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#### Abstract

W e present sim ulation data for the $m$ otion of a polym er chain through a regular lattioe of im penetrable obstacles (Evans $£ d w$ ards m odel). C hain lengths range from $\mathrm{N}=20$ to $\mathrm{N}=640$, and time up to $10^{7} \mathrm{M}$ onte C arlo steps. For N 160 we for the central segm ent nd clear $t^{=4}$-behavior as an interm ediate asym ptote. The also expected $\mathrm{t}^{1=2}$ range is not yet developed. For the end segm ent also the $t^{1=4}$-behavior is not reached. All these data com pare w ell to our recent analyticalevaluation of the reptation $m$ odel, which shows that for shorter times ( $t<10^{4}$ ) the discreteness of the elem entary $m$ otion cannot be neglected, whereas for longer tim es and short chains $\mathbb{N}<$ 100) tube renew al plays an essential role also for the central segm ent. D ue to the very broad crossover behavior both the di usion coe cient and the reptation tim ew ith in the range of our sim ulation do not reach the asym ptotic power law s predicted by reptation theory. W e present results for the centeroffm ass $m$ otion, show ing the expected interm ediate $t^{1=2}$-behavior, but again only for very long chains. In addition we show results for the $m$ otion of the central segm ent relative to the center of $m$ ass, where in som e interm ediate range we see the expected increase of the e ective pow er beyond the $t^{=4}$-law, before saturation sets in. A nalysis and sim ulations agree on de ning a new set of criteria as characteristic for reptation of nite chains. key words: reptation, polym er dynam ics, M onte C arlo sim ulations


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A $n$ understanding of the $m$ otion of a chain $m$ olecule in a surrounding of im penetrable obstacles is of great interest in the physics of polym er melts or dense solutions as well as for polym ers di using through gels. W ith special regard to the latter system De G ennes suggested the reptation $m$ odel ${ }_{1}^{1-1} 1$. B asic to this $m$ odel is the observation that the crosslinked structure ofthe gelfor short tim es restricts them otion of them acrom olecule to a tube de ned by its initial con guration. T he m otion proceeds by curvilinear di usion of little wiggles of
'spared length' along the tube. The destruction of the initial tube ('tube renewal') is due to the $m$ otion of the chain ends. These $m$ ay draw back into the tube, thus shortening the tube and creating new wiggles of spared length, or they $m$ ay unfold and thus destroy spared length, thus prolonging the tube in som e random direction. This is the natural them al m otion of a exible chain between topologicalconstraints.

P roposed originally form otion through rigid gels, thism odelextensively has been applied to m elts or dense solutions [2] Z ]. It is generally accepted as a basic scenario of polym er dynam ics. A critical exam ination [i্1], how ever, show s that the experim ental or com puterexperim ental evidence for the quantitative reliability of the $m$ odel is not particularly strong. Searching for the asym ptotic power law s predicted by reptation theory one typically nds a range of exponents di ering from the predictions, a nding often interpreted as crossover behavior from Rouse-type $m$ otion to reptation. This is little $m$ ore than an excuse, since -, w ith the exception of D oi's theory of the m elt viscosity ${ }_{[i \overline{4}}$ ] -, no e ort seem $s$ to have been spent to really work out the predictions of the reptation $m$ odel.beyond (interm ediate) asym ptotics. Thus, since not only the experim ents but also the sim ulations mostly are concemed $w$ th $m$ elts or $w$ th an imm obile, but disordered con guration of obstacles, it is not at allclearw hether the results re ect intrinsic properties ofreptation or are dom inated by other m echanism s like entropic traps in disordered system s or relaxation of the surrounding in $m$ elts.

To proceed we need precise know ledge of the quantitative im plications of the reptation $m$ odel in the (com puter-) experim ental range of $t i m e$ and chain length. $W$ e therefore analytically have worked out detailed quantitative predictions of the $m$ odel, and we have carried through extensive sim ulations. A llour work is concemed w th the original reptation scenario: m otion of a discrete chain through an ordered lattice of im penetrable obstacles, which con nes the intemalm otion of the chain to a very narrow tube. O ur analyticalw ork concentrates on the m otion of individual beads. As long as the bead does not leave the original tube, its $m$ otion can be calculated rigorously. Tube renew al and thus the $m$ otion of the chain ends can be treated only approxim ately, and we use an approxim ation inspired by random walk theory. D etails and results of our analytical work $m$ ay be found in the


The present paper is devoted to our sim ulations. In Sect. II we introduce a M onte C arlo m odel, which rst has been proposed by Evans and Edwards [ब̄-1]. W e also brie y describe our analyticalm odel as far as needed for som e of the argum ents to follow, and we discuss the relation am ong the $M$ onte-C arlo and analyticalm odels. In Sect. III we review results of previous sim ulations of the Evans $£$ dw ards $m$ odel, com pare to corresponding results of our sim ulations, and discuss the relevant tim e scales. Sects. IV and V are devoted to a detailed com parison w ith our theory, where in Sect. IV we treat the $m$ otion of the central bead inside the tube, and in Sect. $V$ we are concemed with tube renew al $Q$ uantities involving the center-offm ass, for which at present we have no new analytical results, are discussed in Sect. VI. Sect. V II sum m arizes our ndings. P relim inary results of our analytical w ork and our sim ulations have been published in [ī1

[^0]
## A. M onte C arlo m odel

The Evans $£ d w$ ards $m$ odel $[\overline{1}]$ considers the chain con guration as a random walk of $N^{M C)} 1$ steps ('segm ents') on à cubic lattioe. The lattice constant ì henceforth is taken as the unit of length : ${ }_{0}=1$. The con guration is xed by giving the positions $f r_{1} ;::: ; r_{N}(\mathrm{mc}) g$ ofthe endpoints ofallsegm ents ('beads'). The length ofsegm ent jequals ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$, by construction, $j_{j+1} \quad r_{j} j=\quad$. . The obstacles form a second cubic lattioe, of lattice constant $m \quad 0$; placed such that its lattice points coincide with centers of the cells of the rst lattice. The edges of this lattice are considered as im penetrable. Ref. 惊] uses m = 1;2;:::;10, but we consider only $m=1$, thus taking the tube as narrow as possible. This should show reptation in clearest form. A s ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 1, this m odelelim inates all kink-type m otions of the chain and leaves only hairpins, i.e., subsequent segm ents ofopposite direction: $r_{j+1} \quad r_{j}=\left(r_{j} \quad r_{j} 1\right)$, free to $m$ ove. O f course also the end beads can $m$ ove freely.

C learly w ith regard to the static properties this $m$ odel is identical to a sim ple random walk chain. W ith our choice of the narrowest tube, $m=1$, also the dynam ics is m ost sim ple. The obstacle lattioe com es into play only im plicitly in restricting the $m$ otion to that of hairpins and chain ends. W e start with an initial random walk con guration of the chain. In one elem entary step we random ly choose one bead. If it happens to be the tip of a hairpin or a chain end, it ism oved w ith probability $1=6$ to one of its 6 possible positions (including its original position, of course). This com pletes the elem entary move. M onte C arlo tim et $t^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{C}$ ) is $m$ easured in (on the average) one attem pted $m$ ove per bead. The sim ulations extended to $t^{(M C)}=10^{8}$, and chains of lengths $N^{M C)}=20 ; 40 ; 80 ; 160 ; 320 ; 640$ were used. We $m$ easured in each run correlations over tim e intervals $t_{1}^{(M C)} \quad t^{M C)} \quad 10$, averaging over $t_{0}^{\text {M C ) }}$ ('m oving average'). In addition the data were averaged over up to 40 independent runs. $T$ his is im portant in particular for the longer chains, where the equilibration time $\mathrm{T}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ ) of the haipins com es close to the total tim e of the run (see Sect. 32).

For the longest chains $(\mathbb{N}=320 ; 640)$ and largest tim es $\left(t^{(M)} 10^{\circ}\right)$ the standard deviation of our data reaches $6 \%$. D ue to the $m$ oving tim e average it rapidly decreases $w$ th decreasing chain length and tim e, being less than $3 \%$ for $t^{M C)}<10^{5}$, for all chain lengths.

## B.A nalyticalm odel

 tube is taken as a chain of $N$ segm ents, connecting beads num bered $0 ; 1 ;::: \mathrm{N}$. Particles, each representing a spared length 's, are sitting on the beads of that chain. The average density of these particles is 0 . The particles random ly and independently hop am ong neighbouring beads, w th hopping probability $p$. They do not interact, so that a given particle does not feel the presence of the others. If a particle $m$ oves over a bead, it drags it along and displaces it by a distance 's along the tube. (For hainpin $m$ otion this is illustrated in $F$ ig. 1 b of $[\underline{1}, \mathbf{1}$, .) Reaching a chain end (bead 0 or $N$ ), a particle is absorbed by a virtual reservoir. T hese reservoirs also random ly em it particles at a rate adjusted to pertain the average density 0. They serve to ease the analysis of the in principle grand canonical problem.

To establish the connection to the physical m otion of the beads we note that the displacem ent along the tube of som e bead $j$ within tim e interval $t$ is given by $\mathrm{s} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{n}(j ; t) j$, where
n (j;t) gives the num ber of particles having passed bead j from one direction, subtracted by the num ber of particles com ing from the other direction. Since the tube conform ation itself is a random walk in space, bead $j$ in space has $m$ oved an average distance

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}(j ; N ; t) & ={\left.\overline{\left(r_{j}(t)\right.} r_{j}(0)\right)^{2}}_{E}^{E} \\
& =\imath_{s} \overline{j(j ; t) j}: \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(C f. Eq. (I 2.8); in the sequel ref. 到] w ill be refered to as I.) In Eq. (2.1) the pointed brackets denote the average over the chain con gurations, and the bar indicates the average over particle di usion.

Eq. (2.1) holds as long as bead j stays in the initial tube. Tube renew al is driven by the em ission and absonption of particles by the reservoir. Em ission of a particle shortens the tube by 's at the end considered. Thus w thin tim e interval the tube from end zero is destroyed up to the bead $j_{k}={ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{nax}}(\mathrm{t})\right.$ ) is the largest negative uctuation in the occupation num ber of reservoir 0 w ithin tim e intervalt. Since at tim $e t$ the tube on the average has been shortened by ${ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}(t)$ steps and then rebuilt by another ${ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $(t)$ random ly chosen steps, we nd for the $m$ otion of the endsegm ent (cf. Eq. (I 2.12))

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=2{ }_{s} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathrm{t})} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for tim es $t$ sm aller than the tube renewal tim e $\mathrm{T}_{3}$. C ombining these considerations we nd the som ew hat com plicated expression (I 2.13) for the $m$ otion of an arbitrary bead including tube renew ale ects.

A llthese are exact expressions w ithin the fram e ofourm odel, holding as long as the originaltube is not destroyed com pletely. It tums out that Eq. (2.1) can be evaluated rigorously, whereas Eq. (2 2) as well as the tube renewal e ects on the $m$ otion of an arbitrary bead can be handled only approxim ately. T he stochastic process $n(0 ; t)$, giving the occupation of reservoir 0 , is correlated by the fact that a particle em itted $m$ ay be reabsonbed at som e later tim e, the decay tim e of the correlation being given by the tim e $T_{2}$ a particle needs to di use over the whole chain. This correlation renders an exact evaluation of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{im}$ possible. A s explained in detail in $I$, sect. $V$ we evaluate $\overline{n_{m ~ a x ~}(t)}$ in a ' $m$ ean hopping rate' approxim ation, calculating the contribution to $\overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { ax }(\mathrm{t})}$ of a tim e step $\mathrm{s} ; 0<\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{t}$ as the contribution of an uncorrelated process w th properly adjusted hopping rate. In a sim ilar spirit we have constructed an approxim ation for the tube renew ale ects on arbitrary beads. O ur explicit expressions for $g_{1}(j ; N ; t) w$ ill be recalled later in the context of data analysis.

## C. Relation am ong the models

Obviously the particles of the theoretical $m$ odel roughly correspond to the hairpins, and for long chains and large tim $e$, where hopefilly the in uence of the $m$ icrostructure is negligible, we expect both m odels to yield identical results. In practice, how ever, it is not clear whether the experim ents reach such a universal regim e, and a m ore detailed discussion of the relation am ong the $m$ odels is appropriate.

W e rst consider the chain lengths. The endbeads of the M C-chain correspond to the particle reservoirs, and a hairpin absorbs tw o beads. A hairpin thus e ectively walks along
a chain of $N^{M C)} 4$ interior beads. This m ust be com pared to the theoreticalm odel, where a particle hops along a chain of $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ interior beads. Thus we should identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{MCl}} \quad 3: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the shorter chains $(\mathbb{N}(M C)<100)$, this correction cannot be neglected.
Identifying hainpins and particles we should take the spared length ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}=2 . \mathrm{T}$ he density $\circ$ is less wellde ned. For the sim ple random walk typeM C-chain it is not hard to determ ine the full statistics of the side-branches, i.e., of tree-like structures in which each lattioe bond is occupied by an even num ber of segm ents. In the lim it of long chains the average total num ber of segm ents in such side branches am ounts to [G] $N^{(M C)}=3$, whereas the average num ber of sim ple hainpins (of two segm ents each) tends to $\left.\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{C}\right)=9$. Thus the rem aining $\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{MC)}}=9$ segm ents are contained in larger side-branches, which can be seen as a result of a fusion of simple hairpins. In the particle picture this corresponds to an interaction of particles sitting on the sam e bead, and w ith this interpretation we should choose 。 $1=6$. H ow ever, also other com plications m ust be noted: For a hainpin lying on the chain like in the right part of Fig .1 , the separation of the con guration into hairpin and backbone of the chain is not unique, this con guration in fact show ing two m obile points. Thus these considerations suggest an order of $m$ agnitude for ${ }_{s}$; o, rather than giving precise values. Taking `s; oas tparam eters, we in Sect. 4 w ill nd that the data rather precisely determ ine the com bination

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{s} \circ}^{2}=1: 23 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, as show $n$ in $I$, sect. IV is the only com bination relevant in the universal large tim e regim e. W ith ${ }_{s}^{2}$ 。 xed, a range of values $0: 15<{ }^{<} 0: 3$ yields equivalent ts, and by convention explained below we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\circ=0: 22 \text {; } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{s}=2: 364: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These param eters are of the expected order ofm agnitude, but they also show that the identi cation of particles and 'free' hainpins should not be taken to literally. R ather the hairpin m otion is renorm alized by interaction e ects, the particles representing quasi-hairpins'.

W e nally consider the relation am ong the tim e scales. The theoretical results, considered as function of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t}=\mathrm{pt} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \quad \geq 1$ essentially are independent of the hopping rate $p$. $W$ e by convention take $p=1=5$, and we henceforth alw ays will use the variable $\hat{f}$. The relation am ong $\hat{f}$ and $\left.t^{(\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{c}\right)$ de nes the time scale :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t}=t^{M c)}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A to experim ent (see Sect. 4) fairly precisely xes at a value

$$
\begin{equation*}
=6: 092 \quad 10^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus about 17 M C m oves correspond to the displacem ent of a particle by one step. This again is a reasonable result, since follow ing the $m$ icroscopic $m$ otion of a hainpin we $m$ ay estim ate that on the average of the order of 10 m oves are needed for a hainpin to jum p from one segm ent to the next.

H aving discussed the relation am ong the param eters of the theoreticaland the $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{m}$ odel we still need to consider the $m$ easured quantity

$$
g_{1}^{(M C)}\left(j ; N^{(M C)} ; t^{M C)}\right)=^{D}\left(r_{j}\left(t^{M C)}\right) \quad r_{j}(0)\right)^{2^{E}}:
$$

Let $j$ be som e interior bead of the M C-chain. W ith probability $\boldsymbol{H}=1=9$ it sits in the tip of a simple hairpin, a con guration which in the theoretical model e ectively is projected dow $n$ to the base of the hairpin. Taking into account only sim ple hairpins we thus nd for the relation of $g_{1}^{(M)}$ to the $g_{1}$ of the analyticalm odel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}^{(\mathrm{MC})}\left(j ; N^{(M C)} ; t^{(M C)}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { н }
\end{array}\right)^{2} g_{1}\left(j_{h} \quad 1 ; N^{(M C)} \quad 3 ; t\right) \quad i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +{ }_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{1}\left(j \quad 1 ; \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{MC})} 3 ; \mathrm{t}\right)+2^{1} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we took into account the relation am ong $N^{(M C)}$ and $N$ aswellas the di erent counting of the beads. This show $s$ that $g_{1}^{(M)}$ and $g_{1}$ di er by an additive contribution $C_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{(\mathrm{MC})}\left(j ; N^{(M C)} ; t^{(M C)}\right)=g_{1}\left(j \quad 1 ; N^{(M C)} \quad 3 ; t\right)+G ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sim plehainpin contribution to $c_{0}$ is found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=2_{\text {н }}=2=9: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore com plicated side branches will contribute also, but we observe (see Sect. 4) that reasonable changes of $c_{0}$ in tting to the data can be com pensated by readjusting 0 . We thus by convention choose the value (2.11), which then xes oto the value (2.5). W e have checked that form icroscopic tim es $10^{<} t^{(M \mathrm{C})}<50, g_{1}^{\text {( C) }}$ is well represented as

$$
g_{1}^{(\mathrm{MC)}}=\frac{2}{9}+\text { const } \quad\left(t^{\mathrm{C})}\right)^{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{x} \quad 1=2 ;
$$

so that this choice of $c_{0}$ is well justi ed.
For the endsegm ents the correction is $m$ ore im portant. These in a single $m$ ove will jum $p$ a $m$ ean squared distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=2 ; \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this m otion is not taken into account in the theoreticalm odel. $W$ e thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{1}^{(\mathrm{C})}\left(1 ; N^{(\mathrm{MC})} ; t^{\mathrm{MC})}\right)=g_{1}\left(0 ; N^{\mathrm{MC}}\right) \quad 3 ; \mathrm{t}\right)+G: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have checked that the correction $\mathrm{c}_{1}=2$ precisely takes into account the di erence in the $m$ otion of the end segm ent and the adjacent interior segm ent of the $M$ onte- $C$ arlo chain.

Though these corrections are $m$ icrostructure e ects, they cannot sim ply be ignored. In particular it is im portant to correct for the endsegm ent $m$ otion, since $g_{1}^{(M)}\left(1 ; N^{M C)} ; t^{(M C)}\right)$ reaches values of the order 100 only for $t^{\text {M C })} \quad 1 \sigma^{\sigma}$.

Besides $g_{1}^{(M)}$ we also have $m$ easured the cubic invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{M C)}\left(j ; N^{M C)} ; t^{(M C)}\right)={ }_{=1}^{" *} x^{3} r_{j} ;\left(t^{M C)}\right) \quad r_{j} ;(0)^{4 \#_{1=2}}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that this function for an interior bead in ourm odel reduces to the second $m$ om ent of $n(j ; t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(j ; N ; t)=v_{s}{\bar{n}^{2}(j ; t)}^{1=2} ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

this relation holding as long as the bead stays in the initial tube. For the endsegm ent the expression is $m$ ore com plicated and given in appendix B of I. A gain the relation am ong $g_{1}$ and $g_{1}^{\text {M C }}$ ) involves $m$ icrostructure corrections, which, how ever, are $m$ ore di cult to estim ate and will not be considered.
III. A FIRST $\mathbb{I N}$ SPECTION OF THE SIM ULATION RESULTS

> A. C om parison to previous w ork

Reptation theory predicts power law behavior

$$
g_{1}(j ; N ; t) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
8  \tag{3.1}\\
\gtrless & t^{1=4} ; & T_{0} & t & T_{2} \\
\vdots & (t=N)^{1=2} ; & T_{2} & t & T_{3} \\
t=N^{2} ; & T_{3} & t & :
\end{array}
$$

H ere $\mathrm{T}_{0}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbb{N}^{0}\right)$ is the m icroscopic tim e , till the segm ent m otion feels the constraining environm ent, $T_{2}=O\left(\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$ is the equilibration tim e of the intemalm otion, and $T_{3}=O\left(\mathbb{N}^{3}\right)$ is the reptation tim e , needed for a com plete destruction of the original tube. The last line of Eq. (3.1) identi es the di usion constant of the chain as D $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$.

Evans and Edw ards $\frac{[-\bar{\top}] \text { introduced the above described } M \text { onte } C \text { arlo } m \text { odel to test these }}{}$ predictions. They used obstacle lattioes of spacing $m \quad 0, m 10$, and chains of length $N^{M C)}$ 80.The runssem to extent up to $t^{M C)} 10^{3}$. C learly according to present day facilities this is a fairly sm allscale sim ulation. Stillw ithin the scatter of the data the results for the $s m$ allest spacings $m=1 ; 2$ sem to verify the predictions (3.1). In particular the authors observe D $\quad \mathrm{N}^{2} ; \mathrm{T}_{3} \quad \mathrm{~N}^{3}$, as well as $\mathrm{t}^{1=4}$-regim es and $\mathrm{t}^{1=2}$-regim es for the $m$ otion of the cen-
 in the com m on doubly-logarithm ic representation. A s is obvious, a $\mathrm{t}^{1=4}$ regin e starts around $t^{\text {M C ) }} \quad 10^{3}$ and barely is observable for $N^{(M C)}=80$. It fully is developed only for larger chain lengths. A $t^{1=2}$ regim $e$ is not observable for $N^{(M C)} \quad 160$. It $m$ ay be present for larger chains but its unam biguos identi cation needs at least a further decade in tim e. Recall that these data are taken for the obstacle lattioe of highest density, $m=1$, as all our data. We conclude that the observation of $\left[\frac{\overline{-}}{-1}\right]$ am ounts to a $m$ isintenpretation of the direct crossover
from the initialbehavior, which roughly follow s a $t^{1=3}$-law , to free di usion, as seen here for short chains.

D eutsch and $M$ adden $[\overline{9}]$ ] used the Evans $E$ dwards $m$ odel $w$ th $m=1$ to $m$ easure the di usion coe cient D by follow ing the center-ofm ass $m$ otion of the chain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c m}\left(t^{(M C)}\right) \quad R_{c m}(0)^{2} t^{(M c)}!^{1} \quad D t^{(M)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

M easuring chains up to length 100 they found D $N^{(M C)}{ }^{2: 5}$, ie., a considerably faster decrease than predicted by reptation theory. Our own data for the center-ofm ass $m$ otion are shown in Fig. 3. W e clearly can extract the di usion coe cients up to $N(M C)=80$. For
 of $\left(\mathbb{N}^{(M C)}\right)^{2} \quad D$ are plotted against $\left(\mathbb{A}^{(1)}\right)^{1=2}$ in $F$ ig. 4. W e also included data extracted from Fig. 3 of $\bar{g}]$. C learly the two sets of data are com pletely consistent. They nicely are tted by the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=0: 04\left(\mathbb{N}^{(M C)}\right)^{2} 1+50\left(\mathbb{N}^{(M C)}\right)^{1=2^{i}} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 lengths from 10 to 160 in Fig. 4 is insu cient to fully justify an ansatz leading to such a large rst order correction. Still it shows that w ith chain lengths that presently can be reached, we are far from extracting the large-N lim it of the di usion coe cient.

## B. T im e scales

The reptation tim e $T_{3}$ gives the tim e needed to destroy the tube. It $m$ ay be de ned in term s of the decay of the end-to-end vector correlations of the chain 愐], but for the present work another de nition ism ore convenient, both theoretically and experim entally. W e de ne $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which via Eq. (2 2) im plies

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}\left(0 ; N ; T_{3}\right) & ={ }^{D}\left(r_{0}\left(T_{3}\right) \quad(1(0))^{2}\right. \\
& =N=R_{e}^{2} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{e}^{2}$ is the $m$ ean squared-average end-to-end vector. Thus with in tim e interval $T_{3}$ the endsegm ent has $m$ oved $m$ ean squared distance $R_{e}^{2}$. O ur sim ulation data allow for the deter$m$ ination of $T_{3}$ for $N^{(M C)} \quad 160$, and our experim ental results together $w$ ith the theoretical curve are shown in Fig. 5. Being based on an approxim ation the theory lies about $20 \%$ above the datapoints. $T$ his suggests that our approxim ation underestim ates $n_{m}$ ax $(t)$. B oth theory and data, how ever, consistently show that it needs chain lengths much larger than $N^{(M)}=200$ to approach the asym ptotic $N^{3}$ behavior. Indeed, the theoretical asym ptote, calculated from Eq. ( 5.50 ), is found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{3}^{(\mathrm{MC})}=2: 62\left(\mathbb{N}^{(\mathrm{MC})}\right)^{3} ; \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

suggesting that chain lengthsm uch larger than $10^{3}$ are needed. This is quite consistent with our nding for the di usion coe cient.

A nother im portant tim e scale of the m odel is the intemal equilibration tim $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ of the chain. It gives the time a hairpin needs to di use over the whole chain, so that fort $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ the $m$ otion of all.beads is correlated. T heoretically $T_{2}$ can be identi ed w ith the R ouse tim e, i.e, the longest intemal relaxation tim ef a free chain: $\mathrm{pT}_{2}=\mathrm{N}^{2}={ }^{2}$. A practicable and precise experim ental de nition is not easy. H euristically we could think of the tim e at which the $m$ otion of the central segm ent bends over from $t^{x_{1}} ; x_{1} \quad 1=4$ tow ards $\mathbb{K}_{2} ; x_{2}>0: 5$, but this crossover is quite broad and the pow er law regim es are poorly de ned for shorter chains. $W$ e thus here are content $w$ ith the theoretical de nition, valid for long chains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pT}_{2}=\underline{\mathrm{N}}^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transform ing to the M onte C arlo tim e , we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{2}^{(\mathrm{MC)}}=1: 66\left(\mathbb{N}^{(\mathrm{MC})}\right)^{2} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we ignore the di erence am ong $N ; N^{(M C)}$. C om paring to $F$ igs. 2 or 3 we note that this $m$ arks the point, where de nite deviations from the initialbehavior can be seen. C om bining Eqs. (3.6),(3.8) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{3}^{(M C)}}{T_{c}^{(M C)}}=1: 58 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{MC)}}: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since both, $T_{2}^{(M)}$ and $T_{3}^{(M)}$, $m$ ark fairly broad crossover regions, the ratio (3.9) m ust take values of order $10^{3}$ before we can see the interm ediate $t^{1=2}$ behavior. (C $f$. the second line of Eq. 3.1.) O nly the longest chain $N=640$ shows a su ciently large ratio $\mathrm{T}_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{MC)}}=\mathrm{T}_{2}{ }^{(\mathrm{MC})}$. But then the totalM C time $10^{7}$ is not much larger than $T_{2}{ }^{M C)}=0: 7 \quad I^{6}$ O Even with the present data we thus have no chance to verify the $t^{1=2}$-law. Q ualitative, not quantitative, indications can be found however, as is discussed in sect. VI. T hese ndings are com pletely consistent w ith typical results found in the literature for sim ulations ofm elts.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { IV.MOTION INSIDETHETUBE } \\
\text { A. A nalysis of } g_{1}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In Eqs. (I.4.1), (I.4 2), (I.3.12) we have given the rigorous theoretical result for $g_{1}(j ; N ; t)$ for $m$ otion $w$ ithin the tube. To keep track of this condition we here denote this result as $g_{i}(j ; N ; t)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(j ; N ; t)=\underline{4}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \circ \mathrm{~A}_{1}(j ; t)^{1=2}\left[1 \quad F_{1}\left(40 A_{1}(j ; t)\right)\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}(j ; t)=\frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{N}+\frac{1}{2 N}{ }_{k=1}^{N X^{1 "}} 1 \quad \exp \quad \hat{4} \sin ^{2} \quad \frac{k}{2 N} \quad \frac{\cos ^{2} \frac{k}{N} j+\frac{1}{2}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{k}{2 N}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use the variable $\hat{t}=p t$, and we som ew hat simpli ed the expression, the sim pli cation being valid for pt ${ }^{>} 1$. The correction function $F_{1}(j ; t)$ reads (Eq. I. 26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{p}}{ }_{0}^{z} d x x^{3=2} e^{x} \quad 1 \quad \frac{x}{2} \quad 1=2 \quad \frac{1}{2^{p}}=\quad \frac{1}{2} ; z \quad: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It arises from the discreteness of the stochastic variable $n(j ; t)$ and is negligible for $z>25$.
A s has been discussed in $I$, Sect. 4, for long chains and tim es so large that $F_{1}(z)$ can be ignored, $g_{i}(j ; N ; t)$ takes the form (cf. Eq. I 4.10):

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{i}(j ; N ; t)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{1=2} \hat{l}^{1=4} g_{i} \frac{j}{N} ; \hat{\epsilon}^{N^{2}} \quad ; \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is in this large tim e region that we determ ine the nonuniversal param eters. Speci cally we get one relation from tting the $t^{1=4}$-plateau.

$$
\left(t^{M C)}\right)^{1=4} g_{i} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t=2 \quad{ }^{3=4}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2  \tag{4.6}\\
s & 0
\end{array}\right)^{1=2} \quad 1=4 ; T_{0}^{(M C)} \quad t^{M C)} \quad T_{2}^{M C)}
$$

Since no $t^{1=2}$-regim e properly is reached by the data, we determ ine ; ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} 0$ separately by tting to the crossover at $t \quad T_{2}$, where the $t^{1=4}$ regim e term inates. In the $t$ we exclusively used data for the longest chain: $\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{MC})}=640$, so that we have a large region a ected neither by initiale ects nor by tube renew al. We nd the values ${ }_{s}{ }_{s}^{2}=1: 23$ and $=6: 09210^{2}$ cited in Sect. II C .
$W$ th ${\underset{s}{s} 0}^{2}$ xed, a variation of 0 only in uences the argum ent of $F_{1}$ in Eq. (4.1). Increasing 0 we decrease the tim e range where $F_{1}$ is im portant. Since $F_{1}(0)=1$, we thus also increase the in itial slope of $g_{i}(j ; N ; t)$. A s m entioned in Sect.II $C$, these changes to som e extent can be com pensated by a change of $c_{0}$ that relates $g_{1}^{(M)}$ to $g_{1}$. Good ts can be obtained for $0: 14<c_{0}<0: 25, \mathrm{w}$ th varying ofrom $0: 3$ to $0: 18$. Fort $10, \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{t})$ varies by about $15 \%$. Larger changes of the param eters lead to a $m$ ism atch in the curvature of the theoretical and experim ental results. We nally xallparam eters by choosing $\mathrm{c}_{0}=2=9$, leading to $0=0: 22$.

Fig. 6 show s our results for $\log _{10} \quad g_{1} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t=g_{\text {ass }}(t)$ as function of $\log _{10}(t)$, where gass $(t)$ gives the interm ediate asym ptotics de ned by the rst line of Eq. (4.5). This plot focusses on the region of $t^{1=4}$-behavior. A sm entioned above, the param eters have been tted for $\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{MC})}=640$, the rem aining results involving no further tting. $T$ his plot dem onstrates the ability ofour reptation $m$ odelto explain the data. D eviations occuring for shorter chains and large time result from tube renew $a l$, as is obvious from the values of $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ indicated by the ends of the full lines. $W$ ithin a rough approxim ation such e ects will be considered in Sect.V B.Thediscreteness correction $F_{1}(z)$ is visible up to $\hat{t} \quad 10^{3}$, and for the shorter chains this in itial range im $m$ ediately crosses over to behavior dom inated by tube renew al, w th no indication of an interm ediate $t^{1=4}$-plateau. Furtherm ore, the $t^{1=2}$ regin e is not developed $w$ thin the range of our sim ulations.

$$
\text { B. A nalysis of } g_{1}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)
$$

A s has been stressed and ilhustrated earlier $\left[\bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$, the cubic invariant $g_{1}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$ (Eq. 2.14 ) should show $t^{1=4}$ boehavior even for very $s m$ all $t$ im $e, w$ th no discreteness correction. For $m$ otion inside the tube the theory yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(j ; N ; t)=\left(2{ }_{s}^{2} \quad 0 A_{1}(j ; t)\right)^{1=2} \quad ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{1}(j ; t)$ given in Eq. (4 2) . Fig. 7 show s our num ericaland analyticalresults, norm alized to $g_{\text {ass }}(t)=\frac{\overline{2}}{} g_{a s s}(t)$. As expected, the $t^{1=4}$-plateau is seen very clearly. Even for the shortest chain, $N^{(M C)}=20$, there is an initial range ofbehavior close to $t^{1=4}$. The plateauvalue, how ever, system atically seem s to lie 3-5 \% below the theoreticalvalue. W ebelieve that this $m$ ight indicate som e sm alle ect of the interaction am ong the hainpins, renorm alizing the am plitudes but not changing the pow er laws.

## V.TUBERENEWALEFFECTS

## A. M otion of the endsegm ents

Tube renew al cannot be treated rigorously, and our approxim ation, discussed in $I$, Sect. $V$, yields for the $m$ otion of the endsegm ent $j=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=x_{s=1}^{x^{t}} \frac{1}{s} g_{i}(0 ; N ; s) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i}(0 ; N ; s)$ is given in Eq. (4.1). In F ig. 8 we have plotted $g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=c^{1=4}$ together with our data. O bviously our approxim ation reproduces the qualitative features of the data and perform s not too bad on the quantitative level. It som ew hat underestim ates the $m$ obility of the endsegm ent, consistent with our nding for $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ (cf. Sect. III B), but the relative error decreases $w$ ith increasing tim $e$. This is plausible since its origin lies in a m istreatm ent of the tim e-dependent correlations which decay on tim e scale $T_{2}$. B oth theory and experim ent agree in exhibiting a very long initial transient, much longer than found for the central segm ent. O nly the longest chains barely reach a $t^{1=4}$-plateau. A smentioned in I, Sect. V C , this again is due to the discrete nature of the process. W ith ${ }_{s}^{2} 0$ xed, the theoretical curves again are fairly insensitive to 0 . W e should recall that we have corrected the data by subtracting $c_{1}=2$ from the $m$ otion of the endsegm ent (cf. Eq. (2.13)). W ithout that correction, the data in the initial range would be enhanced som ew hat, starting around :54 at $\hat{\ell}=1$.

T he theory predicts that $g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=g_{i}\left(\frac{N}{p} ; N ; t\right)$ for $T_{0} \quad t \quad T_{2}$ reaches a plateau, which in our approxim ation is found at a value $4 \overline{2}$. For $t^{>} T_{2}$ this ratio should decrease again, since all segm ents start to m ove coherently. Fig. 9 show $s$ our results for this ratio where for the central segm ent we in the theoretical result approxim ately took the tube renew al into account in the form discussed in the next subsection: $g_{1}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)=g_{i}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)+2 g_{r}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$. Th is gure should be quite characteristic for reptation. For $t<\mathrm{T}_{2}$ it very clearly show s the enhanced mobility of the endsegm ent. N ote that this ratio for the R ouse m odelw ould take
a value of 2 in the initial range and drop dow to 1 fort $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. H ere it takes $m$ uch larger values, com ing close to our theoretical estim ate. C learly the asym ptotic plateau value can not be estim ated from the experim ent. This would need much longer chains and longer tim es. Fort> $T_{2}$ we see the expected decrease. For $t>T_{3}$ the ratio asym ptotically should tend to 1. A lso this is seen, but it is clear that it needs tim es $t^{>} 10 \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ to approxim ately attain that lim it. (In $F$ ig. 9, $T_{3}$ for $N^{M C}$ ) < 160 can be taken from the endpoints of the theoretical curves.)

W e also m easured the fourth m om ent $\mathrm{g}_{1}(0 ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{t})$. A swe have discussed in appendix B of $I$, after som e intial range the ratio $g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)$ should take values

$$
\frac{g_{1}(0 ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{t})}{g_{1}(0 ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{t})}=\begin{array}{cccc}
1: 0125  \tag{52}\\
1: 085 & \mathrm{~T}_{2} & \mathrm{t} & \mathrm{~T} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{3}
\end{array} ;
$$

where these results again are only approxim ate. O ur data in all the regim e $\mathrm{T}_{0} \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{T}_{3}$ scatterw thin bounds ( $1: 025 ; 1: 035$ ), w ith no system atic trend observable. Th is is com pletely consistent $w$ th the estim ate (5.2), if we take into account that w ithin the lim itations of our sim ulation the region $T_{2} \quad t \quad T_{3}$ is not properly developed.

## B. M otion of an arbitrary segm ent

The $m$ ean squared displacem ent of an arbitrary segm ent $j$ not to close to the center of the chain for all $t<T_{3}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(j ; N ; t)=g_{i}(j ; N ; t)+g_{r}(j ; N ; t) ; \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i}(j ; N ; t)$ is the contribution of $m$ otion inside the tube as given in Eq. (4.1), and $g_{r}(j ; N ; t)$ is the contribution of the tube renewal, which reaches segm ent $j$ roughly at a time $T_{R}(j)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath_{\mathrm{s}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{max}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{j})\right)}=j \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. I, Eq. 5.31). In I, Sect. V C and appendix C, we have evaluated $g_{r}(j ; N ; t)$ in a rough approxim ation, based on the distribution of $n_{m}$ ax ( $t$ ) for a simple random $w a l k$, $w$ ith hopping probability adjusted to our theoretical result for $\overline{n_{m} \text { ax }}(t)$. D espite ignoring detailed correlation e ects this approxim ation yields quite reasonable results as is illustrated in $F$ ig. 10. W e there show the $m$ otion of segm ents $j=20 ; 40 ; 80$ in a chain of length $N^{(M C)}=640$. In particular for $j=80$ we see the onset of the intial $t^{1=4}$-plateau, which for $\hat{t}^{>} 10^{3: 5}$ is destroyed by the hairpins di using in from the nearest chain end ( $j=0$ ). This in itself would lead to another plateau at relative height $\overline{2}$ (cf. I, Sect. IV), but before this can develop two further e ects set in. H airpins from the other chain end becom e im portant, too, (which implies $t^{>} \mathrm{T}_{2}$ ), and tube renew ale ects are seen. D etailed inspection of F ig. 10 show s sm allibut signi cant deviations am ong experim ent and theory, which $m$ ust be due to our neglect of correlations in $g_{r}$.

Eq. (5.3) ceases to be valid close to the center of the chain, since it considers tube renew al only com ing from one chain end. H ow ever, fort ${ }^{<} T_{3}$ processes where both chain endsw ithin tim e interval $t$ have $m$ ade excursions of length $N=2$ into the tube are rare. $T$ his suggests
to apply Eq. (5.3) also to the central segm ent. T he result, which should underestim ate the tube renew ale ects, is given by the long dashed curves in F ig. 6. T he short dashed curves follow by weighting $g_{r}(j ; N ; t)$ by a factor of 2 , in trying to take into account the sym $m$ etry of the tube renew al process. T he results look quite reasonable and clearly dem onstrate that the deviations from $g_{i}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$, as given by the fill curves in $F$ ig. 6 , indeed are due to tube renewal.

The motion of segm ents $j=80$ for chains $N^{(M C)}=160$ and 640 is com pared in $F$ ig. 11. It for $\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{MC})}=160$ show $s$ the additionalm obility due to a supenposition of the e ects from both ends.

## VI.QUANTITIES INVOLVING THECENTER-OF-MASS

O ur theory at present has not been evaluated for quantities like the center-ofm ass m otion, which involve tw o-bead correlations. W e thus here only present our num erical results and com pare to the qualitative predictions of reptation theory.

## A. C enter-of-m ass m otion

W e have $m$ easured the correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a m}(t)={ }^{D}\left(R_{a m}(t) \quad R_{a m}(0)\right)^{2^{E}} ; \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{cm}}(\mathrm{t})$ is the position of the center-ofm ass of the chain. Reptation theory predicts the power laws

$$
\left.g_{\text {cm }} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right) \quad \begin{array}{cc}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{t}^{1=2}=N^{2} \\
\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{N}^{2}
\end{array}\right. & \mathrm{T}_{0} \tag{62}
\end{array} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \quad \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2}
$$

$N$ ote that free di usion sets in for $t T_{2}$, in contrast to the $m$ otion of the intemal segm ent, where di usional behavior is found only fort $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ (cf. Eq. (3.1)). As shown in F ig. 3 our data reach the di usional regim e only for $N^{(M C)} 160$, allow ing for an extraction of the di usion coe cients as discussed in sect. IIIA. H ere we consider the short tim e regin e.
 plateau. To the best of our know ledge this is the rst time that the $\mathrm{t}^{1=2}$-behavior for the center-ofm ass m otion has been observed. The plateau seem $s$ to approach an asym ptotic value close to $1: 5$, but the splitting of the curves even in the initial range indicates the existence of sizeable corrections to the $N$-dependence. For shorter chains the large $m$ obility of the chain ends ruins the $t^{1=2}$-behavior and a glance to Fig. 3 shows that e ective power law s $g_{\text {gm }} \quad$ ㅂ $\frac{1}{2}<x^{<}: 8$, $m$ ight be extracted, for $t<T_{2}$.

## B.M otion of the central segm ent relative to the center-of-m ass

The correlation function $g_{2}(j ; N ; t)$ de ned as

$$
g_{2}(j ; N ; t)=\begin{array}{llll}
{\left[r_{j}(t)\right.} & \left.R_{a m}(t)\right]
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[r_{j}(0)\right.} & \left.R_{a m}(0)\right]^{2} \tag{6,3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$m$ easures the $m$ otion of segm ent $j$ relative to the center-ofm ass. For $t \quad T_{3}$ the center-of$m$ ass $m$ oves $m$ uch slow er than any speci c segm ent, and thus

$$
g_{2}(j ; N ; t) \quad q(j ; N ; t) ; \quad t \quad T_{3} \quad:
$$

For $t \quad T_{3}$, however, $g_{2}(j ; N ; t)$ saturates at some j-dependent value, that for $j=N=2$ equals the $m$ ean squared radius of gyration $R_{g}^{2}$, up to correction of order $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$. Reptation theory thus predicts

Speci cally in som e interm ediate range $g_{2}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$ increases $w$ ith a larger e ective pow er of $t$ than in the initialrange, and this phenom enon here is not m ixed up $w$ ith crossover tow ards free di usion. Its observation thus is a clear signal of reptation.
$F$ ig. 13 show s our results for $N^{(M)}=80 ; 160 ; 640$, nom alized to the $t^{1=4}$ plateau $g_{\text {ass }}(t)$. The sequence of the three regim es (6.4) for $N^{(M C)}=80 ; 160$ is clearly seen, the data also saturating at $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}$, as expected. As also was to be expected, in the interm ediate range $\mathrm{T}_{2}<\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{T}_{3}$ the pow er law $\mathrm{g}_{2} \quad \mathrm{t}^{=2}$ is not filly attained, but still the data in this plot show a pronounced m axim um . To our know ledge this is the rst tim e that the interm ediate $t^{1=2}-$ regim e has clearly been identi ed. In F ig. 13 we included theoretical curves for $g_{i}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N\right.$; $\left.t\right)$ (Eq. 4.1) to check whether $g_{2}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$ for $t \quad T_{3}$ indeed equals $g_{i}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$. Taking into account that the data are not $m$ easured $w$ th very good statistics, being averaged over only 10 independent runs each, we nd a very satisfactory agreem ent.

## V II. C O N CLUSIO NS

W e have perform ed extensive simulations of the EvansEdwards model up to chain lengths $\mathrm{N}=640$ and $10^{7} \mathrm{M}$ onte C arlo tim e steps. O ur sim ulation data show all features predicted by reptation theory, in particular:

1) W e nd a strong increase in mobility of the endsegm ent, as com pared to the central segm ent.
2) The sim ulations of the $m$ otion $g_{2}$ of the central segm ent relative to the center-ofm ass exhibit all three tim e regim es predicted by reptation, including the interm ediate $\mathbf{~}^{1} \mathbf{1}^{1=2} \boldsymbol{r}-$ regím e.
3) The crossover tim e $T_{2}$ to ${ }^{\prime} t^{1=2}$ r-mehavior of $g_{2}$ coincides $w$ ith the crossover tim e to free di usion of the center ofm ass.

T hese features clearly distinguish reptation from pure $R$ ouse $m$ otion or a R ouse m odelw ith random ly spaced entropic traps [1]
4) $W$ e also found the celebrated $t^{1=4}$-law for $m$ otion of an inner segm ent, and the corresponding $t^{1=2}$-law for the center of $m$ ass. H ow ever, we need chain lengths $N>100$ and correspondingly long tim es to reliably identify such asym ptotic law s.
5) W thin the range of our sim ulations, the asym ptotic N -dependence predicted for the di usion coe cient D, and the reptation tim e T 3 is not yet reached. From our results we estim ate that chain lengths larger than $\mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ are needed to come close to asym ptotics for these quantities.

O ur work show s that strong preasym ptotic e ects are an inherent feature of reptation. Such e ects in fact dom inate the chain length and tim e range covered by our sim ulations. The crossover regions here in particular cover all the region $T_{2}<t<T_{3}$, $m$ asking in $g_{1}(j ; N ; t)$ the expected $t^{1=2}$ behavior for an inner segm ent. A lso crossover from the in itial behavior to the $t^{1=4}$-law is very slow, a feature which we trace back to the discrete character of the basic dynam ics. Indeed, this crossover is so slow that no $t^{1=4}$ regim e is seen for the endsegm ent. A s Figs. 5-11 and 13 show, this is well explained by our theory. W e indeed nd very good agreem ent between our sim ulation data and our analytical evahuation of D e G ennes' reptation m odel, also in the regions where the asym ptotic predictions fail. To reach this agreem ent for the large variety of quantities and the large param eter range considered, we have adjusted the four param eters $0{ }_{s}^{2} ; 0 ; c_{0}$ and $=p t=t^{(M C)}$ within the physically reasonable range. O ur analytical predictions are exact, as long as tube renew al is negligible. Deviations between theory and simulations are $m$ ainly due to our only approxim ate analytical evaluation of tube renew al.

W ill our num erical and analytical results be stable under a change of the m icroscopic structure of the system? This is a question ofm any di erent facets. It is expected that certain types of tim e-independent disorder in the surrounding, entropic traps $[\overline{1} \overline{-1}]$ in particular, $m$ ay ruin reptation all together. A lso the consequences of relaxation of the surrounding, like in a m ell, at present are not well know n . Restricting ourselves to the original reptation scenario, i.e., to $m$ otion through an ordered array of obstacles, we should consider the e ect ofexchuded volum e interactions am ong the beads ofthe chain. In I, Sect. II C , we have given reasons why we believe this to be basically irrelevant here, changing only the em bedding of the tube into real space as well as the tim e scale. M ore serious is the fact that both in our sim ulations and our analytical work we use a very narrow tube. A llow ing for m ore degrees of freedom of the chain per unit spacing of the obstacle lattioe, we certainly will increase $m$ icrostructure corrections related to excursions of the bead considered from the center of the tube, and at the same tim e the discreteness corrections, playing such an im portant role in the initial tim e range within our m odel, will decrease. T hese e ects to som e extent $m$ ay com pensate each other. Indeed, in som e prelim inary sim ulation using a spring-andbead chain in continuous space and obstacles of nite diam eter in a regular lattioe of w ider spacing, we found results closely resembling the initial tim e range of our m odel presented here. (W ith this other $m$ odelwe, how ever, were unable to reach chain lengths and a time range where reptation predictions like the $t^{1=4}$-law properly are found. Rather we stayed $w$ ith $e$ ective $t^{1=3}$ behavior fam iliar from previous work. W ith regard to the range of chain lengths and tim es this is com pletely consistent with the present ndings.) W e thus expect that certainly on the qualitative and presum ably also on a sem iquantitative levelour results stay valid for wider tubes even in the initial tim e range. Of course a naive rescaling of our results in such a problem involving several tim $e$-and length-scales $m$ ay be questionable.

We nally should comment on consequences of this work for previous work on polym er $m$ otion through $m$ ore realistic environm ents. Let us rst consider polym er $m$ otion through a xed disordered background of other chains, roughly m odelling a gel. There the general
folklore tells us that the reptation scenario is valid. To exam ine this we appeal to ref. tī $\overline{2}]$, where the m otion of a long chain $(\mathbb{N}=200)$ was sim ulated. Fig. 6 of that work indeed show sm ore than one decade of $\mathrm{t}^{1=4}$-behavior for $g_{2}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$, $i e$, the $m$ otion of the central segm ent relative to the center-ofm ass. H ow ever, the characteristic increase of the e ective power at the end of the $t^{1=4}$ range, as shown in $F$ ig. 13, is $m$ issing, this law fairly abruptly ending in saturation. A lso $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ deviate rapidly, $g_{1}$ reaching only an e ective $t^{1=3}$-law . B oth these observations are not com patible w ith our reptation results, and this conchusion is strengthened by a glance to the $m$ otion of the center-ofm ass, as shown in $F$ ig. 7, ref. tī̀]. To our feeling this suggests that the published work show s disorder e ects $[100$ than reptation.

W ith regard to polym erm otion in $m$ elts the situation is even less clear. A gain considering as exam ple som e extensive published work [1] [1], we note that $m$ any results shown there resemble our results found for short chains. In particular, the ratio $g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=g_{1}\left(\frac{N}{2} ; N ; t\right)$ reaches values of order 2.7, i.e, larger than for a R ouse chain. For $g_{2}$ no tendency tow ards $t^{1=2}$-behavior is seen, but this $m$ ight be due to the e ective shortness of the chains, reaching only of the order of 6 entanglem ent lengths. Thus it is not unlikely that these results re ect 'reptational' behavior of a very short e ective chain.

C learly w ith regard to such m ore com plicated system sm uch w ork still has to be done, and we hope to have contributed to this task by clearly exhibiting the quantitative consequences of the reptation $m$ odel.
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## Figure captions

Fig. 1
A $n$ allow ed hairpin $-m$ ove and a forbidden kink-jum $p$, illustrated for a chain em bedded in a square lattice w ith obstacles in the centers ofthe cells. O ur sim ulation uses the 3-dim ensional version of this m odel.

Fig. 2
 The straight lines correspond to power law $s g_{1} \quad t^{=3} ; t^{1=4} ; t^{1=2}$ or $g_{1} \quad t$.

Fig. 3
$\log _{10} R_{c m}^{2} ; R_{c m}^{2}=R_{a n}\left(t^{(C M)}\right) \quad R_{a m}(0)^{2}$ as function of $\log _{10} t^{(M C)}$. Chain lengths $N^{(M C)}=20 ; 40 ; 80 ; 160 ; 320 ; 640$. The lines indicate the asym ptotic behavior $D t^{M C)}$ (32). $T$ he thus determ ined $D\left(\mathbb{N}^{(M)}\right)$ is further evaluated in $F$ ig. 4.

Fig. 4
$\left(\mathbb{N}^{M C)}\right)^{2} D$ as function of $\left(\mathbb{N}^{M C)}\right)^{1=2}$. D ots: present work, ellipsoids: ref. ${ }_{\underline{\rho}}{ }^{-1}$. The straight line represents Eq. (3.3).

Fig. 5
$\left(\mathbb{N}^{M C)}\right)^{3} T_{3}{ }^{M C)}$ as function of $N^{M C)}$. The curve gives the theoretical prediction. The broken line gives the theoretical asym ptote. P oints from our sim ulations.

Fig. 6
$\log _{10} \quad g_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{2} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{g}_{\text {ass }}(\mathrm{t})$ as function of $\log _{10}(\hat{t})$. Points are our data. From left: $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{MC})}=$ $20 ; 40 ; 80 ; 160 ; 320 ; 640$. T he full lines give the result (4.1), valid for m otion inside the tube. $T$ he broken lines approxim ate tube renew al, as explained in sect. 52. All lines, except for $\mathrm{N} \quad 320$, end at $\mathrm{T}_{3}(\mathbb{N})$. The horizontalbroken line gives the interm ediate asym ptotics, here norm alized to 1 by dividing through $g_{\text {ass }}=2 \quad{ }^{3=4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & s_{1}\end{array}\right)^{1=2} \hat{\ell}^{=4}$.

Fig. 7
As gure 6, but for the fourth $m$ om ent $g_{1} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t=g_{\text {ass }}(t)$. Curves are for $m$ otion inside the tube.

Fig. 8
$\log _{10}\left(g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=\hat{C}^{1=4}\right)$ as function of $\log _{10}(t)$. D ata for $N^{(M C)}=20 \quad 640$ (from left). The curves are calculated w thin the approxim ation (5.1) and end at $\mathrm{T}_{3}(\mathbb{N})$.

Fig. 9
$g_{1}(0 ; N ; t)=g_{1} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t$ as fiunction of $\log _{10}(t)$. D ata and theory like in $F i g .8$. The broken line indicates the theoretical plateau value $4{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$.

Fig. 10
$\log _{10}\left(g_{1}(j ; N ; t)=g_{\text {ass }}(t)\right)$ as function of $\log _{10}(t)$ for $j=20 ; 40 ; 80$, and $N^{M C)}=640$. The
broken line gives the contribution $g_{i}(j ; N ; t)$, the full line is our result (5.3).
Fig. 11
$\log _{10}\left(g_{1}(j ; N ; t)=g_{\text {ass }}(t)\right)$ as function of $\log _{10}(\hat{t})$ for $j=80$ and $N^{M C)}=160 ; 640$. Curves give the full theory.

Fig. 12
$g_{a n}(t) N^{(M C)}=\hat{\mathrm{C}}^{1=2}$ as function of $\log _{10}(t)$ for $N^{(M C)}=20 ; 40 ; 80 ; 160 ; 320 ; 640$ (from left). Values of $\hat{\mathrm{T}}_{2}(\mathbb{N})$, calculated according to Eq. (3.7), are indicated by the arrow s.

Fig. 13
$\log _{10} g_{2} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t=g_{a s s}(t)$ as function of $\log _{10}(t)$. Chain lengths are indicated. The fat curves give $g_{i} \frac{N}{2} ; N ; t=g_{\text {ass }}$. The thin lines represent the asym ptotic law $g_{2}=R_{g}^{2}$. The thin broken line illustrates a power-law $g_{2} \quad t^{=2}$.
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