

Low Temperature Behavior of the Kondo Effect in Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquid

Yu-Liang Liu

*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Bayreuther Str. 40, D-01187 Dresden,
Germany.*

Abstract

Using the bosonization method, we study the low temperature behavior of the Kondo effect in the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid and clearly show that the power law temperature dependence of the impurity susceptibility is completely determined by the repulsive electron-electron interaction existing in the total spin channel and is independent of the electron-electron interaction existing in the charge channels.

78.70.Dm, 79.60.Jv, 72.10.Fk, 71.45.-d

Recently, the quantum impurity scattering of the Tomonaga-Luttinger(TL) liquid has been extensively studied by using different techniques[[1]- [18]]. However, there is some controversy on the treatment of backward scattering of the conduction electrons on a quantum impurity or impurity-like hole in the valence band, although we all agree that the backward scattering drastically changes the behavior of a TL-liquid. The main difficulty is that we have not a reasonable non-perturbation technique to treat strongly correlated systems such as in the high energy physics and condensed matter physics. Another interesting problem is a quantum magnetic impurity scattering of the TL-liquid (Kondo model in one-dimensional electronic system). Although it is extensively studied by many authors[[20]- [23]], the low energy and low temperature properties of the Kondo effect in the TL-liquid still remain an open problem because of the strong coupling between the impurity spin and the conduction electrons in the low energy and low temperature limit.

In this paper, using the bosonization method, we give a detail study on the low temperature behavior of the Kondo effect in the TL-liquid and first time clearly show that the power law non-Fermi liquid behavior of the impurity susceptibility completely depends upon the electron-electron interaction existing in the total spin channel and is independent of the electron-electron interaction existing in the charge channels. For a free electron system, $g = 1$ (g is a dimensionless coupling strength parameter, $g = 1$ corresponding to the free electron gas), the impurity part shows a Fermi liquid behavior. For a weak electron-electron interaction, $g_c < g < 1$, g_c is defined as satisfying relation: $(1 - g_c^2)^2 = 2g_c$, the impurity susceptibility has a power law temperature dependence. For a strong repulsive electron-electron interaction, $g \leq g_c$, the impurity susceptibility satisfies the Curie law and the impurity fermion has a free fermion Green function. Therefore, for the repulsive electron-electron interaction case, the impurity susceptibility shows a non-Fermi liquid behavior. This surprising behavior of the impurity spin in the TL-liquid is completely determined by the strongly coupling fixed point Hamiltonian. However, in the strong coupling limit, we may have either the Fermi liquid fixed point or the non-Fermi liquid fixed point which completely depends upon the electron-electron interaction existing in the total spin channel. For an

one-dimensional free electron system, $g = 1$, the system reduces into an anisotropic two-channel Kondo model, the backward scattering potential provides the channel anisotropy which completely destroys the non-Fermi liquid behavior of the isotropic two-channel Kondo model and makes the system have the Fermi liquid behavior.

We choose the following Hamiltonian to describe the Kondo effect in an one-dimensional interacting electronic system

$$H_T = H_0 + H_I + H_K \quad (1)$$

$$H_0 = -iv_F \int dx (\psi_{R\sigma}^\dagger(x) \partial_x \psi_{R\sigma}(x) - \psi_{L\sigma}^\dagger(x) \partial_x \psi_{L\sigma}(x)) \quad (2)$$

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2} V \sum_{\sigma} \int dx (\rho_{R\sigma}(x) + \rho_{L\sigma}(x))^2 \quad (3)$$

$$H_K = J_0(\hat{s}_R(0) + \hat{s}_L(0)) \cdot \hat{S} + J_{2k_F}(\hat{s}_{RL}(0) + \hat{s}_{LR}(0)) \cdot \hat{S} \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{s}_{R(L)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{R(L)\alpha}^\dagger(x) \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{R(L)\beta}(x)$, $\hat{s}_{RL}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{R\alpha}^\dagger(x) \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{L\beta}(x)$, $\hat{s}_{LR}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{L\alpha}^\dagger(x) \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{R\beta}(x)$; $\psi_{R\sigma}(x)$ are the field operator of the electrons that propagate to the right with wave vectors $\sim +k_F$; $\psi_{L\sigma}(x)$ are the field operators of left propagating electrons with wave vectors $\sim -k_F$; V describes density-density interaction with same spin direction with momentum transferring much less than k_F . It will be assumed hereafter that the position of the magnetic impurity is fixed at $x = 0$; J_0 and J_{2k_F} are the forward and backward scattering potential, respectively. Here, for simplicity we only consider the electron-electron interactions such as that of Eq.(3). The Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_I$ can be derived from a lattice model: $H = t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + h.c.] + V_0 \sum_{ij} \sum_{\sigma} n_{i\sigma} n_{j\sigma}$, where $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$, by decomposing the electron operator into as $c_{i\sigma} = e^{ik_F x_i} \psi_{R\sigma}(x_i) + e^{-ik_F x_i} \psi_{L\sigma}(x_i)$, and neglecting the Umklapp term (assuming far away from half filling). Of course this model is less popular than the usual Hubbard model, i.e., by considering the interaction: $\bar{V} \int dx (\rho_{R\uparrow}(x) + \rho_{L\uparrow}(x))(\rho_{R\downarrow}(x) + \rho_{L\downarrow}(x))$. The only difference between them is that in the former case the interactions in the charge and spin channels are repulsive; in the latter case the interaction in the charge channel is repulsive, while in the spin channel it is

attractive. This difference significantly influences the behavior of the impurity susceptibility (see below). For a general 1D electronic system, we should consider the interaction: $\sum_{\sigma} \int dx \{V_1 \rho_{R\sigma}(x) \rho_{L\sigma}(x) + \bar{V}_1 \rho_{R\sigma}(x) \rho_{L-\sigma}(x) + V_2 [\rho_{R\sigma}^2 + \rho_{L\sigma}^2] + \bar{V}_2 [\rho_{R\sigma} \rho_{R-\sigma} + \rho_{L\sigma} \rho_{L-\sigma}]\}$. If we take $\bar{V}_1 = \bar{V}_2 = 0$, and $V_2 = V_1/2$, it reduces to that in (3). $\bar{V}_1 \neq 0$ and $\bar{V}_2 \neq 0$ correspond to that the interactions in spin and charge channels are different which can be described by the parameters g_s and g_c , respectively. Using the bosonic representation of the electron fields $\psi_{R(L)\sigma}$, we can easily demonstrate the term $\hat{s}_{RL}(0) + \hat{s}_{LR}(0)$ has a conformal dimension g (or generally, $(g_c + g_s)/2$). For the repulsive electron-electron interaction, the last term in (4) is relevant, the backward scattering potential is therefore renormalized to be infinity.

To more effectively treat the backward scattering term, we define a set of new fermion operators

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1\sigma}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{R\sigma}(x) + \psi_{L\sigma}(-x)) \\ \psi_{2\sigma}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{R\sigma}(x) - \psi_{L\sigma}(-x))\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

It is easy to check that the operators $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ have the standard anticommutation relations. In terms of these new fermion operators, the Hamiltonians (2) and (4) can be rewritten as

$$H_0 = -iv_F \int dx (\psi_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}(x) \partial_x \psi_{1\sigma}(x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^{\dagger}(x) \partial_x \psi_{2\sigma}(x))\tag{6}$$

$$H_K = J_0(\hat{s}_1(0) + \hat{s}_2(0)) \cdot \hat{S} + J_{2k_F}(\hat{s}_1(0) - \hat{s}_2(0)) \cdot \hat{S}\tag{7}$$

where $\hat{s}_{1(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1(2)\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{1(2)\beta}(x)$. It is worth notice that for a free electron gas, the system reduces to an anisotropic two-channel Kondo model, the backward scattering potential J_{2k_F} produces the channel anisotropy which completely destroys the non-Fermi liquid behavior of the isotropic two-channel Kondo model [19]. The bosonic representation of the fermion operators $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ can be written in the standard bosonization technique[[24]- [26]]

$$\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} \exp\left\{\frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta}{2}|p|-ipx}}{p} \rho_{1(2)\sigma}(p)\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} e^{-i\Phi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)}\tag{8}$$

where η is an ultraviolet cutoff, L is the length of the system, $\rho_{1(2)\sigma}(x) = \psi_{1(2)\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ are the density operators of the fermion operators $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ that have the same commutation relations as that of the density operators of the right-branch electrons.

According to Eq.(5), the Hamiltonian (3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_I = & \frac{V}{4} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx \{ [\rho_{1\sigma}(x) + \rho_{2\sigma}(x)]^2 + [\rho_{1\sigma}(x) + \rho_{2\sigma}(x)][\rho_{1\sigma}(-x) + \rho_{2\sigma}(-x)] \\ & + [\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{2\sigma}(x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{1\sigma}(x)]^2 \\ & - [\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{2\sigma}(x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{1\sigma}(x)][\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(-x)\psi_{2\sigma}(-x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(-x)\psi_{1\sigma}(-x)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using the bosonization representations of the fermion operators $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$, the Hamiltonians (9) and (6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H = & H_0 + H_I \\ = & \frac{v_F}{4\pi(1-\gamma)} \int dx [(\Phi'_{+c}(x))^2 + (\Phi'_{+s}(x))^2 + \gamma\Phi'_{+c}(x)\Phi'_{+c}(-x) + \gamma\Phi'_{+s}(x)\Phi'_{+s}(-x)] \\ & + \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx [(\Phi'_{-c}(x))^2 + (\Phi'_{-s}(x))^2] \\ & + \frac{V}{4} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx \{ [\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{2\sigma}(x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{1\sigma}(x)]^2 \\ & - [\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{2\sigma}(x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(x)\psi_{1\sigma}(x)][\psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger(-x)\psi_{2\sigma}(-x) + \psi_{2\sigma}^\dagger(-x)\psi_{1\sigma}(-x)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{V}{2\pi v_F + V}$, $\Phi'_{\pm c(s)}(x) = \frac{\partial \Phi_{\pm c(s)}(x)}{\partial x} = 2\pi\rho_{\pm c(s)}(x)$, $\Phi_{\pm c}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\Phi_{\pm\uparrow}(x) + \Phi_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\Phi_{\pm s}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\Phi_{\pm\uparrow}(x) - \Phi_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\Phi_{\pm\sigma}(x) = \Phi_{1\sigma}(x) \pm \Phi_{2\sigma}(x)$, $\rho_{\pm c}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\rho_{\pm\uparrow}(x) + \rho_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\rho_{\pm s}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\rho_{\pm\uparrow}(x) - \rho_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\rho_{\pm\sigma}(x) = \rho_{1\sigma}(x) \pm \rho_{2\sigma}(x)$. The fields $\Phi_{+c(s)}(x)$ reduce into free boson fields, while the fields $\Phi_{-c(s)}(x)$ are highly self-interacting boson fields because of the last two terms. For simplicity, we have not apparently written out the boson fields $\Phi_{-c(s)}(x)$ in the last terms which are not needed in the following calculation of the impurity susceptibility. However, it is easily to show that the last two terms are independent of the boson fields $\Phi_{+c(s)}(x)$. If we define two new parameters

$$J_0^\perp = J_1 + J_2, \quad J_{2k_F}^\perp = J_1 - J_2 \quad (11)$$

the Hamiltonian (7) can be written in the bosonization representation as

$$\begin{aligned}
H_K = & \frac{2\delta_+ v_F}{\pi} \Phi'_{+s}(0) S^z + \frac{2\delta_- v_F}{\pi} \Phi'_{-s}(0) S^z \\
& + \frac{J_1}{2\pi\eta} [e^{-i\Phi_{+s}(0)} e^{-i\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^+ + e^{i\Phi_{+s}(0)} e^{i\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^-] \\
& + \frac{J_2}{2\pi\eta} [e^{-i\Phi_{+s}(0)} e^{i\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^+ + e^{i\Phi_{+s}(0)} e^{-i\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^-]
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\delta_+ = \arctan(\frac{J_0^z}{4v_F})$, $\delta_- = \arctan(\frac{J_{2k_F}^z}{4v_F})$. These definitions of the phase shifts δ_+ and δ_- stem from the exact solution of X-ray absorption problem [27], they are valid both for small and large J_0^z and $J_{2k_F}^z$. It is very clear that only the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm s}(x)$ of the spin part interact with the impurity spin, therefore, the electron-electron interaction existing in the spin channels can influence the low temperature behavior of the impurity susceptibility, while the electron-electron interaction existing in the charge channels cannot influence the low temperature behavior of the impurity susceptibility in the Toulouse limit, such as the density-density interaction: $V \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \int dx (\rho_{R\sigma}(x) + \rho_{L\sigma}(x)) (\rho_{R\sigma'}(x) + \rho_{L\sigma'}(x))$, we can easily prove that this type electron-electron interaction only induces the interactions in the charge channels described by the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm c}(x)$. It is worth notice that the spin and charge channels we used are described by the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm s}(x)$ and $\Phi_{\pm c}(x)$, respectively, that may have a little difference from the real electron spin and charge channels due to the definitions of the fermion operators in (5).

For simplicity, first we consider a free electron gas, $\gamma = 0$, the Hamiltonian (10) reduces into as

$$H_0 = \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx [(\Phi'_{+c}(x))^2 + (\Phi'_{+s}(x))^2 + (\Phi'_{-c}(x))^2 + (\Phi'_{-s}(x))^2] \tag{13}$$

If we take the following unitary transformation

$$U = \exp\{i \frac{2\delta_+}{\pi} \Phi_{+s}(0) S^z + i \frac{2\delta_-}{\pi} \Phi_{-s}(0) S^z\} \tag{14}$$

we can cancel the δ_+ and δ_- terms in (12), and the total Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_K$ can be written as

$$H' = U^+ (H_0 + H_K) U$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= H_0 + \frac{J_1}{2\pi\eta} [\exp\{-i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) - i(\frac{2\delta_-}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^+ \\
&+ \exp\{i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) + i(\frac{2\delta_-}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^-] \\
&+ \frac{J_2}{2\pi\eta} [\exp\{-i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) + i(1 - \frac{2\delta_-}{\pi})\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^+ \\
&+ \exp\{i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) - i(1 - \frac{2\delta_-}{\pi})\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^-] \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

In the strong coupling limit (Toulouse limit), the phase shifts can take the following values

$$\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta_-^c = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{16}$$

For the case of $\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta_-^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the total Hamiltonian (15) reduces into as

$$\begin{aligned}
H'_c &= H_0 + \frac{J_1}{2\pi\eta} (S^+ + S^-) \\
&+ \frac{J_2}{2\pi\eta} [e^{i2\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^+ + e^{-i2\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^-] \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

For the case of $\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta_-^c = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the total Hamiltonian (15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
H'_c &= H_0 + \frac{J_2}{2\pi\eta} (S^+ + S^-) \\
&+ \frac{J_1}{2\pi\eta} [e^{-i2\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^+ + e^{i2\Phi_{-s}(0)} S^-] \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

It is worth notice that the violation of the $SU(2)$ symmetry of the system in the strong coupling limit is artificial because in the bosonization representation of the fermion fields (8) we have omitted the constant fermion operators $\hat{U}_{1(2)\sigma}$ which guarantee the anticommutation relation of the fermion fields $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$. In fact, we still have the $SU(2)$ symmetry in the strong coupling limit. If we define the impurity spin \hat{S} as: $S^+ = f^+$, $S^- = f$, $S^z = f^+f - 1/2$, the Hamiltonians (17) and (18) are very similar to that in Ref. [28] derived from the quantum dot. The J_1 (the former case) or J_2 (the latter case) term provides an energy gap $\Delta \sim J_1$ (or J_2) to the impurity fermion f . It means that in the strong coupling limit, the impurity fermion f form a spin singlet (Kondo singlet) with the conduction electrons at the impurity site $x = 0$. Therefore the system has the usual Fermi liquid behavior. This property of the system is very simple and clear, because for a free electron gas, the system

becomes an usual anisotropic two-channel Kondo model, the backward scattering potential J_{2k_F} produces the channel anisotropy which destroys the non-Fermi liquid behavior of the isotropic two-channel Kondo model and makes the system show the usual Fermi liquid behavior. It is reasonable for choosing the phase shift values in (16) in the strong coupling limit, for example, for an isotropic case (i.e., $J_{2k_F} = 0$) we have the relations: $\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta_- \equiv 0$, $J_1 \equiv J_2$, the Hamiltonian (15) becomes the well-known Hamiltonian derived by Emery and Kivelson [29] from the isotropic two-channel Kondo model. On the other hand, for the most anisotropic case (i.e., $J_0 = 0$) we have the relations: $\delta_+ \equiv 0$, $\delta_- = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$, $J_2 \equiv -J_1$, the Hamiltonian (15) becomes the well-known resonant-level model induced by the one-channel Kondo model in the Toulouse limit. The definition of the Kondo interaction in Eq.(3) means that $J_0^z > 0$ corresponds to antiferromagnetic exchange, in the Toulouse limit the phase shift δ_+ would take the value $+\pi/2$, why do we take it as $-\pi/2$? The reason is that first in the case of J_{2k_F} , $\delta_+^c = -\pi/2$ reproduces the famous form of the isotropic two-channel Kondo model obtained by Emery and Kivelson. Second, in the bosonization description of the Kondo interaction (12), it is the way to incorporate the antiferromagnetic exchange by taking $J_0^z < 0$ because the first term in (12) becomes simple potential scattering and only describes the same direction spin-spin interaction.

For an interacting electron gas, we can take the following unitary transformation

$$U = \exp\left\{i\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi}g^2\Phi_{+s}(0)S^z + i\frac{2\delta_-}{\pi}\Phi_{-s}(0)S^z\right\} \quad (19)$$

to eliminate the δ_+ and δ_- terms in (12), where $g = (\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma})^{1/2}$ is a dimensionless coupling strength parameter. Under this unitary transformation (19), the J_1 and J_2 terms in (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{J_1}{2\pi\eta}[\exp\{-i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi}g^2 + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) - i(\frac{2\delta_-}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^+ \\ & + \exp\{i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi}g^2 + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) + i(\frac{2\delta_-}{\pi} + 1)\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^-] \\ & + \frac{J_2}{2\pi\eta}[\exp\{-i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi}g^2 + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) + i(1 - \frac{2\delta_-}{\pi})\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^+ \\ & + \exp\{i(\frac{2\delta_+}{\pi}g^2 + 1)\Phi_{+s}(0) - i(1 - \frac{2\delta_-}{\pi})\Phi_{-s}(0)\} \cdot S^-] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If we take the following gauge transformations:

$$\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x) = \bar{\psi}_{1(2)\sigma}(x)e^{i\sigma\theta_{1(2)}}, \quad \theta_1 - \theta_2 = 2\delta_- S^z \quad (21)$$

in the strong coupling critical point defined by the backward scattering potential J_{2k_F} : $\delta_-^c = \pm\pi/2$, the Hamiltonian (10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{v_F}{4\pi(1-\gamma)} \int dx [(\bar{\Phi}'_{+c}(x))^2 + (\bar{\Phi}'_{+s}(x))^2 + \gamma\bar{\Phi}'_{+c}(x)\bar{\Phi}'_{+c}(-x) + \gamma\bar{\Phi}'_{+s}(x)\bar{\Phi}'_{+s}(-x)] \\ & + \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx [(\bar{\Phi}'_{-c}(x))^2 + (\bar{\Phi}'_{-s}(x))^2] \\ & + \frac{V}{4} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx \{ [\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}^+(x)\bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}^+(x)\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}(x)]^2 \\ & + [\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}^+(x)\bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}(x) + \bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}^+(x)\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}(x)][\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}^+(-x)\bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}(-x) + \bar{\psi}_{2\sigma}^+(-x)\bar{\psi}_{1\sigma}(-x)] \} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where, $\bar{\Phi}_{\pm c}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\Phi}_{\pm\uparrow}(x) + \bar{\Phi}_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\bar{\Phi}_{\pm s}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\Phi}_{\pm\uparrow}(x) - \bar{\Phi}_{\pm\downarrow}(x)]$, $\bar{\Phi}_{\pm\sigma}(x) = \bar{\Phi}_{1\sigma}(x) \pm \bar{\Phi}_{2\sigma}(x)$; the bosonic representation of the fermion fields $\bar{\psi}_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ are $\bar{\psi}_{1(2)\sigma}(x) = (\frac{1}{2\pi\eta})^{1/2} \exp\{-i\bar{\Phi}_{1(2)\sigma}(x)\}$. The critical points $\delta_-^c = \pm\pi/2$ can be reached for $g \leq 1$ (or generally, $(g_c + g_s)/2 \leq 1$) because the backward scattering potential is renormalized to be infinity in the low energy limit. It is worth notice that the last term in (22) changes sign after performing the unitary and gauge transformations and taking the strong coupling limit of the backward scattering potential.

For an attractive electron-electron interaction in the spin channels, $g_s > 1$, but keeping $(g_c + g_s)/2 \leq 1$, in the strong coupling limit, the phase shifts can take following values

$$\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2g_s^2}, \quad \delta_-^c = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} \quad (23)$$

$(g_c + g_s)/2 \leq 1$ guaratees the last equation to be valid, and the attractive interaction in the spin channels enhances the effective "scattering channels" and the phase shift δ_+ takes less value than $\pi/2$. In this case, the impurity part shows the same low energy behavior as that for the free electron system. However, with the interaction in Eq.(3), $g_c = g_s = g > 1$, we cannot reach the critical points $\delta_-^c = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$, because in this case the backward scattering potential is renormalized to be zero in the low energy limit.

For a repulsive electron-electron interaction, $g < 1$, in the strong coupling limit, the phase shifts can only take the following values

$$\delta_+^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \delta_-^c = \pm\frac{\pi}{2} \quad (24)$$

therefore the J_1 or J_2 term in (20) can be written as

$$\frac{J}{2\pi\eta} [e^{i(g^2-1)\Phi_{+s}(0)} S^+ + e^{-i(g^2-1)\Phi_{+s}(0)} S^-] \quad (25)$$

where $J = J_1$ for the case of $\delta_+^c = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta_-^c = \frac{\pi}{2}$; $J = J_2$ for the case of $\delta_+^c = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta_-^c = -\frac{\pi}{2}$. We have omitted the high order terms. It is worth notice that the gauge transformations (21) retain the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ invariance, i.e., $\Phi_{+s}(x) = \bar{\Phi}_{+s}(x)$. If we define an anyon field: $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} e^{-i(g^2-1)\bar{\Phi}_{+s}(x)}$ and impurity fermion operators: $S^+ = f^+$, $S^- = f$, $S^z = f^+ f - \frac{1}{2}$, then the equation (25) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{J}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} [f^+ \psi(0) + \psi^+(0) f] \quad (26)$$

According to Eq.(22), we can easily obtain following correlation functions

$$\begin{aligned} < e^{-i\Phi_{+s}(0,t)} e^{i\Phi_{+s}(0,0)} > \sim \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{g}} \\ < \psi(0,t) \psi^+(0,0) > \sim \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{g}(1-g^2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

However, using Eq.(26), we can easily calculate the self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$ of the impurity fermion f by the correlation function of the anyon field $\psi(0,t)$: $\Sigma(\omega) \sim \omega^{-1+(1-g^2)^2/g}$. The Green's function of the impurity fermion f is $1/G(\omega) = i\omega + \Sigma(\omega)$. Therefore, in the long time limit (i.e., in the low energy limit), we have the following asymptotic behavior which significantly depends on the dimensionless coupling strength parameter g

$$< f(t) f^+(0) > \sim \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{2-\frac{1}{g}(1-g^2)^2}, & g_c < g < 1 \\ e^{-i\epsilon_f t}, & g \leq g_c \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where g_c is defined as: $(1 - g_c^2)^2 = 2g_c$, ϵ_f is the Fermi level of the impurity fermion f . It is very clear that the physical interpretation of this special coupling constant g_c is that at

this point the self-energy of the impurity fermion contributed by the conduction electrons has a linear frequency dependence. It is very surprising that for a strong repulsive electron-electron interaction, $g \leq g_c$, the impurity fermion f becomes a free fermion in the low energy and low temperature limit. Eq. (28), the central result of present paper, is clearly shown that the non-Fermi liquid behavior of the impurity susceptibility in the TL-liquid completely stems from the coupling between the impurity spin and the total spin freedom degree of the conduction electrons described by the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ (total spin channel). It is independent of the coupling existing in the charge channels of the conduction electrons described by the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm c}(x)$ because in the representation of the fermions $\psi_{1(2)\sigma}(x)$ the Kondo interaction term H_K (12) is not coupling with the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm c}(x)$. Therefore, for choosing different type electron-electron interaction, one may obtain a Fermi liquid fixed point or a non-Fermi liquid fixed point in the strong coupling limit by the perturbation method such as the renormalization group. For the case of $g = 1$, the impurity spin forms a Kondo singlet with the spin freedom degrees of the conduction electrons described by the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm s}(x)$, and it is completely screened by the conduction electrons. As a whole, they show a non-magnetic impurity behavior at the impurity site, therefore, the system has the usual Fermi liquid behavior. For the case of $g < 1$, in the flavor-spin channel the boson field $\Phi_{-s}(x)$ and the impurity spin form a bound state at the impurity site which induces the impurity spin decoupling from the flavor-spin channel described by the boson field $\Phi_{-s}(x)$ in the strong coupling limit. On the other hand, in the total spin channel the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ and the impurity spin still form a bound state at the impurity site, due to the repulsive interactions among the electrons there exists a net coupling between the total spin channel described by the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ and the impurity spin. This unusual behavior of the impurity spin comes from that because of the repulsive electron-electron interaction the density of state of the total spin collective mode described by the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ is decreasing as the dimensionless coupling strength parameter g is decreasing. Therefore, it cannot completely screen the impurity spin in the total spin channel. As $g \leq g_c$, in the total spin channel the impurity fermion shows a free fermion behavior in the low energy and low

temperature limit. According to Eq. (28), we can easily obtain the temperature dependence of the impurity susceptibility

$$\chi_{im}(T) \sim \begin{cases} T^{3-\frac{2}{g}(1-g^2)^2}, & g_c < g < 1 \\ \frac{1}{T}, & g \leq g_c \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

which shows a power law non-Fermi liquid behavior. It is worth notice that all above discussions are confined in the strong coupling region determined by the phase factors δ_{\pm}^c . We can determine the low energy behavior of the impurity by considering the leading irrelevant terms in this strong coupling region $\Delta H = \lambda \Phi'_{+s}(0)S^z + \tilde{\lambda} \Phi'_{-s}(0)S^z$, where λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are small coupling constants. It is nontrivial to get the correlation function of the boson field $\Phi'_{-s}(x)$ at the impurity site $x = 0$ due to the relation $\Phi'_{-s}(0)/\pi = \psi_{R\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{L\uparrow}(0) + \psi_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{R\uparrow}(0) - \psi_{R\downarrow}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{L\downarrow}(0) - \psi_{L\downarrow}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{R\downarrow}(0)$, which depends on the interactions in the charge channels. However, in the strong coupling region determined by the phase factors δ_{\pm}^c (24), the low temperature behavior of the impurity susceptibility and specific heat is independent of the interactions existing in the charge channels because in the case of $g = 1$ there exists the gap in the excitation spectrum of the impurity fermion (see Eqs.(17) and (18)), in the low energy limit, the specific heat and susceptibility of the impurity are exponentially decreasing. In the case of $g < 1$, the boson field $\Phi'_{-s}(0)$ has the correlation function $\langle \Phi'_{-s}(0, t)\Phi'_{-s}(0, 0) \rangle \sim t^{-2/g}$ (or $\sim t^{-1/g_c-1/g_s}$ in the general case) in this strong coupling region. Therefore, the leading irrelevant term $\lambda \Phi'_{+s}(0)S^z$ is dominant because the boson field $\Phi'_{+s}(0)$ has the correlation function $\langle \Phi'_{+s}(0, t)\Phi'_{+s}(0, 0) \rangle \sim t^{-2}$. However, if the system is far away from this strong coupling region determined by the phase factors δ_{\pm}^c , for example, it is in the region controlled by the phase factors δ_+^c and $\delta_- = 0$, the specific heat of the impurity can be influenced by the interaction in the charge channels, but the impurity susceptibility is still independent of the interaction in the charge channels.

In summary, by using the bosonization technique, we have studied in detail the low temperature property of the Kondo effect in the TL-liquid and first time shown that the power law temperature dependence of the impurity susceptibility is completely determined by the repulsive electron-electron interaction existing in the total spin channel described by

the boson field $\Phi_{+s}(x)$ and is independent of the electron-electron interaction existing in the charge channels described by the boson fields $\Phi_{\pm c}(x)$. Therefore, for choosing different type electron-electron interactions, one may obtain an usual Fermi liquid fixed point or a non-Fermi liquid fixed point in the strong coupling limit of the backward scattering potential because they completely depend upon the electron-electron interaction existing in the total spin channel.

The author would like to thank Prof. P. Fulde for encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Ogawa, A.Furusaki, and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3638(1992); A.Furusaki, and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. **B47**, 3827(1993).
- [2] D.K.K.Lee, and Y.Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1399(1992).
- [3] C.L.Kane, and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1220(1992); Phys. Rev. **B46**, 15233(1992).
- [4] X.G.Wen, Phys. Rev. **B41**, 12838(1990); Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B6**, 1711(1992).
- [5] K.A.Matveev, D.X.Yue, and L.I.Glazman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3351(1993).
- [6] A.D.Gogolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2995(1993).
- [7] N.V.Prokof'ev, Phys. Rev. **B49**, 2148(1994).
- [8] C.L.Kane, K.A.Matveev, and L.I.Glazman, Phys. Rev. **B49**, 2253(1994).
- [9] I.Affleck, and A.W.W.Ludwig, J. Phys. **A27**, 5375(1994).
- [10] 9) M.Ogata, and H.Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 468(1994).
- [11] P.Fendley, A.W.W.Ludwig, and H.Saleur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3005(1995).
- [12] F.Guinea, G.Gómez-Santos, M.Sasseti, and M.Ueda, Europhys. Lett. **30**, 561(1995).
- [13] S.Tarucha, T.Honda, and T.Saku, Solid State Commun., **94**, 4131995.
- [14] K.Moon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 4381(1993); K.Moon, and S.M.Girvin, cond-mat/9511013.
- [15] F.P.Milliken, C.P.Umbach, and R.A.Webb, Solid State Commun., **97**, 309(1996).
- [16] Y.Oreg, and A.M.Finkel'stein, Phys. Rev. **B53**, 10928(1996); Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4230(1996).
- [17] F.Lesage, H.Saleur, and S.Skorik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3388(1996); G.Gómez-Santos,

- ibid*, **76**, 4223(1996).
- [18] Y.L.Liu, Quantum impurity scattering of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid, preprint.
 - [19] M.Fabrizio, A.O.Gogolin, and P.Nozières, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4503(1995);
N.Andrei, and A.Jerez, *ibid.*, **74**, 4507(1995).
 - [20] D.H.Lee, and J.Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3378(1992).
 - [21] A.Furusaki, and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 892(1994).
 - [22] A.Schiller, and K.Ingersent, Phys. Rev. **B51**, 4676(1995).
 - [23] P.Fröjdh, and H.Johannesson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 300(1995).
 - [24] A.Luther, and I.Peschel, Phys. Rev. **B9**, 2911(1974); **B12**, 3908(1975).
 - [25] V.J.Emery, in *Highly Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, Edited by J.T.Devreese *et al.*, (Plenum, New York, 1979); J.Sólyom, Adv. Phys. **28**, 201(1979).
 - [26] F.D.M.Haldane, J. Phys. **C14**, 2585(1981).
 - [27] P.Nozières, C. De Dominicis, Phys. Rev. **178**, 1097(1969).
 - [28] K.A.Matveev, Phys. Rev. **B51**, 1743(1995).
 - [29] V.J.Emery, and S.Kivelson, Phys. Rev. **B46**, 10812(1992).