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The scattering theory of quantum transport relates transport properties of disordered m esoscopic conductors to their transfer $m$ atrix $T$. W e introduce a novelapproach to the statistics of transport quantities which expresses the probability distribution of $T$ as a path integral. The path integal is derived for a m odel of conductors $w$ ith broken tim e reversal invariance in arbitrary dim ensions. It is applied to the D orokhov-M ello-P ereyra-K um ar (D M P K ) equation which describes quasi-onedim ensional wires. W e use the equivalent channel model whose probability distribution for the eigenvalues of $T^{y}$ is equivalent to the DMPK equation independent of the values of the forw ard scattering $m$ ean free paths. $W$ e nd that in nitely strong forw ard scattering corresponds to di usion on the coset space of the transferm atrix group. It is show $n$ that the saddle point of the path integral corresponds to ballistic conductors w ith large conductances. W e solve the saddle point equation and recover random $m$ atrix theory from the saddle point approxim ation to the path integral.

PACS num bers: $72.10 \mathrm{Bg}, 05.60 .+\mathrm{w}, 72.15 \mathrm{Rn}, 73.50 \mathrm{Bk}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

$$
S=\begin{align*}
& r t^{0}  \tag{2}\\
& t r^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

A dvances in $m$ icrofabrication technology led to the realization of $m$ esoscopic electronic devices. In such devices the $m$ ean free path for inelastic electron scattering exceeds the dim ension of the device. As a consequence phase coherence is $m$ aintained which leads to quantum interference e ects like universal conductance uctuations, persistent currents and A haranov-Bohm oscillations in rings, or weak localization. The phase coherence has also serious theoretical im plications. It causes large conductance uctuations which are related to the problem of high gradient operators in the eld theoretic description of the $m$ etal insulator transition $n^{\prime 2} 15^{15}$. These uctuations $m$ anifest them selves already in the $m$ etallic regim e as logarithm ic nom al tails of the conductance probability distribution. As the critical regim e is approached the conductance probability distribution becom es increasingly broader until it reaches a logarithm ic norm al form in the insulating regim $e^{l_{1}}$.

A com $m$ on approach to transport quantities of $m$ esoscopic conductors is the scattering theory of quantum transport ${ }^{n}{ }^{n} \frac{18}{4}$. It m odels the conductor by a disordered region which is connected to a num ber of ideal leads which support propagating wave $m$ odes. The num ber of leads corresponds to the num ber of $m$ easurem ent term inals. Here only two term inal geom etries will be considered. $T$ he scattering $m$ atrix relates the am plitudes $I_{k} ; I_{k}^{0}$ of the incom ing w ith the am plitudes $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}(\mathrm{k}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N})$ of the scattered propagating wave $m$ odes at the Ferm ienergy,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{O}^{0}= \\
& \mathrm{I}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$t$ and $r$ are the transm ission and re ection $m$ atrioes for incident $w$ aves from the left, and $t^{0}$ and $r^{0}$ are the trans$m$ ission and re ection $m$ atrioes for incident $w$ aves from the right. The dim ensionless two-probe conductance $g=G=\left(e^{2}=h\right)$ in term $s$ of the transm ission eigenvalues $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of $\mathrm{tt}^{y}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=X_{k=1}^{X^{N}} T_{k}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

T here are three universality classes w hich correspond to di erent physical situations. C onductors w th tim e reversal invariance lie in the orthogonal universality class. The unitary universality class corresponds to conductors in which the tim e reversalsym $m$ etry is broken, e.g. by a $m$ agnetic eld. C onductors with spin- ip scattering processes but no tim e reversal sym $m$ etry breaking fall into the sym plectic universality class.
$R$ ecently the quasi-one-dim ensionalw ire has attracted considerable attention. The width of a quasi-onedim ensional $w$ ire is of the order of the $m$ ean free path for elastic electron scattering so that transverse di usion can be neglected and the cross section of the wire becom es structureless. Interesting non-perturbative results which are valid, for all wire lengths have been obtained for this system ${ }^{1}$ ? ${ }_{1}^{2}$. Furtherm ore it has been the ideal playground for new ideas in the eld of quantum transport.

O ne of these ideas is the FokkerP lanck (FP) approach to quasi-one-dim ensionalw ires. The FP equation which describes the probability distribution for the trans$m$ ission eigenvalues is know $n$ as the D orokhov $M$ elloP ereyra-K um ar (DMPK) equation. It has been derived
 di erent $m$ odels. Its form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ p(s ; f k g)}{@ s}=2^{X^{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{@}{@_{k=1}} \frac{@ p}{@ k}+p \frac{@(f k g)}{@_{k}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& (f \quad \mathrm{k} \text { ) })=\mathrm{X} \quad \ln j(\cosh \quad k \quad \cosh \quad 1)=2 j \\
& { }^{k<1} \text { X } \\
& 1=\quad \ln j \sinh k j  \tag{5}\\
& \text { k }
\end{align*}
$$

$=\mathrm{N}+2$, and cosh ${ }_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & T_{k}\end{array}\right)=T_{k}$. The values of are $1 ; 2$; and 4 for the orthogonal, unitary and sym plectic universality class respectively. The DM PK equation has been studied intensively in the past few yeara ${ }^{1812}\{2]$. B eenakker and Rejex ${ }^{8!29}$. discovered that the variation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; f{ }_{k} g\right)=\exp \quad \overline{2} \quad\left(f_{k} g\right) \quad\left(s ; f{ }_{k} g\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the Sutherland transform ation $\frac{\text { '30' }}{}$ which is known to solve the B, row nian $m$ otion $m$ odel for the circular unitary ensemble ${ }^{311}$, works as well for the DM P K equation. A $\mathrm{f}-$ ter this transform ation ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{f} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{g}$ ) obeys a Schrodinger equation for N non-interacting particles. As a consequence the exact form ofp ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{f}{ }_{k} \mathrm{~g}$ ) could be determ ined. $T$ his solution has been the basis for Frahm 's exact calculation of the one- and two-peint correlation functions of the transm ission eigenvalues ${ }^{111}$.

In this paper we present a novel approach to the scattering theory of quantum transport which expresses the probability distribution of the transfer $m$ atrix as a path integral. Our motivation has been the belief that the path integral technique can be developped into a tool which is m ore powerful than the FP approach when it com es to the description of higher-dim ensional conductors.

## II. SCATTERING M ODEL

$W$ e use the transfer $m$ atrix $T$ instead of the $S-m$ atrix to $m$ odel the scattering properties of the disordered conductor. The transfer $m$ atrix relates the scattering am plitudes in the left lead w ith the scattering am plitudes in the right lead

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}^{0}  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{I}^{0}
\end{aligned}=\mathrm{T} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{O}
\end{align*}
$$

It has the advantage that it obeys the $m$ ultiplication law

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(L+L ; 0)=T(L+L ; L) T(L ; 0) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the sim ple Langevin equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(x) \quad \frac{d T(x ; 0)}{d x}="(x) T(x ; 0) \\
& "^{11}(x)  \tag{9}\\
& "^{21}(x)
\end{align*} \quad "^{12}(x)(x) \quad T(x ; 0)
$$

for the stochastic evolution of the transfer $m$ atrix. T he disorder is generated by the $m$ ultiplicative noise ".

In this paper we consider only conductors in the unitary universality class. Then, $T$ obeys the sym $m$ etry constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
z T^{Y} \quad z^{T}=1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which ensures ux conservation, where

$$
z=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{11}\\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad:
$$

A convenient param etrization of the transfer $m$ atrix is the polar decom position ${ }^{191}$
$\mathrm{T}=\begin{array}{ccccc}\mathrm{u}_{1} & 0 & \cosh (=2) & \sinh (=2) & u_{2} \\ 0 & u_{3} & \sinh (=2) & \cosh (=2) & 0 \\ u_{4}\end{array} ;$
where is a real, diagonal $N \quad N$ m atrix and $u_{i}(i=$ $1 ; 2 ; 3$ and 4) are unitary $N \quad N \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes.

The relation (19) implies that $z^{\prime \prime} y_{z}+"=0$ leading to the sym $m$ etries

$$
\begin{align*}
& "^{11} \mathrm{y}="^{11} \text {; } \\
& "^{22 y}=n^{22} \text {; } \\
& \mathbf{n}^{12 \mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{n}^{21} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for the noise. The stochastics properties, $\alpha f_{1} "$ could be
 adopt a sim ple m odel ${ }^{2}$ noise such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h^{\prime \prime}{ }_{k l}(x) i=0 ; \\
& \left.h{ }_{k 1}^{11}(x)\right)_{k^{0} 1^{0}}^{11}\left(x^{0}\right) i=\frac{1}{I_{k 1}^{f}} k k^{0} \quad 1^{0} \quad\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \text {; } \\
& \left.h_{k 1}^{22}(x)\right)_{k^{0} 1^{0}}^{22}\left(x^{0}\right) i=\frac{1}{I_{k 1}^{0 f}} k k^{0} \quad \mu^{0} \quad\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and allother independent second $m$ om ents are zero. The $m$ ean free paths $\frac{1}{k 1}_{f}^{f}, 1_{\mathrm{k} 1}$, and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{\mathrm{b}}$ for forw ard and backw ard scattering, respectively, are de ned by the lim its of the disorder averages

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{l_{\mathrm{K} 1}^{\mathrm{f}}} & \lim _{\mathrm{L}!0} \frac{h f_{\mathrm{K} 1}}{\mathrm{k} 1 \jmath^{f} i_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
\mathrm{~L}
\end{array} ;
$$

for a short piece of conductor $w$ ith length $L$. N ote that the sym m etries (131) im ply the relation $\frac{l_{k 1}^{b}}{b}=l_{1 k}^{b}$.

W ew ant a path integral representation of the stochas-
 derivation technique which is $m$ ost suited for that purpose derives the path integraldirectly from the Langevin equation (see_chapter 4 in $R$ ef. 3 (2G). The sym $m$ etry constraints (110) on $T$ w ill be taken into account by functions which leads naturally to the invariant $m$ easure of the transferm atrix group as the path integration $m$ easure. W e illustrate the essential ideas of the derivation technique w th the sim ple exam ple ofdi usion on a circle before we dealw ith the transfer $m$ atrix.

## III. D IFFUSION ON THECIRCLEASASIMPLE EXAMPLE

Let the angle' determ ine the position on a circle. T he analogue of the Langevin equation $\left(\overline{\underline{1}} \underline{1}_{1}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u} \quad \frac{d u(t)}{d t}="(t) u(t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\exp \left(i^{\prime}\right)$. The sym metry " = " implies $d(u u)=d t=0$ which ensures that $u$ rem ains a phase. C hoosing $G$ aussian white noise for the im aginary part of " such that

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\prime \prime}(t) i & =0 ; \\
h "(t) "\left(t^{0}\right) i & =2 D \quad\left(t \quad e^{0}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

leads to the FP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ p\left(t_{;^{\prime}}\right)}{@ t}=D \frac{@^{2} p\left(t_{i^{\prime}}\right)}{\left(@^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes di usion on the circle.
The probability distribution of $u$ can be form ally expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{h} \quad(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})) \mathrm{i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \quad u^{(1)}+i u^{(2)}$, (u) $\quad\left(u^{(1)}\right) \quad\left(u^{(2)}\right)$, and $u(t)$ is the value of $u$ which is acquired at tim $e t$ for a certain realization of the noise and the initial value $u(0)=u_{0}$. $T$ he brackets h i denote the average over all possible noise con gurations. The path integral representation is derived by inserting a product of -functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p(t ; u)={ }^{\star} Z Y^{t} d u\left(t^{0}\right) \quad\left(u\left(t^{0}\right) \quad u\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \quad \text { (u (t) } \quad u\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where du $d u^{(1)} d u^{(2)}$. The -function (u(t) $u\left(t^{0}\right)$ ) restricts the value of $u\left(t^{0}\right)$ to $u\left(t^{0}\right)$. Since $u\left(t^{0}\right)$ is not explicitly know $n$ we enforce this constraint im plicitly by
the relation $\underline{u}(t) u^{1}$ (t) $\quad "(t)=0$ which follow $s$ from the Langevin equation (16). That leads to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{u})=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}^{0}=0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{du}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) j \operatorname{det} \hat{A}^{\wedge} j\left(\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad "\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \\
\\
\text { (u (t) u) }
\end{array}
$$

where the operator $\hat{A}$ is de ned by the functionalderivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j j^{0}}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{\left(\underline{u}(t) u^{1}(t) \quad "(t)\right)^{(j)}}{u^{\left(j^{0}\right)}\left(t^{0}\right)}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he average over the G aussian probability $m$ easure

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d "=d "^{(1)} d \mathbf{"}^{(2)} \quad\left("+{ }^{(2)}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p(t ; u)=\frac{1}{N}{ }^{Z} Y^{t} d u\left(t^{0}\right) \quad\left(\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)+\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right)\right. \\
u^{0}=0  \tag{25}\\
\left.u^{1} \quad\left(t^{0}\right)\right) j \operatorname{det} \hat{A}^{\hat{}} j \operatorname{expf} \quad S g ;
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{4 D} \int_{0}^{Z} d t^{0} \underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)\left(\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the path sum $m$ ation includes all paths which start at $u_{0}$ and end at $u$.

The property that $\underline{w}(t) \mathrm{w}^{1}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{w}^{(t)} \mathrm{w}^{1}(\mathrm{t})=$ $\underline{u}(t) u^{1}(t)+\underline{u}(t) u^{1} \quad(t)$ if $w(t)=u(t) v(t)$ and $v(t)$ is a phase, suggests that ${ }_{t} t^{0}=0 \mathrm{du}_{t}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad\left(\underline{u}\left(e^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)+\right.$ u ( $\left.\left.t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)\right)$ is proportional to ${ }_{t^{0}=0}^{t} d\left(u\left(t^{0}\right)\right)$ where $d \quad(u)$ is the invariant $m$ easure on $U(1)$. This becom es explicit if the -function is introduced via an auxiliary eld ( $t^{0}$ )

$$
p(t ; u)=Y_{t^{0}=0}^{Z} d u\left(t^{0}\right) d \quad\left(t^{0}\right) j \operatorname{det} \hat{A^{\prime}} j \operatorname{expf} \quad S g ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=S+i_{Z_{t}}^{Z} d t^{0}\left(t^{0}\right)\left(\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)+\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1} \quad\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \\
&=S+i \sum_{0}^{Z_{t}^{0}} d t^{0} \\
&\left(t^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d t^{0}} \ln \left(u\left(t^{0}\right) u \quad\left(t^{0}\right)\right):
\end{align*}
$$

$P$ artial integration yields

$$
S=S+i_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} d t^{0} \quad\left(t^{0}\right) \ln \left(u\left(t^{0}\right) u \quad\left(t^{0}\right)\right) ;
$$

where $(t)=\quad$ _( $t$ ). The Jacobian of the transform ation $(t)=\quad$ _( $t$ ) is an irrelevant constant which can be incorporated into the norm alization factor. H ence

$$
p(t ; u)=N^{1}{ }_{t^{0}=0}^{Z} d\left(u\left(t^{0}\right)\right) j \operatorname{det} A^{\wedge} j \operatorname{expf} \quad S g
$$

since du (ln (uu )) = du (uu 1), which is proportional to the invariant $m$ easure $d(u))^{34}$. The restriction to uu $=1$ in the invariant $m$ easure simpli es the action (2G),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{D}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} d t^{0} \underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) \underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate detA we evaluate Eq. (2-2") which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\left(a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)+a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)\right)=2 ; \\
& A_{12}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=i\left(a\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \quad a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)\right)=2 ; \\
& A_{21}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=i\left(a\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \quad a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)\right)=2 ; \\
& A_{22}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\left(a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)+a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)\right)=2 ; \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=u^{1} \text { (t) } \frac{d}{d t} \text { (t } \quad \text { t) } \quad(t \quad t) \underline{u}(t) u^{1} \text { (t) : } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decom position $\hat{A}=\hat{B C D}$ into a product of three operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\hat{C}]\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{ccc}
a\left(t ; t^{0}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \text { a }\left(t ; t^{0}\right)
\end{array} ;}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that $\operatorname{det} \hat{A}=\operatorname{det} \hat{C}=\operatorname{det} \hat{a} \operatorname{det} \hat{A}$ since $\operatorname{det} \hat{B}=$ $\operatorname{det} \hat{D}=1$. The operator a can be as well factorized into $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{a}_{3}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=u^{1} \quad(t) \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) \\
& a_{2}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{d}{d t} \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) \\
& \left.a_{3}\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{llll}
(t & t^{0}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.t^{0}\right) \underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right):
\end{array}\right. \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he determ inant of $\vec{a}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{1}$ is one since the -fiunction in the path integration $m$ easure enforoes that $u(t) u(t)=1$. $T$ he determ inant of $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ is an irrelevant constant which contributes only to the porm alization. U sing det $=$
 $\operatorname{det}_{3}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \hat{a}_{3}=\exp \quad 0_{0} d t^{0}(0) \underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)+::: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he higher order term s which are indicated by the dots vanish due to products of -functions. Thequantity (0) is not de ned yet which can be traced back to the multiplicative noise in the Langevin equation (1]). The correct choice is $(0)=1=2$ (see the discussion in chapter 4 of $R$ ef. 13 ). Here this choice does not $m$ atter since $\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1}\left(t^{0}\right)+\underline{u}\left(t^{0}\right) u^{1} \quad\left(t^{0}\right)=0$ which im plies that $\operatorname{det} \hat{a}_{3} \operatorname{det} \hat{a}_{3}=1$, leading to the nal form

$$
p(t ; u)=N^{1}{ }^{Y^{t}} d \quad\left(u\left(t^{0}\right)\right) \operatorname{expf} \quad S g
$$

of the path integral representation of the stochastic proœess (1 ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ ).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { IV. THEPATH INTEGRALFOR THE } \\
\text { TRANSFERMATRIX }
\end{gathered}
$$

The analogue of Eq . (2-d) for the transfer $m$ atrix is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{T})=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}=0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{dT}(\mathrm{x}) \quad(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x})) \\
 \tag{38}\\
(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~L}) \quad \mathrm{T}) ;
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{dT} \quad \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{(1)} \mathrm{dT}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{(2)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{(2)} \text { ): } \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Enforcing $T(x)$ by $T(x) T^{1}(\underline{x}) \quad "(x)=0$ which follow $s$ from the Langevin equation $(\underline{1})$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.p(L ; T))^{Z \quad Z \quad Y^{L}} d T(x) j \operatorname{det} A j \mathbb{L}(x) T^{1}(x) \quad "(x)\right) \\
& \mathrm{x}=0 \\
& \text { (T (L) T) ; } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator $\hat{A}$ is de ned by the functionalderivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k 1 ; k^{0} 1^{0}}^{j j j^{0}}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\frac{\left.\mathbb{T}(x) T^{1}(x) \quad "(x)\right]_{k 1}^{(j)}}{T_{k^{0} 1^{0}}^{\left(j^{0}\right)}\left(x^{0}\right)}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing the average over the G aussian probability m easure

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P ["] }{ }_{x=0}^{Y^{I}} d "(x)=\frac{1}{N} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z} d x \quad l_{k 1}^{f} \prod_{k l}^{11}(x) \prod_{k l}^{11}(x)  \tag{x}\\
& \left.+l_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{0 f} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{22}(\mathrm{x}) "_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{22}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{12}(\mathrm{x})\right)_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{12}  \tag{x}\\
& \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{L}} \\
& \left.+l_{k 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \text { " }_{\mathrm{kl}}^{21}(\mathrm{x}){ }_{\mathrm{kl}}^{21} \text { ( } \mathrm{x}\right) \quad \underset{\mathrm{x}=0}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{x}) \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{i}{i} \underset{\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}} ; 1}{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{align*}
p(L ; T)=N^{1} & Y_{x=0}^{Z} d T(x) s \\
& \quad \mathrm{~T}(x) T^{1}(x)  \tag{44}\\
& j d e t A^{\wedge} j \operatorname{expf} \quad S g ;
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left[\text { IT }{ }^{1}\right]_{k 1}^{22}+\right]_{k 1}^{b}\left[\text { [-T }{ }^{1}\right]_{k_{1}}^{12}\left[\text { [-T }{ }^{1}\right]_{k 1}^{12} \\
& +l_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{\mathrm{b}}\left[\underline{-1} \mathrm{~T}^{1}\right]_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{21}\left[\mathrm{IT} \mathrm{~T}^{1}\right]_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{21}: \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

By analogy with the previous section we expect that ${ }_{x=0} d T(x)_{S}\left(T-(x) T^{1}(x)\right)$ is proportional to $Q_{x=0}^{L} d(T(x))$ where $d(T)$ is the invariant $m$ easure of the transfer $m$ atrix group. This $w$ ill be proven in appendix 'A'.'. The form of the invariant $m$ easure in term s of the polar coordinates (12) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { d ( } u_{k} \text { ); }  \tag{46}\\
& \mathrm{k}=1
\end{align*}
$$

where-d $\left(u_{k}\right)$ is the the invariant $m$ easure on the unitary group ${ }^{15}$.

W e proceed with the calculation of detA. U sing $@=@ T_{k 1}^{(1)}=@=@ T_{k 1}+@=@ T_{k 1}, @=@ T_{k 1}^{(2)}=i\left(@=@ T_{k 1}\right.$ $@=@ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k} 1}$ ), and $@ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k} 1}^{1}=@ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k} 1_{10}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{kk}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~T}_{101}{ }^{1}$ to evaluate Eq . (4ilin) y ields

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\hat{\left.A^{\hat{1}}\right]^{11}=(\hat{A}+\hat{A})=2 ;}\right.} \\
& {\left[\hat{\left.A^{\hat{A}}\right]^{12}}=i(\hat{A} \quad \hat{A})=2 ;\right.} \\
& {\left[\hat{\left.A^{\hat{A}}\right]^{21}}=i(\hat{A} \quad \hat{A})=2 ;\right.} \\
& {\left[\hat{\left.A^{\hat{A}}\right]^{2}}=(\hat{A}+\hat{A})=2 ;\right.} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k l k^{0} 1^{0}}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)= & k_{m} T_{n 1}^{1}(x) \frac{d}{d x}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) m k^{0} n 1^{0} \\
& \left(x \quad x^{0}\right)\left[-(x) T^{1} \quad(x) l_{m k^{0} n 1^{0}}:\right. \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The decom position $\hat{A}=\hat{B C \hat{D}}$ into a product of three operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{B}=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \xlongequal[i]{\text { î }} \hat{\text { î }} \text { î } ; \\
& \hat{C}=\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{A} & 0 \\
0 & \hat{A}
\end{array} ; \\
& \hat{D}=\hat{P}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \begin{array}{lll}
\hat{1} & \hat{1} \\
\text { i人 } & \hat{1}
\end{array} ; \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $[\hat{i}]_{k l ; k^{0} 1^{0}}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) k^{0} 110^{0}$, implies that $\operatorname{det} \hat{A}=\operatorname{det} \hat{C}=\operatorname{det} \hat{A} \operatorname{det} \hat{A} \quad$ since $\operatorname{det} \hat{B}=\operatorname{det} \hat{D}=1$. The operator $\hat{A}$ can be as well factorized into $\hat{A}=$ $\hat{A}_{1} \hat{A}_{2} \hat{A}_{3}$ where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{1 ; k 1 ; k^{0} 1^{0}}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)= & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left(T^{1}\right.
\end{array}\right)^{T}(x)}
\end{array}\right]_{k k^{0} ; 1^{0}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x^{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The product $\operatorname{det} \hat{A}_{1} \operatorname{det} \hat{A}_{1}$ is one since the determ inant of the transfer $m$ atrix is a phase. The determ $i-$ nant of $\hat{A}_{2}$ is an irrelevant constant which contributes only to the ${ }_{P}$ norm alization. U sing det $=\exp t r l n$ and $\ln (1+x)=\underset{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=1}{1}(1)^{\mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}$ to evaluate $\left.\operatorname{det} \hat{\mathrm{A}}_{3}\right)$ yields $\operatorname{det} \hat{A}_{3}=\exp \quad \mathrm{N}$ (0) $\mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{dx} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}^{1}(\mathrm{x})\right)$

The symmetries of the transfer $m$ atrix imply that $\operatorname{tr}\left(T(x) T^{1}(x)+\left(T(x) T^{1}(x)\right)\right)=0$ which gives $\operatorname{det} \hat{A}_{3} \operatorname{det} \hat{A}_{3}=1$.
$T$ hat leads to the nal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(L ; T)=N^{1^{Z} Y^{L}} d(T(x)) \operatorname{expf} \quad S g \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the path integral, where $S$ is the action of Eq. (4-금).

## V.THEDMPKEQUATION

We form ulate the DMPK equation in term sof di usion on the coset space of the transfer $m$ atrix group as has been done by Hu m ann 2. . In our context that can be achieved with the equivalent channelmodel (ECM). $T$ his $m$ odel has been introduced by $M$, ello and Tom sovic
 that it is equivalent to the DM PK equation w ith $=1$, in the sense that the joint probability distributions for of both models are identical. The ECCM for the unitary universality class is just the $m$ odel (14) w th backscattering $m$ ean free paths of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{{\underset{m}{n}}_{b}^{b}}=\frac{1}{\mathbb{N}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and arbitary forw ard scattering $m$ ean free paths. It is equivalent to the DMPK equation with $=2$ in the sam e sense. The di erence betw een the D M PK equation and the ECM is that the unitary $m$ atrices need not be isotropically distributed and that there can be correlations betw een them and .

W e choose forw ard scattering to be in nitely strong so that the $m$ ean free paths ${l_{m}^{f}}_{f}^{f}$ and $l_{m n}^{0 f}$ are zero. Then, the action $\left(4 \overline{4} \bar{F}_{1}\right)$ sim pli es

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=\frac{N 1}{2}_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{dx} \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{~T}^{1}\right]^{12} \quad\left[\mathrm{~T}^{1}\right]^{12} \mathrm{y} \\
& +\left[\text { IT }{ }^{1}\right]^{21}\left[\text { I-T }^{1}\right]^{21} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{O}}: \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Using that TT ${ }^{1}=\mathrm{TT}^{1}$ and the symmetries of I-T ${ }^{1}$ one can simplify further

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=\frac{N 1}{8}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dxtr} \mathrm{~T}^{1}+\mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{y}^{20} \\
& =\frac{N_{1}}{8}{ }_{0}^{Z^{0}} d^{n} \operatorname{tr}^{n} 2 T^{1} \operatorname{T-T}^{1} \mathrm{y} \\
& \mathrm{~T} T \mathcal{I}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~T}^{1} \mathrm{y}^{0} \\
& ={\frac{N l^{Z}}{8}}_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{dx} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}-1 \text {; } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=T^{Y} T$ which does not depend on $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ anym ore. The in nite strong forw ard scattering im $m$ ediately random izes the probability distribution of $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ so that they becom e isotropically distributed. N ote that the space which is form ed by the $m$ atrices $M$ is iso$m$ orphic to the coset space of the transfer $m$ atrix group. $T$ he path integral describes di usion on the coset space since the agtion is the classical action for free $m$ otion on this space ${ }^{38} \mathrm{~s}^{8} 39$.

Introducing the dim ensionless length $s=x=(\mathbb{N} 1)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{8}_{0}^{Z}{ }_{1=g_{c 1}} d s \operatorname{tr} M-M-1 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dot now stands for the derivative with respect to $s$ and $g_{c l} \quad \mathrm{Nl}=\mathrm{L}$ is the classical (bare) conductance ${ }^{2} 41221$ in units of $e^{2}=h$. Hence, large conductances correspond to the 'short tim e' regim e of the path integral which justi es a saddle point approach for good conductors. The variation $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s})=$
$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{s})$, where $\mathrm{T}=1+$ " and " obeys the sym $m$ etries (13) leads to the saddlepoint equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1=g_{c 1}} \\
& 0=S /{ }_{0} \text { dstr }{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{M} \text { "M }{ }^{1} \\
& \text { M "M }{ }^{1}+M \quad 1 \quad{ }^{1} Y \text { : } \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can verify easily that $M \mathrm{sp}(\mathrm{s})=\operatorname{expfsX} \mathrm{g}$ is the $\mathrm{so}^{-}$ lution for a path which starts at $M \quad(0)=1$ and ends at $M=\operatorname{expfX}=g_{c l} g$. E valuation of the saddle point action $y$ ields the transfer $m$ atrix probability $m$ easure in saddle point approxim ation

$T h$ is is just the random $m$ atrix theory probability distribution m,easure which has been proposed for the transfer
 $m$ atrix theory describes the stochastic properties of ballistic conductorss ${ }^{4} \frac{1}{1}$ we conclude that the saddle point of the path integral correctly describes the ballistic regin e of the conductor.

> V I. C O N CLUSIO N

In summ ary we have presented a path integral approach to the stochastic properties of $m$ esoscopic disordered conductors. Its application to quasi-onedim ensional w ires in the ballistic regim e led to the random transfer m atrix theory probability distribution. We believe that know $n$ results for the quasi-one-dim ensional w ire could be recovered by a system atic perturbation expansion in powers of $1=g_{c l}$. At the $m$ om ent it is not clear to us w ether the 'short tim e regim e' of the path integral in higher dim ensions corresponds as well to conductors w ith large conductances. That still has to be clari ed. $T$ he further developm ent of the path integral technique also rem ains to be done.

$$
\begin{equation*}
"^{0}=z^{y} z^{y} T \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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APPENDIX A：THE INVARIANTMEASUREOF THETRANSFER M ATRIX GROUP
$T$ he invariant $m$ easure on the transfer $m$ atrix group does not change under multiplication $w$ ith a xed trans－ fer $m$ atrix $T_{0}$ from the left or the right

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T)=d \quad\left(T_{0} T\right)=d\left(T_{0}\right): \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this appendix we prove the claim of sect． $\mathbb{I N}_{\underline{I} \bar{V}^{\prime}}$ that $\underset{x=0}{L} d T(x)$ s $T(x) T^{1}(x)$ is proportional to $Q_{x=0}^{L} d \quad(T(x))$ ．

Since the inverse of $T$ in $s$ cannot be handled as eas－ ily as $u^{1}$ in the example of di usion on the circle，we show rst that $s(") / s\left(\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{z}\right.$＂T）up to a Jaco－ bian．This will allow to replace T－T ${ }^{1}$ in the argum ent of $s$ by $z^{T}{ }_{z}$ T．

W riting the－function in term sof its Fourier represen－ tation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(")={\frac{1}{(2)^{4 N^{2}}}}^{Z} d \exp \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{tr} \quad "+z^{Y} z \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\begin{array}{ll}
11 & 12 \\
21 & 22
\end{array} \text {; }  \tag{A3}\\
& 11 \mathrm{y}=11 \\
& 22 \mathrm{y}=22 \\
& 12 \mathrm{y}={ }^{21} \text {; } \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}= & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}<1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{11(1)} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{11(2)} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{22^{(1)} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{22(2)}} \underset{ }{ } \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kk}}^{11(1)} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kk}}^{22(1)} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{12(1)} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{12(2)}:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hen the linear transform ation
of＂can be absorbed into ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left("^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{(2)^{4 N^{2}}} \quad d \exp \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{tr} 0 "^{Z}+z^{H} \quad z \quad ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=T \quad{ }_{z} T^{y} \quad z_{z}: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ${ }^{0}$ has the sam e sym $m$ etries as it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\text { " }^{0}\right)=s(\text { (")=j丁 (T) j } \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(T)$ is the Jacobian of the linear transform ation $\left(\frac{-\bar{A}-\overline{1})}{(1)}\right.$ H ence，replacem ent of the argum ent TTT ${ }^{1}$ in $s$ by $z^{-1} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{T}$ via the linear transform ation（ $(\bar{A}-\overline{-})$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{x=0}^{\Psi} S T(x) T^{1}(x) / \\
& x=0 \quad Z \quad Y^{L} \\
& \text { d (x) j丁 (T (x)) j } \\
& x=0 \quad Z_{L} \\
& \exp i_{x=0}^{Z} d x \operatorname{tr} \quad \frac{d}{d x} \quad z^{T} T^{y} \quad T^{T} \quad: \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

Partial integration and using that $z^{T} z^{\mathrm{T}}=1$ at the endpoints gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y^{I} \\
& \text { s } T(x) T^{1}(x) / \\
& x=0 \\
& Z^{Z} \\
& \text { d (x) j丁 (T (x)) j } \\
& x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jacobian of the transform ation $\sim=\quad$ is a con－ stant．H ence

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y^{\sharp} \\
& \text { s } \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}^{1}(\mathrm{x}) / \\
& \mathrm{x}=0 \\
& Y^{\mathrm{I}} \quad j \operatorname{j}(\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x})) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{x}) \quad 1 \text { : } \\
& x=0 \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to calculate $J(T)$ we introduce the $\left(4 N^{2}\right)$－vec－ tor notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim^{T}=(11 ;::: ; ~ 12 N ; ~ 21 ;::: ; ~ 2 N ~ 2 N ~) ~ \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the matrix . Then $\sim^{0}=T \quad\left(z_{z} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \sim$. There is a com plex $m$ atrix $E$ such that $\sim=E \sim_{\text {ind }}$, where $\sim_{\text {ind }}$ contains the $4 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ real and im aginary parts of the independent $m$ atrix elem ents of . Therefore

$$
\sim_{\text {ind }}^{0}=E^{1} \quad T \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
T^{Y} & z \tag{A14}
\end{array}\right)^{T} \quad E \sim_{\text {ind }}:
$$

$J(T)$ is the determ inant of this linear transform ation, which is one since the -functions in Eq. ( $\overline{(1)} 1 \overline{2}$ ) enforces ${ }_{z} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{z}$ to be the inverse of T . That leads to

$$
Y_{x=0}^{Y^{L}} S T(x) T^{1}(x) \int_{x=0}^{Y^{\amalg}} \quad S \quad{ }_{z} T^{y}(x) \quad{ }_{z} T(x) \quad 1:
$$

It rem ains to be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(T) \quad d T \quad s \quad z^{T} T^{Y}{ }_{z} \quad 1 \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the properties ( $\bar{A} \overline{1}$ ) and therefore is the invariant m easure.

Form ultiplication w ith a transferm atrix $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ from the left the argum ent of the -fiunction does not change which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \quad\left(T_{0} T\right)=d T \mathcal{T}\left(T_{0}\right) j_{s} \quad z^{T}{ }^{Y} \quad z^{T} \quad 1 ; \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I\left(T_{0}\right)$ is the Jacobian of the linear transform ation $\mathrm{T}^{0}=\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{~T}$. Expressing this transform ation in term s of real vectors yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}^{0(1)}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{T}_{0}^{(1)} & 1 & \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{(2)} & 1 & \mathrm{~T}^{(1)} \\
\mathrm{T}_{0}^{(2)} & 1 & \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{(1)} & 1 & \mathrm{~T}^{(2)}
\end{array}:(\mathrm{A} \text { 18) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Jacobian $I\left(T_{0}\right)$ is the determ inant of the transfor$m$ ation $m$ atrix w hich can be decom posed into the product

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 1 & \text { i1 } & \mathrm{T}_{0} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \mathrm{i} 1  \tag{A19}\\
P_{\overline{2}} & \text { i1 } & 1 & 0 & \mathrm{~T}_{0} & 1 & P_{\overline{2}} & \text { i1 } & 1
\end{array}
$$

of three $m$ atrices. Since $z^{T} \mathrm{~T}_{0} \quad \mathrm{z}_{0}=1 \mathrm{mplies}$ that $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{T}_{0} \operatorname{det} \mathrm{~T}_{0}=1$ one nds that $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\left(\mathrm{T}_{0}\right)}\right)=1$ and therefore $d\left(T_{0} T\right)=d(T)$.

A nalogously it can be show $n$ that the Jacobian for the m ultiplication $w$ ith $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ from the right is one as wellw hich gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~T}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{dT} \mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Y}_{0}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad 1 \mathrm{~T}_{0}:(\mathrm{A} 20) \\
& \text { As shown above } s \quad z^{T}{ }_{0}^{y} \quad z^{\prime \prime} T_{0}=s \text { ". Hence } \\
& d\left(T_{0}\right)=d T \quad s \quad{ }_{z} T^{y} \quad{ }_{z} \quad 1 \\
& =\mathrm{d} \text { ( } \mathrm{T} \text { ) } \tag{A21}
\end{align*}
$$
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