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#### Abstract

W e study a recently proposed equation for the avalanche distribution in the Bak-Sneppen model. W e dem onstrate that th is equation indirectly relates, the exponent for the pow er law distribution of avalanche sizes, to $D$, the fractal dim ension of an avalanche cluster. We com pute this relation num erically and approxim ate it analytically up to the second order of expansion around the $m$ ean eld exponents. O ur results are consistent w th $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations of $B$ ak-Sneppen $m$ odel in one and two dim ensions.


The Bak-Sneppen (BS) m odel [ī] has become one of the paradigm s of Selfo rganized $\bar{C}$ riticality (SO C) $\bar{Z}[1]$. $T$ he rules of its dynam ics are very simple: the state of the $m$ odel is com pletely de ned by $L^{d}$ numbers $f_{i}$ arranged on a d-dim ensional lattice of size L. At every tim e step the sm allest of these num bers and its $2 d$ nearest neighbors are replaced w th new uncorrelated random numbers, drawn from somedistribution $P$ ( $f$ ). This \m inim alistic" dynam ics results in a rem arkably rich and interesting behavior. In fact, there exists a whole class of m odels called extrem alm odels [3] to sim ilar rules, and share $m$ any sim ilar features $w$ ith the BS model. In all these models the update happens only at the site carrying the global $m$ inim um of som e variable. T he oldest, and perhaps the $m$ ost $w$ idely know $n$ of these m odels is Invasion Percolation $[\underline{-1}]$. The BS m odel, being the sim plest and the m ost analytically treatable extrem alm odel, occupies the place of an \Ising m odel" in this class.

The selforganized critical nature of the BS m odel (as well as of other extrem alm odels) is revealed in its ability to naturally evolve tow ards a stationary state where al$m$ ost all the variables $f_{i}$ are above a critical threshold $f_{c}$. $T$ he dynam ics in the stationary state is characterized by scale-free bursts of activity or avalanches, which form a hierarchical structure [1] [15] of sub-avalanches w ithin bigger avalanches. The introduction of an auxiliary param eter $f\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ allow s one to describe the system $w$ ith in the paradigm s of standard critical phenom ena. Indeed the distribution $P(s ; f)$ of avalanche sizes $s$ close to $f_{c}$, has the sam e qualitative behavior of the cluster distribution of percolation [i] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ above and below the critical threshold $p_{c}$ : For $f<f_{c}, P(s ; f)$ has a nite cut-o, rem iniscent of an undercritical system. As f! $f_{c}$ the cut-- diverges and a scale-free distribution $P\left(s ; f_{c}\right) \quad s$ em erges. In the overcritical regim $\mathrm{f}>\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$ there is a non-zero probability to start an in nite avalanche, but all nite avalanches again have a nite cut-o. Scaling
 of a general extrem alm odel in term s of only two independent ones, say and D \{ the fractaldim ension of the
avalanche cluster.
In order to com pute these tw o rem aining exponents one has to resort to $m$ ethods which go beyond scaling argu$m$ ents. A part from the solution of the $m$ ean eld case $\bar{\eta} \eta$, and a real space renorm alization group approach $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Br } \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ for $d=1$, a system atic theory to com pute the BS exponents is still lacking. A prom ising step in this direction was recently taken by one of us [d] $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { d } \\ \text { th }\end{array}\right.$ the introduction of an exact equation for the avalanche distribution. H ereafter we w ill refer to this equation as the A valanche $H$ ierarchy Equation (AHE). It was shown that inside the AHE is hidden an in nite series of equations, relating di erent m om ents of the avalanche size distribution.

In this letter we dem onstrate that, as it was con jectured in $[\underline{[10}]$, the AHE indirectly relates these two exponents, thus reducing the num ber of independent critical exponents in the BS m odel to just one. C ontrary to sim ple rational relations based on scaling argum ents [3린] this exponent relation is highly non-trivial. First we display the num erical solution of the AHE. Then we perform a perturbative \"-expansion around the $m$ ean eld solution, up to the second order in . The num erical solution of A HE is in agreem entwith the M C sim ulations in $d=1 ; 2\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[3,1} & 10 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and is well approxim ated by the results of the expansion up to second order. They constitute a signi cant step forw ard tow ards the full solution of the BS model. H ow ever, the unusual type of the expansion around the $m$ ean-eld exponents leaves open the question of the upper critical dim ension $d_{c}$ in the $m$ odel. It also does not answ er the question about the geom etrical, fractalproperties of the avalanche chuster. Instead, given an avalanche fractal dim ension $D$ it enables one to derive the power of the avalanche distribution. Sim ilarly, in ordinary percolation the pow er of cluster distribution
is related to the cluster's fractal dim ension $D$ via a hyperscaling relation $=1+\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{D}$ [ $[\mathbf{l}+\mathrm{b}]$.

Follow ing ref. [9, $\overline{1} 1]$ let us consider the exponential distribution $P(f)=e^{f}, f>0$. This simpli es the expressions $w$ thout loss of generality [1] 1 one records the signal of the $m$ odel, i.e. the value of the global $m$ inim al num ber $f_{m}$ in $(t)$ as a function of time $t$.

Then for every value of an auxiliary param eter $f$, an $f-$ avalanche of size (tem poral duration) $s$ is de ned as a sequence of $s \quad 1$ successive events, when $f_{n}$ in $(t)<f$, con ned betw een two events, when $f_{m}$ in $(t)>f$. In other words, the events, when $f_{m}$ in $(t)>f$, divide the time axis into a series of avalanches, follow ing one another. The AHE is an equation for the probability distribution $P(s ; f)$ of $f$-avalanche sizes $s$, and it reads

$R^{d}(s) P(s ; f)$. Here $R^{d}(s)$ is the average num ber of distinct sites updated at least once during an avalanche of size $s$. The equation describes how the sequence of avalanches changes when the value of $f$ is raised by an in nitesim al am ount df. The rst term on the RHS describes the gain of avalanches of size $s$ due to $m$ erging of tw o consecutive avalanches of size $s_{1}$ and $s \quad s$. Such $m$ erging occurs when the value of $f_{m}$ in $(t)$, term inating the rst avalanche, happens to be in the interval $[f ; f+d f]$. The factor $R^{d}\left(s_{1}\right)$ com es from the fact that $w$ hen avalanches $m$ erge, the active site starting the second avalanche must be one of the sites updated in the rst avalanche. The bigger is the region covered by an avalanche, the better are its chances to $m$ erge $w$ ith the one directly follow ing it. The second term in the RHS is the \loss tem " due to avalanches of size $s m$ erging to form a larger avalanche.

To proceed further one needs to introduce the scaling ansatz $R^{d}(s) \quad s$ for the num ber of updated sites. This pow er law relation is a consequence of the spatio-tem poral fractal structure of the avalanches in the BS m odel [12 $\left.\overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right]$. $T$ he exponent (d), which is an independent \input" variable in the AHE, depends on the dim ensionality of the m odel and the fractal structure of the avalanche. Physically, the exponent relates the volum e of the spatial projection of an avalanche cluster to its tem poral duration $s$. If this spatial pro jection is a dense ob ject with a fractal dim ension equal to the dim ension of space $d$, is given by $d=D$. In this expression $D$ is the fractaldim ension of the avalanche [3] $\overline{3}$ ] de ned through $s=R^{D}$. This is known to be true in $\bar{d}=1$, where the connected nature of an avalanche cluster ensures the com pactness (absence of holes) of its projection. In $d=2$ the projection of the avalanche can have holes, but still it w as num erically found to be dense (i.e have a fractaldim ension d) [3ָ=1]. It is clear that, as the dim ensionality ofspace is increased, the exponent should approach 1 , since $m$ ultiple updates of the sam e site becom e less and less likely and the volum e of the pro jection should be closer and closer to the total volum e $(2 d+1)$ s of the avalanche itself. The $\backslash$ hyperscaling" relation $=d=D$ willbe clearly violated for $d>d_{c}$, where one has $D=4,=1$ [3] [1] 1 . From this it follow $s$ that $d_{c} 4$ for the BS m odel.

The introduction of the \phenom enological" exponent closes the A HE, which then reads
$\varrho_{f} P(s ; f)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}=1}^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1} P\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1} ; f\right) P(\mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~s} ; f) \quad \mathrm{s} P(\mathrm{~s} ; f):(1)$
The solution ofequation (1, $\overline{1}$ ) exhibits a power law behavior $P(s ; f) \quad s \quad w h e n f$ is at its critical value $f_{c} . C$ lose to $f_{c}$ it takes a scaling form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s ; f)=s \quad F(s \quad f) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=f_{c} f$. The exponents, , and are related through $=1+$ 1.13. Perhaps a m ore fa$m$ iliar form of this exponent relation involves the correlation length exponent $=1=\mathrm{D}$. The relation then becom es $=1 \pm(\mathrm{d} \quad 1=1=$ D. It has been con jectured_ 19 ] that equation $[\underline{1} \mathbf{1})$ also indirectly relates the exponents and . In order to check this con jecture we num erically integrated Eq. (11) forw ard in f w th the initial condition $P(s ; 0)=s ; 1$ for several values of [14]. In order to locate the critical point $f_{C}()$, a least square $t$ of $\log P(s ; f)$ vs logs w as perform ed runtim e for each value of $f$. The value ${ }^{2}(f)$ of the sum of the squared distances from the $t$ drops alm ost to zero in a very narrow region (see Fig. ' '1'1), which then allow s for a very precise estim ate of $f_{c}()$ and ( ). The results for the latter are show $n$ in gure $\overline{1}=1$ (2). These results are in a perfect agreem ent $w$ th $M$ onte $C$ arlo estim ates of in one and two dim ensions [isild]. In $d=1, \quad=1=D=0: 411$ (2) and
= 1:07(1), while the results of num erical integration of (11) give $(0: 4)=1: 058$. In $d=2$ the $M$ onte $C$ arlo results
$=2=\mathrm{D}=0: 685(5),=1: 245(10)$ are also consistent w ith our relation giving $(0: 7)=1: 238$. This con m s that Eq. [1] $\overline{1}$ ) indeed contains a novel non-trivial relation between and.

In order to address this relation analytically, let us
 $p(; f) \quad l_{s=1}^{1} P(s ; f) e^{s}$, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{f} \ln [1 \quad p(; f)]=X_{s=1}^{X^{1}} P(s ; f) s e^{s}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p(; f)$ has the scaling form given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(; f)=1 \quad{ }^{1} h(f=\quad): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This scaling form follows from Eq.(Z) and the scaling functions are related through $h(x)=R_{1} \mathbb{F}(0)$ F (xy )e ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{ly} \mathrm{dy}$.

The scaling function $h(x)$ (as well as $F(x)$ ) is analytic at $x=0$. Its large jxjasym ptotics is determ ined by the fact that at any $f \in 0, p(; f)$ is analytic in , since $p(; f)=1 \quad P_{1}$ (f) $+h s i_{f}+h s^{2} i_{f}^{2}+:::$, and all the $m$ om ents of $P(s ; f)$ are nite except at the critical point. H ere $P_{1}(f)$ is the probability to start an in nite avalanche, and $P_{1}(f)=0$ for $f<f_{c}$. $M$ atching the expected behavior ofp (;f) to its scaling form one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\dot{x} j)=\dot{x} \dot{j})=\sum_{k=0}^{X^{k}} b_{k} \dot{x} j^{k=}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\left.h(x) j j^{j} \quad\right)=$ for $\dot{x j} \quad 1, m$ ust be an analytic function of $k j^{1=}$. The coe cients $b_{k}$ for $k>0$ are related to the am plitudes of the diverging m om ents through hs ${ }^{k} i_{f}=(1)^{k+1} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \dot{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{f} \mathrm{j}^{(k+\quad)=}$, in the under-critical ( $\left.b_{k}^{+}, f<f_{c}\right)$ and in the over-criticalregim e $\left(b_{k}, f>f_{c}\right)$. A swew ill see later, it is the condition that $h(x)$ has the desired asym ptotic form ( $\underline{5}_{1}$ ), which xes the value of for a given.

W th the scaling ansatz ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, the LHS of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ becomes $\quad h^{0}(x)=h(x)$, where $x=f=$. TheRHS needs som emore work: $\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\text {using }} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}}=@ \mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}}$ and the identity $s^{1}={ }_{0}^{R_{1}} t \quad e^{s t} d t=(1 \quad)$, one can express the RHS of Eq. ( $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}$ ) in term $s$ of an integral involving $p(; f) . M$ atching the powers of in the resulting equation for $h(x)$ one gets once $m$ ore the well know $n$ exponent relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+\quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter elim inating , equation $\overline{(1)}(\beta)$ nally reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h^{0}(x)=\frac{h(x)}{(1}\right)_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{x z h^{0}(x z)-h(x z)}{\left(1 z^{=}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ewere not able to solve equation $\left(\overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and nd the exact relation ( ). H ow ever, we can explicitly solve A HE for
$=1$. $T$ his corresponds to the $m$ ean eld version of the BS m odel, which has been studied in detail [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. W e w ill rederive their results using our approach. O ur strategy w illthen be to perform a system atic \{ expansion around the $m$ ean- eld solution, where $=1$. This clearly di ers from the standard " $=\mathrm{d} \quad d_{c}$ expansion (note that the upper critical dim ension $d_{c}$ for the BS model is still an open issue), since the dim ensionality of the system does not enter directly into our discussion.

As ! 1 , the integral in Eq. ( $\bar{T}_{1}$ ) diverges for $z^{\prime}$ 1 , but so does ( 1 ). This implies that the factor $\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}(1) & z^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\right]^{1}$ behaves as a $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & 1) \text { function. }\end{array}\right.$ For $=1$ Eq. (긴) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}(x)=x h(x) h^{0}(x) \quad(1 \quad) h(x): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its solution reads $h(x)[1 \quad x h(x)]^{1}=a_{0}$, w th $a_{0}$ an
 $h(x)^{\prime} x^{1}\left(C+D x^{1=}+:::\right)$, com patible $w$ th the solution of Eq. ( $\bar{i})$ ) only if $C=1$ and $=1 \quad=1=2$. For $a_{0}=1$ one recovers a $m$ ean eld solution $\overline{1}$, 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{4+\mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{x}}{2}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above derivation dem onstrates that the know ledge of the whole scaling function $h(x)$ is necessary in order to nd the exponent .

To proceed beyond the $m$ ean eld case we perform a 1_ $\quad\left\{\right.$ expansion ofE $q_{1}^{1}(7)$ around the $=1$ solution $(\underline{\bar{g}}) . \mathrm{W}$ e put $=\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{C}$, where c is to be determ ined later. It is convenient to change variables to $z=h^{(0)}(x)$ and
to set $h(x)=z\left[1+\quad(z)+O\left(^{2}\right)\right]$. K eeping only term $s$ linear in one gets an equation for :
$\frac{1}{2} z\left(1+z^{2}\right) @_{z}=1 \quad(1=2)+(1+2 c)^{2} z+2 \ln \frac{1+z^{2}}{2 z} ;$ where ( $x$ ) is the logarithm ic derivative of ( $x$ ). This equation has to be solved w ith the boundary condition
$(z=1)=0$ (i.e. $h(0)=1$ ). A fter som e algebra one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=A \frac{1 \quad z^{2}}{1+z^{2}} \quad 2 \ln \frac{1+z^{2}}{2}+\frac{2+(2 \quad 4 c) Z^{2}}{1+z^{2}} \ln z \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=2+\quad(1=2)=0: 03649:::$. The value of $c$ is set by the requirem ent that $(z) \mathrm{m}$ ust give rise to the desired asym ptotic behavior of $h(x)$. The singular behavior at $z^{\prime} \quad 1=x!0 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be $m$ atched to the asym ptotics of $h(x)$ for $x$ ! 1 . To order , Eq. $\overline{(\underline{( })} \mathbf{( \$ )}$ requires that $h(x)=x^{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2+4 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{p}\right)$, where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ is analytic at $\mathrm{y}=0$ to order ${ }^{\left.0, f(y)=\left(p \frac{1+4 y}{1+4} \quad 1\right)=2\right] \text {. Expanding this }}$ relation to order one nds that the singular part of
( $z$ ) $m$ ust have exactly the form $2\left[1+2 c z^{2}=\left(1+z^{2}\right)\right] \ln z$. $T$ he only value of $c$ which $m$ atches this requirem ent to the last term in the RHS of Eq. (1 $1 \underline{1}$ ) is $c=0 . N$ ote that the whole asym ptotic behavior, and not just its leading part, is necessary to determ ine c.

This concludes the rst order of the expansion in . W e have found that in the rst order in the critical exponent did not change. The exponent relation ( $\overline{(G)}$ then gives $=3=2$. Finally, the analytic form of the scaling function $h(x)$, containing all inform ation about the am plitudes of avalanche $m$ om ents, is given by
$h(x)=\frac{P \overline{4+x^{2}}}{2} 1+\frac{x^{2}}{4} \quad 1+\frac{A x}{4+x^{2}}+O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ :
The extension of this procedure to higher orders in is straightforw ard, even though it involves m uch heavier algebra. Skipping the details [15], up to second order in we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{4}{3}(+\ln 2 \quad 1)^{2}+O\left({ }^{3}\right) \\
& 0: 50: 3605^{2}+O\left({ }^{3}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& , 1: 5+0: 3605^{2}+O\left({ }^{3}\right): \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here , 0:5772 is the Euler's constant. The explicit expression for $h(x)$ at this order is not particularly ilum inating, so we refrain to display it here. As seen in Fig. $\overline{2} 1$, the expansion up to the rst two orders is in excellent agreem ent w ith num erical data dow $n$ to $0: 6$ ( $0: 4$ ).
On the other side, Fig. $\overline{\text { n }}$, seem s to suggest a singular behavior of () as ! 0. The specialty of $=0 \mathrm{can}$ be understood by observing that in this case $P(s ; f)$ does not obey scaling. Indeed, $=0$ corresponds to a trivial m odelw ith only one constantly updated site, which can
be considered as a 0-dim ensional lattice. T he probability of $f$-avalanches of size $s$ is trivially derived from the probability $P\left(f_{m \text { in }}(t)<f\right)=1 \quad e^{f}$ that the signal is below $f: P(s ; f)=e^{f}\left(1 \quad e^{f}\right)^{s}{ }^{1}$. This is indeed the solution ofE q. [ $\overline{1} 1)$ w th $s=1$. There is no phase transition (num erically we found $f_{c}() \quad 1=!1$ as ! 0) and the avalanche distribution always has an exponential cuto . This suggests that $d=0$ can be intenpreted as the low er critical dim ension for the BS m odel (note that $P(s ; f)$ in the $d=0 B S m$ odel is very sim ilar to the cluster size distribution in the $d=1$ percolation [6] ${ }_{[1]}^{[1])}$.

In conclusion, we have show $n$ that the avalanche hierarchy equation introduced by one of us in $\left.\overline{\underline{p}}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ y ields a new relation betw een exponents in the B ak-Sneppen m odel, thus reducing the num ber of independent exponents to just one. This relation expresses , the pow er law exponent in the avalanche probability distribution, in term sof $D$, the $m$ ass dim ension of an avalanche cluster. W e were able to perform a system atic expansion of this relation around the $m$ ean eld exponents, carried to the second order in this w ork. T he success of this approach suggests that a com plete " = d de expansion for the BS m odel could be possible. T he accom plishm ent ofthis task, how ever, calls for a system atic study of the BS m odel in high dim ensions and for identi cation of the upper critical di$m$ ensionality $d_{c}$.
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FIG.1. The plot of ${ }^{2}$ and vs f for $=0: 411$ and fn ax $=521 ; 1024$ and 2048 .


FIG.2. The relation ( ): num erical solution of Eq.(1) (2, with f ax $=1024$ ), expansion up to order 1 (dashed line) and (1 $)^{2}$ (full line). T he results of the $M$ onte $C$ arlo num erical sim ulations in $d=1,2$ [3] and the $m$ ean eld result [7] are also shown.

