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A lattice $m$ odel is presented for investigating the uctuations in static granular $m$ aterials under gravitationally induced stress. The $m$ odel is sim ilar in spirit to the scalar $q-m$ odel of C oppersm ith et al, but ensures balance of all com ponents of forces and torques at each site. The geom etric random ness in real granu lar $m$ aterials is $m$ odeled by choosing random variables at each site, consistent w ith the assum ption of cohesion less grains. C on gurations of the m odel can be generated rapidly, allow ing the statistical study of relatively large system s. For a 2D system w ith rough walls, the m odel generates con gurations consistent $w$ ith continuum theories for the average stresses (unlike the $q-m$ odel) w thout requiring the assum ption of a constitutive relation. For a 2D system $w$ ith periodic boundary conditions, the $m$ odel generates single-grain force distributions sim ilar to those obtained from the $q-m$ odelw ith a singular distribution of $q^{\prime} s$.

Them icroscopic stress eld in a static granular material has an extraordinarily com plex structure. V iewed from the perspective of standard elasticity theory, the geom etric disorder in the packing of grains gives rise to extrem ely com plicated boundary conditions on the stress equilibrium equations. In general, this disorder is rather di cult to characterize statistically and $m$ ay even exhibit nontrivial correlations induced by the dynam ical history of the m aterial.

At present, the overw helm ing $m$ a jority of attem pts to $m$ odel granular $m$ aterials are form ulated at the level of a continuous stress eld, which is intended to represent a $s m$ oothed version of actual stresses, averaged over regions large com pared to the grain size. In order to close the system of stress equilibrium equations, a constitutive relation m ust be assum ed, such as the M ohr-C oulom $b$ condition that the $m$ aterial is on the verge of yielding at everyw here
 situations, they rest ultim ately on ad hoc assum ptions about the connection betw een the $m$ icroscopic and $m$ acroscopic stresses.

O ne factor that could in principle pose fundam ental di culties for continuum theories for the average stress is that uctuations in the $m$ icroscopic stresses $m$ ay be quite large, perhaps large enough to invalidate typical assum ptions about the scales over which the $m$ aterial can be $m$ odeled as a continuum. In several recent experim ents that directly in age the stress eld, stress chains (lam entary con gurations of strongly stressed grains) have been observed w ith correlation lengths that are apparently lim ited only by the system size (though the system shave not been much larger than 30 grain diam eters in the relevant dim ension). [4] [15] For these reasons it is im portant to obtain som e theoretical understanding of $w$ hat determ ines the size and spatial structure of the uctuations.

C oppersm th et al recently stim ulated interest in a sim pli ed statistical approach to stresses in granularm aterials $w$ ith the introduction of the $\backslash q-m$ odel", a lattice $m$ odel whose con gurations are intended to represent the $w$ ay in which vertical forces are supported in a non-cohesive m aterial. In such a m odelit is possible for very large uctuations to arise, even on scales as sm all as a lattice constant. T he constitutive assum ptions of continuum m odels are replaced here by an ansatz conceming the form of the $m$ icroscopic e ects of geom etric disorder in the $m$ aterial. The central result ofR ef. [G] is that for in nitely w ide layers (orm aterials sub ject to periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal directions), uctuations in the vertical foroes supported by grains at a given depth are of the sam em agnitude as the average force supported at that depth. A $n$ elegant calculation show $s$ that the probability distribution for the vertical force supported by a grain deep in the pile has an exponential tail, rather than $G$ aussian. [6],

For all its m erits, the $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{m}$ odel has three serious aw s . First, it takes no account of the constraints im posed by horizontal force balance and torque balance on each grain, and thus does not contain the proper conservation law s at them icroscopic level. Thism ay constitute a aw that leads to incorrect predictions, though it is also possible that the constraints in question do not a ect the large scale behavior. Second, when studied in the silo geom etry, the q-m odel $y$ ields predictions for the average stresses that dram atically disagree w ith classical theories and experim ents. [7, TW hile quantitative agreem ent $m$ ay not be expected given the crude representation of the boundary conditions that $m$ ust be used in constructing $q-m$ odel con gurations, the qualitative discrepancy is striking, as explained below. Finally, there is no clear procedure for connecting the lattice constant in the $q-m$ odel to a physical length scale.

In this paper, a new m odel is presented that explicitly inconporates the the relevant force and torque balance constraints into the lattice approach of the $q-m$ odel and provides a natural connection betw een the lattioe constant and the grain size. Stress distributions are then com puted for the silo geom etry $w$ th force-bearing walls and w ith periodic boundary conditions. It is show $n$ that the new $m$ odel gives $m$ uch better agreem ent $w$ ith previous theories
and experim ents in the silo geom etry, and thus appears to be a m ore reliable basis for investigating the subtleties of the stress uctuations. Num erical results are then presented for the single grain weight distribution from the new $m$ odel at large depths. The single-grain weight distributions are sim ilar in form to those obtained in the $q-m$ odel and therefore $m$ ay be thought of as providing a $m$ er foundation for the $q-m$ odel predictions.

It is useful to $m$ ake a conceptual distinction betw een the de nition of the basic lattioe $w$ ith appropriate variables de ned on it and the assum ptions about those variables that are relevant for the study of non-cohesive granular m aterials. The geom etric structure of the m odel is a square lattice w ith variables representing net norm al forces, couples, and tangential forces on each edge. This structure will be referred to as the \nct-lattioe". Every possible stress eld in any type of static $m$ edium can be $m$ apped to a con guration on the nct-lattice. ( $T$ he $m$ apping is $m$ any-to-one.) In order to $m$ odela non-cohesive granularm aterial, several restrictions $m$ ust be $m$ ade on the values of the norm al forces, couples, and tangential forces at each edge and an ansatz $m$ ust be $m$ ade for form of the disorder in the system. A particular $m$ odel that inconporates these restrictions $w i l l$ be called the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ odel", for reasons that w ill becom e apparent below .

The paper is organized as follow s : In Section '1 1 the m odel is de ned for 2 D system s and the connections of the $m$ odelparam eters to physicalparam eters and constraints are discussed. In Section iII results are presented for the case of narrow silos w ith rough walls in 2D and contrasted with results obtained from the $q$-m odel. In Section 'IIT results are presented for the case of w ide 2D system $s$ w ith periodic boundary conditions. Section 'IVI' includes a discuission of som e general issues and the generalization of the $m$ odel to three dim ensions.

## I. THE -MODEL ON TW O D IM ENSION S

## A. The nct-lattice

Consider an arbitrary (possibly highly inhom ogeneous) $m$ aterial that is know $n$ to be in static stress equilibrium . For present purposes, the $m$ aterial is taken to be tw o-dim ensionaland the follow ing lattice representation of the stress
 $T$ he length of each cell edge is $2 r$. A horizontal row of cells sharing vertices, such as those $m$ arked $w$ ith a dot in $F$ igure ${ }_{1} 11$ w ill be called a \layer" of cells. C ell $i j$ is de ned as the $i^{\text {th }}$ cell from the left in the $j^{\text {th }}$ layer from the top, $w$ th the left edge being de ned as shown in Figure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ for even or odd $j$. N ote that $j$ increases downward, which is also de ned as the positive y direction.

A ssociated w th each edge in the lattice are a norm al force, a tangential force, and a couple. Taken together, these three quantities fully determ ine the force and torque exerted on one cellabout its center by the other cell sharing that edge. The couple is necessary to represent the torque that can be applied to a cell even in the absence of tangential forces, due to the $m$ anner in which the norm al foroe is distributed along the edge. The couple is here de ned so that it does not include the contribution of the tangential force to the torque. The contribution from tangential forces is just equal to $r$ tim es the net am plitude of the tangential foroe, regardless of how that force is distributed along the edge.

D enote the am plitudes of the norm alforoes on the four edges of cellij by $N_{1}^{(i ; j)}, N_{2}^{(i ; j)}, n_{1}^{(i ; j)}, n_{2}^{(i ; j)}$; the couples by
 $W$ e will drop the superscript whenever this leads to no am biguity. B oth $N$ and $n$ refer to the am plitude of the net com pressive force betw een the cells sharing an edge \{ negative values w ould indicate a net tensile force betw een them. $T$ he sign convention for tangential forces is chosen such that positive $T$ or $t$ alw ays indicates a positive dow nw ard com ponent of the force exerted by the cellw ith a higher center on the low er one. T he sign convention for the couples is that the directions indicated in Figure ild correspond to positive values ofeach of the $C_{i}$ and $C_{i}$ 's. This choice allow s the right-left sym $m$ etry of the $m$ odel to be im $m$ ediately evident in the equations below. $N$ ote that the uppercase variables associated w ith a given cell are identically equal to the low ercase variables for the cell sharing the relevant edge. For exam ple, $\mathrm{N}_{1}^{(\mathrm{i} ; j)}=\mathrm{n}_{1}^{(i ; j 1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{or}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1}^{(\mathrm{i} 1 ; j 1)}\right.$ ) for $j$ even (or odd).

In term $s$ of the canonically de ned $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array} \mathrm{m}\right.$ icroscopic stress tensor ( $r$ ), these forces and couples can be written as integrals over their respective edges. For exam ple, letting $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ be unit vectors in the $y \quad x$ and $y+x$ directions as shown in $F$ igure and letting $s$ run from $r$ to $r$ along the edge:
$Z_{r}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$
$\mathrm{T}_{1}={\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{r}}}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{dse}} \mathrm{e}_{1}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{e}_{1} ;$
$\mathrm{ds} \mathrm{e}_{2}$
(s) $\mathrm{e}_{1} ;$


FIG.1. De nition of the m odel. (a) The lattice of square cells. Shaded cells represent walls for the silo geom etry and identi ed cells for periodic boundary conditions. D ashed edges are assum ed to transm it no force in the silo geom etry. The cells $m$ arked w ith a large dot constitute a single layer. The thick edges are used to com pute the force on a layer to com pare w ith the Janssen solution. (b) T he variables used to describe the stress in a single cell and the unit vectors de ning directions $m$ entioned in the text.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{1}{r}_{r}^{Z}{ }_{r}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dsse} e_{1} \quad(s) e_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integrals are over surfaces (lines in 2D) that m ay cut through grains and/or contacts betw een grains.
Static equilibrium at the $m$ icroscopic level requires [8/]

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{k} \text { ik } \quad g_{i}=0 ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is the local density and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. R equiring that the total force on a cell vanish, one nds:

I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ik}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d} S_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{m} g_{\mathrm{i}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m={ }^{R} d V$ is the $m$ ass of the $m$ aterial in the cell and $d S_{k}$ is the $k$ th com ponent of the outw ard norm al to surface elem ent $S$. Taking $g$ to be in the positive y direction (dow nw ard) and perform ing the integral over the entire cell, the $x$ and $y$ com ponents of Eq. $\overline{5} 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{1}+t_{1}+n_{2}+t_{2}=N_{1}+T_{1}+N_{2}+T_{2}+{ }^{p} \overline{2} m g  \tag{6}\\
& n_{1} \quad t_{1} \quad n_{2}+t_{2}=N_{1} \quad T_{1} \quad N_{2}+T_{2}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the vanishing of the total torque about the center of the cell requires
I
I
Z

$$
d S_{y} x(x y \quad y y)+d S_{x} y\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y x & x x \tag{8}
\end{array}\right) \quad g x d V=0 ;
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} \quad c_{1} \quad t_{2}+c_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{c}_{2}+2 \mathrm{u} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 u=\left({ }^{p} \overline{2}_{2}=r\right)^{R}$ dV gx. (A torque about the center of the cellm ay be exerted by gravity because the center of $m$ ass of the $m$ aterial in the cell need not coincide $w$ ith the geom etric center.)

T he lattice and variables just described, togetherw ith the fiundam entalphysicalforce and torque balance constraints, constitute the nct-lattice. A \con guration" of the lattice denotes a set of values of $n_{1}^{(i ; j)}, n_{2}^{(i ; j)} c_{1}^{(i ; j)}, c_{2}^{(i ; j)}, t_{1}^{(i ; j)}$,
 representation of a possible stress eld, and any possible stress eld induces a con guration. Thus the nct-lattioe structure $m$ ay be a usefultool for investigating stresses in a w ide range of inhom ogeneous $m$ aterials.

$$
\text { B. De nition of the }-\mathrm{m} \text { odel }
$$

W e now tum to the m odeling of non-cohesive granularm aterials on the nct-lattice. In the spirit of the $q-m$ odel it is assum ed that a typical stress distribution can be obtained by propagating the forces from top to bottom on the lattice. $T$ his assum ption is $m$ ade for the sole punpose of allow ing the rapid construction of plausible force con gurations. In the $m$ odel, con gurations are generated by descending through the lattice, solving E qs. (10 $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)-(113)$ for each cell. The process begins by specifying values of $m$ and $u$ for each cell and $N_{1}, N_{2}, T_{1}, T_{2}, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ for the cells in the top layer.
$G$ iven the values of the uppercase variables at a particular cell, the six low ercase variables $m$ ust be determ ined. Since these variables are constrained by Eqs. $\left(\bar{\sigma}_{1} \overline{1}\right),\left(\overline{T_{1}}, 1\right)$, and $(\underline{\underline{1}})$, the space ofpossible solutions is then three dim ensional and can be param eterized by three real num bers 0,1 , and 2 . The $m$ anner in which these three num bers are determ ined at each cell $m$ ust re ect the physics of the $m$ aterialbeing $m$ odeled.

It is convenient to $m$ ake the de nitions $C_{1} \quad 1 n_{1}, C_{2} \quad 2 n_{2}$, and $0 \quad T_{2}+C_{2} \quad t_{1}+c_{1}+u$. The force and torque balance equations for a single cell can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{2} & =\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{mg} ;  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{n}_{1} \quad \mathrm{t}_{1} \quad \mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{2} & =\mathrm{N}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{2} ;  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{t}_{1} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1}+\quad= & =\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{u} ;  \tag{12}\\
\mathrm{t}_{2} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{n}_{2}+\quad= & =\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad \mathrm{u} ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the torque equation has been split into two so that $i t m$ ay be expressed in a sym $m$ etric form. If $m$ and $u$ are given for every œell, then the $m$ icroscopic true stress eld induces a unique con guration of triples ( 0 ; 1 ; 2 ) on the lattioe.

In a non-cohesive granular m aterial consisting of convex grains, the grains can \push" on each other, but never \pull"; i.e., there can be no tensile forces in the $m$ aterial on scales larger than the grain size. This feature is inconporated into the $m$ odelby im posing tw o restrictions:

1. All nom al force am plitudes $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be positive;
2. All 1 's and $\quad 2$ 'sm ust lie in the interval ( $1 ; 1$ ).

B oth restrictions are consequences of the assum ption that there are no tensile forces anyw here along the celledge. T he $m$ axim al couple that can be produced by a given norm al force am plitude corresponds to the case in which the entire norm al force is applied at one comer of the cell, in which case 1 (or 2 ) is 1. The assum ption is not rigorously valid since there can be tensile forces in the interior of a grain that is cut by the edge of a cell. T he centralhypothesis of the $m$ odel, how ever, is that the geom etric random ness in the grain positions can be replaced by the random ness in the choige of the solution for each cell. In this context, restrictions 1 and 2 are valid as long as the cell size is larger than or equal to the grain size.

It is duly noted that the $m$ ethod of propagating forces dow $n$ from the top of the system does not faithfully represent the physics in the follow ing sense. T he equations of stress equilibrium are elliptic equations whose solutions depend on the boundary conditions on all of the system 'sboundaries. For the propagation $m$ ethod em ployed in the m odel, the only cells that can be a ected by a change in the distribution of forces on a given cell are the ones that lie in a dow nw ard opening cone with 45 edges, and in this respect the $m$ odel is $m$ ore appropriate to a hyperbolic system. $T$ he goal here, how ever, is to construct the sim plest $m$ odel consistent $w$ ith the relevant stress balance constraints and capable of displaying nontrivial uctuations. In addition, it should be noted that the classical approach to the com putation of stresses via M ohr-C oulom b constitutive relations also transform s the problem into a hyperbolic one, and the com putationalm ethod of propagating stresses dow nw ard from the top is routinely exploited in this context as well. [l] T The developm ent of rigorous elliptic $m$ ethods for generating consistent con gurations is an interesting and potentially im portant problem as well, but is beyond the scope of this w ork.
$T$ he adoption of a hypenbolic $m$ ethod necessarily leads to a third restriction on the force am plitudes:

## 3. All tangential force am plitudes $m$ ust be positive.

In the absence of this restriction it is im possible to ensure that restriction 1 above can be $m$ et for every cell. $T$ hat is, if negative tangential am plitudes are perm itted to occur, then it can happen that for som e cell deeper in the system all solutions to Eqs. $(\bar{\sigma}),(\underline{-1})$, and $(\underline{\bar{G}})$ have a negative value of either $n_{1}$ or $n_{2}$. On the other hand, it can be show $n$, using the $m$ ethod described in the follow ing subsection, that restriction 3 guarantees a consistent solution for every œll.
$T$ he nal assum ption de ning the $m$ odel is that geom etric random ness in the structure of a granular $m$ aterial can be e ectively modeled by assigning equal probability to all possible solutions to the force and torque balance equations at each cell. $T$ his requires de ning a particular m easure on the space of solutions. In the absence of any good reason to choose otherw ise, it will be assum ed that all values of the triple ( $0 ; 1 ; 2$ ) that are consistent $w$ ith restrictions 1-3 above are equally probable. Throughout the rest of this paper it $w$ ill also be assum ed, for sim plicity, that all cells have the sam em and that $u=0$ for every œll.
$T$ his concludes the de nition of the $2 \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{m}$ odel for non-cohesive granular $m$ aterials consisting of convex grains. The next subsection presents a geom etric picture of the solution space of the force and torque balance equations for a single cell. The picture provides useful insight into the need for restriction 3 and also reveals that the cell size in the $m$ odel $m$ ust be intenpreted as the grain size.
 ignored. T he horizontalcom ponents of the forces can then be neglected and it becom es m ore convenient to work w ith variable $w_{i} \quad\left(n_{i}+t_{i}\right)=s q r t 2$ and $W_{i} \quad\left(\mathbb{N}_{i}+T_{i}\right)=$ sqrt2. Eq. $\left(\underline{l}_{1}\right)$ can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}+\mathrm{mg}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{q}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}+\mathrm{mg}\right): \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $q-m$ odel, $q$ at each site is an independent random number chosen from som e distribution with support only on the interval $[0 ; 1]$. T he lack of correlation betw een $q$ 's at di erent sites is an im portant feature in the asym ptotic analysis of $C$ oppersm th et al. [G]] N ote that the $m$ odel di ers from the $q-m$ odel in that the random variables at each cell cannot be chosen in advance, but only after the forces have been propagated down to the cell. If all of the $i$ are xed in advance, negative tangential and norm al forces are quickly generated and the am plitudes diverge rapidly w ith increasing depth. Thus the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ axim ally random " m odel does contain correlations that are direct consequences of the additional force and torque balance constraints. In this sense it is related to attem pts to derive force distributions by $m$ axim izing a statistical entropy associated $w$ ith the possible ways of constructing $m$ icroscopic con gurations consistent with an im posed average stress eld 110
m odel is not given in advance.

## C. Solving the force and torque balance equations in a single cell

For the purposes of this subsection we will take $g=0$. Thee ects of gravity can be included in a straightforw ard m anner once the graphicalm ethod is understood.

A ll of the inform ation contained in the values of $N, C$, and $T$ on one edge can be encoded in a single vector placed at som e position along the edge. The vector itself represents the sum of the norm al and tangential forces and the position is chosen such that the torque that w ould be produced about the center of the celliby the norm al com ponent of the force is equal to $C$. Thus the net e ect of any con guration of stresses in the cell can be expressed graphically by draw ing the four vectors, one on each edge.

N ow given the vectors on the upper edges of a cell we would like to determ ine all the possible ways of assigning vectors to the lower edges. O ne solution is $\mathrm{m} m$ ediately obvious and will be called the \direct solution". Sim ply construct rays originating from the vectors on each edge, then take the low er vectors to be equal and opposite to the upper vectors and positioned where their respective rays intersect a low er edge. (See Figure $\overline{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{l}$.) E ach ray w ill be called a \ray of force", though it is understood that the stresses represented are not really concentrated on the ray. The only com plication that can arise is that both rays of force intersect the sam e low er edge. In this case, the vector assigned to this edge is the sum of the tw o, positioned such that the couple associated with it is the sum of the couples associated w the two. (See Figure 'li.) N ote that the direct solution is guaranteed to exist if and only if each ray of force is guaranteed to intersect a low er edge, rather than exiting the cell through the other upper edge. $T$ his $w$ illbe true whenever the norm al and tangential am plitudes on the upper edge are both positive and is directly related to restriction 3 above.

To construct solutions di erent from the direct solution, note that force and torque balance can be preserved by having a ray of force split into tw o rays at som e arbitrary point in the cell, as show $n$ in $F$ igure',3'1. T he force associated


F IG .2. The direct solution for a single cell. (a) A straightforw ard case in which forces are propagated directly through the cell. (b) A case in which the propagated force rays intersect the sam e edge of the cell. The two vector forces $m$ ust then be sum $m$ ed as show $n$ to obtain the solution.


F IG.3. splitting of force rays and tensile forces $w$ ithin $a$ cell. (a) A vertex involving only positive force am plitudes. (b) A vertex involving a negative force am plitude. (c) A solution in a cell involving a negative force am plitude. T he sm aller dashed cells can be used to argue that real tensile forces $m$ ust be associated $w$ ith th is con guration.
w ith each ray is directed along the ray and the force am plitudes are then $x e d$ by requiring that the three forces sum to zero. T orque balance is guaranteed because none of the three forces generates any torque about the splitting point. Sim ilarly, tw o rays of force that intersect can be $m$ erged into a single ray of force em anating from the point of intersection.

W henever all three rays of force intersecting at a single point lie in the same half-plane, the force am plitude associated w ith one of them $m$ ust be negative. This situation is depicted in $F$ igure $\overline{3} \mathrm{~b}$. The thin line in the gure indicates a negative am plitude. A ny solution of Eqs. ( $\overline{(\underline{q})}-(\underline{9})$ can be depicted as a netw ork of force rays $w$ thin the


It is possible for negative am plitudes to be an artifact of the sum $m$ ing of tw o forces that occur at di erent positions on the sam e edge, as would arise for the con guration shown in $F$ igure which the negative am plitude can only be interpreted as corresponding to a realtensile force. A $n$ exam ple is show $n$ in
 force transm itted across edge $F$ balances both the forces and net torque produces by the forces above. T here is no way to produce the con guration shown in a $m$ aterial that does not support tensile forces. To see this, consider the description of the con guration using cells of sm aller scale (the dashed cells in $F$ igure $\overline{\hat{\beta}} \mathrm{l}$. It can be show $n$ that it is im possible to construct a netw ork of force rays on the sm aller cells that w ould be represented by the netw ork show n on the large cell, w ithout having tensile segm ents that cross the boundaries of som e of the sm all cells. (T he situation corresponds to that of a horizontalbeam clam ped at one end and supporting a load on the other, in which case the top portion of the beam is under tension.)

Thus if all possible solutions of the force and torque balance equations occur $w$ ith equal probability, the $m$ aterial w ithin a cellm ust be assum ed to support tensile forces and hence $m$ ust be on the order of or sm aller than the grain size. Together w ith the reasoning leading to restriction 1 , this leads to the conclusion that the cell size in the -m odel m ust be the grain size.

In practioe, the region in -space which yields solutions that satisfy restrictions 1-3 is not easily identi ed. R estric-
 $1-3$, it can also be show $n$ that 0 m ust lie in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{mg}} \mathrm{Z} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~T}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~T}_{2} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2 \mathrm{mg}} \text { : } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the entire region of consistent solutions m ust be contained within a rectangular region in -space. To give equal weight to every solution consistent $w$ ith the restrictions, a point is selected at random according to a uniform probability density throughout the rectangularbox. T he values ofn $n_{1}, n_{2}, t_{1}$, and $t_{2}$ are then com puted from Eqs. (1 $\mathbf{d}_{1}^{\prime}$ )(13). If any of these quantities is negative, the solution is discarded and the process is repeated until a consistent solution is found. Since every point within the rectangular box has an equal probability of being chosen on every attem pt, it follow s that every point that corresponds to a consistent solution has an equalprobability ofbeing selected.

In som e cases, it $m$ ay tum out that the set of consistent solutions occupies a very sm all region of the rectangular box so that the probability of nding a solution by random guessing is prohibitively sm all. The results described in this paper w ere obtained by im posing a cuto $K$ on the num ber of unsuccessfulguesses. If the cuto was reached for a particular cell, the direct solution for that cell w as used.

A nal issue that $m$ ust be addressed is how the gravitational force is to be distributed in the direct solution. Som e care $m$ ust be taken here to avoid generating $m$ ore and $m$ ore cells for $w$ hich the direct solution $m$ ust be used. The choige $m$ ade for the sim ulations described in this paper is that the contributions due to the weight of the cell are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{2} \mathrm{~m} g=(1+\quad)\left(1+\mathrm{a}_{1}\right) ;  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{n}_{2}=\frac{2}{2} \mathrm{~m} g=\left(1+\quad\left(1+\mathrm{a}_{2}\right) ;\right.  \tag{18}\\
& \mathrm{c}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1} ;  \tag{19}\\
& \mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{2} ;  \tag{20}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{1}=\mathrm{c}_{1} ;  \tag{21}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{2}=\mathrm{c}_{2} ; \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are each random num bers betw een 0.1 and 0.5 , and $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}+\mathrm{mg}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{mg}={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)$. $W$ ith this choice, the portion of the weight transm itted to the right (or left) increases when the cell is being pushed to the right (or left) from above. This is $m$ eant only to be plausible. It enters the com putation of the stresses only when the direct solution is used, which can be $m$ ade as infrequent as desired by raising the value of the cuto K .

The two sections below discuss the con gurations generated under di erent sets of boundary conditions. In section "II, the focus is on the average stresses in a deep, narrow silo geom etry, where walls can (and do) support stresses. $T$ he $m$ odel then assum es the geom etry show $n$ in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{a}$ w th the shaded cells assum ed to absonb all forces applied from above. The force and couple am plitudes on the dashed edges are taken to vanish identically. W hile there is no attem pt to m odel the elasticity of the walls in a realistic way, signi cant di erences betw een the m odel and the $q-m$ odelw ill arise, leading to som e useful insights.

In section For this purpose periodic boundary conditions are em ployed in the horizontal direction. The two shaded cells in a layer in F igure enough so that the $m$ axim al force observed on any cell is sm all com pared to the total force on its layer.
II. AVERAGESTRESSESAND FLUCTUATIONS IN A NARROW SILO

O ne classical approach to the com putation of stresses in a granularm aterial to assum $e$ that the $m$ aterial satis es the $M$ ohr-C oulom b criterion everyw here in space. Denoting the principal stresses as 1 and 2 , the $M$ ohr-C oulomb criterion in 2D reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{12}{1+2}=\sin ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the intemal friction angle characteristic of the $m$ aterial. A typical assum ption for the boundary condition at the $w$ alls is $x y=\tan (w) x, w h e r e ~ w i s ~ p a r a m$ eter characterizing the friction betw een the $m$ aterial and the wall.

U nder these assum ptions, the stress equilibrium equations can be solved num erically. [9.9] T he centerline stress at depth $y$ is $w e l l$ by the solution of Janssen (which relies on additional sim plifying assum ptions)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y y=\underline{R \quad g} 1 \quad e^{a y=R} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tan \left({ }_{w}\right)(1+\sin )=(1 \quad \sin )$. The Janssen solution assum es that yy is independent of horizontalposition, which does not tum out to be true in the full solution. [1] $15 \%$ from $w$ all to centerline, do not alter the fundam ental result that $y y=R$ is a fiunction of $y=R$ only.
$T$ he behavior of the $q-m$ odel in this geom etry is quite di erent. A $n$ ensem ble average of con gurations generated by the $q-m$ odel yields a parabolic pro le for the vertical forces supported. At large depths a steady state is attained in which the vertical stress near the wall m ust be proportional to the radius $R$ of the silo, since the vertical force transm itted to the walls at each layer m ust equal the weight of the layer on average. The stress at the centerline, on the other hand, is proportional to $\mathrm{R}^{2}$. This behavior is accurately reproduced by an analytic calculation of the distribution of forces in the $q-m$ odel when all $q^{\prime}$ s are assigned their $m$ ean value of $1=2$. The analytic solution also indicates that the approach to the asym ptotic pro le occurs m ore slow ly, w ith a decay constant of the order of $1=R{ }^{2}$ rather than $1=\mathrm{R}$. [1] $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$

Experim ental results appear to con $m$ the scaling expectations of the classical analysis. T he recent experim ents of C lem ent et al, for exam ple, show a linear scaling w ith system w idth in both the asym ptotic average verticalstress and the characteristic depth of the approach to the asym ptotic value. [1] [1]
 data for three di erent silo w idths, plotted in term s of the scaled variables suggested by the classical analysis. The average vertical force $F_{v}$ is here de ned as the total vertical force transm ilted across the corrugated surface m arked w ith a thick line in $F$ igure ${ }_{111}^{11}$, divided by the num ber of cells in the surface, $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\text { layer } \frac{p^{1}}{\overline{2}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)} \underset{\mathrm{X}}{:} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $R=X r^{p} \overline{2}$. From Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{11}\right)-(\underline{1})$ the value of this quantity in the Janssen solution can be calculated. A ccounting properly for the density of the $m$ aterial $=m=(2 r)^{2}$ and using the $y=j r \overline{2}$, where the integer $j$ indexes the layers, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{v}}{X}=\frac{1}{1} \quad e^{j=x} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.4. A verage vertical force on a layer in the silo geom etry. The discrete symbols are obtained from sim ulation of the $m$ odel. (See text for speci cation of param eters.) The thick dashed line is a $t$ to the Janssen solution, with one free param eter chosen to $m$ atch the asym ptotic value of the force at large depths. $T$ he thin line show $s$ the behavior of the $q$-m odel $w$ ith a uniform distribution of $q^{\prime} s$.
where is the com bination of $m$ aterial and wall param eters de ned above.
E ach data point in $F$ igure $1_{1}^{1}$, w as obtained by averaging $F_{v}$ over 1000 con gurations. In all cases, the cuto $K$ was taken to be 1000, resulting in the direct solution being used for approxim ately $3 \%$ of the cells. The data collapse obtained using the scaling suggested by the Janssen solution is quite good. T he heavy dashed curve in $F$ igure ' 4 ' is the classical prediction of Eq. (2), where the single param eter a has been $t$ to the asym ptotic value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}$ at large depths. The sam e value of $=2: 15 \mathrm{w}$ as used for all data sets and the X w as taken to be the average num ber of cells in tw o successive layers, not including the wall cells. It is perhaps worth noting that data from an experim ent by $C$ lem ent et al show the sam e tendency to lie above the Janssen curve at sm all depths. $\left[\frac{11}{1}\right] T$ he solid line in $F$ igure ' 4 ' is the prediction from the sim plest version of the $q-m$ odel, in which the distribution of ${ }^{\prime}$ 's is taken to be uniform over the unit interval, shown here for $X=39: 5$. T he line show $n$ was generated by sim ulation of the $q-m$ odel, but agrees perfectly w ith the analytic solution. [ī In that solution, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{v}}$ scales at large depths like $\mathrm{X}{ }^{2}$ and there is no value of that yields a satisfactory $t$ to the Janssen solution.

It is clear that the inclusion of the proper force and torque balance constraints brings the $m$ odel into $m$ uch closer agreem ent $w$ th conventional expectations than the $q-m$ odel. $T$ he reason for this appears to be that in the $m$ odel strong stresses tend to propagate to the left or right w ith increasing depth, whereas in the $q-m$ odel the vertical force
 for $X=39: 5$.

The $m$ odel clearly exhibits arching on the $m$ acroscopic level. The weight of the $m$ aterial is supported by the $w$ alls in the $m$ anner expected from continuum theories. It is also worth noting that the $m$ odelexhibits well-form ed arches on the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ icroscopic" level, an e ect which will be ilhustrated m ore clearly below. In addition, the lam entary stress chains observed in the m odel are roughly rem iniscent of experim ental im ages produced under a variety of conditions (see, for exam ple, Refs. [4] $T$ hough quantitative com parison $w$ ith these experim ents and $m$ odels is beyond the scope of this work, it does appear that the m odel is capable of displaying plausible behavior both at the m icroscopic and m acroscopic levels.

Finally, it is instructive to consider the $m$ odel in the absence of any random ness. If $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are set to 0.5 and the direct solution is em ployed for every cell, the $m$ odel generates a sm ooth stress eld in which the walls support alm ost no weight and the vertical force grow s linearly $w$ ith depth. This $m$ ay be thought of as the correct result for a hom ogeneous solid w ith a vanishing P oisson ratio. T he vertical stress generates no horizontal force on the walls, which therefore cannot bear any w eight. A llow ing $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ to vary betw een 0.1 and 0.5 but stillem ploying the direct solution for every cell causes the walls to bear only a sm all fraction of the w eight of each layer.
$T$ his show s that the behavior illustrated in $F$ igure' $\overline{4} 1$ ' results directly from the random ness in the choige of solutions, not from the structure of the nct-lattice and the \hyperbolic" m ethod alone. N o constitutive relation sim ilar or even analogous to the M ohr-C oulom b condition of incipient yield has been put into the $m$ odel, which $m$ akes the fact that the results agree reasonably well w ith the classical theory quite intriguing.


FIG.5. Typical con gurations in the silo geom etry. D arker cells indicate higher values of the vertical force supported. The bar indicates how the colors are speci ed on a linear scale. The width of the silo is $\mathrm{X}=39: 5$. (E xcluding the walls, there are 39 cells in each odd layer and 40 in each even one. (a) $T$ he m odel. (b) T he $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{m}$ odel.

O ne of the prim ary $m$ otivations for developing the $m$ odel is to see $w$ hether the predictions of the $q-m$ odel survive the inclusion ofphysically realistic force and torque balance constraints. T hree questions are of particular im portance:

1. D oes the probability distribution for stresses in an in nitely wide system approach a stationary lim it at large depths?
2. If so, does the lim iting probability distribution have the sam eform as that of the $q-m$ odel?
3. D o the constraints induce any long range spatial correlations in the stresses?

A s a rst step in answ ering these questions, distributions of the vertical forces were com puted for a system of $w$ idth 500 at depths up to 450 . The cuto K wastaken to be 1000 , requiring direct solutions for approxim ately $3 \%$ of the cells.

F igure' $\overline{1}$ Gh show s the P (w ), the probability density for the vertical force supported by a single cell at various depths. $T$ he weight $w$ is de ned as the actual force supported, $\left.\left(n_{1}+t_{1}+n_{2}+t_{2}\right)=\overline{2}\right)$, divided by the depth of the layer. $P$ art (a) shows P (w) for the deepest layer $m$ easured, along $w$ ith the analytic result for the $q-m$ odel $w$ ith a uniform distribution of $q^{\prime} s$ betw een 0 and 1 which decays as $\exp (2 \mathrm{w})$ at large w [6]

In the $m$ odel, it appears that $P$ ( $w$ ) decays as $\exp (w)$ for large $w$ with roughly equal to 1.3 , as show in $F$ igure 'Ga. The dashed lines on the gure are guides to the eye, having slopes -2 and -1.3 . Figure ' 6 p indicates that there is a noticeable evolution of ( w ) up to depths as large as 400, w ith the decay at large w becom ing slow er and the num ber of cells supporting alm ost no w eight increasing with depth.

The value of obtained in the m odel can also be obtained from the $q-m$ odel $w$ th a suitable choice for the distribution from which the $q^{\prime} s$ are chosen. The open triangles in $F$ igure 'qa were obtained from sim ulation of the $q-m$ odel using a distribution of q's that included delta-function peaks at 0 and 1 ; $q$ was taken to be 0 for $5.5 \%$ of the cells, 1 for $5.5 \%$, and uniform ly distributed between 0 and 1 for the rest. $T$ he percentages were chosen in order to produce $=1: 3$, as determ ined by the $m$ ean-eld calculation described in the appendix. For com parison, F igure ${ }_{1} \overline{1}$, show s the probability density for the values of $q$ obtained in the $m$ odel, where $q$ is de ned as the fraction of the vertical com ponent of force on a cell that is transm itted to one of the cells in the next layer below, just as in the $q-m$ odel. $N$ ote that the $m$ odel does appear to generate singularities at $q=0$ and $q=1$, but these are not delta functions.
$F$ igure $\bar{q}$ com pares a $m$ easure of the spatial correlations in the $m$ odel and the $q-m$ odel. $T$ he correlation function $h w_{i} W_{i+} j i_{c}^{-}$is plotted, where i indexes the horizontalposition of a cell in a single layer. Though there is a discemible di erence betw een the tw o m odels, it is clear that correlations decay rapidly, on the order of 10 cells. It $m$ ay be argued that the $q-m$ odel predictions should apply to the $-m$ odel at large w since the force and torque balance constraints do not appear to generate any long range correlations. Though there is as yet no analytic proof that the m odel weight distribution w ill indeed conform to the expected decay rate at very large w , the calculation in the appendix show ing that this is the behavior expected in the $q-m$ odel for an appropriately chosen $q$ distribution, together w ith the fact that the $q$ distribution obtained from the m odel is reasonably w ell approxim ated by a singular distribution of this type, strongly suggest the conclusion that the exponential decay w ith $1: 3 \mathrm{w}$ ill persist to arbitrarily large $w$. It $m$ ust be noted, how ever, that the num erical data for the $q-m$ odel appear to correspond to a slightly larger value of . This $m$ ay be due either to the in uence of correlations not taken into account in the $m$ ean eld caclulation, or possibly to the fact that the asym ptotic decay rate em erges only for larger w or larger depths than w ere accessed in the sim ulations of $F$ igure ${ }^{-} / \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}$ hus 边 is di cult to extract a $m$ ore accurate value of for the m odel from the data available at present.

The $m$ axim ally random ized $m$ odel produces behavior $m$ ore closely approxim ated by the $q-m$ odelwith 11\% 0's and 1's than by the $m$ axim ally random ized $q-m$ odel. Indeed, the tw $o m$ odels yield rather sim ilar spatial correlations as well as single site weight distributions. It is interesting to note that R adjaiet al reported an exponential decay in $P$ (w) w ith $=1: 4$ in num erical solutions for the stresses in 2D disk packings in squares of side length 30 disk diam eters sub jected to large extemal loading [12], not far from the value predicted by the $m$ odel. In three dim ensions, a sim ilar singular q distribution was also found to agree best with dynam ical sim ulations of spherical grains. [G] It is also worth em phasizing that in both the m odel and the $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{m}$ odelw ith an appropriate $q$-distribution, there is signi cant evolution of ( $w$ ) for depths up to 450 , even for sm all values of $w$.

A s show $n$ above for the silo geom etry, the $m$ odel does provide a plausible picture of the $m$ acroscopic stress eld. Unlike continuum theories, how ever, the m odel can also provide details on the scale of the grain size. Figure $\underline{\mathrm{I}}_{1}$ show s a typical portion of a con guration at large depth for the $m$ odel. B oth the vertical and horizontal forces applied to each œell from above are shown. These im ages reveal that weight is supported by a netw ork of arches $w$ ith thickness on the order of the grain size. The appearance of such structures in a random $m$ odel of this type is


F IG . 6. P robability distributions for vertical forces at various depths. (a) Com parison of the m odelw ith $\mathrm{K}=1000$ and the $q-m$ odelw ith both a uniform distribution of $q$ 's and a distribution that is uniform except for sharp spikes at 0 and 1 accounting for $11 \%$ of the total density. The data is from the layer at depth 450 . The dashed lines are guides to the eye, $w$ ith slopes -2 and -1.3 . (b) The $m$ odel as in (a), but $w$ ith data show $n$ for several di erent depths. $T$ he inset show $s$ the region near $w=0$, where a clear evolution of $P$ (w) w th depth is observed.


FIG.7. The q distribution generated by the m odel w ith $\mathrm{K}=1000$. Each data point represents the relative probability that the $q$ of a given cell will fall in a bin of width 0.001 and the plot is norm alized to correspond to (q) as de ned in the appendix. T he large circles m ark the values for the bins centered on 0.0005 and 0.9995 . T he inset show $s$ an expanded view of the singularity near $q=0$. T here is no m easurable delta-function contribution at $q=0$ or $q=1$.


FIG. 8. C orrelations between weights on cells in one layer. The averaging was done over all cells at depth 200 in 9000 con gurations. D ata is shown for the $-m$ odelw ith $K=1000$ and $K=3$ and the $q-m$ odelw ith a $q$ distribution that is uniform except for delta functions at 0 and 1 accounting for $11 \%$ of the totaldensity.


FIG.9. M icroscopic arching in the -model . (a) Verticalforces supported by individualcells in the m odelwith $\mathrm{K}=1000$. $D$ arker cells support larger vertical forces. The picture show $n$ is a detail of a larger con guration, corresponding to a section the low er-m ost 70 layers in a system 240 layers deep. A clear central arch can be seen, together w ith several sm aller arches. (b) H orizontal forces in the sam e region as (a). D arker cells are being pushed to the left by cells above them and lighter ones to the right. The arch apparent in (a) is seen here to have the expected structure of horizontal forces.
a nontrivial observation, as di erent ways of choosing ( $0 ; 1 ; 2$ ) can lead to substantially thicker chains and even nearly uniform distributions.

Finally, a rem ark on the e ect of changing $K$ is in order. Changing $K$ to 100 in the $m$ odel generates direct solutions at $16 \%$ of the cells, but has little e ect on the results described above. Changing $K$ to order 1, however, creates a $m$ arked increase in the lengths and directions of the stress chains. A visual com parison of con gurations obtained w th $K$ set to 1 or 1000 is show $n$ in $F$ igure " 10

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

The nct-lattice is rich enough to describe the stress eld in any $m$ aterial, $w$ ith the scale of the cell size being com pletely arbitrary. For the case of non-cohesive granularm aterials $w$ ith the cell size equated $w$ ith the average grain size, how ever, sim plifying assum ptions can be m ade that lead to nontrivial predictions.
$T$ he $-m$ odel studied in this paper includes particular choioes of a few param eters that in uence the details of the distributions. The latter category of choices has to do w ith the distribution ofm ass with in each cell (the param eters u, mg ), the precise form of the direct solution used when random attem pts fail, and the assum ption that all force incident on the wall cells is sim ply absorbed. Variations in how these choices are $m$ ade $m$ ight be expected to correspond to di erent choices for classical param eters such as the wall-m aterial friction angle and the intemal friction angle, which would be re ected in the value of .

A nother param eter that can have a noticeable in uence on the force con gurations is the cuto $K$ that roughly determ ines how often the direct solution $m$ ust be used. $W$ hen $K$ is sm all, the direct solution is used often and the details of how it is im plem ented can be im portant. For large $K$, how ever, the direct solution is used only in situations where the range of possible solutions is highly restricted anyw ay, so that all possible choiges are actually quite close to the direct solution. For this reason, the con gurations generated with $\mathrm{K}=1000$ are excellent approxim ations to the $m$ axim ally random ized m odel.

The present version of the $m$ odelincludes an assum ption that perm its con gurations to be generated by propagating forces dow $n$ from the top (restriction 3). This assum ption is not rigorously justi able, and $m$ ay well be expected to fail in situations where strain e ects are im portant. Savage has em phasized the im portance of such e ects, especially in the case of a free-standing pile. The boundary condition at the bottom of the pile, (the sti ness of the supporting substrate, for exam ple) is known to be im portant in detem ining the stress eld. [131] From the perspective taken in the current work, the question posed by the in uence of the boundary conditions is how the boundary conditions a ect the distribution of 's. Investigation of this issue m ight be possible if restriction 3 can be discarded and an algorithm developed for nding solutions consistent w ith appropriate boundary conditions on all sides of the lattice, including the bottom. In any case, the m odel is designed prim arily to lend insight into m icroscopic and m acroscopic uctuations, not to investigate the details of how boundary conditions a ect the average stress eld.
$T$ he solutions obtained from the $m$ odel as constituted in this paper are su ciently com patible $w$ ith experim ents
 features of the stresses at the grain size scale under the sim plest physically consistent assum ptions for the form of the geom etric disorder. T he e ect of the disorder is taken to perm it all possible solutions of the local force and torque balance equations w ith uniform probability in the solution space param eterized by 0,1 , and 2 . Further work is needed to determ ine the sensitivity of the results to changs in the probability $m$ easure on this space.

From the data presented in this paper, it appears that the 2D m odelpredicts a w eight distribution that decays as $\exp (1: 3 \mathrm{w})$, consistent w ith $q-m$ odel predictions if and only if an appropriate singular distribution of q's is used. For such a q distribution, the $q-m$ odel also yields spatial correlations sim ilar to the $m$ odel. This $m$ ay be taken both as an indication that the prim ary in uence of the torque and horizontal force balance constraints is to select a particular form for the probability w ith which vertical force is transm itted betw een adjacent sites, and as a justi cation of the use of the $q-m$ odel for understanding the basic structure of the stress uctuations.

In the silo geom etry, the $m$ odel achieves a possibly unexpected $m$ easure of success that is not obtainable by adjusting param eters in the $q-m$ odel. $T$ he form of the average stresses generated by the $m$ odel agrees rather well $w$ ith experim ents [1] ${ }^{1}$. $T$ his type ofbehavior arises also from the $M$ ohr-C oulom $b$ constitutive relation, which assum es that the $m$ aterial is at incipient yield everyw here. T he $-m$ odelm akes the rather di erent assum ption that on the scale of the grain size the stress is as random as it can be w ithout violating the fiundam entalconditions of stress equilibrium . $T$ he fact that this \works" suggests a conceptually new approach to the description of stress con gurations in granular m aterials.

A nother intriguing connection of the m odel to recent work involves the explicit description of torques at the granular level. E xperim ental studies of the thickness of shear bands and also recent work on continuum models that include the dynam ics of a eld that characterizes the local rotation of the $m$ aterial, know $n$ as $C$ osserat continuum


FIG.10. C om parison of the -m odelw ith large and $s m$ all K . The vertical force on each cell is show is for periodic boundary conditions. At each layer the force is norm alized by the layer depth. (a) $\mathrm{K}=1000$, resulting in use of the direct solution for $3 \%$ of the cells. (b) $K=1$, resulting in use of the direct solution for $80 \%$ of the cells.
models, have show n that shear bands tend to have characteristic widths on the order of 10 to 20 grain diam eters. [1].1T] T he occurrence of a sim ilar length scale in $m$ odel correlations suggests that the two approaches $m$ ay be linked in a m ore profound way than has yet been understood.
$G$ eneralization of the nct-lattice and m odel to three dim ensions is straightforw ard but requires a substantially larger num ber of variables per cell. U sing a face-centered cubic lattige oriented w ith the 111 axis on the vertical, one nds that there are 18 variables that $m$ ust be com puted for each cell. For each of the three dow nw ard-facing faces, one must nd
a norm al force,
tw o com ponents of the tangential foroe,
tw o com ponents of the couple (about the tw o axes that lie in the plane of the face) associated with the norm al force,
and a third \torsional" couple (about the axis penpendicular to the face) determ ined by the spatialdistribution of the tangential forces.
 resulting 12 -dim ensionalspace of possible solutions can be param eterized by six 's relating the norm alcouples to the norm alforces, three $m$ ore analogous to the of of 2 D m odel, and the three torsionalcouples. The high dim ension of the solution space for a single cellm akes the random guessing approach rather ine cient, and statistically signi cant data has not yet been obtained.
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## APPENDIX:MEANFIELCALCULATIONOF FORTHE G-MODEL

C oppersm th et al have derived severalim portant results conceming the behavior ofP (w) at large w in the $q$-m odel for various choices of the distribution of q's. [G] D De ne (q) as the probability that a given bond betw een cells w ill carry a fraction $q$ of the vertical force exerted by the higher cell. Coppersm th et al. show that $P$ (w) decays as $\exp (\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{w}$ ith $=2$ in 2 D for any ( $q$ ) that has no singular contributions at $q=0$ (or $q=1$ ). They also show, how ever, that di erent values of can be obtained if such singularities are present.
$T$ his appendix extends their $m$ ean eld calculations to the case of distributions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\frac{-}{2}((q)+(q \quad 1))+(1 \quad) \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in two dim ensions, for which analytic results are obtainable. The term $\backslash m$ ean eld" here refers to the fact that all correlations betw een weights on adjacent sites are neglected. It is know $n$ that the $m$ ean eld result is exact for certain special distributions, including the uniform one, and also that even in cases where it is not exactly correct, the deviations from it are sm all for large vertical forces. T he calculation utilizes the Laplace transform form alism described in sections IID of R ef. [G]]. Several results obtained there w ill be quoted here w thout explicit derivation.

Let $P^{\sim}(s)$ be the Laplace transform of the steady state weight distribution $P$ (w) at large depths. $\mathrm{P}^{\curvearrowright}$ ( $s$ ) satis es equation 2.11 of $R$ ef. [ $[\underline{d}]$, reproduced here for the case of tw o dim ensions only:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\sim}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dq} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{P}^{\Upsilon}(\mathrm{sq})^{2}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, norm alization conditions im ply that $P^{r}(0)=1$ and $P^{\sim}(s)!1$ s as $s!0$. Substituting the desired form of $y$ ields

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\Upsilon}(s)=\frac{-}{2}\left(1+P^{\Upsilon}(s)\right)+(1 \quad)_{0}^{Z_{1}} d q P^{\sim}(s q)^{2}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D e \operatorname{ning} R^{\sim}(s)=P \overline{P^{\sim}}$ and changing variables in the integral, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\sim}(s)=\frac{-}{2}\left(1+R^{2}(s)\right)+\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{s} \frac{Z}{s}_{0}^{Z} d x R^{2}(x):\right. \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying by $s$ and di erentiating both sides gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R^{\check{ }}}{d s}=\frac{\overline{2} \quad R+1 \quad \overline{2} R^{2}}{s\left(1 \quad R^{2}\right)} ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved for $s$ in term $s$ of $R$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{bs}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{} \frac{1}{\left(+(2 \mathrm{R})^{(2+}\right)=(2)} ; \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b$ is a constant of integration. N ote that the nom alization conditions on $\mathrm{P}^{\sim}$ im ply $\mathrm{R}=1 \quad \mathrm{~s}=2$ in the vicinity of $s=0$. Substituting this form for $R$ in $E q$. ( $(\underline{A}-\overline{-})$, expanding about $s=0$ on the right hand side, and equating coe cients of the rst order term yields

$$
b=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & \left.2)^{(2+}\right)=(2 \tag{A7}
\end{array}\right):
$$

T he inverse Laplace transform of $P^{\prime}(s) w$ ill be proportional to $\exp \left(s_{0} w\right)$ at large $w, w$ ith $s_{0}$ being the largest value of $s$ for which $P^{r}(s)$ has a singularity, which occurs wherever $R(s)$ either has a pole or is of the form $c+\left(s s_{0}\right)$ $w$ ith the constant $c \in 0$ and the exponent being non-integral. (If $c=0$, then half-integral also does not yield a singularity in $R^{2}$.) A lthough Eq. (A (A) cannot be inverted to obtain $R(s)$ explicitly, the position of the singularity in $R^{\sim}(s)$ can be determ ined. First note that for $0 \ll 1$ Eq. (A ( 1 ) implies that $R(s)$ can diverge only at $s=0$; since the coe cient of $R 几$ in the denom inator has $m$ agnitude greater than unity and the exponent is greater than one, the denom inator $m$ ust grow in $m$ agnitude faster than the num erator as $\mathrm{F}^{2} j$ goes to in nity. The possible divergence at $s=0$ arises only because we m ultiplied by $s$ to obtain Eq. (A $s=0)$.$N ext note that d R^{2}=d s m$ ust diverge at any value of $s$ for which $R=1=$, and only at those points, as is evident from Eq. (A-1) and the fact that $R$ itself does not diverge. $F$ inally, repeated di erentiation of Fq . (A $\mathrm{A}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) reveals that higher derivatives of $R$ cannot diverge at any point where the rst derivative does not diverge. T hus the singularity
 the singularity occurs at

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=2 \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2+} \quad \frac{2}{\frac{2+}{2}}: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{0}$ approaches 0 as approaches 0 , which $m$ ay be expected given that $=0$ corresponds to the criticaldistribution for which the $q-m$ odel exhibits pow er law decay in $P$ (w). [G] M oreover, $s_{0}$ approaches -2 as approaches 1, indicating a sm ooth convergence to the result derived for the uniform distribution. (T he case of $=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be treated separately, how ever, and it is seen that $R$ develops a pole at -2.) Finally, the exponent at the singularity $m$ ay be obtained from
 and in the vicinity of the singularity we have $R=(1=)+P \overline{\left(s \quad s_{0}\right)}$, w ith $s_{0}$ given above. $T$ his result is consistent w ith the claim in Ref. $[\underline{\sigma}]$ $\mathrm{q}=0$.

In order to com pare to the num erical results for the decay w ith $=1: 3$. From Eq. (A_) one sees that so m odel, it is useful to nd the value of that produces a $1: 30:::$ is produced by $=0: 11$, which is the reason that this value w as chosen for plotting in $F$ igures 6 and 8.
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