} \overline{4}$ ) forwhich we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dw} \frac{\log \mathrm{w}^{2}}{\mathrm{w}^{2}+2^{2}}=-\log \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=1 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w ith $\left(\underline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right)$.
A class of probabilly distributions for which hlog $\mathrm{w}^{2} \mathrm{i}$ is easily evaluated is the generalization of the box distribution de ned by P (w) / jw $\mathrm{J}^{k}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2}\right) \cdot \mathrm{W} e$ obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{C}=e^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus recover $\left(\underline{4} \overline{9} \overline{-1}_{1}\right)$ for $k=0 . N$ um erically, we have $V_{c}=(2: 718 ; 1: 649 ; 1: 395 ; \quad)$ for $\mathrm{k}=(0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \quad)$. The generaltrend agrees w ith our intuition $:$ for a given V , as k increases, the random site energies becom e larger, and hence $V_{c}$ should decrease. As k ! 1 we have $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=1$, in agreem ent w ith $r_{C}=1$ for the sign m odel as expected. Sim ilarly, we can study the \parabolic" distribution P (w) / (V $\left.\mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ and distributions sim ilar to it. We nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{C}=e^{\frac{4}{3}}=3: 794 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the G aussian distribution P (w) / $e^{\frac{w^{2}}{a^{2}}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{c}=2 e^{\frac{E}{2}}=2: 669 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where E denotesEuler's constant 0:577. Forthe exponentialdistribution P (w) / e $e^{\frac{j w j}{a}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{c}=e^{E}=1: 781 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sam e a, the exponential distribution is \m ore random " than the G aussian distribution, and hence we expect $a_{c}$ to be sm aller for the exponential than for the G aussian distribution.

W e have obtained the value of $c$, the criticalm easure of random ness, for a variety of probability distributions $P(w)$. W hat happens when the random ness reaches its
critical strength? In the C auchy model, we know that at call eigenvalues becom e real and the extended states disappear. In general, with increasing random ness, eigenvalues tend to drift tow ards the real axis, and thus wem ight guess that at call eigenvalues becom e real, as in the C auchy m odel. T his how ever is not true in general. In fact, we have already encountered a counter-exam ple, the sign m odel. A coording to ( $(\overline{2} \overline{8})$, for $r>r_{c}=1$, the spectrum splits into tw o disjoint lobes. It is indeed true, how ever, that $y(r)$ goes to zero as $r$ increases tow ards $r_{c}$, as indicated by ( $\overline{5} \overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}$ ).
$T$ his instructive exam ple tells us that to determ ine whether the eigenvalues have all collapsed onto the real axis, it is not enough to study the intersection of the spectral curve with the im aginary axis. We have to study the intersection of the spectral curve w ith the realaxis.

A ccording to ( $\overline{4}_{2} \bar{i}$ ), the point $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$ at which the spectral curve intersects the real axis is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log (x \quad w)^{2} i=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith $P$ (w) even, we can sym $m$ etrize in $w$ and de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ;)=h \log \left(x^{2} \quad w^{2}\right)^{2} i \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ directly, or from ( $\overline{4} \overline{3})$, we see that $g(x ;)$ is given by the analytic continuation of $2 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y} ; ~)$. The sign ip between $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y} ;$ ) and $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}$; ) makes a di erence of course: it is no longer true that $g(x ;)$ is a $m$ onotonically increasing function of $x$.

H ow ever, we will now prove that if $P$ (w) does not increase for $w$ positive and increasing, then $g(x ;)$ is indeed $m$ onotonically increasing as $x$ increases. The proof is straightforw ard. $U$ sing $P(w) \quad P(x)$ for $w<x$ and $P(w) \quad P(x)$ for w > x,we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 x} \frac{@ g(x ;)}{@ x} \quad P(x)^{z} \int_{0}^{2} d w \frac{1}{x^{2} w^{2}}=0 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the last integral is understood in the principal value sense, of course.) For
large $x, g(x ;) \quad \log x^{4}$. Thus, if $g(0 ;)=h l o g w^{4} i$ is negative there exists a solution x ( ) to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log \left(x^{2} \quad w^{2}\right)^{2} i=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $g(0 ;)$ goes positive, there is no solution, and thus the critical value of random ness is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log w^{2} i=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent $w$ ith ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, as it should.
The behavior of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$ for sm all random ness is also easy to extract. W riting $x()=1+x$ and expanding ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{6} \overline{4})$, we nd im m ediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x()=1+\frac{1}{2} h w^{2} i+::: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This result and ( $5 \overline{2} 2 \overline{2}$ ) are of course in agreem ent $w$ ith the appendix in FZ .) That x () vanishes as ! cimply that x ( ) has a maxim um at som e critical value .


Figure 3: The energy at which the localization-delocalization transition occurs, $x$ (V), as a funtion of $V$ for the box distribution. It has a maxim um at $V \quad 1: 81$ and vanishes at $V_{C}=e$.
$W$ e show the behavior of $x()$ as varies for the box distribution in $g(3) . W e$ can evaluate $g(x ; V)$ exactly to nd (up to irrelevant overall factors)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ; V)=(N \quad x) \log (V \quad x)^{2}+(V+x) \log (V+x)^{2} \quad 4 V \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where as before we rem ind the reader that the generic param eter is called $V$ for the box distribution.) To determ ine (that is, $V$ in this context), we sim ply di erentiate $g(x ; V)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2} \quad x^{2}(V)=1 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into $g(x(V) ; V)=0$ we nd readily that $V=c o s h \quad, \quad 1: 81$ and $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{V})$, 1:51 where is the solution of the equation $\tanh =1$.

For $V \quad V_{c}=$ ewe ndx $(V), \quad \mathrm{V} \overline{2 V_{c}\left(V_{c} V\right)}$. W e can evidently de ne a variety of critical exponents here: for exam ple,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{~V})^{\prime} \quad\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{~V}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The alert readerm ay have notioed that for the C auchy distribution $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{P} \overline{1}{ }^{2}$ and instead of increasing w ith as shown in ( $\overline{6} \bar{\sigma} \overline{-}) \times()$ decreases $w$ ith.$T$ his is in fact consistent $w$ th ( $\overline{6} \bar{\sigma} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ since the second m om ent $\mathrm{hw}^{2} i$ does not exist for the C auchy distribution. The critical exponent again takes the generic value $=\frac{1}{2}$.

ForaP (w) which increases as w positive increases, the behavior we just sketched for $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{)}$ fails. O ur counter-exam ple is the sign m odel, in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ; r)=\log \left(x^{2} \quad r^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

a function which starts at $\log r^{4}$, goes to 1 to $x=r$, and then rises from 1 . Thus, at $r_{c}=1$ we go from a single solution for $x$ () to two. (As said before, we alw ays work in the rst quadrant.) T his is consistent with (2-í).

In sum $m$ ary, for $P$ (w) which does not increase as w positive increases and which has a second $m$ oment, $x()$ increases as the random ness increases, reach a max -
im um at , and then decreases tow ards zero as ! c. M eanwhile, y ( ) decreases m onotonically tow ards zero as increases tow ards ${ }_{c}$. Note that x ( ) has the im portant physicalm eaning as the criticalenergy E ( ) at which the localizationdelocalization transition occurs for a given $m$ easure of random ness .

Let us use the term inology the \arc part" to refer to the spectrum of consisting of com plex eigenvalues. Thus, increasing random ness squashes the arc part tow ards the real axis, elongating it in the $x$ direction, until the critical random ness , after which it shrinks steadily, giving up spectral weight to the wings, until it disappears altogether at c , at which point all states becom e localized.

U sing the sort of techniques developed here, the reader can readily deduce further properties of the spectralcurve. For instance, w ith P (w) even and writing $z=r e^{i}$, one has
$h \log j(z \quad w)(z+w) j i=h \log r^{4} \quad 2 r^{2} w^{2} \cos 2+w^{4} \quad i=0$
For $>{ }_{4}$ in the rst quadrant, cos 2 is negative, and one can deduce that $\frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{d}}<0$ upon di erentiating (ī1i).

## 4 A ppearance and D isappearance of W ings

Starting w ith the spectrum w ith no disorder present, we expect that as we increase the random ness $w$ ings appear at som e critical value of the random ness c1, as de ned earlier. F or the C auchy distribution we saw explicitly that $\mathrm{c} 1=0^{+}$; in other words, wings appear as soon as the random site energies are switched on. As we will see, this is due to the fact that the C auchy distribution has in nitely long tails.

In this section we consider P (w) w ith support up to $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=$. (For exam ple, for the box distribution the maxim um value of $w$ is $V$, which is what we denote the generic param eter by for this distribution.) A coording to ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}$ ) , the right w ing extends out to $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{max}}=$. On the other hand, the right w ing starts at x ( ). C learly, the wings disappear when x ( ) becom es larger than. Thus, we conchude that for this class of distributions c1 is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x} \quad(\mathrm{c} 1)=\mathrm{c} 1 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain assum ing as before that $P(w)$ contains only one param eter , we see that c1 is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dw} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{w}} \log \left(2 \quad \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

C hanging variable in (7̄) by $w=u$, we obtain the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\log { }_{c 1}^{2}=\frac{R_{1} d u p(u) \log (1}{} u^{2}\right) \mid R_{1} d u p(u) \quad h \log \left(1 \quad u^{2}\right) i \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

whidh is to be com pared to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log { }_{c}^{2}=\frac{R_{1} d u p(u) \log u^{2}}{0 R_{1} d u p(u)}=h \log u^{2} i \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the box distribution we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c 1}=\frac{1}{2} e \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

com pared to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}=e \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the \parabolic" distribution $m$ entioned earlier we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c 1}=\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{5}{6}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

com pared to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}=e^{\frac{4}{3}} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that the argum ent show $s$ that if $_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}=1$, as is the case for the C auchy distribution, then the right $w$ ing extends out to in nity, and the $w$ ings are always present as long as >0.Thus, c1 $=0^{+}$as we have already leamed for the C auchy distribution. Physically, for $P$ ( $w$ ) w th $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=1$ the site energies have a non-zero probability of attaining arbitrarily large values and a sm all am ount of random ness can cause the $w$ ings to appear.

It is easy to show that if $P$ (w) does not increase for $w$ positive and increasing, then ${ }_{c 1}^{2}<{ }_{c}^{2}$. Start by noting that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & u^{2}\end{array}\right)$ for $0 \quad u \quad 1$, and
 Com bining these two inequalities we nd the desired result.

## 5 Spectral C urve for the B ox D istribution

In the preceding section, we studied the points $x()$ and $y()$ at which the spectral curve $s(x ; y)=0$ intersects the real and im aginary axes for general distributions P (w) of the site energies. In this section, we determ ine the entire spectral curve $s(x ; y)=0$ for the box distribution (1] $\overline{1})$.

F irst, we evaluate ( $(\underline{3}-\bar{e})$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z=V u ; v)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \log j \frac{(u+1)^{u+1}}{(u \quad 1)^{u} V^{1}} V^{2} j \quad 1 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we scale $z=V u$ for convenience. Thus, the spectral curve is determ ined by the interesting equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \frac{(u+1)^{u+1}}{(u \quad 1)^{u}} j=\frac{e^{2}}{v^{2}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write $u=s+$ it and de ne the left hand side of ( $(\underset{-1}{1} 1)$ as $b(s ; t) \cdot W$ e nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(s ; t)=(s+1)^{2}+t^{2} \frac{1+s}{2} \quad(s \quad 1)^{2}+t^{2} \frac{1 s}{2} e^{t \arctan \frac{2 t}{1 s^{2} t^{2}}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral curve ( $w$ ith $z=x+$ iy ) is then de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{~V}} ; \frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, x ( ), the critical energy at which localization-delocalization transition occurs, is determ ined by the rem arkable function

$$
b(s ; 0)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s^{2} & 1 \tag{84}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{s+1}{s}\right)^{s}
$$

In solving ( $(\overline{8} \overline{-1})$ it is is essential to pick the correct branch of the arc tan function, which is de ned up to multiples of . Let us take the conventional de nition of arc tan as a function ranging between $\overline{2}$ and $\overline{2}$. Then we see that for $t^{2}<1 s^{2}, b(s ; t)$ has to be multiplied by a factor ofe ${ }^{t}$. This ensures that $b(s ; t)$ is continuous as $t$ passes



Figure 4: Spectral C urve for the box distribution $w$ ith $V=1$, plotted in the rst quadrant
curve is \elliptical" in shape. $W$ e nd num erically that as $V$ approaches $V_{C}=e$ the uctuation betw een runs even for $N=200$ to be quite signi cant. T hese uctuations are described by the density-denstity correlation function discussed in BZ.

W e show ed in gure (3) the behavior of the energy of the localization-delocalization transition x (V), de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{~V}} ; 0\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{V})$ behaves as discussed in the last section. In particular, at $\mathrm{V}=1$ the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2} \quad \text { 1) }\left(\frac{x+1}{x}\right)^{x}=e^{2}\right. \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by $x=1: 17697$. N ote also that $b(1 ; 0)=4$ and so for $V=\frac{e}{2}$, we have $x(V)=V . R e c a l l$ from the preceding section that $V_{c 1}$ for this $m$ odel is precisely $\frac{e}{2}$. W e see that indeed the w ings disappear at this critical value of the random ness.

G iven the com plexity of $\left(\overline{8} \overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ it would be a challenge to determ ine the spectral curve in the non-m axim ally non-herm itean case.

## 6 D ilution

A smentioned in the Introduction, the e ects of dihution were considered in BZ.We point out here that the e ects of dilution can be quite drastic. T he sign model is m ost am enable to analytic study $w$ th (1̄그)

$$
\left.P_{d}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{w})+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{w} & r \tag{87}
\end{array}\right)+(\mathrm{w}+r)\right)
$$

The spectral curve is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{d} \quad x^{2} \quad y^{2} \quad r^{2}+4 x^{2} y^{2} \frac{1 d}{2}=1 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ onsider for sim plicity the equation for $y(r)$. For no dilution $d=0$, this becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+r^{2}=1 \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in $(\underline{\overline{6}} \overline{9})$. For any am ount of dihution, $d>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2 d}\left(y^{2}+r^{2}\right)^{1 d}=1 \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote the left hand side of both ( $(\overline{8} \overline{9})$ and $(\underline{9} \overline{0})$ are $m$ onotonic in $y$, but the left hand
 any $d>0$. This is in shanp contrast $w$ ith $\left(\frac{\overline{8}}{\mathbf{g}} \overline{-1}_{1}\right)$, for $w h i d y$ exists only for $r<1$. As shown in $g(5)$, for $r>1$ and any $d>0$ a new $\backslash l o b e "$ centered at the origin appears in the spectrum, w ith this lobe intersecting the im aginary axis at $y(r ; d)$. W e can easily solve ( $\underline{9}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) for $d=\frac{1}{2}$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(r ; d=\frac{1}{2}\right)=\overline{\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{r^{4}+4} \quad r^{2}\right)} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ ore generally, for any $d$, we see that for sm all $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(r ; d) \quad \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad d) r^{2}+ \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for large $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(r ; d)!\frac{1}{r^{\frac{(1 d)}{d}}}+ \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

For interm ediate $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{d})$ intenpolates m oothly betw een ( $\overline{9} \overline{2})$ and ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{g}} \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{1}\right)$.


Figure 5: The diluted sign $m$ odel with $d=0: 1$ and $r=1: 2$
W e see that there is a critical transition with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{C}}=0$, as em phasized in particular by ( $\overline{9} \overline{-1}$ ).

M ore generally, recalling the de nition of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y} ;)=\mathrm{h} \log \left(\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ if from (4̄3̄̄그) associated w th $P$ (w ), we have associated w th $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{w})$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y ; \quad d)=d \log y^{2}+(1 \quad d) f(y ;) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the intersection of the spectral curve w th the im aginary axis y ( ; d) given by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y} ; \quad ; \mathrm{d})=0$ as in $(\underline{-1} \overline{-} \overline{4})$. Evidently, as the dilution goes to itsm axim um valued! 1 , y ! 1.

For the C auchy distribution, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y ;)=\log (y+)^{2} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith no dilution, $y()=1$ as in FZ.For sm all $d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(; d)=1 \quad(\log (1 \quad)) d+ \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly as nears its critical value 1, a sm all dilution has a big e ect. Ford ${ }^{<}$1,

$$
y(; d)=1 \quad(\log (1+))(1 \quad d)+
$$

Further study of the e ects of dilution would be interesting.
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## 7 Appendix: The Proof of Goldsheid and K horuzhenko Sím pli ed

H ere we give a sim pli ed version of the proof of Goldshied and K honuzhenko. Let
 $\tilde{H}_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ been absent. It is natural to de ne the herm itean H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}=H_{h}+V \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all entries of $V$ are zero except

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N} 1} & =\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2} e^{\mathrm{Nh}} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{1 \mathrm{~N}} & =\frac{t}{2} e^{\mathrm{Nh}} \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

(At rst sight, the transform ation of $H$ into $H$ appears to be a potentially dangerous $m$ anuever [1]i] as $\mathrm{H}^{\top}$ is an N by N m atix w ith an entry which explodes exponentially as N ! 1 ; in fact, we are going to exploit precisely this feature.)

Since H and H have the sam e spectnum, we can obtain the desired density of eigenvalues of $H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=-\frac{2}{@ z @ z}{ }^{2}(z ; z) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\sim(z ; z) \quad \frac{1}{2 N} h \log j \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & H \tag{101}
\end{array}\right) \text { ji }
$$

In analogy to (1 $\overline{1} 0 \overline{1} 1)$ we also de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z ; z) \quad \frac{1}{2 N} h \log j \operatorname{det}\left(z \quad H_{h}\right) \text { Ji } \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the herm itean H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$. U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{z} \quad \tilde{H})=(\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{VG}) \quad\left(\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{z} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

 notational clutter) we have im m ediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(z ; z)=h(z ; z)+\frac{1}{2 N} h \log j \operatorname{det}(I \quad V G) f i \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $V$ has only two non-zero entries, the determ inant in (100 im $m$ ediately to be

$$
\operatorname{det}\binom{I}{V G}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \tag{106}
\end{array} \frac{t}{2} e^{N h_{G}} G_{N 1}\right)\left(1 \quad \frac{t}{2} e^{N h^{n}} G_{1 N}\right) \quad \frac{t^{2}}{4} G_{11} G_{N N}
$$

The large $N$ behavior of $\operatorname{det}(I \quad V G)$ thus depends on whether $G_{1 N}$ vanishes faster than $e^{\mathrm{Nh}}$ or not.

If $G_{1 N}$ vanishes faster than $e^{N h}$, $\operatorname{det}(I \quad V G)$ is bounded. (Since $\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{trg}$ is the G reen's function of a herm itean Ham iltonian it has a nite large N lim it, and G 11
 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(z ; z)=h(z ; z) \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast, if $\mathrm{G}_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ does not vanish faster than $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Nh}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I} & \mathrm{VG}) \tag{108}
\end{array}!\quad \frac{t}{2} e^{\mathrm{N} h} G_{1 N}\right.
$$

 and the form of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$ we obtain im m ediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
j G_{1 N} j=\frac{\frac{t}{2}^{N} 1}{j \operatorname{det}\left(z \quad H_{h}\right) j} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence from (1̄0̄2)

$$
\begin{align*}
h \log j G_{1 N} \ddot{\mathrm{i}} & =\log \frac{t^{2}}{}{ }^{\mathrm{N}} \quad & h \log j \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & H_{h}
\end{array}\right) \ddot{\mathrm{H}} \\
& =\log \frac{t^{N}}{2} & N_{h}(z ; z) \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N} h \log j \operatorname{det}(I \quad V G) \ddot{j} & =\frac{1}{N} \quad \log \frac{t^{N}}{2} e^{N h} \quad N_{h}(z ; z) \\
& =\log \frac{t}{2} e^{h} \quad{ }_{h}(z ; z) \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point we m ight as well set $t=2$. Thus, com bining ( that if $G_{1 N}$ does not vanish too fast we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(z ; z)=h \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{-}), H$ and hence H do not have any eigenvalues in this region.
 is the curve de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z ; z)=h \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form s the \arcs" of the spectral curve of $H$. Thewings are determ ined by the solution of $(\overline{1} 0 \overline{0} \overline{-})$ which lies outside the curve de ned by (

The de ning equation ( not be explicitly solved in general. $W$ e need an exact analytic expression for $h(z ; z)$ which am ounts to an exact determ ination of the density of eigenvalues of the her$m$ itean H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$. To the best of our know ledge, this is available only for the
 curve in ( $(\overline{1} \overline{9})$, which was derived in BZ by a di erent $m$ ethod.

Thus, in order to obtain explicit expressions for the spectral curves for various P (w )'s, we are com pelled to got to the maxim ally non-herm itean or one way lim it



N ext we observe another drastic sim pli cation. In this lim it, the herm itean $H$ am ir tonian $H_{h}$ goes over to a purely diagonalm atrix with the $j^{\text {th }}$ diagonal elem ent equal to $w_{j}$, and thus the function $h(z ; z)$ de ned in (1ָō

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z ; z)=\frac{1}{2} h \log j z \quad w f i \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in the maxim ally non-hem itean lim it, the spectralcurve of the non-herm itean H am iltonian H in ( $(\underset{1}{\mathbf{3}})$ is determ ined by the rem arkably com pact equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log j z \quad w \quad f i=0 \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

in com plete agreem ent w ith $\left(\overline{3} \bar{\beta}_{1}\right)$ and our sim ple \one-line" proof. It is interesting to note that in this respect the non-herm itean problem is again sim pler than the her$m$ Itean problem. There is no analogous sim plifying lim it we can take in the herm itean localization problem .
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