A verage stresses and force uctuations in non-cohesive granular m aterials

Joshua E.S.Socolar

D epartm ent of P hysics and C enter for N on linear and C om plex System s, D uke U niversity, D urham, NC 27708

(April 15, 2024)

A lattice m odel is presented for investigating the uctuations in static granular m aterials under gravitationally induced stress. The m odel is sim ilar in spirit to the scalar qm odel of C oppersm ith et al., but ensures balance of all components of forces and torques at each site. The geom etric random ness in real granular m aterials is m odeled by choosing random variables at each site, consistent with the assumption of cohesionless grains. C on gurations of the m odel can be generated rapidly, allowing the statistical study of relatively large system s. For a 2D system with rough walls, the m odel generates con gurations consistent with continuum theories for the average stresses (unlike the qm odel) without requiring the assumption of a constitutive relation. For a 2D system with periodic boundary conditions, the m odel generates single-grain force distributions sim ilar to those obtained from the q-m odel with a singular distribution of q's.

The microscopic stress eld in a static granular material has an extraordinarily complex structure. Viewed from the perspective of standard elasticity theory, the geometric disorder in the packing of grains gives rise to extrem ely complicated boundary conditions on the stress equilibrium equations. In general, this disorder is rather di cult to characterize statistically and may even exhibit nontrivial correlations induced by the dynamical history of the material.

At present, the overwhelm ing majority of attempts to model granular materials are formulated at the level of a continuous stress eld, which is intended to represent a smoothed version of actual stresses, averaged over regions large compared to the grain size. In order to close the system of stress equilibrium equations, a constitutive relation must be assumed, such as the Mohr-Coulomb condition that the material is on the verge of yielding at everywhere within a plastic zone. While this condition [1] and others like it [2,3] have met with some success in many di erent situations, they rest ultimately on ad hoc assumptions about the connection between the microscopic and macroscopic stresses.

O ne factor that could in principle pose fundam ental di culties for continuum theories for the average stress is that uctuations in the m icroscopic stresses may be quite large, perhaps large enough to invalidate typical assumptions about the scales over which the m aterial can be m odeled as a continuum. In several recent experiments that directly im age the stress eld, stress chains (lam entary con gurations of strongly stressed grains) have been observed with correlation lengths that are apparently limited only by the system size (though the system s have not been m uch larger than 30 grain diam eters in the relevant dimension). [4,5] For these reasons it is important to obtain some theoretical understanding of w hat determ ines the size and spatial structure of the uctuations.

C oppersm ith et al. recently stim ulated interest in a sim pli ed statistical approach to stresses in granularm aterials with the introduction of the \q-m odel", a lattice m odel whose con gurations are intended to represent the way in which vertical forces are supported in a non-cohesive m aterial. In such a m odel it is possible for very large uctuations to arise, even on scales as sm all as a lattice constant. The constitutive assumptions of continuum m odels are replaced here by an ansatz concerning the form of the m icroscopic e ects of geom etric disorder in the m aterial. The central result of R ef. [6] is that for in nitely wide layers (orm aterials subject to periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal directions), uctuations in the vertical forces supported by grains at a given depth are of the same m agnitude as the average force supported at that depth. An elegant calculation shows that the probability distribution for the vertical force supported by a grain deep in the pile has an exponential tail, rather than G aussian. [6]

For all its merits, the q-model has three serious aws. First, it takes no account of the constraints in posed by horizontal force balance and torque balance on each grain, and thus does not contain the proper conservation laws at the microscopic level. This may constitute a aw that leads to incorrect predictions, though it is also possible that the constraints in question do not a ect the large scale behavior. Second, when studied in the silo geom etry, the q-m odel yields predictions for the average stresses that dram atically disagree with classical theories and experiments. [7] W hile quantitative agreem ent may not be expected given the crude representation of the boundary conditions that must be used in constructing q-m odel con gurations, the qualitative discrepancy is striking, as explained below. Finally, there is no clear procedure for connecting the lattice constant in the q-m odel to a physical length scale.

In this paper, a new model is presented that explicitly incorporates the the relevant force and torque balance constraints into the lattice approach of the q-m odel and provides a natural connection between the lattice constant and the grain size. Stress distributions are then computed for the silo geometry with force-bearing walls and with periodic boundary conditions. It is shown that the new model gives much better agreement with previous theories

and experiments in the silo geometry, and thus appears to be a more reliable basis for investigating the subtleties of the stress uctuations. Numerical results are then presented for the single grain weight distribution from the new model at large depths. The single-grain weight distributions are similar in form to those obtained in the q-m odel and therefore may be thought of as providing a mer foundation for the q-m odel predictions.

It is useful to make a conceptual distinction between the de nition of the basic lattice with appropriate variables de ned on it and the assumptions about those variables that are relevant for the study of non-cohesive granular materials. The geometric structure of the model is a square lattice with variables representing net normal forces, couples, and tangential forces on each edge. This structure will be referred to as the \nct-lattice". Every possible stress eld in any type of static medium can be mapped to a conguration on the nct-lattice. (The mapping is many-to-one.) In order to model a non-cohesive granular material, several restrictions must be made on the values of the normal forces, couples, and tangential forces at each edge and an ansatz must be made for form of the disorder in the system. A particular model that incorporates these restrictions will be called the $\$ -m odel", for reasons that will become apparent below.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section I the -m odel is de ned for 2D systems and the connections of the m odelparam eters to physicalparam eters and constraints are discussed. In Section II results are presented for the case of narrow silos with rough walls in 2D and contrasted with results obtained from the q-m odel. In Section III results are presented for the case of wide 2D systems with periodic boundary conditions. Section IV includes a discussion of som e general issues and the generalization of the -m odel to three dimensions.

I.THE -MODEL IN TWO DIMENSIONS

A. The nct-lattice

Consider an arbitrary (possibly highly inhom ogeneous) material that is known to be in static stress equilibrium. For present purposes, the material is taken to be two-dimensional and the following lattice representation of the stress eld is dened. (See Figure 1.) A square lattice is constructed, with each cell representing a portion of the material. The length of each cell edge is 2r. A horizontal row of cells sharing vertices, such as those marked with a dot in Figure 1, will be called a \layer" of cells. Cell ij is dened as the ith cell from the left in the jth layer from the top, with the left edge being dened as shown in Figure 1 for even or odd j. Note that j increases downward, which is also dened as the positive y direction.

A sociated with each edge in the lattice are a norm al force, a tangential force, and a couple. Taken together, these three quantities fully determ ine the force and torque exerted on one cell about its center by the other cell sharing that edge. The couple is necessary to represent the torque that can be applied to a cell even in the absence of tangential forces, due to the m anner in which the norm al force is distributed along the edge. The couple is here de ned so that it does not include the contribution of the tangential force to the torque. The contribution from tangential forces is just equal to r times the net am plitude of the tangential force, regardless of how that force is distributed along the edge.

Denote the am plitudes of the normal forces on the four edges of cellij by N₁^(i;j), N₂^(i;j), n₁^(i;j), n₂^(i;j); the couples by $C_1^{(i;j)}r$, $C_2^{(i;j)}r$, $C_1^{(i;j)}r$, $C_2^{(i;j)}r$,

In term s of the canonically de ned [B] m icroscopic stress tensor (r), these forces and couples can be written as integrals over their respective edges. For example, letting e_1 and e_2 be unit vectors in the y x and y + x directions as shown in Figure 1b, and letting s run from r to r along the edge:

$$X_{1} = ds e_{1} (s)e_{1};$$

$$Z_{r}^{r}$$
(1)

$$I_1 = ds e_2 (s)e_1;$$
 (2)



FIG.1. De nition of the -m odel. (a) The lattice of square cells. Shaded cells represent walls for the silo geometry and identi ed cells for periodic boundary conditions. D ashed edges are assumed to transmit no force in the silo geometry. The cells m arked with a large dot constitute a single layer. The thick edges are used to compute the force on a layer to compare with the Janssen solution. (b) The variables used to describe the stress in a single cell and the unit vectors de ning directions mentioned in the text.

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{r} \int_{r}^{Z_{r}} ds \, s \, e_{1} \, (s) e_{1}; \qquad (3)$$

where the integrals are over surfaces (lines in 2D) that m ay cut through grains and/or contacts between grains. Static equilibrium at the microscopic level requires [8]

$$Q_{k} \quad ik \qquad q_{i} = 0; \tag{4}$$

where is the local density and g is the gravitational acceleration. Requiring that the total force on a cell vanish, one nds:

Ι

$$_{ik}dS_{k} = m g_{i};$$
(5)

where m = dV is the mass of the material in the cell and dS_k is the kth component of the outward norm alto surface element S. Taking g to be in the positive y direction (downward) and performing the integral over the entire cell, the x and y components of Eq. (5 yield the following equations for vertical and horizontal force balance:

$$n_1 + t_1 + n_2 + t_2 = N_1 + T_1 + N_2 + T_2 + 2m q;$$
 (6)

$$n_1 t_1 n_2 + t_2 = N_1 T_1 N_2 + T_2$$
: (7)

Sim ilarly, the vanishing of the total torque about the center of the cell requires

$$I \qquad I \qquad Z dS_y x (x_y y_y) + dS_x y (y_x x_x) \qquad gxdV = 0;$$
(8)

which leads to

$$t_1 c_1 t_2 + c_2 = T_1 C_1 + T_2 + C_2 + 2u;$$
 (9)

where $2u = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 2=r \end{pmatrix}^R dV$ gx. (A torque about the center of the cell m ay be exerted by gravity because the center of m ass of the m aterial in the cell need not coincide with the geom etric center.)

The lattice and variables just described, together with the fundam entalphysical force and torque balance constraints, constitute the nct-lattice. A \con guration" of the lattice denotes a set of values of $n_1^{(i;j)}$, $n_2^{(i;j)}$, $c_1^{(i;j)}$, $c_2^{(i;j)}$, $t_1^{(i;j)}$, $t_2^{(i;j)}$, $m^{(i;j)}$, $m^{(i;j)}$, and $u^{(i;j)}$ for all ij that satis es Eqs. (6), (7), and (9) for every ij. Each con guration is a discrete representation of a possible stress eld, and any possible stress eld induces a con guration. Thus the nct-lattice structure m ay be a useful tool for investigating stresses in a wide range of inhom ogeneous m aterials.

B.De nition of the -m odel

We now turn to the modeling of non-cohesive granularm aterials on the nct-lattice. In the spirit of the q-m odel it is assumed that a typical stress distribution can be obtained by propagating the forces from top to bottom on the lattice. This assumption is made for the sole purpose of allowing the rapid construction of plausible force con gurations. In the -m odel, con gurations are generated by descending through the lattice, solving Eqs. (10)-(13) for each cell. The process begins by specifying values of m and u for each cell and N₁, N₂, T₁, T₂, C₁, and C₂ for the cells in the top layer.

Given the values of the uppercase variables at a particular cell, the six low ercase variables must be determined. Since these variables are constrained by Eqs. (6), (7), and (9), the space of possible solutions is then three dimensional and can be parameterized by three real numbers $_0$, $_1$, and $_2$. The manner in which these three numbers are determined at each cellmust related to the physics of the material being modeled.

It is convenient to make the denitions $c_1 _1 n_1$, $c_2 _2 n_2$, and $0 _2 + C_2 t_1 + c_1 + u$. The force and torque balance equations for a single cell can then be written as

				<u> </u>	
n ₁ +	t ₁ + n ₂ +	$t_2 = N_1 +$	$+ T_1 + N_2 + T_2 +$	2mg;	(10)

$$n_1 t_1 n_2 + t_2 = N_1 T_1 N_2 + T_2;$$
 (11)

$$t_1 _1 n_1 + _0 = T_2 + C_2 + u; (12)$$

$$t_2 \ _2n_2 + \ _0 = T_1 + C_1 \ u; \tag{13}$$

where the torque equation has been split into two so that it may be expressed in a symmetric form. If m and u are given for every cell, then the microscopic true stress eld induces a unique con guration of triples ($_0$; $_1$; $_2$) on the lattice.

In a non-cohesive granular material consisting of convex grains, the grains can \push" on each other, but never \pull"; i.e., there can be no tensile forces in the material on scales larger than the grain size. This feature is incorporated into the -m odel by imposing two restrictions:

1. All norm all force amplitudes n_1 and n_2 must be positive;

2. All $_1$'s and $_2$'s must lie in the interval (1;1).

Both restrictions are consequences of the assumption that there are no tensile forces anywhere along the celledge. The maximal couple that can be produced by a given normal force amplitude corresponds to the case in which the entire normal force is applied at one corner of the cell, in which case $_1$ (or $_2$) is 1. The assumption is not rigorously valid since there can be tensile forces in the interior of a grain that is cut by the edge of a cell. The central hypothesis of the -m odel, however, is that the geometric random ness in the grain positions can be replaced by the random ness in the choice of the solution for each cell. In this context, restrictions 1 and 2 are valid as long as the cell size is larger than or equal to the grain size.

It is duly noted that the m ethod of propagating forces down from the top of the system does not faithfully represent the physics in the following sense. The equations of stress equilibrium are elliptic equations whose solutions depend on the boundary conditions on all of the system's boundaries. For the propagation m ethod employed in the -m odel, the only cells that can be a ected by a change in the distribution of forces on a given cell are the ones that lie in a downward opening cone with 45 edges, and in this respect the model is more appropriate to a hyperbolic system. The goal here, however, is to construct the simplest model consistent with the relevant stress balance constraints and capable of displaying nontrivial uctuations. In addition, it should be noted that the classical approach to the computation of stresses via M ohr-C coulom b constitutive relations also transforms the problem into a hyperbolic one, and the computational m ethod of propagating stresses dow nw ard from the top is routinely exploited in this context as well. [9] The developm ent of rigorous elliptic m ethods for generating consistent con gurations is an interesting and potentially in portant problem as well, but is beyond the scope of this work.

The adoption of a hyperbolic method necessarily leads to a third restriction on the force amplitudes:

3. All tangential force am plitudes must be positive.

In the absence of this restriction it is in possible to ensure that restriction 1 above can be met for every cell. That is, if negative tangential amplitudes are permitted to occur, then it can happen that for some cell deeper in the system all solutions to Eqs. (6), (7), and (9) have a negative value of either n_1 or n_2 . On the other hand, it can be shown, using the method described in the following subsection, that restriction 3 guarantees a consistent solution for every cell.

The nal assumption dening the -m odel is that geometric random ness in the structure of a granular material can be electively modeled by assigning equal probability to all possible solutions to the force and torque balance equations at each cell. This requires dening a particular measure on the space of solutions. In the absence of any good reason to choose otherwise, it will be assumed that all values of the triple $(_0; _1; _2)$ that are consistent with restrictions 1–3 above are equally probable. Throughout the rest of this paper it will also be assumed, for simplicity, that all cells have the same m and that u = 0 for every cell.

This concludes the denition of the 2D -m odel for non-cohesive granular materials consisting of convex grains. The next subsection presents a geometric picture of the solution space of the force and torque balance equations for a single cell. The picture provides useful insight into the need for restriction 3 and also reveals that the cell size in the model must be interpreted as the grain size.

The q-m odel m ay be thought of as a rather drastic simplication of the -m odel in which Eqs. (7) and (9) are ignored. The horizontal components of the forces can then be neglected and it becomes more convenient to work with variable w_i ($n_i + t_i$)=sqrt2 and W_i ($N_i + T_i$)=sqrt2. Eq. (7) can then be written as

$$w_1 = q(W_1 + W_2 + mg)$$
(14)

$$w_2 = (1 \quad q) (W_1 + W_2 + m q):$$
 (15)

In the q-m odel, q at each site is an independent random number chosen from some distribution with support only on the interval [0;1]. The lack of correlation between q's at di erent sites is an important feature in the asymptotic analysis of C oppersm ith et al. [6] N ote that the -m odel di ers from the q-m odel in that the random variables at each cell cannot be chosen in advance, but only after the forces have been propagated down to the cell. If all of the $_i$ are xed in advance, negative tangential and norm al forces are quickly generated and the amplitudes diverge rapidly with increasing depth. Thus the \maxim ally random "-m odel does contain correlations that are direct consequences of the additional force and torque balance constraints. In this sense it is related to attem pts to derive force distributions by m axim izing a statistical entropy associated with the possible ways of constructing m icroscopic con gurations consistent with an imposed average stress eld [10], but di ers in that the average stress eld in the -m odel is not given in advance.

C . Solving the force and torque balance equations in a single cell

For the purposes of this subsection $w \in w$ ill take g = 0. The e ects of gravity can be included in a straightforward m anner once the graphicalmethod is understood.

A llofthe inform ation contained in the values of N, C, and T on one edge can be encoded in a single vector placed at some position along the edge. The vector itself represents the sum of the norm al and tangential forces and the position is chosen such that the torque that would be produced about the center of the cellby the norm alcom ponent of the force is equal to C. Thus the net e ect of any con guration of stresses in the cell can be expressed graphically by drawing the four vectors, one on each edge.

Now given the vectors on the upper edges of a cell we would like to determ ine all the possible ways of assigning vectors to the lower edges. One solution is immediately obvious and will be called the \direct solution". Simply construct rays originating from the vectors on each edge, then take the lower vectors to be equal and opposite to the upper vectors and positioned where their respective rays intersect a lower edge. (See Figure 2.) Each ray will be called a \ray of force", though it is understood that the stresses represented are not really concentrated on the ray. The only complication that can arise is that both rays of force intersect the same lower edge. In this case, the vector assigned to this edge is the sum of the two, positioned such that the couple associated with it is the sum of the couples associated with the two. (See Figure 2.) Note that the direct solution is guaranteed to exist if and only if each ray of force is guaranteed to intersect a lower edge, rather than exiting the cell through the other upper edge. This will be true whenever the norm all and tangential amplitudes on the upper edge are both positive and is directly related to restriction 3 above.

To construct solutions di erent from the direct solution, note that force and torque balance can be preserved by having a ray of force split into two rays at som e arbitrary point in the cell, as shown in Figure 3a. The force associated



FIG.2. The direct solution for a single cell. (a) A straightforward case in which forces are propagated directly through the cell. (b) A case in which the propagated force rays intersect the sam e edge of the cell. The two vector forces must then be sum m ed as shown to obtain the solution.



FIG.3. splitting of force rays and tensile forces within a cell. (a) A vertex involving only positive force am plitudes. (b) A vertex involving a negative force am plitude. (c) A solution in a cell involving a negative force am plitude. The sm aller dashed cells can be used to argue that real tensile forces must be associated with this con guration.

with each ray is directed along the ray and the force amplitudes are then xed by requiring that the three forces sum to zero. Torque balance is guaranteed because none of the three forces generates any torque about the splitting point. Sim ilarly, two rays of force that intersect can be merged into a single ray of force em anating from the point of intersection.

W henever all three rays of force intersecting at a single point lie in the same half-plane, the force amplitude associated with one of them must be negative. This situation is depicted in Figure 3b. The thin line in the gure indicates a negative amplitude. Any solution of Eqs. (6)-(9) can be depicted as a network of force rays within the cell. An example involving a negative amplitude is shown in Figure 3c.

It is possible for negative am plitudes to be an artifact of the sum m ing of two forces that occur at di erent positions on the same edge, as would arise for the con guration shown in Figure 2c. There exist con gurations, however, for which the negative am plitude can only be interpreted as corresponding to a realtensile force. An example is shown in Figure 3c. The forces applied to the upper edges of the cell result in no force being transmitted across edge E. The force transmitted across edge F balances both the forces and net torque produces by the forces above. There is no way to produce the con guration shown in a material that does not support tensile forces. To see this, consider the description of the con guration using cells of sm aller scale (the dashed cells in Figure 3c. It can be shown that it is im possible to construct a network of force rays on the sm aller cells that would be represented by the network shown on the large cell, w ithout having tensile segm ents that cross the boundaries of som e of the sm all cells. (The situation corresponds to that of a horizontal beam clamped at one end and supporting a load on the other, in which case the top portion of the beam is under tension.)

Thus if all possible solutions of the force and torque balance equations occur with equal probability, the material within a cellmust be assumed to support tensile forces and hence must be on the order of or smaller than the grain size. Together with the reasoning leading to restriction 1, this leads to the conclusion that the cell size in the -m odel must be the grain size.

In practice, the region in -space which yields solutions that satisfy restrictions 1-3 is not easily identi ed. Restriction 2 immediately implies that $_1$ and $_2$ must lie in the range (1;1). Using Eqs. (10)-(13) and the restrictions 1-3, it can also be shown that $_0$ must lie in the range

$$N_1 N_2 + C_1 + C_2 \xrightarrow{p} 2mg 2_0 N_1 + N_2 + C_1 + C_2 + 2T_1 + 2T_2 \xrightarrow{p} 2mg$$
: (16)

Thus the entire region of consistent solutions must be contained within a rectangular region in -space. To give equal weight to every solution consistent with the restrictions, a point is selected at random according to a uniform probability density throughout the rectangular box. The values of n_1 , n_2 , t_1 , and t_2 are then computed from Eqs. (10)-(13). If any of these quantities is negative, the solution is discarded and the process is repeated until a consistent solution is found. Since every point within the rectangular box has an equal probability of being chosen on every attempt, it follows that every point that corresponds to a consistent solution has an equal probability of being selected.

In some cases, it may turn out that the set of consistent solutions occupies a very small region of the rectangular box so that the probability of nding a solution by random guessing is prohibitively small. The results described in this paper were obtained by imposing a cuto K on the number of unsuccessful guesses. If the cuto was reached for a particular cell, the direct solution for that cell was used.

A nal issue that must be addressed is how the gravitational force is to be distributed in the direct solution. Som e care must be taken here to avoid generating more and more cells for which the direct solution must be used. The choice made for the simulations described in this paper is that the contributions due to the weight of the cell are

$$n_{1} = \sum_{p=-}^{p-} q = (1 +)(1 + a_{1});$$
(17)

$$n_2 = 2m g = (1 +) (1 + a_2);$$
 (18)

$$c_1 = a_1 n_1;$$
 (19)

$$c_2 = a_2 n_2;$$
 (20)

$$t_1 = c_1; (21)$$

$$t_2 = c_2;$$
 (22)

where a_1 and a_2 are each random numbers between 0.1 and 0.5, and $(N_1 + T_2 + mg = 2) = (N_2 + T_1 + mg = 2)$. W ith this choice, the portion of the weight transmitted to the right (or left) increases when the cell is being pushed to the right (or left) from above. This is meant only to be plausible. It enters the computation of the stresses only when the direct solution is used, which can be made as infrequent as desired by raising the value of the cuto K.

D.Boundary conditions

The two sections below discuss the con gurations generated under di erent sets of boundary conditions. In section II, the focus is on the average stresses in a deep, narrow silo geom etry, where walls can (and do) support stresses. The m odel then assumes the geom etry shown in Figure 1a with the shaded cells assumed to absorb all forces applied from above. The force and couple am plitudes on the dashed edges are taken to vanish identically. While there is no attempt to m odel the elasticity of the walls in a realistic way, signi cant di erences between the -m odel and the q-m odel will arise, leading to some useful insights.

In section III, the goal is to explore the asymptotic behavior of the stresses in an in nitely wide and deep system. For this purpose periodic boundary conditions are employed in the horizontal direction. The two shaded cells in a layer in Figure 1a are identied and all cells are treated equivalently. The width of the system is always taken large enough so that the maxim all force observed on any cell is small compared to the total force on its layer.

II.AVERAGE STRESSES AND FLUCTUATIONS IN A NARROW SILO

O ne classical approach to the computation of stresses in a granular material to assume that the material satisfies the M ohr-C oulom b criterion everywhere in space. Denoting the principal stresses as $_1$ and $_2$, the M ohr-C oulom b criterion in 2D reads

$$\frac{1}{1+2} = \sin ;$$
 (23)

where is the internal friction angle characteristic of the material. A typical assumption for the boundary condition at the walls is $x_y = tan(w) x_x$, where w is a parameter characterizing the friction between the material and the wall.

Under these assumptions, the stress equilibrium equations can be solved numerically. [9] The centerline stress yy at depth y is well t by the solution of Janssen (which relies on additional simplifying assumptions)

$$_{yy} = \frac{R g}{1} 1 e^{ay=R}$$
; (24)

with $\tan(w)(1 + \sin) = (1 \sin)$. The Janssen solution assumes that yy is independent of horizontal position, which does not turn out to be true in the full solution. [1,9] Nevertheless, the deviations, which are of the order of 15% from wall to centerline, do not alter the fundam ental result that yy = R is a function of y=R only.

The behavior of the q-m odel in this geom etry is quite di erent. An ensemble average of con gurations generated by the q-m odel yields a parabolic prole for the vertical forces supported. At large depths a steady state is attained in which the vertical stress near the wall must be proportional to the radius R of the silo, since the vertical force transm itted to the walls at each layer must equal the weight of the layer on average. The stress at the centerline, on the other hand, is proportional to R^2 . This behavior is accurately reproduced by an analytic calculation of the distribution of forces in the q-m odel when all q's are assigned their m ean value of 1=2. The analytic solution also indicates that the approach to the asym ptotic prole occurs m ore slow ly, with a decay constant of the order of $1=R^2$ rather than 1=R. [7]

Experimental results appear to con m the scaling expectations of the classical analysis. The recent experiments of C lement et al., for example, show a linear scaling with system width in both the asymptotic average vertical stress and the characteristic depth of the approach to the asymptotic value. [11]

Results for the average vertical force as a function of depth in the -m odel are shown in Figure 4. Figure 4 shows data for three di erent silo widths, plotted in terms of the scaled variables suggested by the classical analysis. The average vertical force F_v is here de ned as the total vertical force transmitted across the corrugated surface m arked with a thick line in Figure 1a, divided by the number of cells in the surface, X:

$$F_{v} = \frac{P_{layer} \frac{p_{1}}{2} (n_{1} + t_{1} + n_{2} + t_{2})}{X}$$
(25)

Note that $R = X r^{2}$. From Eqs. (1)-(2) the value of this quantity in the Janssen solution can be calculated. A counting properly for the density of the material $= m = (2r)^{2}$ and using the $y = jr^{2}$, where the integer j indexes the layers, we nd

$$\frac{F_v}{X} = \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad e^{j=X} ;$$
 (26)



FIG.4. A verage vertical force on a layer in the silo geom etry. The discrete symbols are obtained from simulation of the -m odel. (See text for speci cation of parameters.) The thick dashed line is a t to the Janssen solution, with one free parameter chosen to m atch the asymptotic value of the force at large depths. The thin line shows the behavior of the q-m odel with a uniform distribution of q's.

where is the combination of material and wall parameters de ned above.

Each data point in Figure 4 was obtained by averaging F_v over 1000 con gurations. In all cases, the cuto K was taken to be 1000, resulting in the direct solution being used for approximately 3% of the cells. The data collapse obtained using the scaling suggested by the Janssen solution is quite good. The heavy dashed curve in Figure 4 is the classical prediction of Eq. (26), where the single parameter a has been to the asymptotic value of F_v at large depths. The same value of = 2:15 was used for all data sets and the X was taken to be the average num ber of cells in two successive layers, not including the wall cells. It is perhaps worth noting that data from an experiment by C lem ent et al. show the same tendency to lie above the Janssen curve at sm all depths. [11] The solid line in Figure 4 is the prediction from the simplest version of the q-m odel, in which the distribution of q's is taken to be uniform over the unit interval, shown here for X = 39:5. The line shown was generated by simulation of the q-m odel, but agrees perfectly with the analytic solution. [7] In that solution, F_v scales at large depths like X² and there is no value of that yields a satisfactory t to the Janssen solution.

It is clear that the inclusion of the proper force and torque balance constraints brings the -m odel into m uch closer agreement with conventional expectations than the q-m odel. The reason for this appears to be that in the -m odel strong stresses tend to propagate to the left or right with increasing depth, whereas in the q-m odel the vertical force simply di uses. Figure 5 illustrates this di erence with pictures of vertical force patterns obtained from both m odels for X = 39:5.

The -m odel clearly exhibits arching on the macroscopic level. The weight of the material is supported by the walls in the manner expected from continuum theories. It is also worth noting that the -m odel exhibits well-form ed arches on the \m icroscopic" level, an e ect which will be illustrated more clearly below. In addition, the lam entary stress chains observed in the -m odel are roughly rem iniscent of experim ental in ages produced under a variety of conditions (see, for example, Refs. [4,5]), and also with num erical solutions of stresses in random disk packings [12]. Though quantitative com parison with these experim ents and models is beyond the scope of this work, it does appear that the model is capable of displaying plausible behavior both at the microscopic and macroscopic levels.

Finally, it is instructive to consider the -m odel in the absence of any random ness. If a_1 and a_2 are set to 0.5 and the direct solution is employed for every cell, the model generates a smooth stress eld in which the walls support alm ost no weight and the vertical force grows linearly with depth. This may be thought of as the correct result for a hom ogeneous solid with a vanishing Poisson ratio. The vertical stress generates no horizontal force on the walls, which therefore cannot bear any weight. A llow ing a_1 and a_2 to vary between 0.1 and 0.5 but still employing the direct solution for every cell causes the walls to bear only a small fraction of the weight of each layer.

This shows that the behavior illustrated in Figure 4 results directly from the random ness in the choice of solutions, not from the structure of the nct-lattice and the \hyperbolic" method alone. No constitutive relation sim ilar or even analogous to the Mohr-Coulom b condition of incipient yield has been put into the model, which makes the fact that the results agree reasonably well with the classical theory quite intriguing.



FIG.5. Typical con gurations in the silo geom etry. Darker cells indicate higher values of the vertical force supported. The bar indicates how the colors are specified on a linear scale. The width of the silo is X = 39.5. (Excluding the walls, there are 39 cells in each odd layer and 40 in each even one. (a) The -m odel. (b) The qm odel.

O ne of the prim ary m otivations for developing the -m odel is to see whether the predictions of the q-m odel survive the inclusion of physically realistic force and torque balance constraints. Three questions are of particular in portance:

- 1. Does the probability distribution for stresses in an in nitely wide system approach a stationary limit at large depths?
- 2. If so, does the limiting probability distribution have the same form as that of the q-m odel?
- 3. Do the constraints induce any long range spatial correlations in the stresses?

As a rst step in answering these questions, distributions of the vertical forces were computed for a system of width 500 at depths up to 450. The cuto K was taken to be 1000, requiring direct solutions for approximately 3% of the cells.

Figure 6 shows the P (w), the probability density for the vertical force supported by a single cell at various depths. The weight w is de ned as the actual force supported, $(n_1 + t_1 + n_2 + t_2) = 2$), divided by the depth of the layer. Part (a) shows P (w) for the deepest layer measured, along with the analytic result for the q-m odel with a uniform distribution of q's between 0 and 1 which decays as exp(2w) at large w [6].

In the -m odel, it appears that P (w) decays as exp(w) for large w with roughly equal to 1.3, as shown in Figure 6a. The dashed lines on the gure are guides to the eye, having slopes -2 and -1.3. Figure 6b indicates that there is a noticeable evolution of P (w) up to depths as large as 400, with the decay at large w becoming slower and the number of cells supporting alm ost no weight increasing with depth.

The value of obtained in the -m odel can also be obtained from the q-m odel with a suitable choice for the distribution from which the q's are chosen. The open triangles in Figure 6a were obtained from simulation of the q-m odel using a distribution of q's that included delta-function peaks at 0 and 1; q was taken to be 0 for 5.5% of the cells, 1 for 5.5%, and uniform by distributed between 0 and 1 for the rest. The percentages were chosen in order to produce = 1.3, as determined by the mean-eld calculation described in the appendix. For comparison, Figure 7 shows the probability density for the values of q obtained in the -m odel, where q is de ned as the fraction of the vertical component of force on a cell that is transmitted to one of the cells in the next layer below, just as in the q-m odel. Note that the -m odel does appear to generate singularities at q = 0 and q = 1, but these are not delta functions.

Figure 8 com pares a measure of the spatial correlations in the -m odel and the q-m odel. The correlation function $hw_{i}w_{i+j}i_{c}$ is plotted, where i indexes the horizontal position of a cell in a single layer. Though there is a discernible di erence between the two models, it is clear that correlations decay rapidly, on the order of 10 cells. It may be argued that the q-m odel predictions should apply to the -m odel at large w since the force and torque balance constraints do not appear to generate any long range correlations. Though there is as yet no analytic proof that the -m odel weight distribution will indeed conform to the expected decay rate at very large w, the calculation in the appendix showing that this is the behavior expected in the q-m odel for an appropriately chosen q distribution, together with the fact that the q distribution obtained from the -m odel is reasonably well approximated by a singular distribution of this type, strongly suggest the conclusion that the exponential decay with 1.3 will persist to arbitrarily large w. It must be noted, how ever, that the numerical data for the q-m odel appear to correspond to a slightly larger value of . This may be due either to the in uence of correlations not taken into account in the mean eld caclulation, or possibly to the fact that the asymptotic decay rate emerges only for larger w or larger depths than were accessed in the simulations of F igure 6 Thus it is di cult to extract a more accurate value of for the -m odel from the data available at present.

The maximally random ized -m odel produces behavior more closely approximated by the q-m odel with 11% 0's and 1's than by the maximally random ized q-model. Indeed, the two models yield rather similar spatial correlations as well as single site weight distributions. It is interesting to note that R adjaiet al. reported an exponential decay in P (w) with = 1.4 in numerical solutions for the stresses in 2D disk packings in squares of side length 30 disk diameters subjected to large external loading [12], not far from the value predicted by the -m odel. In three dimensions, a similar singular q distribution was also found to agree best with dynamical simulations of spherical grains. [6] It is also worth emphasizing that in both the -m odel and the q-m odel with an appropriate q-distribution, there is signi cant evolution of P (w) for depths up to 450, even for small values of w.

As shown above for the silo geometry, the -m odel does provide a plausible picture of the m acroscopic stress eld. Unlike continuum theories, however, the -m odel can also provide details on the scale of the grain size. Figure 9 shows a typical portion of a conguration at large depth for the -m odel. Both the vertical and horizontal forces applied to each cell from above are shown. These im ages reveal that weight is supported by a network of arches with thickness on the order of the grain size. The appearance of such structures in a random m odel of this type is



FIG.6. Probability distributions for vertical forces at various depths. (a) C om parison of the -m odel with K = 1000 and the q-m odel with both a uniform distribution of q's and a distribution that is uniform except for sharp spikes at 0 and 1 accounting for 11% of the total density. The data is from the layer at depth 450. The dashed lines are guides to the eye, with slopes -2 and -1.3. (b) The -m odel as in (a), but with data shown for several di erent depths. The inset shows the region near w = 0, where a clear evolution of P (w) with depth is observed.



FIG.7. The q distribution generated by the -m odel with K = 1000. Each data point represents the relative probability that the q of a given cell will fall in a bin of width 0.001 and the plot is normalized to correspond to (q) as de ned in the appendix. The large circles m ark the values for the bins centered on 0.0005 and 0.9995. The inset shows an expanded view of the singularity near q = 0. There is no measurable delta-function contribution at q = 0 or q = 1.



FIG.8. Correlations between weights on cells in one layer. The averaging was done over all cells at depth 200 in 9000 con gurations. Data is shown for the -m odelwith K = 1000 and K = 3 and the q-m odelwith a q distribution that is uniform except for delta functions at 0 and 1 accounting for 11% of the total density.



Horizontal forces

FIG.9. M icroscopic arching in the -m odel. (a) Vertical forces supported by individual cells in the -m odel with K = 1000. D arker cells support larger vertical forces. The picture shown is a detail of a larger con guration, corresponding to a section the lower-m ost 70 layers in a system 240 layers deep. A clear central arch can be seen, together with several sm aller arches. (b) H orizontal forces in the same region as (a). D arker cells are being pushed to the left by cells above them and lighter ones to the right. The arch apparent in (a) is seen here to have the expected structure of horizontal forces. a nontrivial observation, as di erent ways of choosing $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $_2$ can lead to substantially thicker chains and even nearly uniform distributions.

Finally, a remark on the e ect of changing K is in order. Changing K to 100 in the -m odel generates direct solutions at 16% of the cells, but has little e ect on the results described above. Changing K to order 1, how ever, creates a m arked increase in the lengths and directions of the stress chains. A visual comparison of con gurations obtained with K set to 1 or 1000 is shown in Figure 10.

IV.CONCLUSIONS

The nct-lattice is rich enough to describe the stress eld in any material, with the scale of the cell size being completely arbitrary. For the case of non-cohesive granularm aterials with the cell size equated with the average grain size, how ever, simplifying assumptions can be made that lead to nontrivial predictions.

The -m odel studied in this paper includes particular choices of a few parameters that in uence the details of the distributions. The latter category of choices has to do with the distribution of mass within each cell (the parameters u, mg), the precise form of the direct solution used when random attempts fail, and the assumption that all force incident on the wall cells is simply absorbed. Variations in how these choices are made might be expected to correspond to di erent choices for classical parameters such as the wall-material friction angle and the internal friction angle, which would be rejected in the value of .

A nother parameter that can have a noticeable in unce on the force con gurations is the cuto K that roughly determ ines how often the direct solution must be used. When K is small, the direct solution is used often and the details of how it is implemented can be important. For large K, however, the direct solution is used only in situations where the range of possible solutions is highly restricted anyway, so that all possible choices are actually quite close to the direct solution. For this reason, the con gurations generated with K = 1000 are excellent approximations to the maxim ally random ized -m odel.

The present version of the model includes an assumption that permits congurations to be generated by propagating forces down from the top (restriction 3). This assumption is not rigorously justiable, and may well be expected to fail in situations where strain e ects are important. Savage has emphasized the importance of such e ects, especially in the case of a free-standing pile. The boundary condition at the bottom of the pile, (the sti ness of the supporting substrate, for example) is known to be important in determining the stress eld. [13] From the perspective taken in the current work, the question posed by the in uence of the boundary conditions is how the boundary conditions a ect the distribution of 's. Investigation of this issue might be possible if restriction 3 can be discarded and an algorithm developed for noting solutions consistent with appropriate boundary conditions on all sides of the lattice, including the bottom. In any case, the -m odel is designed primarily to lend insight into microscopic and macroscopic uctuations, not to investigate the details of how boundary conditions a ect the average stress eld.

The solutions obtained from the -m odel as constituted in this paper are su ciently compatible with experiments on average stresses [11] and uctuations [14,15] to warrant further study. The -m odel allows study of the qualitative features of the stresses at the grain size scale under the simplest physically consistent assumptions for the form of the geometric disorder. The e ect of the disorder is taken to permit all possible solutions of the local force and torque balance equations with uniform probability in the solution space parameterized by $_0$, $_1$, and $_2$. Further work is needed to determ ine the sensitivity of the results to change in the probability measure on this space.

From the data presented in this paper, it appears that the 2D -m odel predicts a weight distribution that decays as exp(1:3w), consistent with q-m odel predictions if and only if an appropriate singular distribution of q's is used. For such a q distribution, the q-m odel also yields spatial correlations sim ilar to the -m odel. This m ay be taken both as an indication that the prim ary in uence of the torque and horizontal force balance constraints is to select a particular form for the probability with which vertical force is transmitted between adjacent sites, and as a justi cation of the use of the q-m odel for understanding the basic structure of the stress uctuations.

In the sib geometry, the -m odel achieves a possibly unexpected measure of success that is not obtainable by adjusting parameters in the q-m odel. The form of the average stresses generated by the -m odel agrees rather well with experiments [11]. This type of behavior arises also from the M ohr-C oulom b constitutive relation, which assumes that the material is at incipient yield everywhere. The -m odel makes the rather dimensional prior that on the scale of the grain size the stress is as random as it can be without violating the fundamental conditions of stress equilibrium. The fact that this \works" suggests a conceptually new approach to the description of stress congurations in granular materials.

A nother intriguing connection of the -m odel to recent work involves the explicit description of torques at the granular level. Experimental studies of the thickness of shear bands and also recent work on continuum m odels that include the dynamics of a eld that characterizes the local rotation of the m aterial, known as C osserat continuum



FIG.10. Comparison of the -m odelwith large and sm all K. The vertical force on each cell is shown is for periodic boundary conditions. At each layer the force is norm alized by the layer depth. (a) K = 1000, resulting in use of the direct solution for 3% of the cells. (b) K = 1, resulting in use of the direct solution for 80% of the cells.

m odels, have shown that shear bands tend to have characteristic widths on the order of 10 to 20 grain diam eters. [16,17] The occurrence of a similar length scale in -m odel correlations suggests that the two approaches m ay be linked in a more profound way than has yet been understood.

G eneralization of the nct-lattice and -m odel to three dimensions is straightforward but requires a substantially larger number of variables per cell. U sing a face-centered cubic lattice oriented with the 111 axis on the vertical, one nds that there are 18 variables that must be computed for each cell. For each of the three downward-facing faces, one must nd

a norm alforce,

two components of the tangential force,

two components of the couple (about the two axes that lie in the plane of the face) associated with the norm al force,

and a third \torsional" couple (about the axis perpendicular to the face) determ ined by the spatial distribution of the tangential forces.

The generalizations of Eqs. (6), (7), and (9) provide six constraints, one for each component of force and torque. The resulting 12-dimensional space of possible solutions can be parameterized by six 's relating the norm alcouples to the norm alforces, three more analogous to the $_0$ of the 2D model, and the three torsional couples. The high dimension of the solution space for a single cellmakes the random guessing approach rather ine cient, and statistically signi cant data has not yet been obtained.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

Conversations with R.Behringer, S.Coppersmith, K.Matveev, R.Palmer, D.Schaeer, M.Shearer, and S.Tajima, are gratefully acknow ledged. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMR-94-12416.

APPENDIX: MEAN FIELD CALCULATION OF FOR THE q-MODEL

C oppersm ith et al. have derived several important results concerning the behavior of P (w) at large w in the q-m odel for various choices of the distribution of q's. [6] D e ne (q) as the probability that a given bond between cells will carry a fraction q of the vertical force exerted by the higher cell. C oppersm ith et al. show that P (w) decays as exp(w) with = 2 in 2D for any (q) that has no singular contributions at q = 0 (or q = 1). They also show, how ever, that di erent values of can be obtained if such singularities are present.

This appendix extends their mean eld calculations to the case of distributions of the form

$$(q) = \frac{1}{2} ((q) + (q \ 1)) + (1 \)$$
(A1)

in two dimensions, for which analytic results are obtainable. The term \mean eld" here refers to the fact that all correlations between weights on adjacent sites are neglected. It is known that the mean eld result is exact for certain special distributions, including the uniform one, and also that even in cases where it is not exactly correct, the deviations from it are small for large vertical forces. The calculation utilizes the Laplace transform form alism described in sections IID of Ref. [6]. Several results obtained there will be quoted here without explicit derivation.

Let P'(s) be the Laplace transform of the steady state weight distribution P (w) at large depths. P'(s) satisfies equation 2.11 of R ef. [6], reproduced here for the case of two dimensions only:

$$P'(s) = dq (q) P'(sq) :$$
(A2)

In addition, normalization conditions in ply that P'(0) = 1 and P'(s) ! 1 sass! 0. Substituting the desired form of yields

$$P^{r}(s) = \frac{Z}{2}(1 + P^{r}(s)) + (1) \int_{0}^{Z} dq P^{r}(sq) :$$
(A 3)

Dening $\mathbb{R}(s) = \Pr^{p}$ and changing variables in the integral, we have

$$\vec{R}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \vec{R}^{2}(s)) + (1 - s) + \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s} dx \vec{R}^{2}(x) = (A + s)$$

Multiplying by s and di erentiating both sides gives

$$\frac{d\vec{R}}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vec{R} + 1}{s (1 - \vec{R})} \frac{1}{z} \frac{\vec{R}^2}{r^2};$$
(A.5)

which can be solved for s in term s of R, yielding

$$bs = \frac{\vec{R} \ 1}{(+(2\vec{R})^{(2+)=(2)})};$$
(A 6)

where b is a constant of integration. Note that the norm alization conditions on P in ply R = 1 s=2 in the vicinity of s = 0. Substituting this form for R in Eq. (A 6), expanding about s = 0 on the right hand side, and equating coe cients of the rst order term yields

$$b = \frac{1}{2} (2 \quad 2)^{(2+)=(2)} :$$
 (A7)

The inverse Laplace transform of F'(s) will be proportional to $\exp(s_0w)$ at large w, w ith s_0 being the largest value of s for which F'(s) has a singularity, which occurs wherever R'(s) either has a pole or is of the form $c+(s s_0)$ with the constant $c \in 0$ and the exponent being non-integral. (If c = 0, then half-integral also does not yield a singularity in R^2 .) A though Eq. (A 6) cannot be inverted to obtain R'(s) explicitly, the position of the singularity in R'(s) can be determ ined. First note that for 0 < (1 Eq. (A 6)) in plies that R'(s) can diverge only at s = 0; since the coe cient of R' in the denom inator has magnitude greater than unity and the exponent is greater than one, the denom inator must grow in magnitude faster than the numerator as R'(s) can be determed to approach 1 at s = 0.) Next note that dR'=ds must diverge at any value of s for which R' = 1 = 1, and only at those points, as is evident from Eq. (A 5) and the fact that R' itself does not diverge. Finally, repeated di erentiation of Eq. (A 5) reveals that higher derivatives of R' cannot diverge at any point where the rst derivative does not diverge. Thus the singularity in R' can be located by setting R' = 1 = 1 in Eq. (A 6) and combining with Eq. (A 7) to determ ine s. The result is that the singularity occurs at

$$s_0 = 2 \ 1 \ \frac{1}{2} \ \frac{2}{2+} :$$
 (A8)

 s_0 approaches 0 as approaches 0, which m ay be expected given that = 0 corresponds to the critical distribution for which the q-m odel exhibits power law decay in P (w). [6] M oreover, s_0 approaches -2 as approaches 1, indicating a sm ooth convergence to the result derived for the uniform distribution. (The case of = 0 m ust be treated separately, how ever, and it is seen that R develops a pole at -2.) Finally, the exponent at the singularity m ay be obtained from $\lim_{R! = 1} \ln (d^2 R - ds^2) = \ln (dR - ds) = (2) = (1)$, which yields = 1 = 2. Thus there is a single singularity in \tilde{R} (s) and in the vicinity of the singularity we have $\tilde{R} = (1 =) + \frac{p}{(s - s_0)}$, with s_0 given above. This result is consistent with the claim in Ref. [6] that \tilde{P} (s) has a square root singularity for any (q) having a delta-function component at q = 0.

In order to compare to the num erical results for the -m odel, it is useful to nd the value of that produces a decay with = 1:3. From Eq. (A8) one sees that s_0 1:30:::: is produced by = 0:11, which is the reason that this value was chosen for plotting in Figures 6 and 8.

- [1] R.M. Nedderm an, Statics and kinem atics of granular materials (Cambridge University Press, 1992).
- [2] J.P.W ittm er, P.C laudin, M.E.Cates, and J.P.Bouchaud, A new approach to stress propagation in sandpiles and silos, in Friction, arching, contact dynamics, edited by D.E.W olf, W orld Scienti c, 1997.
- [3] F. Cantelaube and J. D. Goddard, Elastoplastic arching in granular heaps, in Powder and Grains 97, edited by R. P. Behringer and J. T. Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkem a.
- [4] H.M. Jaeger, S.R. Nagel, and R.P. Behringer, Physics Today 49, 32 (1996).
- [5] D. Howell and R. P. Behringer, Fluctuations and dynam ics for a two-dimensional sheared granular material, in Powder and G rains 97, edited by R. P. Behringer and J. T. Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkema.
- [6] S.N.Coppersm ith, C.H.Liu, S.Majum dar, O.Narayan, and T.A.W itten, Physical Review E 53, 4673 (1996), condmat/9511105.
- [7] R. Peralta-Faby, C. Malaga, and R. Rechtman, On arching in dry granular materials, in Powder and Grains 97, edited by R. P. Behringer and J. T. Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkema.
- [8] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Theory of Elasticity, Third ed. (Pergamon, Oxford, 1986).
- [9] E.B.Pitman, Forces on bins: The e ect of random friction, cond-mat/9708083.
- [10] K. Bagi, Analysis of m icro-variables through entropy principle, in Powder and G rains 97, edited by R. P. Behringer and J. T. Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkem a.
- [11] E.C. Lement, Y.Serero, J.Lanuza, J.R. a jchenbach, and J.D. uran, Fluctuating aspects of the pressure in a granular column, in Powder and Grains 97, edited by R.P.Behringer and J.T.Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkem a.
- [12] F.Radjai, M. Jean, J.-J. Moreau, and S.Roux, Physical Review Letters 77, 274 (1996).
- [13] S.B. Savage, Problem s in the statics and dynamics of granular materials, in Powder and Grains 97, edited by R.P. Behringer and J.T. Jenkins, Rotterdam, 1997, Balkema, A review of experimental and theoretical work on conical piles, including many useful references.
- [14] C.H.Liu et al, Science 269, 513 (1995).
- [15] B.J.M iller, C.O'Hern, and R.P.Behringer, Physical Review Letters 77, 3110 (1996).
- [16] H.-B. Mulhaus and I. Vardoulakis, Geotechnique 37, 271 (1987).
- [17] J.Tejchm an, M odelling of shear localisation and autogeneous dynam ic e ects in granular bodies, PhD thesis, U.Karlsnuhe, 1997, This H abilitation thesis contains a thorough review of work on Cosserat continuum m odels.