

On the integrability of the $SU(N)$ Hubbard model*M.J.Martins*

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Universiteit van Amsterdam
Valcknierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

and

Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Departamento de Física
C.P. 676, 13560 São Carlos, Brazil

Abstract

We exhibit explicitly the intertwiner operator for the monodromy matrices of the recent proposed $SU(N)$ Hubbard model [5]. This produces a new family of non-additive R -matrices and generalizes an earlier result by Shastry [2].

For many years the one-dimensional Hubbard model has generated a great deal of attention, both due to the integrability properties [1, 2] and the promising role as a paradigm for strongly correlated electrons systems [3, 4]. The one-dimensional Hubbard model has always appeared as an unusual and solitary example, outside from an integrable family of exactly solvable models. Very recently, however, Maassarani [5] proposed an integrable $SU(N)$ generalization of the Hubbard model by using as building blocks the Boltzmann weights of a “free-fermion” $SU(N)$ spin chain [6]. This author also constructed the Lax operator of the two-dimensional “covering” vertex model whose transfer matrix commutes with the $SU(N)$ Hubbard Hamiltonian. The purpose of this note is to complement Maassarani’s work, by presenting the quantum R -matrix which intertwines two monodromy matrices of the $SU(N)$ “covering” Hubbard model. This not only guarantees that transfer matrices with different spectral parameters commute but also paves the way for an algebraic Bethe Ansatz solution (see e.g. [7]). In other words, we are interested in finding the R -matrix which solves the Yang-Baxter algebra

$$\check{R}_{12}(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{01}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{02}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{02}(\mu) \mathcal{L}_{01}(\lambda) \check{R}_{12}(\lambda, \mu) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{0i}(\lambda)$ is the $SU(N)$ Lax operator proposed in ref. [5].

For this purpose, we follow closely the strategy devised by Shastry [2] who solved similar problem in the special case of $N = 2$. First we apply a “gauge” transformation [2] on the original $SU(N)$ Lax operator proposed by Maassarani [5], rewriting it as

$$\mathcal{L}_{0i}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{0i}^{(1)}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_{0i}^{(2)}(\lambda) e^{h(\lambda) \hat{\rho}_0^{(1)} \hat{\rho}_0^{(2)} \otimes I_i} \quad (2)$$

In this equation $\mathcal{L}_{0i}^{(k)}(\lambda)$, $k = 1, 2$ are two commuting copies of the vertex operator of the “free-fermion” $SU(N)$ model [6]. The interaction is via the “azimuthal” operator [5] $\hat{\rho}^{(k)} = \sum_{l < N} (e_{ll}^{(k)} - e_{NN}^{(k)})$ while constraint $h(\lambda)$ satisfies the relation [2, 5]

$$\frac{\sinh[2h(\lambda)]}{\sin(2\lambda)} = U \quad (3)$$

where the constant U plays the role of an on-site Coulomb interaction.

Here we would like to solve relation (1) and (2) for $N \geq 3$. As an example let us start with the case $N = 3$. For this model it is convenient to write the $SU(3)$ “free-fermion” vertex operator as

$$\mathcal{L}_{0i}^{(k)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b(\lambda) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a(\lambda) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & b(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b(\lambda) & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & b(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where $a(\lambda) = \cos(\lambda)$ and $b(\lambda) = \sin(\lambda)$. For this representation the interaction term reads

$$\hat{\rho}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

We recall that we have performed an appropriate canonical transformation on the original expressions for $\mathcal{L}_{0i}^{(k)}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{\rho}^{(k)}$ of ref. [5]. In this way the “free-fermion” operator becomes more symmetrical, helping us to better sort out the many different entries of equation (1). The next step is to make an Ansatz for $\check{R}_{12}(\lambda, \mu)$, guided by the results found by Shastry for $N = 2$ [2]. This suggests us to seek an expression for the R -matrix having the following form

$$\check{R}_{12}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{L}_{12}^{(1)}(\lambda - \mu) \mathcal{L}_{12}^{(2)}(\lambda - \mu) + f(\lambda, \mu) \mathcal{L}_{12}^{(1)}(\lambda + \mu) \hat{\rho}_1^{(1)} \mathcal{L}_{12}^{(2)}(\lambda + \mu) \hat{\rho}_1^{(2)} \quad (6)$$

The coupling $f(\lambda, \mu)$ is determined via a brute force analysis, i.e. by inserting the above Ansatz and the expression for the Lax operator in the the Yang-Baxter algebra (1). Here one can use useful identities between the “free-fermion” Lax operator, and for instance we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu) \mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda) \hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} \mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu) \hat{\rho}_2^{(k)} = \mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu) \hat{\rho}_2^{(k)} \mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda) \hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} \mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu) \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu)\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\hat{\rho}_2^{(k)} &= \frac{\sin(\lambda - \mu)}{\cos(\lambda + \mu)} \tan(\mu)\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda + \mu)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} \\
&\frac{\tan(\mu)}{\tan(\lambda)}\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu) \\
&\frac{\sin(\lambda - \mu)}{\cos(\lambda + \mu)} \cot(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\hat{\rho}_2^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda + \mu)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\hat{\rho}_2^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda + \mu)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} &= \frac{\cos(\lambda + \mu)}{\cos(\lambda - \mu)} \left[\mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\hat{\rho}_2^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu) \right. \\
&\left. \mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda - \mu) \right] \\
&- \mathcal{L}_{23}^{(k)}(\mu)\mathcal{L}_{13}^{(k)}(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_{12}^{(k)}(\lambda + \mu)\hat{\rho}_1^{(k)} \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can put all these information together, by expanding the exponential part of the Lax operator (2), and by considering the above identities and its variants. After some algebraic manipulations we find that a necessary condition for this function is

$$f(\lambda, \mu) \sin(\lambda + \mu) [\tanh[h(\lambda)] \tanh[h(\mu)] + 1] = \sin(\lambda - \mu) [\tanh[h(\lambda)] + \tanh[h(\mu)]] \quad (10)$$

Keeping in mind the constraint (3), it is not difficult to find that the above equation is satisfied by the following expression

$$f(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\cos(\lambda - \mu)}{\cos(\lambda + \mu)} \tanh[h(\lambda) - h(\mu)] \quad (11)$$

Remarkably enough, the solution for function $f(\lambda, \mu)$ is precisely the one found by Shastry for $N = 2$. This means that the functional expression for the R -matrix is indeed universal, and the structure of the Boltzmann weights depends only on a given representation for the “free-fermion” building blocks. To verify this fact we have performed extensive checks for $N = 3, 4$ and we found that solution (11) is also a sufficient condition. This leads us to conjecture that this should be valid for arbitrary integer $N \geq 2$. All this resembles much the Baxterization procedure, and it seems that a better understanding of these results should necessarily start with the discovery of the algebraic structure, such as Temperley-Lieb and braid-monoid, which is behind the R -matrix construction (6) and (11). This could also prompt new representations for the building blocks, leading us to generate many more integrable “Hubbard models”.

In summary we have exhibit the R -matrix for the recent proposed $SU(N)$ Hubbard model. We also verified, for $N = 3, 4$, that the R -matrix solution satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation, by following the approach of Shiroishi and Wadati [8]. We find that the amplitudes for the “tetrahedral” Zamolodchikov algebra is precisely the same of that found for $N = 2$ [8], corroborating the universal scenario proposed above. We hope that such R -matrix solution can be used together with the framework developed in ref. [9] to solve the $SU(N)$ Hubbard model via an algebraic Bethe Ansatz method.

References

- [1] E.H. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* *20* (1968) 1445
- [2] B.S. Shastry, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* *56* (1986) 2453; *J.Stat.Phys.* *30* (1988) 57
- [3] P.W. Anderson, *Science* *235* (1987) 1196
- [4] F.H.L. Essler and V.E. Korepin, *Exactly solvable models of strongly correlated electrons*, World Scientific, 1994
- [5] Z. Maassarani, “*The $SU(N)$ Hubbard model*”, *LAVAl-PHY-24/97*, *cond-mat/9709252*
- [6] Z. Maassarani and P. Mathieu, “*The $SU(N)$ XX model*”, *LAVAl-PHY-22/97*, *cond-mat/9709163*
- [7] V.E. Korepin, G. Izergin and N.M. Bogoliubov, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method, Correlation Functions and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [8] M. Shiroishi and M. Wadati, *J.Phys.Soc.Japan* *64* (1995) 57
- [9] P.B. Ramos and M.J. Martins, *J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.* *30* (1997) L195; M.J. Martins and P.B. Ramos, “*The quantum inverse scattering method for Hubbard-like models*”, *IFTA-97-35/UFSCARTH-97-19*