

Spin-Charge Separation in Quantum Hall Liquids

H. C. Lee

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul, Korea

S.-R. Eric Yang

Department of Physics, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea

We have investigated chiral edges of a quantum Hall (QH) liquid at filling factor $\nu = 2$. We find that spin and charge separate in the presence of the long-range Coulomb interaction, and the tunneling density of states (DOS) is given by $D(\omega) \propto |\omega|^{1/2}$. The measurement of the temperature and voltage dependences of the tunneling current should reveal the presence spin-charge separation.

PACS numbers: 73.20.Dx, 73.20.Mf

Spin charge separation, the transmutation of statistics, and Luttinger liquid behavior are the fundamental properties of interacting 1D electrons [1,3]. Edge electrons of a QH liquid form an interacting 1D chiral liquid [4,9]. For short-range interactions the electrons form a Luttinger liquid at fractional filling factors and a Fermi liquid at integer filling factors. The experimental evidence of the power law behavior of Luttinger liquid came from tunneling between two $\nu = 1/3$ edges [10] and between a bulk doped-GaAs normal metal and the abrupt edge of a QH liquid [11].

The separation of spin and charge is an enormous simplification, and it also has important consequences for the low-energy physics. The wave functions and space-time correlation functions factorize into products and the spin and charge collective modes also have different velocities. However, spin charge separation has received little attention in connection with QH edge electrons. The edges can have a composite structure in the fractional case [4,12] and spin charge separation is not expected. We propose in this paper that the tunneling DOS should reveal spin charge separation at the integer case $\nu = 2$, provided that the long range of the Coulomb interaction is present. The tunneling DOS is of the form $D(\omega) \propto |\omega|^{1/2}$ and differs from $D(\omega) \propto |\omega|$ of the spin-polarized case $\nu = 1$ [13]. The appearance of the power $1/2$ is a consequence of spin charge separation and depends crucially on the long range of the Coulomb interaction [14]. We have calculated the temperature and voltage dependences of the tunneling current between a bulk doped-GaAs and the abrupt edge of a QH liquid.

In our model electrons reside on a rectangle of width W and length L . The single particle states may be denoted by a wavevector k in the Landau gauge. A periodic boundary condition is used so that $k = \frac{2\pi}{L}n$, where n is an integer. We assume that a finite edge separation is present so that the Fermi velocities of spin up and down electrons are different [15]. Since the distance between the edges of different chirality is large the coupling between them may be neglected. We can center the coor-

dinate system on the edge of spin down electrons so that the Fermi wavevector of spin down electrons $k_{F,\downarrow}$ is zero. In this model we assume that wavevectors can take values from $-\infty$ to ∞ [16]. We calculate the DOS of two edges of the same chirality using a bosonization approach.

The effective Hamiltonian [5] of edge electrons interacting via the long-range Coulomb interaction has the form

$$H = \sum_{s,p>0} \frac{X}{e^2} v_s \rho_{s,p} \rho_{s,p} + \sum_{s,p>0} V_a(p) \rho_{s,p} \rho_{s,p} + \sum_{s,p>0} V_b(p) \rho_{s,p} \rho_{s,p}; \quad (1)$$

where the density operators satisfy the commutation relation $[\rho_{s,p}; \rho_{s',p'}] = \frac{pe^2}{2} \delta_{p,p'} \delta_{s,s'}$. The intra and inter edge coupling constants are given by $V_a(p) = \frac{2}{p} \ln \frac{2}{p}$ and $V_b(p) = \frac{2}{p} \ln \frac{2}{p}$. The Fermi velocity of the edge with spin s is v_s . The actual values of the physical parameters appearing in the Hamiltonian must be determined from a microscopic consideration [17]. We form a new basis by taking a canonical transformation $\rho_p = \frac{1}{2} \rho_p + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\bar{p}}$ and $\rho_{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_p - \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\bar{p}}$. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{p>0} \frac{2}{e^2} v_{c,p} \rho_p \rho_p + \sum_{p>0} \frac{2}{e^2} v_{s,p} \rho_p \rho_p + \sum_{p>0} \frac{2}{e^2} g_p \rho_p \rho_p; \quad (2)$$

The renormalized charge and spin velocities are $v_{c,p} = v + \frac{2e^2}{p} \ln \frac{2}{p}$ and $v_{s,p} = v + \frac{e^2}{p} \ln \frac{b}{a}$, where $c^2 = ab$ and $v = (v_{F,\uparrow} + v_{F,\downarrow})/2$. The coupling between spin and charge modes is given by $g = v_{F,\uparrow} - v_{F,\downarrow}$. This Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by the canonical transformation $\rho_p = \cos \tilde{\rho}_p \sin \tilde{\rho}_{\bar{p}}$ and $\rho_{\bar{p}} = \sin \tilde{\rho}_p \cos \tilde{\rho}_{\bar{p}}$, where $\tan 2\tilde{\rho}_p = 2g/(v_{c,p} - v_{s,p})$. The diagonalized Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{p>0} \frac{2}{e^2} v_{c,p}^0 \tilde{\rho}_p \tilde{\rho}_p + \sum_{p>0} \frac{2}{e^2} v_{s,p}^0 \tilde{\rho}_{\bar{p}} \tilde{\rho}_{\bar{p}}; \quad (3)$$

where $v_{c,p}^0$ and $v_{s,p}^0$ are the renormalized velocities. The bosonization formula for spin s is given by

$$G_s(x) = \frac{e^{ik_F x}}{2} \exp\left(\frac{2}{L} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{e^{-ipx}}{p} \right) \quad (4)$$

We find that the electron Green's function of spin s is given by

$$G_s(x;t) = \frac{e^{ik_F x}}{2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_0^Z \frac{dp}{p} e^{-ipx}\right) e^{ip(x - v_{c,p}^0 t)} e^{ip(x - v_{s,p}^0 t)} \quad (5)$$

For $L \ll L_0$ we find

$$D_s(L) = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{L_0}{L}} \exp\left(\frac{h}{v_0} \ln \frac{L_0}{L}\right) \quad (6)$$

where L_0 is of order $e^2 = W$, $v_0 = 2e^2$, and L is measured from the Fermi energy. This result should be contrasted to the result $D(L) = [1/\ln L]$ at $L = 1$. The square root in the denominator reflects spin-charge separation.

The groundstates at $L = 1/2$ are both exactly given by HF theory, and it is illustrative to consider the DOS from the perspective of HF theory. It is sufficient to consider the limit where the confinement potential is very steep so that the groundstate is given by a single Slater determinant with N_\uparrow and N_\downarrow spin-up and down electrons $|N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0\rangle$ [18,19]. This state has, unlike a normal Fermi liquid, zero mass renormalization in spite of the strong electron-electron interactions. According to the Lehmann representation of the Green's function

$$G_s(k; i) = \sum_n \frac{j < N_\uparrow + 1; N_\downarrow; n \uparrow_{k,i} | N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0 \rangle^2}{(E_n(N_\uparrow + 1; N_\downarrow) - E_0(N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow))} + \frac{j < N_\uparrow - 1; N_\downarrow; n \downarrow_{k,i} | N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0 \rangle^2}{(E_0(N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow) - E_n(N_\uparrow - 1; N_\downarrow))} \quad (7)$$

Here $|N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; n\rangle$ and $E_n(N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow)$ are the n 'th lowest energy state and its energy.

When $L = 1$ ($N_\downarrow = 0$) it is possible to compute several values of the self energy exactly within this HF theory. Consider the first term in the Lehmann representation. Since the groundstate $|N_\uparrow + 1; 0; 0\rangle = c_{k_F, \uparrow}^+ |N_\uparrow; 0; 0\rangle$ (Fig.1 (a)) and the first excited state $|N_\uparrow + 1; 0; 1\rangle = c_{k_F + 2, k}^+ |N_\uparrow; 0; 0\rangle$ (Fig.1 (b)) are single Slater determinant states the self energies $\epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow}$ and $\epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow + 2, k}$ are exactly given by the HF theory. Now consider the second term in the Lehmann representation. The self energy $\epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow}$ is also known exactly since

$|N_\uparrow - 1; 0; 0\rangle = c_{k_F, \downarrow} |N_\uparrow; 0; 0\rangle$ (Fig.1 (c)) is a single Slater determinant state. From these values of the self energies the qualitative behavior of the DOS may be extracted. It is also possible to calculate the asymptotic values of the self energy directly. In the limit $k \rightarrow k_F$ the first derivative of the exchange self energy has a singularity at $k = k_F$. From the expression of the exchange self energy

$$\epsilon_{k, s}^x = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_1^Z \int_{k_F, s} dk^0 e^{-(\frac{k - k^0}{2})^2} K_0\left(\left(\frac{k - k^0}{2}\right)^2\right); \quad (8)$$

we find $\epsilon_{k, s}^x \sim (k - k_F) \ln(k - k_F)$ (K_0 is the modified Bessel function). From this result we can rederive the bosonization result $D(L) = 1/\ln L$ in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$ [13]. Although the groundstate at $L = 2$ is exactly given by a single Slater determinant the low energy behavior of the DOS cannot be derived from the HF theory. The main difference from the $L = 1$ case is that the first excited state $|N_\uparrow + 1; N_\downarrow; 1\rangle$ is a linear combination of two Slater determinants $c_{k_F, \uparrow}^+ + c_{k_F, \uparrow} c_{k_F, \uparrow + 2, k}^+ |N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0\rangle$ (Fig.2 (c)) and $c_{k_F, \uparrow + 2, k}^+ |N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0\rangle$ (Fig.2 (d)). The HF theory thus cannot give the correct self energy at $k_F, \uparrow + 2, k$.

It is illustrative to demonstrate microscopically that charge and spin edge modes have different velocities. Since the edge of a rectangular sample is equivalent to the edge of a large quantum dot we will consider a dot with $N_\uparrow = N_\downarrow = N$. In the symmetric gauge the single particle states may be labeled by the angular momentum component along the magnetic field. At angular momentum $k = 0$ spin and charge collective modes have the same energy. At the next highest angular momentum $k = k = 1$ the relevant many body Hilbert space may be expanded by two single Slater determinant states $|j_\uparrow\rangle = c_{k_F, \uparrow}^+ c_{k_F, \uparrow} |N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0\rangle$ and $|j_\downarrow\rangle = c_{k_F, \uparrow}^+ c_{k_F, \uparrow} |N_\uparrow; N_\downarrow; 0\rangle$. The diagonal matrix elements of the 2×2 Hamiltonian are

$$H_d = \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} + \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} D_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow} + k + X_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow}; \quad (9)$$

where ϵ_k is the quasiparticle energy renormalized by the self energy and the third and fourth terms represent the excitonic and depolarization vertex corrections. The off-diagonal elements are

$$H_o = D_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow} + k; \quad (10)$$

The quantities $D_{m, \uparrow; m, 0}$ and $X_{m, \uparrow; m, 0}$ are the direct and exchange Coulomb matrix elements. The charge eigenmode $|j_\uparrow + j_\downarrow\rangle$ has energy

$$E_C = \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} + \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} + k_F + X_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow}; \quad (11)$$

and the spin eigenmode $|j_\uparrow - j_\downarrow\rangle$ has energy

$$E_S = \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} + \epsilon_{k_F, \uparrow} - 2D_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow} + k + X_{k_F, \uparrow; k_F, \uparrow}; \quad (12)$$

Since the spin and charge modes have the same energy at $k = 0$ but different energies at $k \neq 0$ they must have different velocities.

We will now investigate the DOS when the filling factor is $\nu = 4/3$. The filling factor of spin-up and -down electrons are $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 1/3$. Including the coupling between the edges within Wen's effective Hamiltonian we find

$$D_{\#}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left| \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_0} \right| \quad (13)$$

and

$$D_{\#}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left| \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_0} \right| \quad (14)$$

These results for DOS are identical to those of isolated edges in the absence of edge coupling, and spin-charge is thus absent at $\nu = 4/3$. It should be stressed that the logarithmic corrections to the DOS are due to the long range of the Coulomb interaction.

Experimentally relevant quantities are the voltage and temperature dependences of the tunneling current. We calculate these quantities for the structure used in a recent experiment [11], where a GaAs tunnel barrier is inserted between a 2D electron gas and a 3D doped GaAs. For eV and T less than ϵ_0 it is possible to obtain simple analytical results, and the results are given below. For $\nu = 2$ and $T = 0$ we find, for both spin channels, that the voltage dependence is given by

$$I \propto \frac{eV}{\ln \frac{1}{eV}} \quad (15)$$

The temperature dependence is given by the following approximate interpolating expression between the low and high temperature limits

$$I \propto eV \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\max(T, eV)}} \quad (16)$$

The dominant tunneling current arises from tunneling into spin up states which are closest to the 3D reservoir. The edge separation between spin up and down electrons is typically of the order of the magnetic length. We have also calculated the voltage and temperature dependences at $\nu = 1$:

$$I_{\uparrow} \propto \frac{eV}{\ln \frac{1}{eV}} \quad (17)$$

and

$$I_{\uparrow} \propto eV \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\max(T, eV)}} \quad (18)$$

For comparison, the voltage dependence is calculated for $\nu = 1/3$ down spin edge.

$$I_{\#} \propto \frac{(eV)^{1/3}}{\ln \frac{1}{eV}} \quad (19)$$

The temperature dependence is given by the following approximate interpolating expression between the low and high temperature limits

$$I_{\#} \propto T^{1/3} \frac{eV}{k_B T} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{T}} + \frac{eV}{k_B T} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{eV}} \quad (20)$$

These results for $\nu = 1/3$ are not identical to the previous results for short range interactions: the Coulomb interaction gives rise to the logarithmic corrections. To observe the logarithmic corrections the experimental data should be fitted to this expression over a wider range of T and V .

A QH chiral liquid at $\nu = 2$ is a rather unique 1D liquid. Although it is not a Luttinger liquid spin-charge separation is present provided the electron-electron interactions are given by the long range Coulomb interaction. Our work shows that this effect can be observed in the tunneling current between a bulk doped-GaAs and the abrupt edge of a QH fluid [11].

This work has been supported by the Non Directed Research Fund (Korea Research Foundation), the KOSEF under grant 961-0207-040-2, and the Ministry of Education under grant BSR I-96-2444.

-
- [1] V.J. Emery, in *Highly Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, edited by J.T. Devreese et al. (Plenum Press, New York, 1979), pp. 247-303.
 - [2] J. Solym, *Adv. Phys.* 28, 201 (1979).
 - [3] F.D.M. Haldane, *J. Phys. C* 14, 2585 (1981).
 - [4] X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B*, 41, 12838 (1990).
 - [5] X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B*, 44, 5708 (1991).
 - [6] X.G. Wen, *Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. B*, 6, (1992).
 - [7] C.L. Kane and M.P.A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B*, 46, 15233 (1992).
 - [8] K.Moon, H. Yi, C.L. Kane, S.M. Girvin, and M.P.A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71, 4381 (1993).
 - [9] P. Fendley, A.W.W. Ludwig, and H. Sakur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74, 3005 (1995).
 - [10] F.P. Milliken, C.P. Umbach, and R.A. Webb, *Solid State Commun.* 97, 309 (1996).
 - [11] A.M. Chang, L.N. Pfeiffer, and K.W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 2538 (1996).
 - [12] A.H.M. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64, 220 (1990).
 - [13] M. Franco and L. Brety, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 1358 (1996).
 - [14] Y. Oreg and A.M. Finkelstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74, 3668 (1995); K.Moon and S.M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 4448 (1996).

- [15] J. Dempsey, B. Y. Gelfand, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3639 (1993).
- [16] C. de C. Chamon and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 49, 8227 (1994).
- [17] U. Zulicke and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B, to be published.
- [18] S. R. Eric Yang, A. H. MacDonald, and M. D. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3194 (1993).
- [19] A. H. MacDonald, S. R. Eric Yang, M. D. Johnson, Aust. J. Phys. 46, 345 (1993).

FIG. 1. Occupation numbers of the groundstate $|N+1;0;0\rangle = c_{k_F, n+2-k}^+ |N;0;0\rangle$, and the first excited state $|N+1;0;1\rangle = c_{k_F, n+2-k}^+ |N;0;0\rangle$ are shown in (a) and (b). The occupation number of the groundstate of $N-1$ electrons, $|N-1;0;0\rangle = c_{k_F, n} |N;0;0\rangle$, is shown in (c). At $\nu = 2$ the first excited state $|N+1;N_\#;1\rangle$ is a linear combination of two Slater determinant states $c_{k_F, \# + k}^+ c_{k_F, \#} |N;N_\#;0\rangle$ and $c_{k_F, n+2-k}^+ |N;N_\#;0\rangle$. The occupation numbers of these two states are shown in (d), and (e).