Investigation of acceptor levels and hole scattering m echanism s in p-gallium selenide by m eans of transport m easurem ents under pressure

D Errandonea^y, JF Sanchez-Royo and A Segura

Institut de Ciencia dels Materials, Universitat de Valencia Dpto. de Fisica Aplicada, Ed. Investigacio, Univ. de Valencia, C/Dr. Moliner 50, E-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

A Chevy and L Roa

Laboratoire de Physique des Mileux Condenses, Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, Cedex 05, France

Abstract

The e ect of pressure on acceptor levels and hole scattering m echanism s in p-G aSe is investigated through H all e ect and resistivity m easurements under quasi-hydrostatic conditions up to 4 G P a. The pressure dependence of the hole concentration is interpreted through a carrier statistics equation with a single (nitrogen) or double (tin) acceptor whose ionization energies decrease under pressure due to the dielectric constant increase. The pressure e ect on the hole m obility is also accounted for by considering the pressure dependencies of both the phonon frequencies and the hole-phonon coupling constants involved in the scattering rates.

K eyw ords: G aSe, H igh pressure, Transport properties, A coeptor levels, and Scattering m echanism s.

Short title: Transport properties of p-G aSe under pressure.

^yCorresponding author, E-m ail: daniel@ges1. sapluves, Fax: 34 6 398 31 46.

1 IN TRODUCTION

G allium selenide (G aSe) belongs to III-V I layered sem iconductor fam ily which is characterized by a strong an isotropy in the chem ical bonding. Its crystal structure consists of plane hexagonal lattices which are associated in pairs and m ay be stacked in di erent ways(;; "-polytypes) [1]-[2], being the m ost common one the corresponding to "-polytype (D $_{3h}^1$ space group). The possibility of growing III-V I sem iconductors thin in s by van der W aals epitaxy [3]-[6] opens new opportunities to their potential practical applications, e.g. electronic devices[5] and solar cells[7]. In this respect, the study of the fundam ental electrical transport properties is a very in portant issue. In the case of G aSe, at am bient pressure, these properties have been investigated in detail by di erent authors who have focused their attention on the role played by in purities such as C d [8], C u [9], N [10], Sn [11] and Zn [12]. In contrast, very little is known about the behaviour of transport properties under pressure (P).

P ressure experiments are an e-cient tool to study III-V I sem iconductors since they allow the tuning of the degree of an isotropy of these materials. Experiental studies of their optical[13]-[17] and lattice dynamical[17]-[20] properties under pressure have been subjects of recent interest. However, systematic research of the electrical transport properties under compression has been only made for indium selenide (InSe), for which, resistivity () and Halle ect (HE) measurements under pressure have been reported very recently [21].

In this article, we report a system atic study on transport properties under high pressure, up to 4 G P a at room tem perature (RT), of the III-V I layered p-G aSe. In Section II we brie y describe the experimental setup. The data obtained on HE and resistivity measurements are presented in Section III. Finally, Section IV is devoted to the discussion of these results and their implications.

2 EXPERIMENT

The p-G aSe single crystals used in this study were grown by the conventional

2

Bridgm an technique. D oping by tin (Sn) was performed by adding the pure element so as to get 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.5 at % in the stoichiom etric melt of G as Se. N itrogen (N) was introduced as G aN compound in a quantity to give a concentration of 0.5 at % of N in the melt. We should point out that these concentrations are different from the elective ones, since during the Bridgm an growth most of the initial impurity concentration is segregated to the end of the ingot [22].

Sam ples with faces perpendicular to the c-axis were prepared from the ingots by cleaving and cutting with a razor blade. Thickness of the slabs was measured by using the interference fringe pattern in the infrared transmission spectrum. Typical sample dimensions were 70 m in thickness and about 4 4 mm² in size. P ressure up to 4 G Pa was applied to the sam ples by using the B ridgm an cell that has been described in Reference [21]. In this case, we have used tungsten carbide anvils, 27 mm in diameter, which were put between the pistons of a 150-ton press. Sodium chloride was the pressure transmitting-medium. The pyrophillite gaskets were 0.5 mm in thickness, with a hole of 9 mm. The Bridgm an gasket assembly and geometry are shown in the inset of Figure 1. Ohm ic contacts were made in a van der Pauw geom etry [23] by soldering silver wires with high-purity indium in gold contact pads which were previously vacuum evaporated. HE and resistivity m easurem ents were carried out at RT.D irect electric current ranging from 50 A to 500 A was sent through the sam ples, and the resulting voltages were measured by a digital volumeter. The linearity of the ohm ic voltages on the injected current was checked out at di erent pressures. A magnetic eld of 0.6 Tesla was applied parallel to the c-axis. The magnetic eld was generated by means of a copper coil placed around one of the pistons of the press.

3 RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the pressure dependence of resistivity for N-doped and Sn-doped G aSe samples. The nom inal doping concentration ([N] or [Sn]) of each m easured sample is also given in this gure. Resistivity appears to decrease with pressure in all the samples here studied. This evolution is more pronounced in the samples

3

doped with N, in which goes down a factor three in the explored range of pressure. This factor is nearly constant in all the Sn-doped samples, in which resistivity decreases with doping, except in the slabs with the highest tin content ([Sn] = 0.5%).

The decrease of the resistivity with pressure turns out to be due to the increase of both the hole concentration and mobility, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. Figure 2 shows the pressure behaviour of the hole concentration (p) for di erent samples as determined through

$$p = \frac{1}{qR_{H}} ; \qquad (1)$$

where q is the electron charge and $R_{\rm H}$ is the Hall coe cient. The Hall factor has been assumed to be 1[10, 11]. It can be seen in Figure 2 that p non-linearly increases under compression, the relative variation of p being higher for samples doped with N. In samples doped with Sn, at zero pressure p is enlarged with increasing [Sn] with the exception of the 0.5% Sn-doped sample in which p is two orders of magnitude smaller. In addition, in this sample the relative change of p is the largest among the Sn-doped samples.

As regards the mobility (), Figure 3 shows its pressure dependence. It can be seen there that the mobility also increases with pressure and that its value at ambient pressure is very similar to that obtained in previous works[10, 11].

4 D ISC U SSIO N

4.1 Pressure Dependence of the Hole Concentration

To understand the pressure dependence of p we have considered that N-doped and Sn-doped G aSe at RT are extrinsic as rejected in the temperature dependence of their transport properties [10, 11]. Moreover, from the temperature behaviour of the hole concentration it was deduced that doping by N introduces only one acceptor level with ionization energy of $E_a = 210 \text{ m eV}$ [10]. In the Sn-doped samples two in purity levels with ionization energies of $E_a = 155$ and 310 m eV have been observed [11]. These levels appear to be connected with the presence of a double acceptor-in purity. This acceptor has been proposed to be an interstitial Sn atom in the octahedral interlayer site associated to a close G a-vacancy, giving a local con guration similar to that of Sn in the layered compound $SnSe_2$ [11]. At RT the rst level (E $_a = 155 \text{ m eV}$) is not fully ionized, so that the pressure dependence of p ismainly determined by its behaviour under pressure. However, when increasing the doping of Sn to 0.5% a decrease of the hole concentration has been observed (see F igure 2) indicating that, at very high Sn concentration, a donor conguration of Sn in G aSe (isolated Sn interstitial or Sn substituting to G a) becomes dominant and overcompensates the acceptor centers. Then, as in the heaviest doped samples the rst level (155 m eV) is completely compensated, the behaviour of the second level (310 m eV) would determine the variation of p under compression. Therefore, we can analyze in both cases the pressure dependence of p through a single acceptor-single donor m odel for partially compensated p-type sem iconductors[24]. W ithin this m odel the hole concentration is given by:

$$p = \frac{N_{d}}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{N_{v}}{2N_{d}} \exp \left(\frac{E_{a}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N_{v}}{N_{d}} \exp \left(\frac{E_{a}}{kT}\right) + \frac{N_{v}}{4N_{d}} \exp \left(\frac{E_{a}}{kT}\right) + \frac{2N_{a}}{N_{d}} = \frac{1+2}{N_{d}} \quad (2)$$

where T is the absolute tem perature, k is the Boltzm ann constant, E_a refers to the ionization energy of the acceptor level, N_d and N_a are the donor and acceptor in purity concentration, respectively, and N_v is the density of states of the valence band which can be written at RT as a function of the elective mass in the valence band $m_v = (m_{h2}^{2} m_{hk})^{1=3}$ as:

$$N_v = 2.509 \quad 10^{19} \quad \frac{m_v}{m_o}^{3=2} \text{ cm}^{3}$$
;

where m_{o} ; $m_{h?}$, and m_{hk} stand for the free electron m ass, the perpendicular and parallel e ective hole m asses, respectively. Taking $m_{h?} = 0.8 m_{o}$ and $m_{hk} = 0.2 m_{o}$ at zero pressure [25, 26], we obtain $m_{v} = 0.5 m_{o}$.

In Eq.(2) one can see that p depends on the pressure through m_v , E_a and the term $N_d=2$. Following the K ane m odel[27], the variation of the electric m ass with

pressure is considered to be proportional to the change of the direct band-gap [15]. For the pressure dependence of N_d it can be assumed that it is determined by the volum e variation [17]. Then, taking for N_a, N_d and E_a at am bient pressure the values deduced from the temperature dependence of the hole concentration [10, 11], and using Eq.(2), we can calculate E_a at each pressure. The result is shown in Figure 4 (points). There it can be seen that the observed behaviour of p would be a consequence of a reduction of the ionization energies under pressure. For the same pressure variation (from 0 to 4 G Pa) the ionization energy of the N-related acceptor would decrease by 20 %, but that of the Sn-related one, only by 6.4 or 81%.

The ionization energy of a single hydrogenic in purity level can be evaluated in an anisotropic crystal through the Gerlach-Pollm an model[28]. By using the e ective masses of GaSe from References [25, 26] and the static dielectric constant at ambient conditions from Reference [17] one obtains a value of $E_a = 72 \text{ m eV}$. The discrepancy between this value and the experiment can be attributed to the di erences between the Coulom b potential used in the hydrogenic model and the true in purity potential. It has been shown that the true impurity potential can be represented by an elective potential which includes a central-cell correction to the Coulom b potential model[29]. Then, to modelize the pressure dependence of E_a we take the in purity potential to be[29]:

$$V(r) = \frac{2ZRa^{h}}{r} 1 \exp(br) + Brexp(br)^{i}f(;);$$
(3)

where R , a and f (;) are the elective Rydberg energy, the elective Bohr radius and the anisotropy function de ned in the Gerlach-Pollm an model, respectively, b and B are the adjustable potential parameters, and Z is equal to 1(2) for the single(double) ionized acceptor-impurity. At small r, this potential boks like a sphericall well, with a depth V_0 given by:

$$V_0 = q^2 \frac{B + b}{\|_{0?} \|_{0k}} ;$$
 (4)

where $"_{0?}$ and $"_{0k}$ are the static dielectric constant in the direction perpendicular and parallel to the c-axis, respectively. At large r it behaves like a screened Coulom b potential. The turning point is given approximately by

$$\mathbf{r}_{z} = \frac{\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{b}} \quad : \tag{5}$$

The proposed impurity potential model and the Coulomb potential are shown schematically in the inset of Figure 4.

The Schrodinger equation of the system is solved by the variationalm ethod by using a trial function for the electron ground state $(_0(r))$ as:

$$_{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{b^{3}}{-} \exp(b\mathbf{r})$$
 : (6)

The variational calculation in which the total energy is minimized leads to:

$$E_{a} = \frac{5}{9}^{2} R Z_{0}^{2}() = \frac{16}{27} B R a Z_{0}() ; \qquad (7)$$

where $Z_0()$ is the elective charge [28]. Then the potential parameter B is chosen to t the ionization energy of the single acceptor level at room pressure. The tted value of B is shown in Table 1 together with V_0 and r_z . E_a depends on the pressure through R and a. The rst term of Eq.(7) decreases under pressure due to the reduction of R as a consequence of the increase of " $_{0k}$ [17, 30]. The second term also decreases under pressure, but m ore slow by because R a (" $_{0k}$) $^{1=2}$.

The calculated pressure dependence of E_a is shown in Figure 4 (solid lines). It can be seen there that the model ts quite well the experiment. The shift observed in the second Sn-related levelm ay be connected with the fact that in the present model we have neglected the electron-electron interactions and, as a consequence of this, we could be overestimating the value of E_a . To understand why E_a su ers a greater decrease in N-doped samples, let us consider the parameters given in Table 1. It can be seen there that in this case the square well is deeper. This is just what one may expect because N is much more electronegative than Sn. That is why the central-cell correction is more important in N-doped samples than in Sn-doped samples. Because of this a deeper acceptor level is obtained. In addition, the second term of Eq.(7) would decrease more rapidly leading to the greater change observed in E_a .

4.2 Pressure Dependence of the Hole M obility

Ionized in purity scattering and two-phonon scattering mechanisms must be considered in order to give a quantitative account of the pressure dependence of the hole mobility. The ionized in purity concentration has been assumed to be that obtained from the temperature dependence of the hole concentration [10, 11]. The phonons involved are the 138 cm⁻¹ $A_1^{0_2}$ hom opolar optical mode and the 1532 cm⁻¹ E⁻⁰³ LO polar optical mode [17]. The pressure dependence of the Frohlich constant[31] () and the hole-hom opolar phonon coupling constant[32] (g²) were calculated from data found in the literature [17, 33, 34]. As the hole scattering rate for LO phonons is obtained through an integration over all the possible directions of the phonon momentum, was calculated through [35]:

$$= \frac{2}{3}_{2} + \frac{1}{3}_{k} :$$
 (8)

W e have obtained for both coupling constants a decreasing behaviour under pressure. The reduction of g^2 is basically a result of the increase of the hom opolar phonon frequency under pressure [17, 18]. For , in spite of the increase of $_k$, due to the great increase of "_{0k} under compression [17, 30], the overall change is negative because of the decrease of $_2$, which is more than four times higher than $_k$

By means of an iterative method [24] we have calculated the pressure dependence of . The ionized in purity scattering has been included through the Brooks-Herring relaxation time [36], in which the ionized in purity concentrations has been assumed to be that used to calculate E_a a a function of pressure. The results obtained for the samples doped with [Sn] = 0.05 % and 0.1 % and with [N] = 0.5 %, which are plotted in solid lines in Figure 3, agree quite well with the measurements. The isolated contribution of each scattering mechanism is also represented in Figure 3. The hom opolar phonon scattering (curve 1) and the LO polar phonon scattering (curve 2) are the dominant mechanism s over the whole pressure range, but the ionized in purity scattering mechanism (curve 3) must be taken into account to reproduce quantitatively the absolute value of . The saturation of the increase of above 2.5 G Pa is due to the saturation of the decrease of as a consequence of the compensation between the LO polar phonon frequency and $"_{0k}$ increases. In addition, the increase of the concentration of ionized impurities, determined by the increase of p and the contraction of the volum e under compression, leads to a reduction of the impurity-limited mobility, which also collaborates to the saturation of .

Finally, we want to point out that for samples doped with [Sn] = 0.2 % and 0.5% the value of the zero pressure mobility cannot be reproduced with the present model. Samples from the 0.2 % ingot exhibit a phonon-controlled mobility in a larger temperature range than those from ingots with lower tin content. We think that this can be related to the fact that a large proportion of complex in purity centers are present in these samples, resulting in a reduction of the concentration of single ionized in purities [11]. These complex centers appear to have a lower scattering cross section than ionized in purities, producing that scattering by phonons is the dom inant scattering mechanism in spite of the heavy doping concentration of the 0.2% Sn-doped sample. Nevertheless, the structure of those centers and its in uence on the hole mobility is not known and can hardly be included in our model. In samples from the 0.5 % Sn-doped ingot the compensation is very high and impurity scattering is dominant even at room tem perature reducing the mobility to the low value observed (= $9.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$), but this value and its tem perature dependence could not be accounted for through any known scattering mechanism.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Transport m easurements have been carried out under pressure in N-doped and Sn-doped G aSe up to 4 G Pa. W ithin the framework of a single acceptor-single donor model, the observed increase of p under compression has been interpreted to be due to the reduction of E_a with pressure. M odeling the impurity potential we have obtained an expression for E_a . This allows us to relate the decrease under pressure of E_a to the increase of "_{0k}. In addition, we have also discussed the di erent behaviour under pressure of the ionization energies of the acceptor

9

levels connected to Sn and N as doping in purities in G aSe. The higher reduction of E_a observed in N-doped samples has been explained by means of the deeper central-cell correction obtained in this case. The observed increase of has been attributed to the decrease of the hole-phonon coupling constants.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish G overnm ent C IC Y T under G rant N o: MAT 95 - 0391.

References

- [1] JC JR Terhelland R M A Lieth, PhysStatSol(a) 5, 719 (1971).
- [2] A Kuhn, R Chevalier, and A R in sky, A cta Cryst Sect. 31, 2841 (1975).
- [3] A Koma, Thin Solid Films 216, 72 (1992).
- [4] W Jaegerm ann, Photoelectrochem estry and photovoltaics of layered sem iconductors, edited by A Arucham y (K luwer, D ordrecht, 1992), pp.195-295.
- [5] O Lang, R Schlaf, Y Tomm, C Pettenkofer, and W Jaegermann, JApplPhys. 75, 7805 (1994).
- [6] O Lang, R Schlaf, Y Tomm, C Pettenkofer, and W Jaegermann, JApplPhys. 75, 7814 (1994).
- [7] A Segura, JM Besson, A Chevy, and M SM artin, Nuovo Cimento 38, 345 (1977).
- [8] S.Shigetom i, T.Ikari, and H.Nishim ura, J.Appl. Phys. 69, 7936 (1991).
- [9] V.Capozzi, PhysRev.B 28, 4620 (1983).
- [10] JF Sanchez Royo, A Segura, A Chevy, and L Roa, J.Appl Phys. 79, 204 (1996).
- [11] JF Sanchez Royo et al (unpublished).
- [12] S.Shigetom i, T.Ikari, and H.Nishim ura, J.Appl. Phys. 74, 4128 (1993).
- [13] JM Besson, K P Jain, and A Kuhn, PhysRev Lett. 32, 936 (1974).
- [14] M Mejatty, A Segura, R Le Toulec, JM Besson, A Chevy, and H Fair, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 39, 25 (1978).
- [15] N Kuroda, O Jeno, and Y Nishina, J Phys.Soc.Jpn.55, 581 (1986).
- [16] A R Goni, A Cantarero, U Schwarz, K Syassen, and A Chevy, PhysRev.B 45, 4221 (1992).

- [17] M Gauthier, A Polian, JM Besson, and A Chevy, PhysRev. B 40, 3837 (1989).
- [18] N Kuroda, O Jeno, and Y Nishina, PhysRev. B 35, 3860 (1987).
- [19] C J Irich, M A Mogrinski, A R Gori, A Cantarero, U Schwarz, V Munoz, and K Syassen, Proceedings of the 7th International Conference of High Pressure Sem iconductor Physics, edited by K Syassen, A R Stradling, and A R Gori, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 198, 121 (1996)].
- [20] A Polian, J.C. Chervin, and J.M. Besson, Phys. Rev. B 22, 3049 (1980).
- [21] D Errandonea, A Segura, JF Sanchez-Royo, V Munoz, P Grima, A Chevy, and C J lrich, PhysRev.B 55, 16217 (1997).
- [22] A Chevy, JApplPhys. 56, 978 (1984).
- [23] J.L. van der Pauw, Philips Research Report 13, 1 (1955).
- [24] K Seeger, Sem iconductor Physics, Springer series in solid states sciences vol 40. [Springer - Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1982].
- [25] G Dttavi, C Danali, F Nava, Ph Schmid, E M ooser, R M inder, and ISchokke, Solid State Commun. 14, 993 (1974).
- [26] E M ooser, and E Schluter, Il N uovo C im ento b 18, 164 (1973).
- [27] E M Conwell, High Field Transport in Semiconductors, [Academic, New York, 1972].
- [28] B Gerlach and J Pollm ann, PhysStatSol. (b) 67, 93 (1975).
- [29] T H N ing and C J Sah, PhysRev B 4, 3468 (1971).
- [30] D Errandonea (unpublished)
- [31] H Frohlich, Adv Phys. 3, 325 (1954).
- [32] R Fivaz and E M ooser, PhysRev. 163, 743 (1967).
- [33] Ph.Schm id and J.Voitchousky, Phys.Stat.Sol. (b) 65, 249 (1974).
- [34] G M artinez, H andbook of Sem iconductors, edited by J M oss and M Balkanski [N ort H olland, Am sterdam, 1980], vol. 2, p. 181.
- [35] A Segura, B M ar, J M artinez-Pastor, and A Chevy, PhysRev. B 43, 4953 (1991).
- [36] H Brooks, Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics [A cademic, New York, 1955], vol. 7, p. 85.

Im purity	В	V ₀	r_z
Sn_1	0.36	-0.725 eV	4 . 40 a
Sn_2	0.36	-1.120 eV	2 . 47 a
Ν	0.53	-1.020 eV	4.25 a

•

Table 1:M odelparam eterB , deep of the square well V_0 and turning point r_z .

Figure captions

F igure 1: Resistivity as a function of pressure for di erent samples. The inicial doping concentrations in the growth solutions (N] or (Sn) are indicated in the gure. The inset shows the B ridgm an gasket assembly.

Figure 2: Hole concentration as a function of pressure for di erent samples: (and) $\mathbb{N} = 0.5\%$, (r) $\mathbb{S}n = 0.5\%$, (4) $\mathbb{S}n = 0.2\%$, (2) $\mathbb{S}n = 0.1\%$ and () $\mathbb{S}n = 0.05\%$.

F igure 3: P ressure dependence of the hole mobility in several samples: () [N] = 0.5 %, (r) [Sn] = 0.5 %, (4) [Sn] = 0.2 %, (2) [Sn] = 0.1 % and () [Sn] = 0.05 %. The solid lines are the results of our calculations. Curves 1 and 2 represent the hom opolar phonon and LO polar phonon contributions, respectively. Curve 3 represents the ionized in purity contribution as calculated for N-doped G aSe.

Figure 4: Pressure dependence of E_a . Curves labeled with N, Sn_1 and Sn_2 correspond to the nitrogen and tin levels, respectively. The solid lines illustrate the theoretically calculated dependence of E_a according with the proposed m odel. The inset show a schematic comparison of the C oulom b potential and the proposed in purity potential m odel.







