D ensity-relaxation part of the self energy

In a recent Letter, 0 gut, Chelikow sky and Louie [1] presented an important series of calculations of the effect of quantum con nement on optical gaps in large hydrogen-passivated spherical silicon clusters, by calculating the quasiparticle energies for addition of an electron and of a hole separately, and then the excitonic binding energy. The quasiparticle energies were calculated by what might be term ed a LDA approach: within the local-density approximation (LDA), the ground-state total energies of the n-, n 1- and n + 1-electron systems (where n is the number of electrons in the neutral cluster) were calculated, and then the quasiparticle gap was estim ated using

$$a_{n}^{\text{qp}} = E_{n+1} + E_{n-1} \quad 2E_{n}$$
: (1)

The authors suggest that this expression would be expected to approach the experim ental quasiparticle energy gap of bulk silicon (1.2 eV) in the large-cluster lim it. They presented a num erical t of the correction $_{g;\text{LD}\,\text{A}}^{\text{qp}}$, which they stated approached the bulk value of 0.68 eV like d ^{1:5}, where $\frac{qp}{g;LDA}$ is the LDA Kohn-Sham eigenvalue gap and d is the cluster diam eter. How ever, it is known that in the bulk lim it Eq. (1) (in the LDA) simply yields the LDA energy gap: the correction is zero. This is because the LDA exchange-correlation energy is an analytic functional of density: the fact that the change in electron density on adding (or subtracting) a single electron is of order 1=n allows the changes in the Kohn-Sham eigenvalues and the other ingredients of the energy to be evaluated using perturbation theory, and after a substantial cancellation between terms the stated result is obtained. (The same form ula yields the correct gap in exact Kohn-Sham DFT, but this re ects a non-analytic discontinuity in the exchange-correlation potential between the n-and n + 1-electron system s [2].)

In physical terms, the LDA approach includes the electrostatic e ect of the relaxation of the charge density when an electron is added or subtracted, and the corresponding relaxation in the LDA exchange-correlation potential. In the large-cluster limit, both these e ects go to zero, and the non-zero band-gap correction may be calculated using many-body perturbation theory in a suitable approximation (e.g. β ,4]), where the correction to the LDA band gap arises from the di ering e ects of the non-local self-energy on the states concerned [4].

Furtherm ore, there is no reason to suppose that this term in the self-energy correction that is excluded in the LDA approach is negligible in the clusters studied. Therefore it is likely that the quasiparticle and optical gaps given in Ref. [1] should be increased by very approximately 0.68 eV, where the error bar in this estim ated correction is smallest for the largest clusters. Of course, this additional correction is of lower relative in - portance for the smaller clusters.

To con m ourtheoretical analysis, we have reanalyzed the data for the LDA gap correction from Ref. [1], shown here in Fig. 1 as a function of 1=d. The dashed curve shows the best (least-squares) t of the form 0.68 eV + Ad ^p, as in Ref. [1], obtained by us with p = 1.40 (sim ilar to the 1.5 given in Ref. [1]). The solid curve shows the best t obtained if the constraint that the limit as d ! 1 should be 0.68 eV is rem oved (as it should be): K + Ad ^p with K = (0.12 0.24) eV, p = 0.92 0.14. The value of K is indeed consistent with zero, and inconsistent with 0.68 eV. The second t is m ore than twice as good as the rst, as m easured by 2 .

R.W. Godby^a, and ID.W hite^b

^aD epartm ent of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, UK.

^bCavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK.

- [1] Serdar O gut, Jam es R. Chelikowsky and Steven G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1770 (1997).
- [2] L.J. Sham and M. Schluter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1888 (1983), J.P. Perdew and M. Levy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1884 (1983).
- [3] M. S. Hybertsen and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1418 (1985).
- [4] R.W. Godby, M. Schluter and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2415 (1986).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.1 The band-gap correction from Ref. [1], plotted against the inverse cluster diameter. The best t (solid curve) correctly tends to a value consistent with zero.

