Pairing in the quantum Hall system

Kang-Hun Ahn and K.J.Chang

Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology,

373-1 Kusung-dong, Yusung-ku, Taejon, Korea

We nd an analogy between the single skym ion state in the quantum Hall system and the BCS superconducting state and address that the quantum mechanical origin of the skym ion is electronic pairing. The skym ion phase is found to be unstable for magnetic elds above the critical eld B_c (T) at temperature T, which is well represented by the relation B_c (T)= B_c (0) [1 (T=T_c)³]¹⁼².

In the quantum Hall system, 1 skymions, i.e., charged spin textures, have been attracting much attention. W hen Barret and his coworkers found that the electron spin polarization drops precipitously on either side = 1 (${\tt N}={\tt N}$, where ${\tt N}$ is the total number of of electrons and N is the Landau level degeneracy) $_{I}^{2}$ the ground state was thought to consist of skym ion which was already theoretically studied through the nonlinear sigm a model³ or the Hartree-Fock approach for zero tem perature.⁴ Later, the skyrm ion was described by a microscopic model without using the sigma model, how ever, electron-electron interactions were assumed to be unrealistic point interactions. From nite-size calculations for the electron-electron C oulom b interactions, X is and He^6 found the lowest energy states, which are nearly degenerated but have dierent total spins, in weak Zeem an coupling limit. These low-lying states were used to describe skym ions, which were suggested to be symmetry-breaking states.6;7 However, it is still desirable to know the quantum mechanical origin of skym ions. Here we nd that the quam tum Hall system has a pairing e ect, sim ilar to superconducting systems. Since the BCS theory has been very successful in superconductivity,⁸ we may use the same theoretical approach to understand the role of electron-electron interactions in the quantum Hallsystem.

In this work, we present a picture that the skyrm ion state consists of electron-hole pairs, as the BCS superconducting state m ade of the Cooper pairs. W ithout the nonlinear sigm a model, we are able to derive the skyrm ion wave function near the lling factor = 1, which is identical to that of Fertig and his coworkers,⁴ from the eigenstates of the m ean- eld pairing H am iltonian. We nd that the skyrm ion phase is unstable for tem peratures or magnetic elds above their critical values.

Let us consider a quantum H all system of N electrons with the lowest Landau level degeneracy N = N + 1, which has the lling factor (= 1) slightly less than one. The system with = 1+ can be also analyzed using the well known particle-hole symmetry. The quantum H all sample is assumed to have no impurities, so that the skyrm ion size is macroscopic in weak Zeem an coupling limit. For su ciently high magnetic elds, the ground state of the system will be in a state \int_{0}^{1} with all the

spins aligned along the sam e up direction and one hole at the origin. W hen the applied m agnetic eld is reduced to low er the Zeem an energy, $_0^+$ become sunstable against the creation of an electron-hole pair, which is described by c_m^y ; $_{\#}^d C_{m+1}$; $_0^+$ $_0^+$, where c_m^y ; and c_m ; are the creation and annihilation operators for the electron in the angular momentum l = m and spin state. Then, the single pair states with di erent m's can be linearly com bined to form the new ground state or the low-lying excited states of the system.

In analogy with the BCS pairing Ham iltonian, there exists an interaction potential V representing pair-pair correlations, which are responsible for the rigidity of the pair condensate. By noting that electron-electron interactions are purely the C oulom b interactions in our theory, the potential energy V in the Ham iltonian can be written as

$$V = \int_{m \in n}^{N} V_{m;n+1;n;m+1} C_{m;\#}^{Y} C_{n+1;"}^{Y} C_{n+1;"} C_{n;\#}; \qquad (1)$$

where V_{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4} is the Coulomb interaction matrix between the single particle states with $l = m_1; m_2; m_3$, and m_4 in the 2-dimensional system $.^9$ As in the case of superconductivity, the electron-hole pairings can lead to a phase transition, which is called a skyrm ionic transition (this notion will be clearly discussed later). In this transition, the topological charge Q of the state changes abruptly from -1 to 0 at the critical temperature T_c , which depends on the strength of the Zeem an coupling. Note that although the Coulom b interaction is purely repulsive, the expectation value of V can be negative, which makes the skyrm ion phase stable. Using the Hartree-Fock decom position, one can easily show that the peculiar form of V allows for the scattering between the states with di erent total spins (S_z) , but, it conserves $L_z = S_z$, where L_z is the z-component of the total orbital angular m om entum . The conservation of L_z S_z ensures that V represents proper interactions for the low -lying states of the system, because as found in Ref. 6, there are alm ost degenerate low est-energy states with the same value of S_z , which are well separated from higher energy L_z states. Sim ilarly, using the particle-hole symmetry, our theory can also be extended to the case of = 1 + ,where the wave function has $L_z + S_z$ symmetry and Q changes from 1 to 0 at the transition.

To calculate the physical quantities, we approximate all the interactions by their thermal averages except for V in the Hamiltonian. The problem is then reduced to noting the properties of the reduced Hamiltonian H_{red} = $_{m}$; $_{m}$; c_{m}^{V} ; c_{m} ; + V, where $_{m}$; is the self-energy for the electron in the state jn; i. In a mean-eld concept, we ignore the uctuations about the expectation values of c_{m+1}^{V} , $c_{m\#}$. This suggests that it will be useful to express such a product of operators form ally as $(c_{m+1}^{V}; c_{m;\#} = b_{m}) + b_{m}$, and subsequently neglect quantities which are bilinear in the presum ably small uctuation term in parentheses. If we follow this procedure with our reduced Hamiltonian, we obtain a model Hamiltonian

$$H_{M} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X \\ m ; & m ; \end{array}} c_{m}^{Y}; G_{m};$$

$$X \\ ({}_{m} c_{m+1;"}^{Y} G_{m}; \# + {}_{m} c_{m}^{Y}; \# C_{n+1;"} + {}_{m} b_{m}); \qquad (2)$$

$$m$$

where b_m 's are to be determined self-consistently from the relation $b_m = hc_{m+1;"}^{y}c_m$;#i and _m 's are the order parameters de ned as

$$m_{n} = V_{m;n+1;n;m+1} k c_{n+1;n}^{v} c_{n;\#} i:$$
(3)

As was done by the Bogoliubov transform ation in the BCS theory, the Ham iltonian in Eq. (2) can be diagonalized by a suitable linear transform ation which is specified by

$$c_{m ; \#}^{y} = v_{m m ; 0}^{y} + u_{m m ; 1}^{y}$$

$$c_{m + 1; "} = u_{m m ; 0} + v_{m m ; 1};$$
(4)

where the coe cients u_m and v_m satisfy $ju_m f + jv_m f = 1$ and $m_{;0}$ and $m_{;1}$ are the new Ferm i operators. Using these new operators, the model Ham iltonian in Eq. (2) is expressed as

$$H_{M} = \begin{array}{cccc} X & X & X \\ m_{M} & m_{m} & + & E_{m} \begin{pmatrix} y_{m;0 m;0} & y_{m;1 m;1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & & & X^{m} \\ & & & X^{m} \\ & & & & m \begin{pmatrix} y_{m;0 m;0} + & y_{m;1 m;1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5) \end{array}$$

where $_{m} = (_{m+1;"} + _{m;\#})=2$, $E_{m} = \overset{p}{\underset{m}{\overset{2}{\longrightarrow}}} + \overset{2}{\underset{m}{\overset{2}{\longrightarrow}}}$, and $_{m} = (_{m;\#} \quad _{m+1;"})=2$. Here E_{m} and $_{m}$ satisfy the following relations;

$$j_m f = 1 \quad j_m f = \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad \frac{m}{E_m})$$
: (6)

If we measure the energies with respect to the chemical potential lying between the up-and down-spin levels, we can ignore the last term in Eq. (5) because $_m$ is negligibly small, as compared to E_m .

The ground state j $_{\rm G}$ i of H $_{\rm M}$ $\,$ is then written as

$$j_{G}i = \bigvee_{m;1}^{Y} j_{D}i = \bigvee_{m}^{Y} (u_{m} c_{m;\#}^{Y} + v_{m} c_{m+1;"}^{Y}) j_{D}i; \quad (7)$$

where jDi is the vacuum state, and the ground state energy which is evaluated analytically^{4;7} is found to be lower than those for the states with $_{\rm m} = 0$. Note that the ground state is just equivalent to the skyrm ion wavefunction introduced in Ref. 4. This result suggests that the quantum mechanical origin of the skyrm ion in the quantum H all system is electronic pairing.

Since the Ham iltonian H_M in Eq. (5) describes independent excitations and the $_m$ operators obey Ferm i statistics, the probability of the excitation in thermal equilibrium is given by $h_{m,0}^{y} m_{;0} m_{;0} i = 1$ $h_{m;1}^{y} m_{;1} i = f(E_m)$, where $f(E_m)$ is the usual Ferm i function. Using this relation and the linear transform ation in Eq. (4), Eq. (3) can be rewritten as

$$m = \frac{X^{0}}{V_{m;n+1;n;m+1}u_{n}v_{n}h_{m;1}^{y}m_{;1}} \sum_{m;0}^{y}m_{;0}m_{;0}i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{0}}{V_{m;n+1;n;m+1}\frac{n}{E_{n}}} \tanh \frac{1}{2} E_{n}; \quad (8)$$

where = $1=k_B T$ and the prime denotes m \neq n. In the rst equality in Eq. (8), the expectation values of the o diagonal terms such as ^y_{m;0 m;1} are neglected because their contributions are not in portant in therm al equilibrium. By solving self-consistently the order parameter equation, we can obtain m as a function of T for each magnetic eld and nd that the order param eters have non-zero values only when $B < B_{c}$ and $T < T_{c}$, where B_c and T_c are the criticalm agnetic eld and critical tem perature, respectively. Since there is an e ective positive charge in the vicinity of the origin, m has in fact the dependence of m , where m 's are sm all integer num bers. For computational convenience, assuming m =forall values of m, we can determ ine in unit of the typical Coulom b energy $e^2 = 1$ from the self-consistent equation = $g + E_{ex} \tanh(=2)$, where $g = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{g}{hc=e^2} = 1$ is the Zeem an coupling parameter, $1 = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{1}{hc=e^2}$ is the magnetic length, and B and B_2 are the total applied m gnetic eld and its norm alcom ponenet to the 2D plane, respectively. Here g and are the band e ective g-factor and the dielectric constant of the host material in the quantum Hall sample. To determ ine the unknown param eter E_{ex} that comes from the exchange interaction, we rst calculate the critical value of from Eq. (8) at T = 0, which gives zero values for the order parameters m . U sing the known critical value ($q_c = 0.0265$) for the Zeem an coupling parameter, r at which the skym ion state disappears, the value of E $_{\rm ex}\,$ is determ ined so that the critical value $_{c}$ is equivalent to $g_{c} + E_{ex}$ at T = 0. Then, this value of E $_{\rm ex}\,$ is used for the self-consistent solutions of Eq. (8) at nite tem peratures. In fact, our approach of using E_{ex} as a parameter and a constant value for mchange slightly the magnitudes of $\ _{\rm m}$, how ever, it does not a ect the main result of our calculations.

0 nce $_{\rm m}$ (T) is calculated, the tem perature-dependent excitation energy E $_{\rm m}$ and the occupation number $f_{\rm m}$ =

 $f \in m$) can be determined. Then, the speci c heat is calculated by $C = T \, dS = dT$, where S is the electronic entropy of a fermion gas, de ned as $S = 2k_B \, m$ [(1 f_m) h (1 f_m) + $f_m \ln f_m$]. In the 2D electron system with the magnetic eld perpendicular to the plane, since there is no M eissner e ect, the system has no latent heat and the entropy is continuous at T_c . Fig. 1 shows the discontinuity of the speci c heat at T_c , and the size of the discontinuity is readily evaluated as follows;

$$C = (C_{s} C_{n})_{T_{c}} = \frac{f(c)[1 f(c)]}{k_{B}T_{c}} (\frac{d^{2}}{dT})_{T_{c}}; (9)$$

where $_{c} = (T_{c})$, $^{2} = {P \atop m} j_{m} j^{2}$, and the subscripts s and n denote the skyrm ion and norm alphases, respectively. Here we point out that for a given nonzero g, the size of the discontinuity in the specic heat C is nite for a single skyrm ion state, how ever, $C = C_n$ is negligible in the therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1 . Thus, practically, the observation of the phase transition will be only possible when the Zeem an coupling is very weak so that the skym ion size becom es macroscopic or many skym ions contribute to the discontinuity of the speci c heat. In the multi-skym ion case, when we do not take into account inter-skym ion interactions, the discontinuity of the specic heat at the lling factor may be approximated by 1j. It should be addressed that our C () CΝ j skym ion phase transition will not be the second-order phase transition, because no long-range order will exist for a nite-size skymion. The skymion phase transition involves the localized spins of electrons and is not related to the long-range order, sim ilarly to the K ondo problem , where there appears the quasi-bound state between the conduction-electron spin and the localized in purity spin below T_c .¹⁰

As already known for zero-tem perature systems, there is a critical magnetic eld for the skym ion-normal state transition.⁷ Based on our pairing theory in the quantum Hall system, we can determ ine the tem perature-dependent critical magnetic eld $B_c(T)$, similarly to the the G orter-C asim ir phenom enological theory for super-conductors, which gives the relation $B_c(T)=B_c(0)$

1 $(T = T_c)^2$. W ith B₂ xed, B_c (T) in our system can be expressed as

$$\frac{g_{c}(T)}{g_{c}(0)} = \frac{B_{c}(T)}{B_{c}(0)} \qquad 1 \qquad \frac{T}{T_{c}}^{3^{\#}_{1=2}}; \quad (10)$$

where $k_B T_c$ is calculated to be about $0.24e^2 = 1$ for g = 0. This form ula for $B_c(T)$ is found to be in good agreement with the results obtained directly from the order parameter equation in Eq. (8) (see Fig. 2), For G aAs samples, T_c for g = 0 is approximately 12.0 B_2 [Tesla] K¹¹. If uctuations or impurity e ects are considered, the critical temperature is expected to be much low ered, how ever, this is beyond the scope of our work. Since uctuations above the mean eld is important in two dimensional systems, we expect that the sharp specic cheat jump as shown in Fig. 1 will not take place in real systems. The estimation of to what extent $B_c(T)$ and C(T) are smeared out by the uctuations, thus, will make our work more explicit as the G inzburg criterion does in the usual Landau theory. Unfortunately, we are unable to nd any reliable criterion for the validity of our mean eld theory at this stage, which will be our future work.

In sum m ary, we have presented an analogy between the skyrm ion state and the superconducting state. The counterpart of the C ooper pair in superconductivity is found to be the pair which consists of a minority-spin electron and a majority-spin hole. We nd the temperature-dependent criticalm agnetic eld B_c (T), above which the skyrm ion is unstable at temperature T. Since our approach is based on the mean eld approximation and the uctuations above the mean eld are usually important in two dimensional systems, our calculated B_c (T) is considered to be an upper bound for the true temperature-dependent criticalm agnetic eld.

W ewould like to thank Dr.Y.-J.K im for useful discussions. This work is supported by the MOST, the CTPC and CMS at K orea A dvanced Institute of Science and Technology.

- ¹ The Quantum HallE ect, edited by R.E.Prange and S. M.Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1990).
- ² S.E.Barret, G.Dabbagh, L.N.Pfei er, K.W.West, and R.Tycko, Phys.Rev.Lett.74, 5112 (1995); R.Tycko, S. E.Barret, G.Dabbagh, L.N.Pfei er, and K.W.West, Science 268, 1460 (1995).
- ³ D.H. Lee and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1313 (1990); S.L.Sondhi, A.Karlhede, S.A.Kivelson, and E.H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B 47, 16419 (1993); K.Moon, H.Mori, K. Yang, S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald, L. Zheng, D. Yoshioka, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 51, 5138 (1995).
- ⁴ H.A.Fertig, L.Brey, R.C ote, and A.H.M acD onald, Phys. Rev.B 50, 11018 (1994).
- ⁵ A.H.M acD onald, H.A.Fertig, and L.Brey, Phys.Rev. Lett. 76, 2153 (1996).
- ⁶ X.C.X ie and S.He, Phys.Rev.B 53, 1046 (1996).
- ⁷ K.H.Ahn and K.J.Chang, Phys. Rev. B 55, 6735 (1997); Superlatt. M icrostruct. (in press).
- ⁸ J.Bardeen, L.N.Cooper, and J.R.Schrie er, Phys.Rev. 106, 162 (1957); 108, 1175 (1957).
- 9 The single-particle eigenstates are obtained in the sym metric guage. $V_{m\;;n+1;n\;;m\;+1}$ can be computed exactly from its analytical form. However, because of the computational di culty arising from numerical errors, we model $V_{m\;;n+1;n\;;m\;+1}$ for m + n > 50 by a (m + n)b(jn nj)e²= 1, where a (x) = (2:82580 + 1:03174x)^{0:39458} and b(x) = exp(0:05013 0:00866x² 0:62085^P x), while for m + n 50 we use the analytical form ulas.

¹⁰ Y.Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. 138A, 1112 (1965).

 11 In this case, B $_{?}\,$ is $\,$ xed with varying the total m agnetic eld, which can be realized in tilted- eld experiments.

FIG.1. The relative speci c heat $C_s = C_n$ is drawn as a function of T for the Zeem an coupling parameter g = 0.01.

FIG.2. The temperature-dependent critical magnetic eld scaled by $B_c(0)$ is shown as a function of $T=T_c$, with B_c xed. The dotted and solid lines represent the results from the order parameter equation and the tting formula $1-(T=T_c)^3$, respectively.