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#### Abstract

W hen $m$ agnetic ux $m$ oves across layered or granular superconductor structures, the passage of vortices can take place along channels which develop nite voltage, while the rest of the $m$ aterial rem ains in the zero-voltage state. W e present analytical studies of an exam ple of such $m$ ixed dynam ics: the row -sw itched (RS) states in underdam ped tw o-dim ensional Josephson arrays, driven by a uniform D C current under extemalm agnetic eld but neglecting self- elds. The goveming equations are cast into a com pact di erential-algebraic system which describes the dynam ics of an assem bly of Josephson oscillators coupled through the $m$ esh current. W e carry out a form alperturbation expansion, and obtain the DC and AC spatial distributions of the junction phases and induced circulating currents. W e also estim ate the interval of the driving current in which a given RS state is stable. A ll these analytical predictions com pare well w ith our num erics. $W$ e then com bine these results to deduce the param eter region (in the dam ping coe cient versus magnetic eld plane) where RS states can exist.


PACS num bers: 85 25.Cp, 46.10.+ z, $05.70 \mathrm{Ln}, 47.54 .+\mathrm{r}$.

## 1 Introduction

Two-dim ensional (2D ) arrays of Josephson junctions serve as \controlled laboratories" to investigate fundam ental questions such as phase transitions hin], vortex propagation and

 geom etry is a rectangular array driven by a D C current uniform ly in jected from the bottom and extracted from the top in the presence of an applied eld ( F ig. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ ). Their technological


Figure 1: 2D Josephson junction array consisting of $N^{x}=7$ row $s$ and $N^{y}=7$ colum ns of square cells. The cell at ( $i ; j$ ) is shown enlarged. Each junction is described by a gaugeinvariant phase di erence: ${ }^{x}$ for the junctions on the horizontal edges, and $y$ for the vertical junctions. A uniform D C bias current $I_{\text {dc }}$ is in jected into every node on the bottom edge and extracted from the top. The left and right sides are open boundaries. The $m$ esh current denotes the deviation of the current distribution from a uniform current ow in the verticaldirection. A uniform $m$ agnetic eld $f$, in units of the ux quantum 0 , is applied transversally to the plane of the array.
 highly nonlinear, coherent oscillations of the collection of junctions. H ow ever, to the chagrin of engineers (and to the curiosity of dynam icists) such coherent oscillations are not easy to obtain $[\bar{\sigma}, 1[\overline{1}$ deliver output voltages $w$ ith $s m$ all AC am plitudes.

A striking exam ple of such dynam ical states with spatial structure is provided by the row-sw itched ( RS ) states found in underdam ped 2D arrays of square œells [171]. A s the bias current $I_{d c}$ is ram ped up, the D C current\{voltage ( $I$ (V ) characteristic of the array displays a succession of discontinuous jum ps betw een ohm ic branches of increasing resistance until, eventually, the norm al resistive branch is reached. It was also notioed that the branches are equally spaced in voltage. These observations suggested a row-sw itching scenario, in which each of the jumps corresponds to all the junctions in an individual row suddenly sw itching from the superconducting to the nom al state, thus increasing the voltage across the array by a xed am ount. In the RS states, the array then consists of superconducting and norm alrow $s$, coexisting to form striped pattems as in the four exam ples show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{2} \bar{z}_{1}$. In other words, them agnetic ux m oves across the array along certain row s (channels) where a nite voltage develops, while the rest of the system rem ains in the zero-voltage state. T his
row -sw itching picture was later explicitly con $m$ ed by m easuring voltages across individual
 determ ine the sequence of row -sw itching as the bias current was varied, and show ed that the order and criticalcurrents at which row s.becom e nom alare irregular and history-dependent.

The row -sw itching phenom enon is robust and still appears when the underlying lattice structure is changed. In arrays of triangular cells it has been observed both experim entally [2]i] and in sim ulations [2] 2]. The explanation of row -sw itching could also be relevant to other system s. For instance, sim ilar channeling ofm agnetic ux has been seen in continuous superconductors $[\underline{2} \overline{3}]$. In addition, the hundreds of resistive steps which appear in the I \{V characteristics of high-T c superconductors [2] layered weak-link structure in those $m$ aterials.

The experim ents on 2D arrays of square œells have revealed that RS states appear only when the junctions are su ciently underdam ped 1 acteristics present an extended region of ux-ow leading to the ohm ic branch of the entire array. In addition, RS states are only observed for su ciently sm all applied $m$ agnetic elds. If the eld is too large, there are no individualR S steps; rather, one giant step em erges [19, $1 \mathbf{1}$ in the I \{V. The origin of this giant step has been attributed to the interaction of self- elds $w$ ith a coherent array oscillation in the form of a dynam ical checkerboard pattem [k-1].]. To understand such transitions betw een coherent and localized states, it is im portant to study the RS states and determ ine their current and phase distributions as well as the param eter regim e for their appearance.

M uch of the previous theoretical work on 2D arrays has consisted of num erical sim ula-
 well. Several of them have discussed R S states brie y in depth the row -sw itching phenom enon terization of the tw o distinct types of row s found in the experim ents: (1) sw itched row s ( $\backslash$ S" rows), across which there is a nite DC voltage, and (2) quiescent rows (\Q " row s), across which there is no DC voltage drop. The sim ulations show that the junctions ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ in the vertical branches of the $S$ row s are in the nom al resistive state (rapidly rotating) whereas those in the $Q$ row s are nearly superconducting (stationary). $N$ evertheless, as we show, the junctions in the $Q$ row s are stilloscillating, which causes nite AC voltage drops and associated losses. This is why we hesitate to call the Q rows \superconducting".

The num erical investigations have also studied the sequence of row -sw itching as the bias current is varied. Even in the absence of tem perature and disorder, the observed pattems and the order of their appearance are found [2] $\overline{2}, 1$ İ $\overline{1}]$ to depend on factors such as the initial condition, how currents are varied, the magnetic eld both extemally applied and self-induced), am ong others. This is a clear indication that multiple attractors coexist for identical param eter valhes. (Indeed, $P$ attems 1, 2, and 4 in $F$ ig.' ${ }^{2}$ ī are found using the sam $e$ set of param eters while Pattem 3 is obtained for a slightly sm aller bias current.) W hen inhom ogeneity is included, it becom es even harder to predict which row will sw itch next, except to con jecture that it will occur at the \weakest part" of the array al. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}132 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$ have studied the vortex pattems in detail when inductances are included. W hen


Figure 2: Four snapshots ofRS states in arrays of $N^{x}=31$ colum ns by $N^{y}=7$ row s. Two types of row s are observed: quiescent ( $Q$ ) row s (in white) across which there are zero D C voltage drops, and sw itched ( S ) row s (shaded areas) across which there are nite D C voltage drops. B lack dots denote topological vortioes, de ned in Sec. $L_{1}^{2}$. They are (roughly) equally spaced in the $S$ row $s$ of the sym $m$ etric $P$ attems $1\{3$, but the spacing can change from row to row in asym $m$ etric pattems such as Pattem 4. C orrespondingly, their propagation speed (represented by the length of the arrow $S$ ) $m$ ay change from an $S$ row to another within a pattem. In P attems 1 and 2, the vortices $m$ ove in phase, even when the $S$ row s are separated by $Q$ row s . These pattems are num erically generated using $=0: 2, \mathrm{f}=0: 1$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dc}}=0: 6$ for Pattems 1,2 and 4, and $I_{d c}=0: 5$ in the case of Pattem 3. Thus, Pattems 1,2 and 4 correspond to coexisting dynam ical attractors of the system .
self- elds are sm all, the $S$ row s appear to be globally phase-locked even if they are far apart, separated by $Q$ row $s$ in betw een. This $m$ eans that topological vortioes in the $S$ row $s$ appear to propagate together, just as seen in P attem 2 in $F$ ig. 'ī. i . H ow ever, for generic asym $m$ etric pattems, such as P attem 4, vortiges do not m ove together. Stronger self- elds are also found [了ై2̄] to break this phase coherence.

C om pared to the num erous experim ental and num erical studies, analytical results are $m$ uch scarcer for 2D arrays. As far as we are aw are, previous authors have focused on the simplest solution, nam ely, when the whole array is on the norm al branch of the I\{V curve (Pattem 1 in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{i n}_{\text {) }}$ ). This can be interpreted as the special RS state when all the row s have becom e norm al; that is, the loom pletely row-sw itched" solution. These studies have concentrated on explaining the global phase-locking $m$ echanism needed for oscillator applications. The com plete RS state is found to be only neutrally stable under zero m agnetic
eld [ row locking. This inter- and intra-row coupling $m$ echanism $s$ have been studied by several
 balance $[1[1,1,-1$. H ow ever, those results are not directly applicable to generic R S states since the com plete RS state has no $Q$ row $s$ and it extends to any large bias current values for any dam ping. Instead, the (generic) RS states exhibit non-trivial structures and exist only in a certain param eter regim e.

In this paper, we study analytically the RS states and test our predictions against nu$m$ erical integrations of the system. First, we cast the goveming equations and the boundary conditions in the $m$ esh form alism to ease the analytical procedure (Section '2i-1). In this notation, the system can be viewed as an array of coupled oscillators in which the junction phases (the pendulum-like oscillators) are coupled via the mesh currents (the current distribution in the array). The coupling arises from the ux quantization condition. W e neglect self- eld e ects in the equations, thus reducing the param eters of the system to three: the bias current $I_{d c}$, the junction dam ping coe cient, and the $m$ agnetic eld $f$. In this way, $m$ any properties of the RS states can be explained w thout undue com plications. $W e$ also discuss the notion of vorticity in these discrete arrays.

W e use prim arily four exam ples (depicted in Fig. 'īi) in order to illustrate and test our results. It is convenient to labeleach RS pattem by the set $S$ of its sw itched row s. T herefore, Pattems 1 to 4 are labeled as: $S=f 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{f} 2 ; 4 ; 6 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g}$, and $\mathrm{S}=$ $f 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 7 \mathrm{~g}$, respectively. We also de ne an $S$ region to be a set of contiguous $S$ row s . For exam ple, P attem 4 in $F$ ig. ${ }_{2}$ hin has two $S$ regions, one w th three row $2\{4$ and another w ith a single row 7. Sim ilarly, a Q region is a set of contiguous $Q$ row s .
 goveming equations (Section ${ }_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{-}} \mathbf{1}$ ). W W assum $e$ that the S states are tim e-periodic solutions in which som e junctions whirl (ie., the ${ }^{\mathrm{V}}$ 's in the $S$ row s are running oscillators), and all the other junctions librate (ie., the ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ 's in the Q row sand all ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ are nearly stationary). A lthough the expansion ism ade system atic so that higher-order corrections could be obtained, we show that $m$ ost of the features of the RS states can be accounted for by the leading order. (T he only unresolved $m$ ain feature is the phase-locking between $S$ rows.) To the zeroth order,
we obtain two system s of algebraic equations: one for the DC, and another for the AC com ponents of the phases and currents. The D C system is nonlinear (thus di cult to solve); how ever, we obtain bulk approxim ations which work well far from the edges. On the other hand, the AC com ponents obey the linear discrete Poisson equation with forcing from the DC solution; therefore, they can be readily obtained once the DC solution is know $n$.

The bulk approxim ation determ ines analytically the D C and AC distributions of currents and phases for any given RS pattem. T he rst im portant result is that the DC current ow s uniform ly in the $S$ row s , but circulating currents are induced in the Q regions. T hese strongly a ect the spatialw ave num bers of the $S$ row $s$ (also calculated analytically), thus explaining why the spacing and speed of propagation of the uxoids in the $S$ row s varies from pattem
 ndings num erically w ith good agreem ent.

A notherm ain conclusion from the leading order analysis is that the presence of S regions breaks the array into a collection of $Q$ regions that are decoupled from each other, as far as the D C equations are concemed. The Q regions are, how ever, still weakly coupled through the AC com ponent. Thus, for exam ple, the existence of the sw itched row 4 in Pattem 3 produces two 313 quasi-disjoint Q regions which only interact weakly. This picture proves usefiulbecause it reduces the problem of approxim ating the dynam icalR S states of the array to obtaining the static states of its (sm aller) constitutive $Q$ regions.

Indeed, this physical picture has further im plications for the stability of the RS pattems (Section ('5-1). A s explained above, each RS state is only observed in an interval of the bias current, which depends on the m agnetic eld and dam ping. $W$ e show that the upper current lim it of this interval is well predicted by the depinning current of the largest $Q$ region. This $m$ eans that the RS state ceases to exist when the ux penetrates any of the $Q$ regionswhich, in absence of irregularities, is usually the largest one in the array. For exam ple, P attem 3 cannot hold beyond the current value at which a static state of a 313 array depins. A long the sam e lines, we also argue that the largest upper current of any RS state $w$ ill correspond to RS pattems whose largest $Q$ region is a single row, such as Pattem 2. H ow ever, the depinning currents are independent of the junction dam ping, whereas the RS states are found only in underdam ped arrays. This indicates that the low er current lim it also plays an im portant physicalrole. A crude approxim ation for this low er lim it is the retrapping current of a single junction which does depend on the dam ping. Combining these criteria we are then able to calculate the region of the param eter plane of the $m$ agnetic eld $f$ versus the dam ping param eter where RS states are not possible. T hroughout Section $\underline{W}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ i, we present additional num erical evidence which suppont these criteria.

## 2 Form ulation

There are two equivalent ways of form ulating the goveming equations of the system ：the node and $m$ esh form ulations．The node form ulation is easier for sim ple geom etries but it becom es cum bersom e and im practical for two－dim ensional arrays when inductances are
 description of the arrays in the $m$ esh form ulation．In particular，we follow closely P hillips
 developed to ease num erical sim ulations，it is well suited for analytical work．

## 2．1 G overn ing equations

O ur description of the array shown in Fig．＇ī＇assum es several simpli cations．First，we neglect therm al uctuations（i．e．，zero tem perature），and we consider all junctions identical （i．e．，no disorder）．Second，we describe our basic circuit unit，a single Josephson junction， by the resistively and capacitively shunted junction（RCSJ）m odel．In this standard model， a junction driven by a current $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{b}}$ is represented by an equivalent circuit of three channels in parallelw ith a capacitance $C$ ，a resistance $R$ ，and a tunnel junction $w$ ith the criticalcurrent $I_{c}$ ．A s a result，its state variable（the gauge－invariant phase di erence across the junction） is govemed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}\left[\mathrm{]}+\mathrm{t}-+\sin =\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{b}}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the nonlinear operator $N$ retums the total current through the device．In（i⿱⿱一口⿴囗十刂$)$ the current is norm alized by $I_{c}$ ，whereas tim e is expressed in units of the inverse of the plasm a frequency $!_{p}{ }^{1}=\left({ }_{0} \mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{1=2}$ ．In addition，$={ }_{c}{ }^{1=2}=\left({ }_{0}=2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{C}\right)^{1=2}$ is the dam p － ing，with $c$ the $M O$ umber param eter．A lso， 0 is the quantum of $m$ agnetic ux．The instantaneous voltage across the junction is given by the Josephson voltage－phase relation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t)=\quad i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the voltage is norm alized by $I_{c} R$ ．Thus，a single junction is analogous to a dam ped driven $m$ echanical pendulum，and its voltage corresponds to the rotation frequency of the pendulum

W hen several junctions are interconnected to form a netw ork，like the one depicted in $F$ igure＇ili，the current distribution $m$ ust ful 11 K irchho＇s current law．This results in coupling am ong the junctions．It is convenient to decom pose each branch current into an extemal and a deviation current：

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{b}=I_{\text {ext }}+I_{\text {dev }}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extemal current $I_{\text {ext }}$ is chosen such that it satis es current conservation at all nodes， including extemal sources and sinks．In general，it can be spatially non－uniform or tim e－ dependent．H ow ever，in our case，the steady bias current $I_{\text {dc }}$ is in jected（extracted）at the nodes along the bottom（top）edges．Therefore，our choice［ $\overline{3} \bar{q} \bar{Z}]$ for $I_{\text {ext }}$ is the stationary uniform vertical ow，in which $I_{\text {ext }}=I_{d c}$ on every verticalbranch of the circuit（for all $t$ ）， and $I_{\text {ext }}=0$ on every horizontalbond．

The deviation $I_{\text {dev }}$ from the extemal ow must be divergence-free since current sources and sinks have already been inconporated. Therefore, there is a stream function (or mesh current) at each cell whose discrete curl determ ines $I_{\text {dev }}$ in the $x$ and $y$ directions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{\text {dev }}^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{i} ; j)=(\mathrm{i} ; j)  \tag{4}\\
I_{\mathrm{dev}}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j})=(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j} \quad 1)  \tag{5}\\
(\mathrm{i} ; j) \\
(\mathrm{i} \quad 1 ; j)):
\end{gather*}
$$

(In the rest ofthis paperw ew illnot w rite tim e-dependences explicitly when they are obvious, such as here.)

In order to ensure that these relations hold also at the edges of the array, we de ne arti cial boundary cells which have either the horizontal index $i=0$ or $N^{x}+1$, or the vertical index $j=0$ or $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}+1$. This yields the boundary conditions of the problem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i ; j)=0 \quad \text { if } i=0 ; N^{x}+1 \quad \text { or } \quad \text { if } j=0 ; N^{y}+1 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is equivalent to \grounding" the value of outside the array.
Combining $(\underset{\sim}{\overline{1}})\{(\underset{-1}{\overline{5}})$, we obtain the rst two sets of goveming equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left[{ }^{x}(i ; j)\right]=(i ; j) \quad(i ; j \quad 1)  \tag{7}\\
& N\left[{ }^{y}(i ; j)\right]=I_{d c} \quad((i ; j) \quad(i \quad 1 ; j)) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ was de ned in (
The other source of intrinsic coupling between the junctions is due to a m acroscopic quantum constraint: the ux quantization condition around a cell. Each comer of a cell is a superconducting island described by a well-de ned phase. C alculating the phase change around œlll $(i ; j) y$ ields the third and nal set of equations of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{y}(i+1 ; j) \quad{ }^{y}(i ; j)\right) \quad\left({ }^{x}(i ; j+1) \quad{ }^{x}(i ; j)\right)+2 \frac{(i ; j)}{0}=2 n(i ; j) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1 ;::: ; N^{x}$ and $j=1 ;::: ; N^{y}$, where $(i ; j)$ is the totalmagnetic eld penetrating the cell. The winding num bers $n(i ; j)$ are a set of integers that arise because the island phases are only de ned up to multiples of 2 . The initialcondition sets $n(i ; j)$ whidh rem ain constant as long as the array is kept superconducting. H ow ever, w thout loss of generality, all $n(i ; j)$ can be set to zero. Suppose they are not zero; then we can rede ne the junction phases as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{x}(i ; j)!\quad{ }^{x}(i ; j) \\
& { }^{y}(i ; j) \quad 2{ }_{k=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k} ; \boldsymbol{j}) \quad!\quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{i} ; \boldsymbol{j}) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

such that $(\underset{9}{9})$ is unchanged except, now, alln ( $i ; j$ ) 0. C nucially, both currents and voltages are invariant under this rede nition of the phases since adding integer multiples of 2 to
${ }^{y}$ changes neither $\sin { }^{y}$ nor $\underline{y}$. This $m$ eans that the dynam ics and $m$ easurem ents rem ain
identical for any com bination of integers $n(i ; j)$, and we do not need to be concemed with their initial values. Sim ilarly, if the $m$ agnetic eld were controllable independently on each cell, adding an integer num ber of ux quanta o into any cellw ould not change the m easured I\{V characteristics, at least $w$ ithin this $m$ odel. This is sim ply the array analogue of the 2junction $S Q U \mathbb{D}$, whose dependence on the penetrating eld is also o-periodic. Because of this periodicity in the $m$ agnetic eld, the topological vortex $m$ ust be de ned di erently in 2D arrays and in continuous superconductors, as we will discuss at the end of this section.

The totalm agnetic eld in (⿹ㅣㄱ) can be decom posed into two parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i ; j)=\text { ext }+\quad \text { ind }(i ; j): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term is produced by the extemal eld applied penpendicularly to the plane of the array, which we assum e to be constant and uniform. It is usually param etrized as a dim ensionless frustration $f$ nom alized to the ux quantum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\text { ext }=0 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, in term sof $f$, the period of the extemal eld is unity. The second term, the induced eld, can be written generally as the sum of all the contributions from the branch currents

$$
\text { ind }(\dot{j} ; j)=\begin{array}{ccc}
X & X & L_{n ; k}^{b} I_{k}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

where $k$ runs through all the branches of the circuit while $n$ comesponds to the four edges of cell ( $i ; j$ ). The branch inductances $L_{n ; k}^{b}$ are purely geom etric constants determ ined from the circuit. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\overline{4}, ~, ~}\end{array}\right.$,

### 2.2 M atrix-vector notation

The above equations can be cast into a com pact m atrix-vector notation li了 array, all branch variables (e.g., currents $I^{b}$, voltages $V$, and phases ) can be written as vectors of dim ension equal to the num ber of branches, i.e. $\left(\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{x}}+1\right) \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{y}+1)$. Thus, fror instance, the vector consists of all the phases ${ }^{x}$ and ${ }^{y}$. On the other hand, variables de ned at cells (e.g., them esh current and the induced ux ind) form vectors of dim ension $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}}$. These two groups of vectors are connected via a branch-to-cellconnectivity $m$ atrix [ $[3] 9-1] M$ which takes a directed sum as we loop around a cell:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M} \quad(i ; j)= {\left[{ }^{y}(i+1 ; j)\right.} \\
& {\left[{ }^{x}(i ; j+1)\right.}  \tag{14}\\
&\left.{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(i ; j)\right] \\
&\left.{ }^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{i} ; j)\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

$M$ ore $m$ athem atically, this operator takes the discrete curl of around every cell ( $; j$ ) . C onversely, the discrete curl of the cell variables is obtained by applying the transpose cell-to-branch $m$ atrix $M^{T}$.

U sing this notation, the total ux (11) can be wrilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }_{o f} f+\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{b}}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L^{b}$ is the branch inductance $m$ atrix, and $f$ is a constant vector.
M oreover, ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{1}),(\underline{\overline{5}})$ ) can now be w ritten sim ply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{dev}}=M^{T} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
N[]=I_{\text {ext }}+M^{T} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is operated com ponent-w ise and the vector $I_{\text {ext }}$ has com ponents that are zero on the horizontaledges and $I_{d c}$ on the verticaledges, as de ned by our choioe ofuniform vertical
ow.
Finally, we can use (1-1 $\overline{1})$ and (19) to recast the ux quantization condition (9) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M+2 f+\frac{1}{?}\left(L^{m}+M L^{b} I_{e x t}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where com ponents of $L^{b}$ are nom alized to ${ }_{0} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{p}$ is the lattice constant, ? $={ }_{0}=2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{op}$ is the dim ensionless penetration depth, the $m$ esh inductance $m$ atrix is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \text {; } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have set $n(i ; j) \quad 0$.
To sum $m$ arize, the goveming equations ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{T_{1}}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ form a closed di erential-algebraic system for and , w ith param eters $f$, , $I_{\text {dc }}$, ?, and the coe cient $m$ atrix $L{ }^{b}$. This form of the system is com pact and intuitive. It can be seen as a coupled-oscillator system in which the \oscillators" are driven by the \ooupling eld" in (IT). In retum, the oscillators collectively feed back onto the eld in (1-8i). This picture suggests the follow ing integration
 $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{m}}$, together w ith the boundary conditions $(\underline{(\bar{G})}$, to determ ine the eld. . This gives us the \drive" on the right hand side of $(\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$, which is used to update the oscillators in tim e.
$W$ e conclude the general form ulation by pointing out that the equations ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}\right),(\underline{1} \overline{1})$ possess
 ( $(i ; j ; t)$; $(i ; j ; t)$ ) is a solution of the system for $f$ and der, the other param eters being the same. Sim ilarly, ( ( $i ; j ; t)$; ( $i ; j ; t)$ ) is also a solution for $f$ and $d c$ (since $M$ is changed to $M$ due to the reversal of the spatial coordinates). Therefore, we only have to study the quadrant f 0 and fic 0 . Together with the unit periodicity in f , the frustration can be further restricted to $0 \quad \mathrm{f}<1=2 \mathrm{w}$ ithout loss of generality. In the rest of this article, expressions such as \large $f$ " and $\backslash$ sm all $f$ " are used with in this interval.

### 2.3 N o-inductance approxím ation

Com puting the full equations $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right),(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ quidkly becom es a heavy task as the system size increases. In previous num erical studies, these com putational lim itations have been circum -

per se, or by \truncating" the $m$ atrix $L^{m}$ (i.e. neglecting som e of its com ponents). Three
 inductance neglects the inter-øellm agnetic coupling by keeping only the diagonalcom ponents of $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{m}}$ (which then becom es trivially invertible). N earest-neighbor-inductance includes, in addition, $m$ agnetic coupling between neighboring cells. A $n$ im portant rem ark is that not only the $m$ esh inductance $L^{m}$ but also the vector $M L^{b} I_{\text {ext }} m$ ust be provided in order to com plete
 contrast, the choice of $I_{\text {ext }}$ is unrestricted if the full inductance $m$ atrix is used.) Truncating the system in a physically consistent $m$ anner is a subtle issue, and, for sim plicity, we shall assum e no inductance in this article.

In contrast to what one $m$ ight guess from its nam $e$, the no-inductance approxim ation does notelim inate the inter-cellcoupling. C ounterintuitively, it leads to an even longer-range coupling than the self-and nearest-neighbor-tnuncations. The no-inductance approxim ation sets $L^{b}=0$, thus $L^{m}=0$. The ux quantization condition ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ is then just

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{f}=0 \text { : } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sam e equation can also be obtained in the lim it ? $=1$ for any $L^{b}$, which allow $s$ the noinductance lim it to be approached from the inductive system continuously. It is im portant to note that the condition $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{-1})$ is now a constraint on , which m ust be satis ed at all tim es. $T$ he discrepancies between $\bar{M}$ and 2 f cannot be lled by bcally adjusting the induced eld, which was possible when the inductive term s were present. This leads to a global coupling of the junctions over the whole dom ain. To see the coupling m echanism provided


$$
M N()(i ; j)=M+M-+M[\sin ]
$$

but the rst two term svanish since ( $(\underline{2} \overline{0} \overline{-1})$ m ust hold identically. From the right hand side, we obtain

$$
M\left(I_{\text {ext }}+M^{T}\right)=M I_{\text {ext }}+M M^{T}=M I_{\text {ext }}
$$

where we have introduced the discrete Laplacian

$$
\begin{align*}
(i ; j) \quad & ((i ; j+1)+(i ; j \quad 1) \\
+ & (i+1 ; j)+(i \quad 1 ; j)) \quad 4 \quad(i ; j): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

For the stationary uniform ow $I_{\text {ext }}$, the term $M I_{\text {ext }}=0$. Thus, we arrive at a discrete Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=M[\sin ] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the distribution of the $m$ esh current is dependent on all the junctions in the array.
Equations (1]ī) and ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ constitute the goveming equations for the no-inductance case, and can be integrated in the sam e $m$ anner as before. P rovided that the intial condition satis es the constraint ( $\mathrm{m} m$ ediate advantage of the no-inductance approxim ation is that the sw eep of the param eter space is greatly smpli ed since the num ber of param eters has been reduced to three: f, , and $I_{d c}$.

### 2.4 Vorticities in 2D arrays

B efore closing this section, we consider now the concept of vorticity in these arrays. A nalogously to incom pressible planar uid ows, we can de ne a vorticity by taking the curl (by applying M ) of the \velocity" eld which, in our case, corresponds $[\underline{3} 9,1]$ to the branch current $I^{b}$. This current vorticity

$$
\begin{equation*}
=M I^{b}=M\left(I_{\text {ext }}+M^{T}\right)=M I_{\text {ext }} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ easures how currents whirl, and can take any realvalues. (For the uniform verticalextemal ow the contribution $M I_{\text {ext }}$ vanishes.)

In contrast, the notion of a topological vortex (or charge) is com m only used in Josephson arrays in analogy to continous superconductors. In Type-II superconductors, the vortioes would correspond to the integer winding num bers $n(i ; j)$ in the $u x$ quantization condition ( $\overline{(\underline{q}})$. But, as we showed above, the $n(i ; j)$ are irrelevant in the arrays. Therefore, an


$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} M\left(b^{b}\right): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ denotes restriction of the com ponents of the phase vector w thin [ ; ). The value of at each cell takes only integer values (typically 0 or 1) and jum ps discontinuously as the system evolves in time. In e ect, this de nition detects when one of the four junctions in a cell rotates and crosses $=(\bmod 2)$, since $M^{b}$ changes discontinuously by 2 at that instant. This is the 2D analog ofm arking the location of a 1 D kink at the point where $=$ ( $m$ od 2 ) regardless ofw hether the kink really has a localized structure or not. This particlelike picture is frequently usefiulbut, by neglecting spatial distributions, there is a potential loss of inform ation about the true dynam ical state of the system. On the other hand, the current vorticity would revealm ore accurately how localized vortioes are. H ow ever, our sim ulations show that the topological vorticity $m$ oves together $w$ ith a peak of the current vorticity (Sec. 售2]. Thus, we use both de nitions interchangeably at our convenience.

## 3 A nalysis

In this section we present a perturbative analysis of the goveming equations $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}),(\overline{2} \underline{\underline{O}})$. A 1 though the analysis is $m$ ade system atic so that it is possible to proceed to higher orders, we show that $m$ ost of the fiundam ental features of the row -sw itched states can be explained by the leading order of the expansion.

Before writing down the RS solutions, it is useful to think of the array with uncoupled junctions. This lim ting case corresponds to im posing $=0$ in ( $\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}$ ), thus reducing the array to a collection of uncoupled pendula, independently responding to a constant drive. The junctions on the horizontalbranches ( $\overline{(\bar{i}})$, w hose drive is zero, converge asym ptotically to
$x=0$ due to the dam ping. O $n$ the other hand, the uncoupled vertical junctions $(\underset{-1}{-1})$, driven by $I_{\text {dc }}$, have a di erent dynam ical behavior. For sm all , they can converge asym ptotically to one of two distinct stable states [[]/[]: the superoonducting (static) state, which exists only when $I_{\text {dc }}<1$, in which the drive is balanced by the sinusoidal nonlinearity, (ie. y = $\arcsin I_{d c}$ ); or the ohm ic (whirling) solution, where the rst time derivative balances the drive, and increases at a nearly uniform rate ! $=I_{\text {dc }}=$ (ie. the pendulum \whirls"). The two attractors $m$ ay coexist for the sam e drive, and hysteresis $m$ ay occur.

W hen the junctions are coupled, the simple dynam ics of the independent junctions is altered, and com plex spatio-tem poral solutions, which do not have an analogue in the uncoupled array, $m$ ay em erge. N evertheless, in the case of the RS solutions the two states of the driven single junction $m$ entioned above (static and whirling) are still valuable \building blocks" for the analysis of the whole system. Speci cally, the RS states are characterized by altemating regions in which the vertical junctions are either stationary (Q regions) or whirling (S regions). T here are, how ever, som e signi cant di erences with the uncoupled case. For instance, the tim e-averaged current distribution in the coupled array deviates from the uniform ow . Hence, the phases of the stationary junctions can have other values than 0 or $\arcsin I_{d c}$. In addition, the rotations of the vertical whirling junctions induce AC oscillations on the stationary junctions, and phase-locking am ong the whirling junctions. Our analysis in this section is capable of explaining $m$ ost of these e ects.

W e note that our analysis is restricted to solutions with no (static) vortioes trapped in any of the Q regions. The $\backslash$ no-vortex" state is expected to be $m$ ost relevant to determ ine the param eter regim e in which RS states appear. Sim ilarly, the vertical junctions in the $S$ regions are assum ed to be whirling at alm ost constant frequency neglecting $m$ ore nonlinear rotation, which is certainly possible, as we discuss in Sec. 'ig.

### 3.1 P erturbative analysis

In previous perturbative analyses of junctions and arrays, it has been custom ary to treat $I_{d c}$ as a large param eter $[\overline{1}, 4 \overline{4} \overline{1}]$. H ow ever, partially RS states can exist only when $I_{d c}$ is su ciently sm all, as we will show below. Therefore, we use the rotation frequency of the pendulum ! = $I_{\text {dc }}=$ as the large param eter in our perturbation. That is, we will consider the high-frequency lim it $\left[\underline{\beta}[\underline{5}]\right.$ ! 1 , which can be satis ed for a nite $I_{d c}$ if the dam ping
is sm all enough.
Hence, we assum e that the variables in the RS states can be expanded in powers of ! ${ }^{1}$. $T$ he phases of the horizontal junctions are then approxim ated by
while the $m$ esh current is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i ; j ; t)=\bar{T}_{0}(i ; j)+f_{0}(i ; j ;)+{ }_{p=1}^{X^{1}}!p_{p}(i ; j ;) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the norm alized tim e

$$
=!t=\left(I_{\text {ldc }}=\right) t:
$$

The notation ( ) expresses tim e-independent (DC) quantities, whilef ) are for the tim edependent (AC) parts whose time average is zero. Note that the correction of $0\left(!{ }^{1}\right.$ ) in (2]. $\overline{2}$ ) tums out to be zero, so we neglect that term from start. The form for the vertical junctions $m$ ust be di erent in the sw itched and the quiescent row s. In the sw itched row s , all the junctions are whirling and their phases grow, to the low est order, constantly in tim e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{y}}(i ; j ; t)=+\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{0}^{\mathrm{y}}(i ; j)+{ }_{\mathrm{p}=2}^{\mathrm{X}}!{ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{y}}(i ; j ;) ; j 2 \mathrm{~S}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ eanw hile, in the quiescent row s the junctions are librating and, thus, the leading order is stationary:

W e im pose ${ }^{f}{ }_{0}$ and the higher order term $s$ to be periodic in tim e. In general, the period has to be m odulated and, thus, expanded in ! ${ }^{1}$ (strained coordinate). H ow ever, since in the follow ing we will focus on the leading order system, we set the period to be exactly 2 in for sim plicity.

The perturbative calculation proceeds in the usual way by substituting ( $\overline{2} \overline{5})$ ) $(\overline{2} \overline{8})$ into
 principle, this procedure can be carried out to higher orders if secular term s are elim inated by satisfying solvability conditions when they arise.

Balancing the leading order term S , we obtain two sets of equations since the tim eindependent (D C ) and tim e-dependent (AC) term smust cancel separately. First, the D C term $s$ yield the follow ing equations for both types of row $s$ :
and one $m$ ore equation which depends on the type of row (sw itched or quiescent):

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\bar{T}_{0}(i ; j) \quad \bar{o}_{0}(i \quad 1 ; j) ; j 2 S  \tag{31}\\
I_{\mathrm{dc}} \quad \sin \bar{y}(i ; j) & =\bar{o}_{0}(i ; j) \quad \bar{o}_{0}(i \quad 1 ; j) ; j 2 Q:
\end{align*}
$$

These equations constitute the fiull C system.
Sim ilarly, from the AC term s we obtain for both row s

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{2}^{x^{\infty}}(i ; j ;)= & f_{0}(i ; j ;) \quad f_{0}(i ; j \quad 1 ;)  \tag{33}\\
{ }_{2}^{x}(i ; j+1 ;) & { }_{2}^{x}(i ; j ;)= \\
& \frac{y}{2}(i+1 ; j ;) \quad \frac{y}{2}(i ; j ;) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\infty}$ denotes di erentiation tw ioe w ith respect to. . M oreover, for each type of row we obtain a di erent equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{y^{\infty}}{2}(i ; j ;)= & \sin \left(+\overline{y_{0}^{y}}(i ; j)\right) \\
& f_{0}(i ; j ;)+f_{0}(i \quad 1 ; j ;) ; j 2 S \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y_{2}^{\infty}}{2}(i ; j ;)=f_{0}(i ; j ;)+f_{0}(i \quad 1 ; j ;) ; j 2 Q ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which com pletes the fiullAC system.
These system s of equations are to be solved w ith boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{o}_{0}=f_{0}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the boundary cells.
 $i=0$ and $N^{x}+1$ (i.e., at the right and left edges), it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{o}(i ; j)=0 \quad 8 i, \text { if } j 2 S . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore, the leading order D C m esh current vanishes in a sw itched row tīin 1 , just as it does in the top and bottom boundary cells at $j=0$ and $N^{\mathrm{y}}+1$. In other words, the sw itched row is equivalent to having another boundary row, which splits the array into two. Thus, to the leading order, a partially row -sw itched array with many sw itched row s can be described as a collection of disjoint quiescent regions, coupled only weakly through the AC com ponent. $T$ his usefulpicture is exploited later.

The solution of the leading order system $s$ is otherw ise non-trivial since the D C equations $(\overline{2} \overline{9})\{(\overline{(3)} \overline{2})$ constitute a nonlinear algebraic system, and the DC solution is in tum needed to solve the AC system ( although we show below that usefulapproxim ations can be obtained under certain assum ptions.

O nce the leading order solutions are found, the calculation could be carried out to higher orders. The next order correction leads to a particularly sim ple set of equations:
for all and regardless of whether the row $j$ is $s w i t c h e d$ or quiescent. Terms from the sinusoidal nonlinearity do not come into play at this order, but further expansions would certainly involve $m$ ore com plications.

It is im portant to note, how ever, that the salient features of the solutions observed in the num erics can be explained from the leading order equations. Therefore, we restrict our analysis to the DC and AC equations in the follow ing sections. On the other hand, we will also point out a rem aining problem which is likely to be resolved only by considering the higher order corrections.

### 3.2 A nalysis of the D C equations

The D C equations $(\underline{2} \overline{2})$ ) $(\underline{(1)} \overline{2})$ constitute a nonlinear algebraic system which $m$ ust be solved num erically in general. H ow ever, to gain insight into the system, we willnow obtain approxim ate solutions to the system when there is a large asym metry between its two dim ensions. W ew ill then com e back to the full system and discuss its solutions.

### 3.2.1 Large aspect-ratio approxim ation

C onsider the case when allquiescent regions in the array are longer horizontally than vertically. This happens, of course, when the array itself satis es $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$. M ore im portantly, arrays whose dim ensions do not ful ll this condition are also broken into sm aller, laterallylong, alm ost disjoint quiescent regions after several row -sw itching events. Thus, this \large aspect-ratio" approxim ation is im portant to characterize the RS states which appear in the course of the row-sw itching process. Rem em ber we also assum e that none of the $Q$ regions contains static vortices, which could be trapped for large $N{ }{ }^{\prime}$ and $f$, and for sm all $I_{\text {dc }}$. In Sec-
 to be valid.

In such a siluation we expect a nearly \uniform " solution in the bulk of the array w ith som e edge corrections near the right and left boundaries. H ence, far from the boundaries, we assum e the vertical junctions in the quiescent row s to becom e independent of i,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{y}}_{0}^{\bar{y}}(i ; j)=\overline{{ }_{0}^{y}}(j) \text { for } j 2 Q .
$$

On the other hand, we assum e a whirling solution [īini] for the sw itched row s in which waves w ith well-de ned wavenum bers $k$ ( $j$ ) propagate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{0}{y}(i ; j) \quad k(j) i+\quad(j) \quad \text { for } j 2 s . ~}_{\text {S }} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the wavenum ber $k(j)$ and the phase constant ( $j$ ) may di er from one $s w$ itched row to another. The other DC variables $\frac{\bar{x}}{0}$, and ${ }_{0}$ are also assum ed to be i-independent.

Thus, the D C equations reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sin \bar{o}_{0}^{x}(j)=\bar{o}_{0}(j) \quad \overline{0}(j \quad 1) ;  \tag{43}\\
\overline{{ }_{0}^{x}}(j+1) \quad \overline{{ }_{0}^{x}}(j)=2 \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{k}(j) \text { for } j 2 \mathrm{~S},  \tag{44}\\
\frac{{ }_{0}^{x}}{0}(j+1) \quad \overline{x_{0}^{x}}(j)=2 \mathrm{f} \text { for } j 2 Q,  \tag{45}\\
\overline{0}(j)=0 \text { for } j 2 S ;  \tag{46}\\
\bar{y}(j)=\arcsin I_{d c} \text { for } j 2 Q . \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

This sim pli ed set of equations is still nonlinear but solvable. W e begin by analyzing all the quiescent regions in the array (if any), delim ited by sw itched regions or by the physical boundaries. Consider a quiescent region spanning from row $j_{1}$ to $j_{2}$ ( $\dot{j}$ ). Such a region contains $n=j_{2} \quad j+2$ row sof horizontal junctions including the top and bottom borders, and $n \quad 1$ quiescent row s of vertical junctions. We em phasize that these vertical phases are all given by $\left(\underline{4} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$, thus, $I_{\text {dc }}<1$ is necessary for the existence of partially RS states, where $Q$ row s are present. From ([4]3̄-1) the horizontal phases m ust satisfy a telescope sum

$$
\sum_{j=j_{1}}^{\dot{x}^{+1}} \sin \bar{x}_{0}^{\bar{x}}(j)=\bar{o}_{0}\left(j_{2}+1\right) \quad \bar{o}_{0}\left(j_{1} \quad 1\right)=0 ;
$$

where we have used the fact that both row $\mathrm{s} j_{2}+1$ and $j_{1} \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be either sw itched or in
 obtain: $[\underline{1}-2 \overline{-}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{x_{0}^{x}}\left(j+j_{1} \quad 1\right)=2 f j \frac{n+1}{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j=1 ;::: ; n$. This gives the tim e-averaged phases of the horizontal junctions in the bulk ofthe $Q$ region. Then, from ( $\overline{4} \overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{)})$, the $m$ esh current in the sam e region can be com puted as

$$
\overline{-}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j+j_{1} & \left.1)={ }_{\imath=1}^{x^{j}} \sin \bar{x}_{0}^{\bar{x}}(\Upsilon)=\frac{\sin (f j)}{\sin (f)} \sin \left[f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j & n
\end{array}\right)\right],\right] \tag{50}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $j=1 ;::: ; n$ 1. This procedure allows us to solve for each $Q$ region in the array independently.
$T$ he rem aining variables are easy to nd. Recall that ${ }_{0}$ vanishes everyw here in the $S$ region. The rest of the horizontal junctions ${\underset{0}{x}}^{0}$ le either between two $S$ row $S$, or between an S row and a boundary cell. In either case, it follow s from (

$$
{ }_{0}^{\times}(j)=0 ; \quad \text { inside a } S \text { region: }
$$

Finally, the wavenum bers $k$ ( $j$ ) for the sw itched row $s(j 2 S)$ can be calculated from (44). . O ne notioes that $k(j)$ can change from a row to another, depending on the adjacent
horizontal junctions ${ }_{0}^{x}$. On the other hand, if there is an $S$ region $w$ ith three orm ore row $S$, the wavenum ber $k(j)=2 f$ for all the row sexcept for the two row s at the top and bottom borders of the region; this is because ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{x}}=0$ inside the region. In this sense, $\mathrm{k}_{0}=2 \mathrm{f}$ is the \natural" wavenum ber for $S$ row s.

This concludes the solution of the simpli ed equations ( $\mathbf{4}_{\left.\mathbf{4} \overline{3}_{1}\right)}$ ( ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{T}_{-1}\right)$. W e now exem plify this procedure $w$ th four RS states of an array $w$ th $N^{y}=7^{-1}$ row $s$, as depicted in $F$ ig. 'in. In Section 'ī' we w ill com pare the predictions w ith our num erics.

Pattem 1:S = f1;:::;7g.
This is the totally row -sw itched state in which there is no $Q$ region. Thus, the horizontal phases are

$$
\overline{{ }_{0}^{x}}=(0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0) \text { : }
$$

( $T$ he $j$-th com ponent of the vector is ${ }_{0}^{\bar{x}}(j)$. N ote this $j$ runs through $1 ;::: ; 8$ for the 7 -row array.) In addition, $\bar{o}(j)=0$, and $k(j)=2 f=k_{0}$ for all row $\mathrm{j}=1 ;::: ; 7$.

Pattem 2:S = f2;4;6g, (and so, $Q=f 1 ; 3 ; 5 ; 7 \mathrm{~g}$ ).
In this sym $m$ etric pattem there are four $Q$ regions, each consisting of only one row, and three one-row $S$ regions. By solving each $Q$ region independently, we nd

$$
\overline{\underset{0}{x}}=f(1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1):
$$

Then, for the $S$ row $\mathrm{j}=2 ; 4 ; 6$, we have $\bar{o}(j)=\sin (f)$ and $k(j)=4 f$. That is, the three $S$ row $s$ have an identical wavenum ber but di erent from the natural $k_{0}$.

Pattem 3:S = f4g.
In this case the two sym $m$ etric $Q$ regions, row s $1\{3$ and $5\{7$, are separated by the central S row. W e obtain:

$$
\overline{{ }_{0}^{x}}=f(3 ; 1 ; 1 ; 3 ; 3 ; 1 ; 1 ; 3):
$$

The wavenum ber of the $S$ row is $k(4)=8 \mathrm{f}$.
Pattem 4:S = f2;3;4;7g.
In this highly asym $m$ etric sw itching pattem there are two $Q$ regions. W e obtain:

$$
\overline{{ }_{0}^{x}}=f(1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 2 ; 0 ; 2 ; 0):
$$

The $S$ row s have the follow ing wavenum bers: $k(2)=3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k}(3)=2 \mathrm{f}$, and $\mathrm{k}(4)=\mathrm{k}(7)=$ 4 f. N ote that the rows $2\{4$ are contiguous but all have di erent wavenum bers. The row 3 is surrounded by other $S$ row $S$, hence has the natural w avenum ber. M eanw hile, the row $s$ 2 and 4 , which are contiguous to $Q$ regions have di erent $w$ avenum bers.

A sim ilar bulk approxim ation can be obtained for the other lim it of the aspect-ratio. We


O ne might wonder what has happened to the phase constants ( $j$ ) of the sw itched

them arbitrary. H ow ever, sim ulations show that the sw itched row s are weakly coupled, so that the 's drift to som e particular values (if $f \in 0$ ). This phase-locking has been notioed
 of Section $\overline{4}$, it is also a feature of the partially RS states. The indeterm inacy of in our analysis is not $m$ erely due to the assum ption of the whirling solution ( $4 \overline{2} \overline{2}$ ). R ather, it is already inherent in the D C equations ( $(\overline{2} 9)$ the ${ }_{0}^{\bar{y}}$ (i) within any sw itched row leaves the system unchanged. Since the drift occurs in a $m$ uch slow er tim e scale than the basic oscillation frequencies $[\underline{4}-\overline{4} 1]$, we con jecture that the ( $j$ ) could be determ ined from solvability (or secularity) conditions that m ight arise from higher orders of the expansion. That was the case in one-dim ensional series arrays [ $[\overline{4} \overline{0}]$ where a sim ilar slow phase drift and eventual locking was explained in that m anner. H ow ever, it is beyond the soope of this paper to develop a sim ilar calculation for the 2D array, and, in the follow ing, we w ill use the values of ( $j$ ) obtained from the num erical sim ulations.

### 3.2.2 Solving the full D C equations

W enow consider how to solve the fulld $C$ system beyond the bulk approxim ation a problem which requires, in general, num erical solution. It is im portant, how ever, to note that the decoupling of the equations introduced by the sw itched rows is still present so that the problem reduces to calculating static solutions of sm aller arrays.

The im portant point to recall is given by ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}} \overline{)}$ ) : the m esh current is still zero in all $S$ row . This breaks the array into disjoint $Q$ regions, as far as the leading order DC part
 $w$ ithin each $Q$ region, and can be solved independently. This system is identical to the superconducting (static) equations for an isolated 2 D array of the sam e size as the Q region. W hen this sub-problem of nding the static solutions for the independent $Q$ regions is solved, the rem aining unknowns, $\bar{y}{ }_{0}^{y}$ in the $S$ regions, can be determ ined from ( $(\overline{3} \overline{0})$ ). This two-step procedure is com pletely analogous to the one used in the large aspect-ratio approxim ation, except that ${ }_{0}^{y}$ in the $Q$ row s now depends on $i$, and, thus, ${ }_{0}^{\bar{y}}(i ; j)$ in the $S$ rows cannot have the form given in ( $\overline{4} \overline{2}$ ).

How do we obtain the static con gurations? Since a $Q$ region can take any size in the j-direction (up to $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$ ), we need, in short, a general calculation schem e of static states for an arbitrary rectangular array. An analytical form ula is not known even for the no-vortex solutions (one of the $m$ any possible superconducting states) we are prim arily concemed w th. $T$ hus, they $m$ ust be found num erically $\{\overline{4} \overline{4}, 1, \overline{4} \overline{4}]$. . A rare exception is the ladder array, of size $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad 1$, forw hich an accurate analyticalapproxim ation has been obtained [[]ip]. It show $s$ that the fullstatic solution di ers from the bulk approxim ation in the existence ofskin layers near the left and right edges. C nucially, resolving the phases in the skin layers is central to the existence and stability of the static solution. The ladder case is specialbut im portant since
 In Section , ${ }_{-1}$, we w ill connect the stability of the RS pattems w ith the stability of the static states.

### 3.3 A nalysis of the A C equations

W e now study the AC system ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})\left\{\left(\frac{(\overline{3} \bar{\sigma})}{1}\right)\right.$. W e only need to note that this is a linear system which is forced by the sinusoidal drive $\sin \left(+\overline{y_{0}}\right)$. Therefore, if the D C solution is known, the AC system is sim ple to analyze.

A ssum ing that the hom ogeneous part sim ply decays, the solution locks to the forcing and the tim e-dependence can be factored out as:
where \c.c." denotes com plex conjugate. Then, the spatially-dependent com plex am plitudes m ust satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { A }(i ; j)=C(i ; j) \quad C(i ; j \quad 1) \\
B(i ; j)=\quad C(i ; j)+C(i \quad 1 ; j)+f(i ; j) \\
A(i ; j+1) \quad A(i ; j)=B(i+1 ; j) \quad B(i ; j) \tag{54}
\end{array}
$$

w ith

$$
f(i ; j)=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\mathrm{p}-\frac{1}{2}}{2} \exp \begin{array}{l}
\overline{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{i} ; j)^{\mathrm{p}}-1 \\
0
\end{array} & \text { if } j 2 \mathrm{~S}  \tag{55}\\
0 & \text { if } j 2 \mathrm{Q} .
\end{array}
$$

$E$ lim inating $A$ and $B$ from the equations, we obtain a discrete $P$ oisson equation for $C$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C= \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the source term

$$
\begin{equation*}
(i ; j)=f(i ; j) \quad f(i+1 ; j) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, from $\left(\overline{3} \bar{T}_{1} \overline{1}\right)$, , boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=0 \quad \text { in the boundary cells. } \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rectangular dom ain this problem can be solved via the double discrete Fourier-sine series

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(i ; j)=\sum_{m=1 n=1}^{X_{m}^{x}} e_{m} \sin \frac{m i}{N^{x}+1} \sin \frac{n j}{N^{y}+1} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varliminf_{m ; n}={\frac{1}{a_{m ; n}^{2}}}_{i=1 j=1}^{x y}(i ; j) \sin \frac{i m}{N^{x}+1} \sin \frac{j n}{N^{y}+1} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m, n}^{2}= & \left(\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right)\left(\mathbb{N}^{y}+1\right)! \\
& \sin ^{2} \frac{m}{2\left(\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right)}+\sin ^{2} \frac{n}{2(\mathbb{N} y+1)}: \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, A and B are determ ined from (5̄2) and ( $\overline{5} \overline{3} \overline{3})$. This com pletes the analysis of the leading-order equations.

## 4 N um erics

## 4.1 $F$ inding $R$ S states in sim ulations

To test the validity of the analysis developed in the previous section we now com pare its predictions w ith num erical results. The full goveming equations ( $(\underline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{\underline{q}})$, together w ith the boundary conditions ( $\overline{-}$ ), are integrated using the standard fourth and fth order Runge $K$ utta integrator $w$ th adaptive time step. Ours is an elem entary non-optim ized version of the previous $m$ esh-form ulated code $[\bar{\beta} \overline{2}$, , 1 no-inductance and sim ple inductance $m$ odels. The results presented here are all obtained neglecting inductances.

A s stated above, we also neglect the e ects of tem perature and disorder. Since $m$ ost of the analysis have assum ed the large aspect-ratio approxim ation, we study an array $w$ th $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}=31$ and $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}=7$, with sm all dam ping $=0.2$ and a moderate extemal eld $\mathrm{f}=0: 1$.
 the corresponding rst tim e derivatives). They are expected to be close enough to the true RS states to facilitate convergence, but we leave the AC part to be adjusted by the system . $W$ e choose a value for $I_{\text {dc }}$ betw een 0 and 1 , and $m$ onitor whether the ensuing dynam ical state is indeed the attem pted RS pattem.

The system, of course, does not always converge to the row-sw itched state we have targeted; the chosen initial condition $m$ ay be out of the basin of attraction of the target state, or the state $m$ ay not exist, or it $m$ ay be unstable for the chosen param eters. The outcom e from using \w rong" param eters is, as far as we have tested, as follow s: If $I_{\text {dc }}$ is too large, then vortioes start to enter in som e of the row s we have initially set quiescent; if $I_{\text {dc }}$ is too sm all, then the row s we have set sw itched cannot $m$ aintain the whirling $m$ otion, and exhibit retrapping, becom e quasi-periodic, or show highly nonlinear oscillations. In those cases, we then adjust $I_{d c}$ until we nd the clean periodic RS solutions which we aim ed at.

N ot only m ust we tune $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dc}}$, but the dam ping param eter m ust be sm allenough in order to nd clean RS states. If is too large, it is di cult to nd any partially RS states at all. For interm ediate values, such as $=0: 4$, some RS pattems are observed, but som e others cannot be found. For the underdam ped case $=0.2$ studied here, it becom es easy to nd an appropriate range of $I_{d c}$ in which the system converges to the expected RS pattem. This dependence on the dam ping is in qualitative agreem ent with experm ental ndings $1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$. It is also consistent w ith our assum ption of the high-frequency lim it since a sm aller for a given $I_{\text {dc }}$ corresponds to a larger!.

G enerally, pattems w ith large quiescent regions are m ore di cult to obtain; for exam ple, the RS state $S=f 1 g$ ( $w$ th one $Q$ region of 6 row $s$ ) has a sm aller interval of suitable $I_{\text {dc }}$ than the symm etric Pattem $3 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g}$ (w ith two Q regions of 3 row s), even though both states have only one $S$ row. These observations and the above param eter dependences w ill be discussed in $m$ ore detail in Sec.'더․

Before presenting detailed com parisons between num erics and analysis for $P$ attems $1\{4$, we rst illustrate convergence in Fig. ī.i. There we show the tim e evolution of two variables


Figure 3: Tim e evolution in the central (sw itched) row of Pattem 3: (a) horizontal phase ${ }^{x}(16 ; 4 ; t)$ and (b) m esh current (16;4;t). A fter a short transient, the solution converges onto a periodic attractor w ith DC values and AC am plitudes (shown together as the bands delim ted by the dotted lines) well predicted by the analytical form ulas in Sec.


Figure 4: Time evolution of the vorticities in the $m$ iddle of the array of $P$ attem 3. The solid curve depicts the current vorticity $(16 ; 4 ; t)$, while the topological vorticity $(16 ; 4 ; t)$ sw itches discontinuously between 0 (no vortex) and 1 (one vortex in the cell). This discontinuous \tagging" of the position of the vortex is clari ed by the dotted curve, which corresponds to $\cos ^{y}(16 ; 4 ; t)$. Inspection of that $m$ agnitude indicates that everytim $e$ it becom es 1 (i.e., the phase is equal to ) one topological vortex enters the cell (and is increased by one).
in the array for $P$ attem 3, using $I_{d c}=0: 5$. Since the initial condition (taken as the bulk approxim ation) is not a solution of the fullsystem, there is a short transient ( $<50$ ) until the system settles onto a periodic attractor. Recall that only row 4 is switched in this pattem. Figure ${ }_{-13}^{13}(a)$ show s the phase ${ }^{x}(16 ; 4)$ of a horizontal junction adjacent to the sw itched row and in the $m$ iddle of the row, where the large aspect-ratio (bulk) approxim ation is expected to be valid. The approxim ated average value is $3 \mathrm{f} \quad 0: 94$, as predicted in Seci' ${ }^{\prime}$. . Sim ilarly, the $m$ esh current in the central cell $(16 ; 4)$, shown in Fig.i-1 (b), is $=0$ on average w ith som e oscillations, as expected in any sw itched row. N ot only the average values but the AC am plitudes are also well estim ated from the AC leading order equations, as dem onstrated in Fig.

### 4.2 V ortex m otion

We illustrate now the two vorticities de ned in Section $\underline{2}_{2}^{1}$.' In $F$ ig. 'ī1 we show the current vorticity and the topological vorticity both at the œentral œll $(15,4)$ of P attem 3 after convergence. They display sim ilar periodic behavior though is continuous whereas sw itches discontinuously between 0 and 1 . becom es unity when a charge enters the cell, which occurs in this case when $y(16 ; 4)$, the left junction, crosses (m odulo 2 ). Therefore,
becom es unity when $\cos ^{y}(16 ; 4)=1$, as shown in the gure. Sim ilarly, when the right junction ${ }^{y}(17 ; 4)$ (not shown) tums and crosses, the charge is reset to zero.

A s a com plem ent to the tim e evolution of the vorticities in one cell, we now show snapshots of their spatialdistributions for allP attems $1\{4$ in F ig. '( $5 .-1$. E ach œll is shaded according to the value of the current vorticity ( $i ; j$ ): dark regions indicate positive large , while bright


Figure 5: Snapshots of $P$ attems 1 \{ 4 show ing spatial distributions of the current vorticity as density plots. D ark regions correspond to large positive. C om pare them w ith F ig. $4_{-1}^{-1}$ where the sam e spatial pattems are show $n$ in term s of the topological charge. . W e observe that the topological vortices are generally located on peaks of , and propagate locked to the underlying wave.
parts correspond to negative ．The sam e snapshots，but show ing the topologicalcharges ， are given in Fig．＇īi．Even though represents the spatial structure m ore clearly，we observe that a charge in a cell corresponds to a peak of ，and that the charges propagate through the array on top of the underlying wave．

Thus，we can use to visualize the wavelength and the propagation speed in each row． In all Pattems $1\{4$ ，the charges $m$ ove across the array at a nearly constant speed，as seen in the space－tim e plots of in Fig． $1 \bar{\phi}$ ．They propagate only through the $S$ row $S$ ，and are apparently in－phase in all rows for Pattems 1 and 2．How ever，in Pattem 4 the $S$ rows are not in－phase，and the propagation velocities vary from row to row．Thus，the sim plistic picture that vortioes carry all the $u x$ and $m$ ove with the same speed in all the $S$ rows w thin a pattem［了ַZַ］leads to estim ated speeds in disagreem ent w ith our sim ulations．This further proves that the underlying assum ption that the topological vortioes are particle－ like ob jects which conœentrate the ux is not accurate．Instead，the RS solutions are not
 and dem onstrated in our analysis．Therefore，in these states，the topological vortices m erely m ark where the rotating junctions cross（m od 2 ）（ see Sec．＇ 12.4 it），and they travel at the phase velocities of the underlying（non－localized）waves．O ur analysis correctly estim ates the spatial w avenum bers（thus，the propagation speeds）as shown below ．

## 4．3 Spatial structures after convergence

W enow present a quantitatively com parison of the analysis ofSec．＇Ī龴⿵⺆⿻二丨．to num ericalsim ulations． The analytical predictions correspond to the large aspect－ratio（bulk）approxim ation both for the D C and the AC com ponents．For the num erics，we sim ulate a $31 \quad 7$ array，and the system is allowed to converge to periodic solutions for Pattems $1\{4$ using $=0: 2, \mathrm{f}=0: 1$ and $I_{d c}=0: 6$（except for $P$ attem 3，in which $I_{d c}=0: 5$ had to be used）．

We rst check the predicted spatialw avenum bers $k$（ $j$ ）in the $S$ row s just discussed above． In Fig．in in we show a \snapshot＂of the ${ }^{y}$ in the $S$ row $S(2,3,4,7)$ of the non－trivialP attem 4. To ease the display and com parison of the num erical results，we have juxtaposed the row s one after the other．$W$ ithin each row，the spatial dependence is clearly linear，thus justifying the whirling mode assum ption（ $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{2}\right)$ ．The predicted wavenum bers $k_{2}=3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k}_{3}=2 \mathrm{f}$ ，and $\mathrm{k}_{4}=\mathrm{k}_{7}=4 \mathrm{f}$（dashed lines）are alm ost indistinguishable from the num erics（solid lines） except for sm all deviations close to the edges．

Recall that in our analysis of the D C equations the inter－row phase di erences（j）are predicted to be arbitrary in（ and the dashed lines are adjusted to m atch at the œenter of each row．Conversely，this is a way to determ ine the（j）from the num erical simulations．For the four Pattems，we obtain：

```
Pattem 1: \(\quad(1)=(7)=0, \quad(2)=(6)=0: 05\),
    \((3)=(4)=(5)=0: 1\).
```

Pattem 2：$(2)=(4)=(6)=0$ ．


Figure 6: Space-tim e plots of the propagation of topological vortiges for $P$ attems 1 \{4. The vertical (space) axis is the cell index: the cell ( $i ; j$ ) is indexed one-dim ensionally by i+ $N^{y}(j \quad 1)$ by juxtaposing row after row . W thin each of the sym m etric Pattems (1\{3), the vortices in the switched row s have the sam e wavelength and are in-phase. H ow ever, in the asym $m$ etric $P$ attem 4, the spatial wavenum bers di er from row to row .


Figure 7: A snapshot ofthe verticalphases ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ in the sw itched row $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{f} 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 7 \mathrm{~g}$ ofP attem 4 in a $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}=31$ by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}=7$ array. Each solid line connects the num erical phases of the 32 junctions in each sw itched row. T he dashed lines (alm ost overlapping with the solid ones) are the analytical approxim ation in Section '3i-1 which predict the observed spatialw avenum ber very well. The horizontal axis denotes the \vertical edge index," which num bers the vertical junctions consecutively as i+ $\left(\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{x}}+1\right)(\mathrm{j} \quad 1)$ for $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}+1$ and $j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}}$. This enables us to display the 2D array in a single axis by juxtaposing one row after the other. A s a guide to the eye, vertical dotted lines are added to separate the row s.

Pattem 3: (4) $=0$.
Pattem 4: (2) = $1: 8, \quad(3)=4: 7, \quad(4)=0: 2$, (7) $=0$.
$N$ ote that in each case one (j) is set to zero and taken as the reference, which is equivalent to choosing the origin of $t$. In the follow ing, we will use these num erical values of when needed ( $m$ ost im portantly, for the analytical values of the AC com ponents).
$N$ ext, we com pare the predicted D C values $w$ th the num ericalm ean values after conver-
 around som e D C value after convergence. These average values are plotted (solid lines) and com pared to the large aspect-ratio approxim ation (dotted lines) in Fig. ig. The prediction is uniform within each row because edge e ects were neglected consequently, it works well everyw here except close to the right and left ends. In Fig.' ${ }_{-1}$, we show the D C values of the vertical junctions ${ }^{y}(i ; j)$. N ote that the phases of whirling junctions are unbounded, thus not shown. In particular, Pattem 1 is left out from this gure since all $y$ are $s w i t c h e d$. A gain, in the bulk of the array the approxim ation ( $v=\arcsin I_{d c}$ ) holds, but near the edges there is a signi cant deviation.

In a sim ilarm anner, we show in F igs.' 110 and 'in the AC am plitudes of the horizontal and
 seen in both gures, sym m etric Pattems 1\{3 have rather constant am plitudes throughout


Figure 8: The DC values of ${ }^{x}$ for Pattems $1\{4$, show ing the spatial distribution of the average horizontal phases. The horizontal axis is the \horizontal edge index," de ned as $i+N^{x}(j \quad 1)$ for ${ }^{x}(i ; j)$. There are $N^{y}+1=8$ horizontaledges so that $j$ runs from 1 to 8. For each $j$, the $N^{x}=31$ phases in the sam e row are connected. The dotted lines are from the large aspect-ratio approxim ation which accurately estim ates the num erical results in the bulk of the array. The D C values are predicted to be multiples of $f$. The approxim ation neglects the e ects of the leff and right edges, and, thus, inevitably $m$ isses the skin layers at both lateralboundaries. Vertical dashed lines mark the separation between j's.


Figure 9: The D C values of ${ }^{Y}$ for $P$ attems $2\{4$. Sw itched junctions are unbounded, thus not show. Therefore, $P$ attem 1 is absent in this gure since all the junctions are sw itched. The horizontal axis is the vertical edge index, de ned in $F$ igure ${ }_{i} \lambda_{1}$. For each quiescent row its $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}+1=32$ phases are connected. The large aspect-ratio approxim ation, dashed lines at $\bar{y}=\arcsin I_{d c}$, is a good approxim ation in the bu $\mathbb{k}$, but $m$ isses the lateral skin layers.


Figure 10: D im ensionless AC voltage am plitudes $\underline{x}$ forPattems $1\{4$, plotted versus the horizontaledge index. The large aspect-ratio approxim ation is show $n$ as dashed curves. There are som e quantitative discrepancies, but the approxim ation captures the spatialdistribution of the am plitudes.


Figure 11: D im ensionless AC voltage am plitudes $y$ for $P$ attems $1\{4$, plotted against the vertical edge index. A gain, the large aspect-ratio approxim ation, show n as dashed curves, can describe the spatial distribution fairly well.
each row, except near the left and right edges. The asym $m$ etric $P$ attem 4 show spatial uctuations. O ur estim ates, shown as dotted lines, reproduce the spatial structure fairly well. It is quite rem arkable that our approxim ation roughly captures the behavior at the right and left boundaries, when we have used the bulk approxim ation ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{y}}$ (together w ith the num erical (j)) to solve the AC system .

Since the $m$ esh current is determ ined from the phase con gurations ${ }^{x}$ iy, it also com pares well with the large aspect-ratio approxim ation. Thus, we do not display the quantitative com parison of , and instead present $m$ ore descriptive 2D contour plots of the num erical on the 317 array geom etry. The contour curves of the DC com ponent of are shown in Fig.i'ī2. If the 2D array were continuous, the induced currents would ow along these curves on average. Since the array is discrete, the ow is restricted to the branches, but the level curves still describe roughly the way the currents circulate. Furtherm ore, the D C values in the $S$ row s are nearly zero, as expected. In the $Q$ regions, currents circulate in the clock-w ise direction ( $0<0$ ) on average. This would induce a magnetic eld through the $Q$ regions in the opposite direction to the extemal eld $f$. A though it is interesting to ask whether the induced eld cancels the extemal one to produce a M eissner-like region, that question only m akes sense when all inductances are inchuded. N ote also that all contour plots are alm ost left-right sym $m$ etric, but show a slight asym $m$ etry. This is presum ably due to the presence of edges and the preferred direction ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) of propagation of the waves across the $S$ row s. Such details are not captured by the bulk approxim ation and the fullsolution of the D C equations becom es necessary.

F inally, the am plitudes of the AC oscillations of are show $n$ in another set of contour plots in F ig. dom ain) show the spatial distribution of the m odes locked to the driving D C solution. T he $m$ agnitude of these A C am plitudes is com parable to the D C values in Fig.'İ $\overline{2}$, even though the oscillating com ponents of the phases are much sm aller, of O (! ${ }^{2}$ ), than their DC values. This is consistent with our analysis which assum es that the $m$ esh current has D C and AC com ponents both of (1).


Figure 12: Level curves of the D $C m$ esh current for Pattems $1\{4$, indicating how the induced circulating currents ow. The total current ow is the superposition of the induced ow and the in jected uniform current ow. C ontour levels at $0: 1 ; \quad 0: 3 ;::: ; 1$ 1:1 are drawn on the 2 D grid of the $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}=31$ by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}=7$ array. Pattem 1 show s little deviation from the uniform current ow on average, thus $=0$ and no curves appear. In the other pattems, the D C values of in the sw itched row s are zero, while the values are negative in the quiescent row s. Therefore, currents circulate in the clockw ise direction in each quiescent region \along" the level curves show n. Strictly, the currents are restricted to the grid, but the level curves provide an intuitive description of the ow. N ote that the boundary condition $=0$ is im posed at a half cell outside of the array borders; this explains why som e of the contour curves intersect the array edges.


Figure 13: Level curves of the AC am plitudes of the $m$ esh current for Pattems 1 (top) to 4 (bottom), on the 2D grid of 31 cells. C ontour levels at $0: 1 ; 0: 2 ;::: ; 1: 5$ are shown. The magnitudes are generally large in the sw itched row s , but even quiescent row s have som e oscillations and, thus, are not purely superconducting. O ur leading-order analysis in Sec. 13 _3 predicts that these AC oscillations obey the discrete Poisson equation w ith forcing originating from the DC com ponents. The gure show s nodal structures typical in solutions to such a problem.

## 5 P aram eter region for R S states

In this section we determ ine where in the param eter space we expect R S solutions. This is a di cult task, partly because the param eter space is large. Even after neglecting induced elds (i.e. ? = 1 ) we are left w ith three param eters: $f$, , and $I_{d c}$. In addition, there can be m ultiple attractors coexisting for a given param eter set. Recall, for exam ple, how in the previous section Pattems 1, 2 and 4 were obtained using an identical param eter set, and P attem 3 also used a sim ilar $I_{\text {dc }}$ value. A thorough determ ination of the param eter regim e w ould then require a rigorous study of the bifurcations of the branches of all the attractors | an exploration which exceeds the soope of this article, and is perhaps too detailed to justify the necessary e ort. H ere, we take a $m$ ore heuristic approach, and $m$ ake several assum ptions to estim ate the current interval $\left[I^{m}\right.$ in $; I^{m}$ ax $]$ in which a given RS state is an attractor, as a function of $f$ and. W e base our assum ptions on the results of previous sections, and we dem onstrate their validity by additional calculations in the follow ing.

### 5.1 U pper current lim it

W e rst estim ate the upper current $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ at which a given R S state ceases to be an attractor. O ur rst assumption states that this upper lim it is reached when vortioes enter any of the $Q$ regions from the edge. The entrance of ux $m$ ight produce further switching of row s (resulting in another RS state where the originalQ region has been subdivided), or a m ore com plicated state where the ux rem ains static or moves through the originale region in a highly nonlinear $m$ otion. In either case, the original RS state is no longer $m$ aintained. A s discussed in Sec.in̄, each Q region is decoupled up to the D C leading order and is equivalent to an isolated superconducting array of the sam e dim ensions. If, as we assum e, no vortex has been trapped beforehand in the $Q$ regions, arrays $w$ th $m$ ore row $s$ depin at sm aller values of $I_{d c}$, as can be show n num erically raised in an RS state, a vortex rst enters the largest of the rem aining $Q$ regions, causing further break-up of the array.

Thus, once the depinning current values for no-vortex superconducting state of any num ber of row s is know $n$, these two assum ptions enable us to estim ate the upper $I^{m}$ ax lim it for any given pattem. For exam ple, Pattem $3(\mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g})$ has two Q regions of the sam e size ( 3 row s). W e expect then that this state is not sustainable beyond the depinning current of a 313 array. At zero tem perature and w ithout disorder, the likely scenario is that ux enters the center row of each of the two regions, so that a new RS state, Pattem 2 ( $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{f} 2 ; 4 ; 6 \mathrm{~g}$ ), ensues. This state has now four $Q$ regions, each consisting of one row. The upper $I_{\text {dc }}$ value for this state should coincide with the depinning current of the $31 \quad 1$ \ladder" array. Beyond this value all row switch and Pattem 1 is obtained. W e have indeed observed such a sequence of row -sw itching events when we gradually increase $I_{\text {dc }}$ from zero, using a clean initial condition: $=-=0$ everywhere. Sim ilarly, the largest $Q$ region in Pattem 4 has 2 row s. Therefore, $I_{\text {dc }}$ should coincide w th the depinning current of a superconducting novortex 312 array. In Table' 1 we sum $m$ arize the excellent quantitative agreem ent betw een

| S | (F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ '2) | $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in | $\mathrm{I}^{\text {m ax }}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{\text {dep }}$ | $\mathbb{N}^{\text {x }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f1; :: ; $7 \mathrm{7g}$ | (\# 1) | 0:335 |  |  |  |  |
| f2; $4 ; 6 \mathrm{~g}$ | (\# 2) | 0:335 | 0:945 | 0:947 | (31 | 1) |
| f4g | (\# 3) | 0:315 | 0:815 | 0.825 | (31 | 3) |
| f2;3;4;7g | (\# 4) | 0:328 | 0:912 | 0.912 | (31 | 2) |
| f1g |  | 0:305 | 0:625 | 0.622 | (31 | 6) |
| f2g |  | 0:305 | 0:685 | 0.681 | (31 | 5) |
| f3g |  | 0:315 | 0:776 | 0.778 | (31 | 4) |
| f4g | (\# 3) | 0.315 | 0.815 | 0.825 | (31 | 3) |

Table 1: Stability intervals [ $I^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {in }} ; \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {ax }}$ ] (tw om iddle colum ns) for eight R S pattems (two of them identical) in the 317 array using $f=0: 05$ and $=0.2$. Theset $S$ denotes the $s w$ itched row num bers, and $P$ attems from $F$ ig. 'ī are labeled. The intervals are calculated num erically by gradually changing $I_{d c}$ and follow ing the corresponding branch of the RS state until instabilities appear. For exam ple, P attem 2 is found in the interval [0.335, 0.945], for this set of param eters ( $\mathrm{f}=0: 05$; $=0: 2$ ). The upper lim it $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ can be predicted accurately by the depinning current $\mathrm{I}^{\text {dep }}$ of the largest $Q$ region ofeach pattem (w ith dim ensions $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$, show $n$ in parentheses). The low er lim it $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in is harder to estim ate, but the retrapping current $I^{\text {ret }}$ of a single junction serves as a rough estim ate: for $=0: 2$, the value is $I^{\text {ret }}=0.252$, which is sm aller than the observed $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=0: 305\{0: 335$. The rst four row s show Pattems $1\{4$ from $F$ igure' 2, . The next four pattems allhave a single $S$ row, but its location is di erent. Am ong these four, Pattem 3 has the w idest stability interval because its largest $Q$ region (31 3) has the sm allest num ber of row s.
the num erically observed $I^{m a x}$ values of several RS pattems, and the depinning currents of superconducting arrays w ith the sam e dim ensions as their largest Q region.

W e have also tested our assum ptions w th four additional pattems, all with only one sw itched row : $S=f 4 g$ (the sym metric Pattem 3), $S=f 3 g, S=f 2 g$, and $S=f 1 g$ (the $m$ ost asym $m$ etric pattem). This illustrates the dependence of the upper $I^{m}$ ax not on the num ber of sw itched row S , as above, but on their location. For given f and , $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ becom es sm aller as the $s w$ itched row is shifted from the $m$ iddle of the array to the bottom because the largest $Q$ region increases its size from 313 to $31 \quad$ 6. Excellent agreem ent is again obtained betw een our criterion and the num erical observations (Table',
$W$ e now $m$ ake the third assum ption that enables us to estim ate $I^{m}$ ax analytically in som $e$ cases. $W$ e propose that, as the drive increases, just before the entrance of a vortex into a $Q$ region, a junction barely holds itself at a critical angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { crit }=\quad=2: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen it is forced to tum beyond that value, depinning takes place, just as it would if the junction were uncoupled. Recall that the single uncoupled junction under an increasing drive becom es unstable through a saddle-node bifurcation at $I_{\text {dc }}=1$, with $==2$ as the bifircation angle. A though the criterion for globaldepinning is di erent in a coupled array, this sim ple heuristic criterion has been used to predict the depinning current in ladder arrays


Take, for instance, an array at zero tem perature with sm all $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$ in a ground state w ith no pre-trapped vortices. Then, the rst junction to cross crit $=\quad=2$ is, for $f>0$ and $I_{d c}>0$, the vertical junction who sits in the center row at the left edge. Thus, the ux would penetrate the array through that junction and destroy the RS state. This is readily deduced from the circulating current show $n$ in Sec.'I 1 w wich rein forces the drive near the left boundary. Such a current is due to the presence of the left and right boundaries, which our large aspect-ratio approxim ation neglected. A fullanalysis ofthe skin layers w ould be needed for a general analytical prediction, but there are two tractable lim iting cases of interest.

The rst case is a \sm all aspect-ratio" superconducting region, i.e. w ith $m$ any $m$ ore row $s$
 be used, which approxim ates accurately the phases near the left and right edges | because, in this case, the skin layers are located near the top and bottom boundaries. Should such a region be present in a RS state as a Q region, it would be very easily broken even w ith a sm all value of $I_{d c}$. In fact, $I^{m}$ ax can be quidkly estim ated as the drive for which the central leftm ost vertical junction crosses the critical angle ( $\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{2})$. As shown in ( $\overline{6} \overline{8} \overline{-})$, this junction has indeed the largest angle. The depinning value for such a sm all aspect-ratio region is estim ated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S A R}^{\max }=\frac{1}{2\left(\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right)}\left(1+\frac{\sin \left[f\left(2 N^{x}+1\right)\right]^{\prime}}{\sin (f)}:\right. \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3})$, the region rem ains stationary when $I_{\text {dc }}<I_{S A R}^{m a x}$ and $f<1=2 N^{x}$. If $f>1=2 N^{x}$, a vortex enters the $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$ region for any $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dc}}>0$. W e have tested these conclusions num erically w ith good agreem ent. M oreover, note that other physical argum ents $\left.\hat{[1}_{3} \overline{-} \overline{1}\right]$ predict that the edge barrier for the penetration of $u x$ in this lim it would be roughly given by $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad 1=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$. The condition ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{\overline{3}} \overline{-1}\right)$ results from the instability of a static state, and it does not depend on , the dam ping coe cient.

The second case is the \ladder array", w ith $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$ colum ns and a single row [īisil]. Its superconducting states, including states w ith trapped vortioes, and their bifurcations have
 depinning current as a function of $f$, shown as a solid line in $F$ ig. ilit (a) and (b). This m onotonically decreasing curve is again independent of, and becom es insensitive to $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$, as soon as $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$ is greater than about 5. For $f$ up to about 0.46 , the depinning is caused by the disappearance of the no-vortex solution. This part of the curve is well approxim ated by the
 the leftm ost junction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arcsin \left(1 \quad f_{ \pm A D}^{n a x}\right)+\frac{r \quad 1}{2 r} \arccos \left(I_{L A D}^{m a x}\right)=f \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$


(b) $\Gamma=0.2$


Figure 14: (a) Stability region for $P$ attem 2 w ith $=0: 4$. For $\mathrm{f}=0: 05 ; 0: 1 ; 0: 2$, we sw eep $I_{d c}$ to determ ine num erically the stability interval [ $I^{m}$ in $\left.; I^{m a x}\right]$. denoted by the verticalarrow $s$ $w$ ith endpoints at $I^{m}$ in ( ) and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{r})$. The solid line is our estim ate for $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{f})$, given by the depinning current $I^{\text {dep }}$ of a ladder array. The dashed line is an estim ate for $I^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{in}^{\text {, }}$, given by the retrapping current $I^{\text {ret }}$ of the single junction at $=0: 4$. Therefore, the shaded section is the estim ated region of the $I_{\text {dc }}\{f$ plane where $P$ attem 2 exists, for $=0: 4$. N ote that the region does not extend beyond a critical $f=f_{R S}(0: 4)$. (b) Sam e as (a) but for
$=0.2$. A though the upper estim ate $I^{\text {dep }}$ is unchanged, the lower estim ate $I^{\text {ret }}$ decreases w ith . C onsequently, P attem 2 is expected to be observed in a larger param eter region for sm aller, as show $n$ by the ve intervals (arrow s) obtained num erically. T he region does not extend for $f$ larger than $f_{R S}(0 \cdot 2)$. (c) P hase diagram for the existence of $R S$ states in the $f\left\{\right.$ param eter plane. The curve $f=f_{R S}()$ separates the regions in which no RS states $m$ ay orm ay not appear. For $1: 2, I^{\text {ret }}=1$, thus, no $R S$ states are expected for any f. This diagram explains the previous (qualitative) observation that RS states occur only when junctions are underdam ped and the applied $m$ agnetic eld is sm all.
w th $\mathrm{r}=+\mathrm{p} \overline{2} 1$ and $=1+\frac{\mathrm{q}}{1 \quad \max _{\operatorname{tax}}^{2}}=\cos \mathrm{f}$. A fter a crossover at $\mathrm{f} \quad 0: 46$, the static checkerboard pattem becom es m ore robust, and this form ula ceases to be valid. W e will not discuss R S states in this high range off. If our assum ptions are correct, this critical curve should predict the $I^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax of Pattem 2. In addition to the single com parison presented
 A s show $n$ there, the num erical $I^{m}$ ax values of $P$ attem 2 from sim ulations are predicted very accurately by the analysis of the ladder depinning point.

Up to now, we have assum ed that the $m$ agnetic ux penetrates the $Q$ regions from the left edge of the array. H ow ever, the ux can also enter the array from the top or bottom boundaries of a $Q$ region in certain situations. C onsider a $Q$ region $w$ ith a large aspect-ratio and no trapped vortioes, but when the num ber of row S , say $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$, is large. In this case, the bulk approxim ation obtained in Sec. 1 for the horizontal junctions is ${ }^{x}=N^{y}$ f attained at the top and bottom edges of the region. It is clear that this value becom es larger than the criticalangle ( $\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ when $f>1=2 N^{y}$. Thus, for a xed $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ while f is increased, the ux would enter the Q region roughly above that value of the frustration. T he entrance of $u x$ in this $m$ anner puts a lim it on the applicability of our analysis. The assum ed no-vortex $Q$ region is expected to exist only when the num ber of row $s$ is sm aller than about $1=2 \mathrm{f}$. Thus, our analysis does not apply for the intial stages of the row -sw itching cascade in large arrays, when there are still Q regions w ith m any row s . H ow ever, even in such arrays, later steps of the cascade (w hen the $Q$ regions have been subdivided) can be described by assum ing no-vortex $Q$ regions. In addition, our prelm inary sim ulations indicate that keeping a vortex trapped in a $Q$ region becom es m ore di cult both in the presence of $I_{d c}$ (which tends to expel the uxoids from the $Q$ regions), and ofself- elds (which tend to shield the $Q$ regions from the entrance of vortioes).

### 5.2 Low er current lim it

$T$ he param eter regim e for the existence ofR $S$ states is also a ected by the dam ping param eter , as show $n$ in experim ents and sim ulations where RS states appear only when the junctions are underdam ped. H ow ever, all the critical currents calculated until now are independent of
. W e claim that the explanation of the dependence of the RS states requires an estim ate of the lower lim it $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in . Unlike the upper lim it, in which the superconducting solution of a Q region ceases to exist, our num erical observations suggest that the low er lim it is caused by an instability $m$ echanism in an $S$ region. A s the bias current is decreased from the values in which a clean periodic RS state is observed, $S$ regions start to have trouble in m aintaining fast whirling oscillations. Typically, the system begins to show am plitude m odulations in a slow tim e-scale, becom es highly nonlinear, or gets retrapped altogether.
$T$ he variety of possible scenarios $m$ akes an accurate estim ate $m$ uch harder than for $I^{m a x}$. In order to $m$ ake progress, we have to rely on a rather rough estim ate, based on the dynam ics of a single junction. Recall that the vertical junctions in an $S$ row are in the resistive (whirling) state. For a single underdam ped junction, its inertia is enough to maintain a whirling solution until very close to the retrapping current $I^{\text {ret }}$, when it jum ps badk to the
stationary state. Only near that value does a strong nonlinearity come into play. Ignoring the inter-junction coupling, we use this current as our estim ate for the low er lim it $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in of an RS state. Because of collective e ects, the state $m$ ay not be im m ediately retrapped into a stationary state, or, on the contrary, be retrapped earlier. H ow ever, we expect that, as $I_{d c}$ is low ered tow ard the $I^{\text {ret }}$ value, som e nonlinear e ects start to becom e apparent, so that the sim ple periodic RS state is altered.

The estim ate of $I^{\text {ret }}$ is standard $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{3} \\ \bar{\sigma}\end{array}\right]$. For the underdam ped case, (i.e., $<1: 2$ ), the retrapping is produced through a hom oclinic bifurcation at $I^{\text {ret }}<1$, and the I $\{V$ of the single junction is hysteretic. For all $>, I^{\text {ret }}=1$, and there is no hysteresis. In general, $I^{\text {ret }}$ is calculated num erically, but an asym ptotic expression, $\mathrm{I}^{\text {ret }} \quad 4=$ can be used as $!0$.
From the de nition of $I^{\text {ret }}$, our estim ate for $I^{m}$ in is thus independent of $f, N^{x}, N^{y}$, and the particular RS pattem, but depends on the dam ping. The estim ates for $=0.2$ and 0.4 are shown as dashed lines in $F$ ig. 'īí (a) and (b), respectively. The com parison w ith the num erical values of $I^{m}$ in (the point when the RS states lose their whirling character) is not so good, as expected. H ow ever, our estim ate seem $s$ to serve as a reasonable rst guess.

## 5.3 f \{ param eter region for R S states

In the usual experim ental setup, the I\{V characteristic of an array is m easured by sw eeping the D C current under a constant applied $m$ agnetic eld at a xed tem perature (which controls the penetration depth ? and damping ). For som e combinations of the experim ental variables ( $m$ agnetic eld and tem perature) and, thus, of the underlying param eters $f$, , and ?, the I\{V shows RS steps. For others, it does not. W e will now sum $m$ arize the preceding sections and com bine their results to estim ate the (, f) param eter region, in the $\lim$ it ? $=1$, in which RS states appear.

 that $I^{m}$ ax changes $m$ onotonically betw een these two lim its, as $N^{y}$ is varied. (T his result is also expected from physical grounds: for $x e d N^{x}$, the $m$ agnetic ux penetrates the array $m$ ore easily as $N^{y}$ is increased.) An obvious consequence of this is that the ladder array has the largest param eter dom ain for the no-vortex superoonducting state. Therefore, recalling our link between depinning and row-sw itching, RS states whose $Q$ regions are all ladders, e.g. P attem 2, are thought to be the m ost stable in the sam e sense. In other words, when an isolated ladder of length $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$ cannot m aintain superconductivity, the 2 D array of size $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{N}^{y}$ cannot show row-sw itched behavior. C onsequently, the solid curve in Fig. iíqu(a) not only gives the upper lim it $I^{m a x}$ for Pattem 2, but also establishes the critical $I_{d c}$, for each $f$, above which no RS states can be observed.

Second, we concluded in Section '5 ${ }^{5} 2$ '2 that the $I^{m}$ in of all RS states w ith dam ping can be estim ated by the retrapping current of a single junction $w$ th the sam e. These are the dashed straight lines in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1} \overline{1}(\mathrm{q})$ ( a ).

Hence, the RS states can only exist in the region contained between these upper and
low er lim its, shown as the shaded area in $F$ ig. 'ī beyond which no R S state is possible. (Se Fig. in ín (a) \{ (b) for the procedure.) For junctions of m oderate to large dam ping ( > 1:2), the dashed line is above the curve, $m$ eaning that RS states are im possible for any $f . O n$ the other hand, for highly underdam ped arrays ( < 0.2), the line always rem ains below the curve; hence, RS states are possible for any f (although the region of f near $1 / 2$ would need $m$ ore careful consideration). Between these two extrem es of dam ping, the line intersects the curve at the critical value $f_{R S}()$, which constitutes a phase boundary in the $f\{$ plane. In other words, the param eter plane is divided into two regions ( $R S$ and no $R S$ ) by the curve $f_{R S}()$ in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}(c)$. This is in qualitative agreem ent w ith previous observations, and aw aits m ore system atic experim ental testing.

## 6 Sum mary and open problem s

In this article we have used a weakly-nonlinear perturbative analysis to study the row Sw itching phenom enon and to approxim ate the RS solutions. For the bulk of the array, we have obtained analytical expressions for the phase and current variables. In addition, we have estim ated the param eter regim e for their existence. For this, the consideration of the lateral edges has played an im portant role. The predicted spatial current distributions and the param eter regim e could serve as a guide for $m$ ore system atic experim ental studies. In the rest of this section we brie y state open problem $s$ and possible future directions.

The leading-order solutions show good agreem ent w ith the num erics, but leave one phase per row undeterm ined. This is (j) in the large aspect-ratio approxim ation '(4̄è $\overline{4})$ and such an arbitrary phase is still present in the unapproxim ated leading order DC equations, as discussed in Sec. speci c set of ( $j$ ) for each pattem. Several authors ${ }^{[ }[\mathbf{T}, 1, \overline{8}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ have studied this inter-row phase locking in Pattem 1, but a satisfying answer is yet to be developed. The zero- eld lim it ( $f=0$ ) is an exception in that exact neutralstability and a fam ily of periodic solutions
 drift starts to occur as $f$ is perturbed aw ay from zero $[\underline{4}-\overline{1} 1]$. W e con jecture that the arbitrary phases should be constrained by a solvability condition in the higher-order expansions of our analysis, which is autom atically satis ed when $f=0$. Finding that condition, how ever, is likely to be an elaborate task.

O ur analysis is based on such simpli cations as zero tem perature, no disorder, and no self- elds. Clearly, the e ect of relaxing these assum ptions should be also investigated. Them al noise, self- elds and inhom ogeneities alter the sw itching sequence in sim ulations

 other hand, the directed use of disorder (e.g., by rem oving som e of the edges in the array) m ight prove a valuable strategy to enhance the locking property of the arrays [ī $\overline{1}, \mathbf{1}$. Including
 and our ow n prelim inary calculations including self-inductances, show that RS states persist at least for sm all inductances. O ur expansion could be extended to include inductances and then proceed to describe the m odi ed solutions. H ow ever, qualitatively new phenom ena can also arise. For exam ple, it is known $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{1}, \underline{1}, 1 \overline{1}, 1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ $m$ odel, a coherent state (dynam ical checkerboard pattem) em erges near $f=1=2$ when the RS states cease to exist.

In this article, we have only considered \clean" RS states, form ed by whirling and novortex superconducting regions. Thus, we have assum ed that the $Q$ row s do not contain any static vortioes. It is generally expected that the depinning of a $Q$ region would becom e easier when it contains a pre-trapped vortex. Therefore, the existence of states with static vortioes probably does not a ect the critical curve in Fig. ${ }^{14}$ depinning of a static 2 D array depends on various param eters (, $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{N}{ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ) is not fully understood, except in the case of the ladder $[\underline{1} \overline{1}\rangle \overline{1}]$, and requires further scnutiny. Sim ilarly,


Figure 15: D im ensionless AC voltage amplitudes y for Pattem 1 in a large array of size $N^{x}=63$ and $N^{y}=31$. The other param eters are the ones used in Pattem 1 in Fig. 'ī1". The am plitudes decay quidkly from the boundaries, and nearly vanish inside the array. The tendency was already present in Fig.'ī1 for the 317 array, but it is clearer here. C onsequently, were it to be used as an oscillator, the totalAC output voltage for this pattem would not scale favorably w ith increasing size.
the $S$ row $s$ in the RS states were assum ed to be in the whirling (norm al resistive) state. O ur sim ulations som etim es show \generalized" R S states which contain one orm ore row s that are neither sw itched nor quiescent, but \active". The states could be bom, for instance, when $I_{d c}$ is increased so that vortioes start to enter a Q region but not strongly enough to sw itch it. Junctions in the active row s undergo highly nonlinear oscillations, and propagating vortioes are localized. These states create additionalsteps in the I \{V characteristics betw een two RS steps, and are detectable. Thus, they should be considered for a com prehensive treatm ent of row -sw itching.

A part from investigating the RS states, we have introduced in this article a system atic approach to the analysis of the dynam ics of 2D Josephson arrays. Unlike 1D arrays, which have already led to a great am ount of insight into im portant phenom ena (such as solton propagation and interaction in the paralleloonnected arrays $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \overline{1} 11, ~ ' 5 ~ & 50 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, or synchronization,
 arrays have been $m$ uch harder to analyze. This is partly due to their netw ork equations being $m$ ore com plicated, and also to their having a wider variety of solutions. A s our weaklynonlinear analysis show s , the di culty regarding the form ulation is reduced by the com pact
 feel that the transparent form of the $m$ esh equations has the potential to provide analytical inform ation in the strongly nonlinear regin e.

O fthese strongly nonlinear solutions, tw o are ofparticular interest. $F$ irst, coherent states,
such as Pattem 1 in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1}, \underline{i}, m$ ight be suitable for oscillator applications, if $f$ is kept sm all so that the whole array operates nearly in-phase. H ow ever, for the com pletely row-sw itched state to be usefiul, overdam ped junctions, which rotate less sm oothly and, thus, produce larger AC am plitudes, should be em ployed [ब़].]. The extension of our analysis for this case (conceming only Pattem 1) appears to be straightforw ard. H ow ever, we can already point out a com plication due to the spatial distribution of the AC am plitudes. Recall how the y am plitudes in Pattem 1 ( $F$ ig. . 1 ī 1 i $)$ decay from the boundaries into interior of the array. $T$ his e ect is m ore clearly illustrated in Fig. Pattem 1 in a larger array $\mathbb{N}^{x}=63$ and $N^{-\bar{y}}=31$ ), the other param eters being identical. The am plitudes decay quidkly, and nearly vanish inside the array. C onsequently, the total AC voltage does not increase signi cantly even when $m$ ore junctions are inter-connected.

Finally, ux ow localized vortioes propagate \di usively". Theoretical studies so far have been based on phenom enological pictures of vortioes and their interactions $\left[\frac{1}{1} 1\right]$. A m ore form altreatm ent of these solutions and a detailed prediction of, for instance, the ux ow resistance is strongly aw aited both from the theoretical and experim ental points of view.
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## A Sm all aspect-ratio approxim ation

Follow ing the large aspect-ratio approxim ation presented in Section 3 a bulk approxim ation to the DC equations ( $\overline{2} \overline{9})\{(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ in a $Q$ region $w$ th a sm all aspectratio, ie. when its vertical size $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}}$ is m uch larger than $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{x}}$. Far from the top and bottom boundaries, the solution is expected to be independent of $j$ (assum ing there are no trapped vortioes). Then, the D C equations ( $(\overline{2} \overline{9}),(\underline{1}(\underline{0} \overline{0})$ sim plify to:

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\sin \bar{x}_{0}^{x}(i)=0 & \text { thus } & \overline{0}_{0}^{x}(i)=0 \\
& \overline{y_{0}^{y}}(i+1) & \overline{{ }_{0}^{y}}(i)=  \tag{66}\\
2 \mathrm{f}
\end{array}
$$

From ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{i} \bar{i})$ and the boundary conditions $(\overline{3} \overline{\overline{3}})$, we can construct the follow ing telesoope sum which must be satis ed

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i=1}^{N_{X}+1} \sin \overline{y_{0}}(i)=\left(\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right) I_{d c}: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these two equations $(\overline{6} \overline{\mathrm{a}}),(\overline{6} \overline{\mathrm{~T}})$ ) we can then solve for the vertical phases in the bulk of the Q region:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{y}}}(\mathrm{i})=2 \mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{x}}}{2}+1 \quad i+ \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
=\arcsin \frac{\left(\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right) \sin (f)}{\left.\sin \left[\mathbb{N}^{x}+1\right) f\right]} I_{d c} \quad:
$$

C om pare this w ith the large aspect-ratio case ( $\left(\underline{4} \bar{T}_{-}\right)$) in which $\overline{{ }_{0}^{y}}=\arcsin I_{d c}$ is independent of $i$ in the bulk of a $Q$ region. In contrast, in the present sm all aspect-ratio case, the extemal eld $f$ is absorbed now by the vertical junctions in order to ensure the ux quantization restriction ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{1})$. N ote also how, in this case, consideration of the top and bottom edges, neglected from the bulk Q region, becom es crucial to introduce $m$ atching across the sw itched regions or to the array boundaries. W ithout the correction from the edges, phase relations across the $S$ row s are not wellde ned. H ow ever, the sm all aspect-ratio approxim ation is still signi cant because it provides a chue to an im portant question: what is the low er bound for a Q region to rem ain unbroken? Thus, we use this calculation when we discuss the existence and stability ofRS pattems in Sec.'i-1. In this context, the sm all aspect-ratio approxim ation is the lim ting case for which a $Q$ region is $m$ ost easily broken by raising either $f$ or $I_{d c}$.
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