The state space of short-range Ising spin glasses: the density of states

T.K.btz, S.Schubert, K.H.Homann

Inst. f. Physik, TU Chem nitz, D-09107 Chem nitz, Germ any

April 15, 2024

A bstract

The state space of nite square and cubic Ising spin glass models is analysed in terms of the global and the local density of states. Systems with uniform and gaussian probability distribution of interactions are com – pared. D i erent measures for the local state density are presented and discussed. In particular the question whether the local density of states grows exponentially or not is considered. The direct com parison of global and local densities leads to consequences for the structure of the state space.

1 Introduction

The offen very unusual dynam ic behaviour of com plex systems like spin glasses [1,2] is signi cantly determined by the properties of their state space. One key to understand the relaxation and aging elects in this class of systems in particular for the low-temperature region is given by the structure of local minim a and barriers in the low-lying energy landscape. In order to construct models of this landscape, which are useful for simulating the non-equilibrium dynamics, it is necessary to extract and to quantify the important structural properties of this landscape. Unfortunately in experiments the state-space structure is only indirectly accessable. For systems with long-range interactions analytical m ean-eld-like m ethods have been applied to investigate the state-space properties. The situation for short-range systems is more complicated. Due to the computational e ort needed the exact calculation of the energetically low-lying excitations of a system is restricted to small system sizes. N evertheless the analysis of small sytems can give a rst understanding of e ects in principle and help to check m odel assumptions.

One of the simplest models for complex systems is the Ising-spin glass. There has been done a lot of research concerning the long-range SK-model [3]. A few numerical and experimental works tried to analyse the state-space structure more or less directly [4, 5]. This was mostly done in order to check the interesting theoretical predictions for the hierarchical structure of the phase space of the SK model [6]. For short-range systems the situation is more unsatisfying. For small J model systems a detailed analysis of the state-space has be done in [7]. It is unclear how strong the state-space structure found is in uenced by the discretness of the interactions. As a counterpart to the J system s usually system s with gaussian distributed interactions between nearest neighbours are treated. An extensive analysis of the morphology of the state space was undertaken in [8] by use of the so called lid method.

An interesting outcome of this investigations was, that the local density of states inside a state-space pocket seems to grow exponentially. Such an exponential increase of the local density of states with increasing energy would lead to drastical therm odynamic consequences. For tem peratures below a critical tem perature the occupation probability would reach its maximum at the ground-state energy. Thus the system is trapped in a certain state-space valley for a long time or even forever, provided that the barriers surrounding this pocket are high enough. For increasing tem peratures the maximum of the occupation probability jumps at a critical tem perature T_c from the minimal to the maximal energy of the system. Therefore the probability to leave the considered valley increases drastically. The system is no longer trapped in this valley.

This behaviour is not only in portant from a therm odynam ic point of view, but for optim ization problems and methods, too [9]. A ssum ing there exists

2

such a critical tem perature, the cooling scheme for simulated annealing methods should be chosen in such a way, that the algorithm has found the ground-state valley at a tem perature above the critical one. O therwise it may happen, that the algorithm never nds the true ground-state due to the low probability to jump to other valleys below the critical tem perature.

If the local density of states is exactly exponential, the critical tem perature is sharply de ned. However, if there is no exponential behaviour, the transition m ight vanish or is at least sm eared out. In this paper we try to clearify this situation. We analyzed nite two- and three-dimensional systems with respect to their density of states. Starting from the exact know ledge of all energetically low-lying states we calculated at rst the global density of states. A fler sorting the states according to the valley in state space they belong to, we will discuss various dimently measures for the local state density. Finally we will compare these dimenting measures.

2 M odel and m ethods

In the following we will present results for two- and three-dimensional Isingspin systems on square and cubic lattices with random ly chosen interactions between nearest neighbours and periodic boundary conditions. The lattice size is restricted by computational reasons and is L = 8 for the two-dimensional and L = 4 for the three-dimensional case. There is no external eld applied to the system s.

We analyzed system swith a gaussian distribution of interactions as well as system swith a uniform distribution. A disantvantage of the gaussian distribution of interactions in particular for local structure investigations of the state space is the possibility of extremely large local elds. These elds can lead to a crossing of all energy barriers by just a single spin ip. This unphysical drawback can be overcome by using a uniform distribution, which is in this sense a counterpart to the gaussian one. It restricts the maximal strengths of interactions and thus the maximal local eld.

In order to allow the comparison of both distributions the rst two moments

have been set equal. A susual the mean is set to zero and the standard deviation is norm alized to unity. If this choice leads to a very similar state space structure, both system classes could be used alternatively.

The basis of the state-space analysis is an exact determ ination of all energetically low-lying states up to a given cut-o energy by the method of recursive branch-and-bound [10]. The main idea of this method is to search the binary tree of all states. The search can be restricted by noting lower bounds for the minimal reachable energy inside of a subtree. If this lower bound is higher than the energy of a suboptimal state already found, it is not necessary to examine the corresponding subtree. A rst good suboptimal state can be found by recursively solving smaller subproblems. By adding an energy o set to the calculated lower bounds it is possible to calculate not only the ground states, but all states below a given cut-o energy too.

The obtained states were ordered by increasing energy using a distributed sort algorithm. Starting from the ground state and successively increasing the m axim all energy of the considered states all states are sorted according to their valley in the con guration space. For a chosen energy two states are sorted to the same valley, if one state can be reached from the other via a series of single spin ips without exceeding the chosen energy. Thus the denition of a valley depends on this energy. Each valley can be addressed by the state with minim all energy inside of the valley. Note, that a valley is joined with a more low -lying valley, if the considered energy becomes larger than the barrier between both valleys. Furtherm ore it should be noted here, that the denition of a valley of course depends on the denition of neighbouring spin con gurations. As it is done in most investigations we restricted ourself to consider only single spin ip processes.

3 Results

The global density of states g_{global} (") (GDOS) is dened as the number of states with energy "per spin above the ground state. Fig. 1 shows the logarithm of the global density of states normalized to the number of spins N in the system for the 2d and the 3d systems with gaussian and uniform distribution. The results are averaged over 20 di erent realizations of disorder for the gaussian distribution and over 50 samples for the uniform distribution. The errorbars give an idea of the sample to sample uctuations.

The GDOS is signi cantly higher for the 2d systems compared to the 3d systems. This is obviously caused by the dierent coordination numbers, as can be seen in g.2. The GDOS for the gaussian distribution is slightly higher than for the uniform distribution. Nevertheless, there seems to be no qualitative dierence between both curves.

For all systems the GDOS increases clearly subexponentially with energy. To quantify this behaviour it is possible to make an ansatz of the form

for small energies " above the m inimal energy. The occupation probability in equilibrium then reads

where denotes the inverse tem perature. The extrem al value of such a distribution is reached for

$$"_{ext} = ----- : (3)$$

The only singular point in (3) is at = . In the linear case = 0 the maximum of (2) jumps at this value of from the maximal energy of the system for high tem peratures to the minimal energy for low tem peratures.

If however 6 0 and > 1 the subexponential behaviour of the DOS as found in our data leads to a negative coe cient . Then it can easily be seen that the energy of the maximum of (2) is positive and nite for high temperatures and goes down with decreasing temperature. At and below T = 1= the occupation probability is highest for " $_{ext}$ = 0. If the linear term in g (") vanishes (= 0) and 0 < < 1, the maximum energy goes continuously from the maximal energy of the system down to the minimal one with decreasing temperature. Thus there is no sign of a critical behaviour caused by the DOS. As a result of the above discussion we chose two dimensions and the same term of the above discussion we chose two dimensions for the same functions in order to analyse our numerical data. For = 2 (1) simplifies to a quadratic polynomial ansatz, which we call in the following the quadratic t. The choice = 0 leads to a thing ansatz without any linear term, which will be called power t.

The quadratic ts shown in g. 1 t the data quite well. The ratio of the linear and the quadratic coe cient corresponds for the 3d systems to an energy of about 0:47 per spin. The inverse linear coe cients correspond to a temperature of T 0.5 in 2d and T 0:65 in 3d. The errors of these t parameters have been estimated. For the energy per spin it is of the order 0:05 per spin, the error for the temperature can be estimated to 0:05. The lines in g. 2 are power ts, which seem to t the data quite well, too. The gaussian and the uniform distribution dier only in the coe cients c and . The exponents are about 0.72 for both distributions. The error is of the order 0:05.

The localDOS (LDOS) is given by the number of states inside a valley at a given energy. In order to average the LDOS, it is necessary to clearify the m easuring procedure. W e discuss here three di erent possibilities.

The rst one is to start at a high temperature and to perform a steepest descent algorithm. The LDOS of the valley the system was trapped in, can then be measured relatively to the minim alenergy of this valley. The averaging will be done over di erent runs and di erent realizations of interactions. We will call the measure de ned in that way relatively measured LDOS (RLDOS) and denote it by g_{rel} .

The second possibility assumes that the ground-state of the system is known already. Then the local density of states can be measured relatively to the ground-state energy instead of the minimal energy of the valley found. We will call this variant absolutely measured LDOS (ALDOS) and denote it by g_{abs} . If the averaging procedures for g_{rel} and g_{abs} are restricted to the ground-state valley, both variants are equivalent and result in the averaged local density of ground-state valleys (GLDOS), which will be denoted by g_{as} .

It should be noted here, that in practice the averaging will be perform ed

not over di erent runs, but over all valleys found up to the cut-o energy. This may cause an system atic error due to valleys with localm inim a higher than the cut-o energy. O by busy, this e ect could only be important for g_{rel} .

As for the GDOS the RLDOS as a function of the energy per bond "=d is quite equivalent for 2d and 3d systems (Fig. 3). However, for energies higher than 0:02 per bond there seem to be systematic deviations. In both dimensions g_{rel} is slightly higher for the gaussian distribution. Both thing ansatzes the numerical data quite well, as can be seen by the examples given in g. 3. The linear coe cients of the quadratic ts correspond to critical temperatures of about 0:85 in the 3d case. The ratio between the linear and the quadratic coe cients is equivalent to an energy of about 0:6 (2d) and 0:9 (3d). The alternative power t results in an exponent 0:85 for both distributions.

For the absolutely measured DOS it is not possible to map the results for 2d to the results in 3d by taking into account the di erent coordination numbers (Fig. 4). The planar systems result in a lower ALDOS compared to the cubic systems. Moreover the power ts lead to exponents , which are very close to unity. The only exception is the 2d uniform distributed system with 1:17. The quadratic ts result in ratios between the linear and the quadratic coe cients larger than 1:0 per spin (2d gaussian) and larger than 2:0 per spin (3d), which is alm ost the inverse ground-state energy per spin. The exception is again the 2d uniform distributed system with a ratio of about 0:3 per spin. All in all the ALDOS grows alm ost exponentially with energy and the linear coe cients correspond to tem peratures of about 0:82 in 3d and 0:71 or 0:87 for 2d system s with gaussian or uniform distribution, respectively.

For the averaged LDOS of the ground-state valleys the 2d uniform distributed case seems to be an exception, too (Fig. 5). It is not clear, whether this is really an elect or just a problem of the statistical errors. The g_{gs} versus energy perbond curves for the other cases agree quite well. The power its lead to an exponent between 0:77 and 0:89. A coording to the ratio of the linear and the quadratic term of the quadratic its the deviations from the linear behaviour are of the order unity for energies between 0:6 and 0:9 per spin. The

7

linear term s correspond to tem peratures of about 0.5 (2d) and 0.65 (3d), which agree with the GDOS values.

In g. 6 the di erent DOS measures are compared for the 3d uniform distributed case. W ith increasing energy all valleys are joined successively with m ore low -lying valleys. If there is essentially only the ground-state valley left, the di erent DOS measures become equivalent. This seems to be the case at an energy of about 0:13 per spin. For all low er energies the global DOS, which counts the states in all existing valleys, is certainly larger than the DOS of the ground-state valleys. Because g_{abs} is averaged over the ground-state valley and m ore high-lying valleys and g_{abs} is always low er than the ground-state valley DOS g_{gs} , for absolutely measured energies the local DOS of the high-lying valleys is sm aller than the GLDOS.On the other hand the relatively measured RLDOS g_{rel} , which measures the DOS relatively to the minim al energy of a valley, is always larger than the GLDOS.Therefore the more high lying valleys must have larger local densities of states than the ground-state valley measured relatively to the minim al energy of these valleys.

4 Summary

We investigated the global and the local DOS for square and cubic Ising spin glass systems with a gaussian and with a uniform probability distribution of interactions, respectively. The quantitative di erences between the 2d and the 3d systems are mostly caused by their di erent coordination numbers. Although the rst two moments of the chosen distributions of interactions were set equal, the DOS for the gaussian systems is slightly higher than for the uniform distributed systems. However there is no signi cant qualitative di erence. Therefore it should be possible to use both distributions alternatively for investigations of the state-space structure.

From the direct comparison of the di erent DOS measures it follows, that at a given absolute energy most of the valleys have a lower LDOS than the groundstate valley. On the other hand, them ore high lying valleys have a higher LDOS measured relatively to the minimal energy of the considered valley. Thus we get a picture of the state space with small energetically low -lying valleys which have high energy barriers and wide energetically high-lying valleys with low energy barriers.

The existence of a large ground-state valley in the system could explain, why simple heuristic and approximative optimizing algorithms often are able to nd very good sub-optimial states in problems of this kind. In a rst approximation the probability to nd the ground-state valley of a system by a random search at a given energy is dened by the ratio between the DOS of the ground-state valley and the global DOS. In g. 6 this ratio is for high energies close to unity and decreases for lower energies. Therefore a simple search algorithm can easily nd the true ground-state valley at high energies (or high tem peratures). With decreasing energy or tem perature the chance to hit the right sub-valley decreases. Thus the algorithm will nd sub-optimal solutions, but not necessarily the optimal state.

A second feature of the state space picture seen here is, that for valleys which start at a high energy the RLDOS grows faster with energy than for valleys which start at a lower energy. As the LDOS should determ ine most of the non-equilibrium therm odynam ic properties seen in real or computer experiments, these properties will depend on the energy range at which the system is investigated. This should be kept in m ind while approximating ground-state or low-temperature properties by the investigation of energetically high-lying valleys.

The more detailed quantitative analysis of the DOS shows that only the absolutely measured localDOS g_{abs} grows almost exponentially. All other measures for the localDOS and the globalDOS grow clearly subexponentially. In all these cases the applied two trial ts with a quadratic and a power ansatz, respectively, describe the numerical data for the logarithm of the DOS quite well.

For the quadratic ts the corrections to the linear behaviour become of the order unity for energies of about 0.5 per spin. The linear coe cients correspond to tem peratures, which are for the 3d systems in the region of the transition

9

tem perature found for the gaussian systems [11]. However, although there should only be a zero-tem perature transition in 2d, the tem peratures resulting from the quadratic ts are about 0.5. The power ts di er in the absolute term s and the coe cients of the power term s. The exponents are with values about 0:7 signi cantly di erent to a linear behaviour. Therefore there is at least no sharply de ned transition tem perature, below which a system is trapped in a valley.

The question whether the form of the local DOS leads to a transition at all remains unclear. To decide this, a further analysis of the occupation probability of a valley with respect to the distribution of energy barriers of this valley would be necessary. Furtherm one the barrier distribution combined with the density of local minim a will give a better understanding of the physical meaning and the connections between the di erent LDOS measures.

It should be noted here that the de nition of the di erent DOS m easures does not depend on the underlying m odel. Therefore the quantitative analysis of these properties should give a better insight in the state space structure of sim ilar physical and optim ization problem s, too.

References

- J.Hammann, M.Lederman, M.Ocio, R.Orbach and E.Vincent, Physica A 185 (1992) 278.
- [2] J.-O. Andersson, J. Mattson and P. Nordblad, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 13977.
- [3] D. Sherrington and S.Kirckpatrick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1975) 1792.
- [4] K.Nem oto, J.Phys.A 21 (1988) L287.
- [5] D. Vertechi and M. A. Virasoro, J. Phys. 50 (1989) 2325.
- [6] M.Mezard, G.Parisi, N.Sourlas, G.Toulouse and M.A.Virasoro, Phys. Rev.Lett. 52 (1984) 1156.

- [7] T.K lotz and S.K obe, A cta Phys. Slovaca 44 (1994) 347.
- [8] P.Sibani and P.Schriver, Phys. Rev. B 49 (1993) 6667; P.Sibani and J.
 O.Andersson, Physica A 206 (1994) 1.
- [9] J.C.Schon, J.Phys.A 30 (1997) 2367.
- [10] A.Hartwig, F.Daske and S.Kobe, Comp.Phys.Commun.32 (1984) 133.
- [11] R.N.Bhatt and A.P.Young, Phys.Rev.B 37 (1988) 5606.

List of Figures

- 5 Local density of states in the ground-state valleys g_{gs} versus energy per bond "=d for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) system s w ith uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over di erent realizations of interactions and di erent valleys. The lines correspond to quadratic ts. . . 17



Figure 1: G lobal density of states g_{global} (") for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) system s with uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over di erent realizations of interactions. The lines correspond to quadratic ts.



Figure 2: G lobal density of states g_{global} versus energy per bond for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) system swith uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over di erent realizations of interactions. The lines correspond to power ts.



Figure 3: Relatively measured LDOS g_{rel} versus energy per bond for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) system swith uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over dierent con guration space valleys and dierent realizations of interactions. The dashed and the full line correspond to a quadratic t and a power t, respectively.



Figure 4: Absolutely measured LDOS g_{abs} versus energy per bond "=d for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) systems with uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over di erent realizations of interactions and di erent valleys. The lines correspond to quadratic ts.



Figure 5: Local density of states in the ground-state valleys g_{gs} versus energy per bond "=d for 2d (squares) and 3d (circles) system s with uniform (full symbols) or gaussian (open symbols) distribution of interactions averaged over di erent realizations of interactions and di erent valleys. The lines correspond to quadratic ts.



Figure 6: C om parison of the di erent DOS measures for the 3d uniform distributed case.