

Comment on: \Using Ni Substitution and  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR to Probe the Susceptibility  $\chi^0(q)$  in Cuprates"

In a recent letter, Bobro et al. [1] presented novel  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR measurements for  $\text{YBa}_2(\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_3\text{O}_{6+y}$ . They observed a strong  $T$ -dependent broadening of the  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR-lines which they attributed to the oscillatory electron spin polarization induced by Ni impurities. Their experiment offers a new probe of the momentum dependence of the static spin susceptibility  $\chi^0(q)$ , complementary to the NMR observation of the Gaussian component of the transverse relaxation time,  $T_{2G}$ , of planar Cu [2].

To understand the strong  $T$  dependence of the NMR linewidth ( $\Gamma$ ), Bobro et al. performed calculations to simulate the NMR line shape by assuming a Gaussian form for the electron spin susceptibility  $\chi^0(q) = 4\pi^2 \exp[-(q-Q)^2/2]$  with  $Q = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ . They found that the  $^{17}\text{O}$  line width is independent of the antiferromagnetic correlation length  $\xi$ . For the overdoped sample ( $y = 1$ ), where  $\xi = f(\xi)$  is only very weakly  $T$ -dependent, they conclude that  $\xi$  is basically  $T$ -independent. However, for the underdoped samples ( $y = 0.6$ ) they find that the strong  $T$ -dependence of  $\xi$  can only be explained with a  $T$ -dependent  $\chi^0(q)$ . Combining these results with the  $T$ -dependence of  $T_{2G}^{-1}$ , they pointed out that this implies a  $T$ -independent  $\chi^0(q)$  for the underdoped samples. They also remark that a Lorentzian model  $\chi^0_L(q) = 4\pi^2/(1 + (q-Q)^2/2)$  gives similar results. This is in contradiction to the spin fluctuation scenario of cuprate superconductors [3], which is based on the Lorentzian form  $\chi^0_L(q)$ .

Stimulated by their experiment we also performed calculations to simulate the  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR lineshape. For the Gaussian susceptibility  $\chi^0_G(q)$ , we obtain the same results as Bobro et al. However, we obtain a strong dependence of the  $^{17}\text{O}$  line width with the Lorentzian form of  $\chi^0_L(q)$ . Our results using  $\chi^0_L(q)$  are shown in Fig. 1, where we plot the  $T$ -dependence of  $\Gamma$ . Due to the  $1/T$  dependence of the NMR magnetic moment,  $\Gamma$  corresponds to  $T \Gamma_{\text{imp}}$  in Ref. [1]. The inset shows our results for  $\chi^0_G(q)$ . Our results obtained with  $\chi^0_L(q)$  (curve (a)) demonstrate that the experimental results of Bobro et al. are clearly compatible with a  $T$ -dependent [3]. Furthermore, including in addition to the nearest neighbor Cu-O hyperfine coupling  $C$  a next-nearest neighbor coupling  $C^0$  [4] (curves (b), (c) and (d)) we obtain a flattening of  $\Gamma$  for  $\xi = 1:2$ . This provides a possible explanation for the different behavior of overdoped ( $\xi = 1:2$ ) and underdoped ( $\xi = 2:4$ ) systems.

Due to the location of the  $^{17}\text{O}$  between two  $^{63}\text{Cu}$  sites, the local field at the  $^{17}\text{O}$  site behaves as  $B \sim \langle r \rangle = \langle r \rangle$ , where  $\langle r \rangle$  is the envelope of the real space susceptibility (see Fig. 4 in Ref. [1]). Our analytical computations show that for the Gaussian form  $\chi^0_G(q)$ ,  $\langle r \rangle$  is approximately constant for a realistic range of  $\xi$ . For the Lorentzian form  $\chi^0_L(q)$ ,  $\langle r \rangle \propto \xi^{3/2}$ , in agreement with our numerical results (see solid line in Fig. 1).




FIG. 1. The  $^{17}\text{O}$  linewidth  $\Delta\nu(\xi)$  as a function of  $\xi$ . Curve (a) shows the result for  $x = 2\%$  Ni doping and  $C^0 = 0$ . The solid line is a fit with  $\xi = 1.0 \pm 0.32 \xi^{3/2}$ . Curves (b), (c) and (d) correspond to  $x = 0.5, 2$  and  $4\%$  Ni doping, respectively and  $C^0/C = 0.25$ . The inset represents the results for  $\chi^0_G(q)$  with (e)  $x = 2\%$  and (f)  $x = 4\%$  Ni doping.

Taking the  $T_{2G}$  data from [5] (corrected for  $T_1$  contributions [6]) and  $T$  for the underdoped sample from [1], we computed the product  $T_{2G}T$ , which is independent of  $\xi$ , and which for any form of  $\chi^0(q)$  depends solely on  $\xi$ . In contrast to [1] we find that this product is strongly  $T$ -dependent, dropping by more than a factor of 2 between 100 K and 200 K. For a Gaussian this implies that  $\xi$  increases as  $T$  increases, an unreasonable result. For a Lorentzian,  $\xi$  decreases with increasing  $T$ . We therefore believe that a Gaussian form of  $\chi^0(q)$  is unlikely.

This work has been supported by STCS under NSF Grant No. DMR 91-20000, the U.S. DOE Division of Materials Research under Grant No. DEFG 02-91ER 45439 (C.P.S., R.S.) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (J.S.).

D. K. Morr, J. Schmalian, R. Stern, and C. P. Slichter  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Loomis Laboratory of Physics  
1110 W. Green, Urbana, IL, 61801

- 
- [1] J. Bobro et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2117 (1997).
  - [2] C. H. Pennington and C. P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 381 (1991).
  - [3] D. Pines, Z. Phys. B 103, 129 (1997), and references therein.
  - [4] Y. Zha, V. Barzykin and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7561 (1996).
  - [5] M. Takigawa, Phys. Rev. B 49, 4158 (1994).
  - [6] N. Curro et al., Phys. Rev. B 56, 877 (1997).