# Exact integrability of the su (n) Hubbard model

# Z.M aassarani

Departem ent de Physique, Pav. A-Vachon Universite Laval, Ste Foy, Qc, G1K 7P4 Canada<sup>y</sup>

#### A bstract

The bosonic su (n) Hubbard model was recently introduced. The model was shown to be integrable in one dimension by exhibiting the in nite set of conserved quantities. I derive the R-matrix and use it to show that the conserved charges commute among them selves. This new matrix is a non-additive solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. Some properties of this matrix are derived.

PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

Key words: Hubbard model, su(n) spin-chain, integrability

October 6<sup>th</sup> 1997 LAVAL-PHY-25/97

W ork supported by NSERC (Canada) and FCAR (Quebec).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>em ailaddress: zm aassar@phy.ulaval.ca

# 1 Introduction

The two-dim ensional H ubbard m odel was introduced to describe the e ects of correlation for d-electrons in transition m etals [1]. It was then shown to be relevant to the study of high- $T_{\rm c}$  superconductivity of cuprate compounds.

In one dimension the model is integrable [2, 3, 4]. The integrability framework of the model is the quantum inverse scattering method [5]. However, despite sharing many properties with discrete quantum integrable models, the model has a peculiar integrable structure which do nes a class of its own.

In sæking to generalize the Hubbard model in any dimension, it was therefore natural to look for a one-dimensional generalization which is integrable. An n-state generalized model which contains the usual su (2) model was recently introduced in [6]. This su (n) Hubbard model was shown to possess an in nite set of conserved charges and to have an extended su (n) symmetry. The model is built by coupling two copies of the recently discovered su (n) XX 'free-ferm ions' model [7]. For n=2 a ferm ionic formulation exists, but for n>2 nding an analogous framework is a tantalizing problem.

In this work I derive the R-m atrix of the model; this provides a direct proof of the commutation of the conserved charges among them selves. Section two gives the denition of the bosonic Hamiltonian and the transfer matrix. The R-matrix intertwining the monodromy matrices is derived in section three. In section four some properties of this new matrix are given. I conclude with some matrix and outline some outstanding issues.

# 2 The model

Let E be the n n m atrix with a one at row and column and zeros otherwise. The su (n) Hubbard Hamiltonian on a ring then reads [6]:

$$H_{2} = X_{i+1} + X_{i+1} + X_{i+1} + U_{i+1} + U_{i} + U_{i} + U_{i}$$

$$= X_{i+1} + X_{i+1} +$$

where =  $^{P}$   $_{< n}$  E (n 1)E  $^{nn}$ , and primed and unprimed quantities correspond to two commuting copies of the E matrices. The Hamiltonians h and h  $^{\circ}$  are su (n) XX Hamiltonians [7]. The complex free parameter x is a deformation inherited from the XX model. The Hamiltonian H  $_{2}$  is defined in one dimension but can be evidently defined on any lattice; integrability is lost however.

For n = 2 and x = 1, and using Paulim atrices, the H am iltonian is just the integrable bosonic version of the usual H ubbard H am iltonian [3]:

$$H_{2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} x & x & y & y \\ i & i+1 & i & i+1 \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cccc} ! & {}^{0}) + U & x & z & {}^{0}z \\ i & i & i \end{array} \right)$$
 (2)

The H am iltonians can be written sim ply in term s of su (n) herm it ian traceless m atrices. For  $j_{k}j = 1$  the H am iltonians are herm it ian.

The transfer matrix is the generator of the in nite set of conserved quantities. Its construction was given in [6]. We recall it here. Consider set the R-matrix of the su (n)

XX model [7]:

$$R() = a() E^{nn} E^{nn} + E^$$

where  $a() = \cos()$ ,  $b = \sin()$  and c() = 1. The functions a, b and c satisfy the 'free-ferm ion' condition:  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ . For this set of parameters, a Jordan-W igner transform ation turns the U = 0 H am iltonian density for su(2) into a ferm ionic expression for free ferm ions hopping on the lattice.

Consider also the matrix

$$I_0(h) = \cosh(\frac{h}{2}) Id + \sinh(\frac{h}{2}) C_0 C_0^0 = \exp(\frac{h}{2} C_0 C_0^0)$$
 (4)

where  $C = \frac{P}{n} \le E^{nn}$ . We stress that C turns out to be the fundam entalm atrix, not the su(n) generator. We have  $+\frac{n-2}{2}Id = \frac{n}{2}C$ , for n 2. The parameter h is related to the spectral parameter by

$$\sinh (2h) = \frac{n^2 U}{4} \sin (2)$$
 (5)

One chooses for h() the principal branch which vanishes for vanishing or U. Then for U=0 the monodrom y matrix becomes a tensor product of two uncoupled XX models. The Lax operator at site i is given by:

$$L_{0i}() = I_{0}(h) R_{0i}() R_{0i}^{0}() I_{0}(h)$$
 (6)

and the m onodrom y m atrix is a product of Lax operators, T ( ) = L  $_{0M}$  ( )::L  $_{01}$  ( ), where M is the number of sites on the chain. The transfer matrix is the trace of the m onodrom y matrix over the auxiliary space 0: ( ) = Tr $_0$  [(L  $_{0M}$  ::L  $_{01}$ ) ( )]. One possible set of conserved quantities is given by

$$H_{p+1} = \frac{d^p \ln ()}{d^p} = 0$$
 (7)

The proof that  $H_2$  com mutes with () was given in [6]. The derivative of the matrix I gives the coupling term appearing in (1). Note that the de nition involving a logarithm has two bene ts. Besides giving the most local operators, it further disentangles the two copies.

#### 3 The R-m atrix

We derive the R-matrix intertwining two monodromy matrices at dierent spectral parameters. To this end we generalize the algebraic method of the Decorated Star Triangle Equation introduced by Shastry [10].

The XX R-m atrix satis es the regularity property R (0) = Id, the unitarity condition R () R () R () = Id  $\cos^2$  and the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}$$
 ( ) $R_{13}$  () $R_{23}$  () =  $R_{13}$  () $R_{23}$  () $R_{12}$  ( )

where R = PR and P is the permutation operator on the tensor product of two n-dimensional spaces. It is easy to verify that it also satisfies a decorated Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}( + )C_{1}R_{13}( )R_{23}( ) = R_{13}( )R_{23}( )C_{2}R_{12}( + )$$
 (9)

We now look for the R-matrix intertwining two L-matrices:

$$R(_{1};_{2})L(_{1})L(_{2})=L(_{2})L(_{1})R(_{1};_{2})$$
 (10)

The su (2) case lead us to consider the following Ansatz [10]:

$$R (_{1};_{2}) = I_{12} (h_{2}) I_{34} (h_{1}) R_{13} (_{1} _{2}) R_{24} (_{1} _{2})$$

$$+ R_{13} (_{1} + _{2}) C_{1} R_{24} (_{1} + _{2}) C_{2} I_{12} (_{1} h_{1}) I_{34} (_{1} h_{2})$$
(11)

The R-m atrix acts on the product of four auxiliary spaces labeled from 1 to 4, and , are to be determ ined. One then requires relation (10) to be satisted and uses (8) and (9) to derive the following equation:

$$R_{13}(_{1} _{2})R_{24}(_{1} _{2}) + C_{3}R_{13}(_{1} + _{2})C_{4}R_{24}(_{1} + _{2}) I_{12}(2h_{1})I_{34}(2h_{2}) =$$

$$I_{12}$$
 (2h<sub>2</sub>)  $I_{34}$  (2h<sub>1</sub>)  $R_{13}$  (  $_1$   $_2$ )  $R_{24}$  (  $_1$   $_2$ ) +  $R_{13}$  (  $_1$  +  $_2$ )  $C_1R_{24}$  (  $_1$  +  $_2$ )  $C_2$ 

Expanding the exponentials and taking into account all the term syield only two equations:

$$- = \frac{b}{B} \tanh (h_1 + h_2) ; - = \frac{a}{A} \tanh (h_1 + h_2)$$
 (12)

where  $a = \cos(a_1 + a_2)$ ,  $b = \sin(a_1 + a_2)$ ,  $b = \sin(a_1 + a_2)$ . The compatibility equation

$$\frac{\tan (_{1} _{2})}{\tan (_{1} + _{2})} = \frac{\tanh (h_{1} _{1} _{2})}{\tanh (h_{1} + h_{2})}$$
(13)

is satisfied provided equation (5) is satisfied for the pairs ( $_1$ ; $h_1$ ) and ( $_2$ ; $h_2$ ). One can then pull out = ( $_1$ ; $_2$ ) which appears as an arbitrary normalization of the R-matrix, to obtain:

$$R(_{1};_{2}) = (_{1};_{2})I_{12}(h_{2})I_{34}(h_{1}) R_{13}(_{1} _{2})R_{24}(_{1} _{2}) + \frac{\sin(_{1} _{2})}{\sin(_{1} _{2})}$$

$$\tanh (h_1 + h_2)R_{13} (_1 + _2)C_1R_{24} (_1 + _2)C_2 I_{12} (_h_1)I_{34} (_h_2)$$
 (14)

The monodrom y matrix being a tensor product of Moopies of Lmatrices, one has

$$R(_{1};_{2})T(_{1}) T(_{2}) = T(_{2}) T(_{1})R(_{1};_{2})$$

$$(15)$$

Taking the trace over the auxiliary spaces and using the cyclicity property of the trace one obtains  $[\ (\ _1);\ (\ _2)]=0$ . We have thus proven that all the conserved charges H  $_p$  m utually com m ute.

Note that this proof is rigorous and valid for all values of n, and for arbitrary values of the complex parameter x. It only involves the algebraic properties of the operators appearing in the various matrices, not the specient representation. The equations (8) and (9) are the only equations of this type needed for the proof.

# 4 Properties of the R matrix

I now give some properties of the R-matrix. At U=0 the two XX models decouple and h(; U)=0. Expression (14) indeed decouples as a tensor product of two su(n) XX R-matrices.

The matrix also satis es the regularity property

$$R(_{1};_{1}) = (_{1};_{1}) Id$$
 (16)

and the unitarity property:

$$R(_{1};_{2})R(_{2};_{1}) = {}^{2}(_{1};_{2})\cos^{2}(_{1} _{2})$$

$$\cos^{2}(_{1} _{2}) \cos^{2}(_{1}+_{2})\tanh^{2}(h_{1} _{2}) Id (17)$$

The derivation of the last property is straightforward and involves algebraic relation between the building blocks of the su (n) XX R-m atrix.

One can invoke the associativity of the algebra of L m atrices, which ultimately reduces to the associativity of usual matrix multiplication, to conclude that the intertwiner satis es a Yang-Baxter relation of its own. The two ways of permuting a product of three L-matrices imply

$$L(_{1}) L(_{2}) L(_{3}) \stackrel{1}{=} L(_{1}) L(_{2}) L(_{3})$$

$$= R_{12}(_{2};_{3})R_{23}(_{1};_{3})R_{12}(_{1};_{2}) \stackrel{1}{=} R_{23}(_{1};_{2})R_{12}(_{1};_{3})R_{23}(_{2};_{3}) (18)$$

I am unaware of the existence of an equivalent of the Schur lem m a for the algebra of L-m atrices. This would allow to conclude that / Id. Once proportionality is established, the regularity property ensures that the proportionality constant is one. We can argue that = Id holds because it has been explicitly veri ed for n=2 [8], and because the building blocks of the matrix satisfy algebraic relations which are independent of n. Thus the R-matrix satis es the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}(2; 3)R_{23}(1; 3)R_{12}(1; 2) = R_{23}(1; 2)R_{12}(1; 3)R_{23}(2; 3)$$
 (19)

where and hare related through (5).

U sing the explicit expression (14), it should be possible and it is instructive to try to check that the above equation is satis ed for any value of n. The factors I drop out and half of the term s on both sides of the YBE compensate each other because relations (8) and (9) hold. The eight remaining terms involve highly non-trivial relations. Each term is a product of six R-matrices, three for every copy, in the ordering dictated by the YBE. The C factors can be dropped by changing the arguments of the R-matrices appropriately. However the arguments do not allow the use of (8, 9) because the middle argument is not the sum of the extrement ones. One is forced to expand all the products on a basis and to regroup terms and check that the resulting trigonometric constraints are satistical. I have not verified whether all these relations hold. A lithough specific particularities pertaining to the XX matrix are needed, I stress again that the proof is algebraic. In this respect the proof of [8] for n = 2, although following a different approach, should generalize in a straightforward way to any value of n.

## 5 Conclusion

We have shown that all the conserved charges of the su(n) Hubbard model mutually commute by exhibiting the intertwining matrix. This matrix is the su(n) generalization of the su(2) one obtained in [3]. Some properties where then derived. A notable feature of the matrix is its non-additivity property; the dependence cannot be reduced to a dierence  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

One can now start diagonalizing the Ham iltonians by the method of the algebraic Bethe Ansatz. Prelim inary results suggest an interesting structure for the Bethe eigenstates [9].

One can also consider the extension of the su(n) model to other algebras. The algebraic underlying structure of the su(n) XX model should admit generalizations [7, 9].

A cknow ledgem ent: I thank P.M athieu for bringing to my attention reference [10] and for continued support.

Note: While this work was being written, Martins exhibited a gauge-transformed version of the foregoing R ( $_1$ ;  $_2$ ) matrix. The derivation is also based on a generalization of Shastry's method. However, the proof in [11] was carried out for n=3, and extensive checks' were made for n=3; 4. The expression of R for all values of n is left as a (correct) conjecture. This reference also used unnecessarily complicated versions of the Yang-Baxter equations.

# R eferences

- [1] M C.Gutzwiller, Phys.Rev.Lett.10,159 (1963); J.Hubbard, Proc.Roy.Soc.A 276, 238 (1963).
- [2] E.H. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968).
- [3] B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1529 (1986); Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2453 (1986).
- [4] M. Wadati, E. Olmedilla and Y. Akutsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 56, 1340 (1987); E. Olmedilla, M. Wadati and Y. Akutsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 56, 2298 (1987).
- [5] For reviews on Q ISM and the Hubbard model see Proceedings of the Panchgani W inter School edited by B.S. Shastry, S.S. Jha and V. Singh, Lectures Notes in Physics Vol. 242, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985).
- [6] Z.M aassarani, Phys. Lett. A 239 (1998) 187{190.
- [7] Z.M aassarani and P.M athieu, Nucl. Phys. B 517, Nos. 1{3 (1998) 395{408.
- [8] M. Shiroishi and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64 (1995) 57.
- [9] Work in progress.
- [10] B.S. Shastry, J. Stat. Phys. 50, (1988) 57{79.
- [11] M J.M artins, preprint IFTA -97-36, cond-m at /9710049.