New Generalized-Ensemble M onte Carlo M ethod for System s with R ough Energy Landscape

Ulrich H ${\tt E}$. Hansmann 1 and Yuko O kam oto 2

D epartm ent of T heoretical Studies Institute for M olecular Science O kazaki, A ichi 444, Japan

ABSTRACT

W e present a novel M onte C arb algorithm which enhances equilibrization of lowtem perature simulations and allows sampling of con gurations over a large range of energies. The m ethod is based on a non-B oltzm ann probability weight factor and is another version of the so-called generalized-ensemble techniques. The e ectiveness of the new approach is dem onstrated for the system of a sm all peptide, an example of the frustrated system with a rugged energy landscape.

PACS num bers: 05.50.+q, 11.15Ha, 64.60Fr, 75.10Hk

¹ e-m ail: hansm ann@ im s.ac.jp

² e-m ail: okam otoy@ im s.ac.jp

The energy landscape of m any important physical systems is characterized by a huge number of local m inim a separated by high energy barriers. In the canonical ensemble with temperature T, the probability to cross an energy barrier of heights E is proportional to e $E = k_B T$, where k_B is the Boltzm ann constant. Hence, at low temperatures, canonical m olecular dynam ics and M onte C arlo simulations will get trapped in congurations corresponding to one of these local m inim a. Only sm all parts of the entire phase space can be explored, rendering the calculation of physical quantities unreliable.

In principle, one can think of two ways to overcome this di culty. One way is to look for improved updates of con gurations in the numerical simulation. The cluster algorithm [1] is an example of global updates that enhance therm alization and has been very successful in spin systems. However, for most other systems with frustration, no such updates are known. Another way to overcome the supercritical slowing down is to perform a simulation in a so-called generalized ensemble, which is based on a non-Boltzm ann probability distribution. Multicanonical algorithm [2, 3], 1=k-sampling [4], and simulated tempering [5, 6] are prominent examples of such an approach. Common to the three techniques is that a molecular dynamics or M onte C arb simulation is perform ed in an articial ensemble de ned in such a way that a uniform (non-canonical) distribution of the chosen physical quantity is obtained. For instance, in the multicanonical algorithm the weight w_{mu} (E) is chosen so that the distribution of energy is uniform :

$$P(E) / n(E) w_{mu}(E) = const;$$
 (1)

where n(E) is the density of states. A simulation based on this weight factor results in a free random walk in the energy space. Hence, the simulation can escape from any energy barrier, and even regions with smalln (E) can be explored in detail. Similarly, 1=ksampling yields a uniform distribution in (microcanonical) entropy, and simulated tem – pering a uniform distribution in temperature. The great advantage of these generalizedensemble methods lies in the fact that from a single simulation run one can not only locate the energy global minimum but also obtain the canonical distribution for a wide temperature range by the reweighting techniques [7].

D expite their successful applications to system s with st-order phase transitions [2], spin glasses [8], and the protein folding problem [9, 10], generalized-ensemble m ethods are

not without problem s. Unlike in the canonical ensemble, the probability weights are not a priori known. For instance, for the case of multicanonical algorithm, Eq. (1) in plies

$$w_{mu}(E) / n^{1}(E);$$
 (2)

and the knowledge of the exact weight would be equivalent to obtaining the density of states n (E), i.e., solving the system. Hence, one needs its estimator for a numerical simulation. The determination of the weight w_{mu} (E) is usually based on an iterative procedure rst described in Ref. [3], and can be non-trivial and tedious. In this Letter, we present a new generalized-ensemble algorithm in which the determination of the weight is simple and straightforward.

Our aim is to develope a new generalized-ensemble algorithm in which the determ ination of the probability weight factor is simpler. For this, we try to slightly modify the Boltzm ann weight, whereas other generalized-ensemble approaches use drastically dierent weights. The weight should enhance the therm alization of low-temperature simulations and ensure su cient sampling in the low-energy region. Hence, we are interested in an ensemble where not only the low-energy region can be sampled e ciently but also the high-energy states can be visited with nite probability. The latter feature ensures that energy barriers can be overcome and that the simulation can escape from localminima. The probability distribution of energy should resemble that of an ideal low-temperature Boltzm ann distribution, but with a tail to higher energies. One choice is that the sam pling of low-energy states is described by an exponential function (Boltzm ann weight), while that of high-energy states follows a power law. Guided by these considerations, we propose the following as the new weight:

$$w(E) = 1 + \frac{E E_{GS}}{m}$$
; (3)

where $\frac{1}{k_B T}$, E_{GS} is the global-m inimum energy, and m (> 0) is a free parameter. Here, we are shifting the zero of energy by E_{GS} in order to assure that energy is always non-negative. We remark that weights with the same m athem atical structure also appear in the fram ework of T sallis generalized statistical mechanics [11], which was developed for simulations of non-extensive systems (e.g., fractal random walks). An application to optim ization problem s can be found in Ref. [12]. Obviously, the new weight in Eq. (3) reduces to the canonical Boltzm ann weight in the low-energy (and hence low-tem perature) region for $\frac{(E - E_{-GS})}{m}$ 1. On the other hand, this weight at high energies is no longer exponentially suppressed, but only according to a power law with the exponent m. Note that our choice of sign in Eq. (3) is important. >From a mathematical point of view, $(1 - \frac{E - E_{-GS}}{m})^m$ is equally a good approximation to the canonical weight, but is not useful as a weight in num erical simulations, since the expression inside the parentheses can become negative.

In this work we consider a system with continuous degrees of freedom. At low tem – peratures the harm onic approximation holds, and the density of states is given by

$$n(E) / (E E_{GS})^{\frac{n_F}{2}};$$
 (4)

where n_F is the number of degrees of freedom of the system under consideration. Hence, by Eqs. (3) and (4) the probability distribution of energy for the present ensemble is given by

P(E) / n(E)w(E) / (E
$$E_{GS})^{\frac{n_F}{2}}$$
 (5)

for $\frac{E E_{GS}}{m}$ 1. This implies that we need

$$m > \frac{n_F}{2} :$$
 (6)

For, otherwise, the sampling of high-energy con gurations will be enhanced too much. On the other hand, in the limit m ! 1 our weight tends for all energies to the Boltzm ann weight and high-energy con gurations will not be sampled.

In order for low-tem perature simulations to be able to escape from energy localm in im a, the weight should start deviating from the (exponentially damped) Boltzm ann weight at the energy near its mean value (because at low tem peratures there are only sm all uctuations of energy around its mean). In Eq. (3) we may thus set

$$\frac{\langle E \rangle_{T} \quad E_{GS}}{m} = \frac{1}{2} :$$
(7)

The mean value at low tem peratures is given by the harm onic approxim ation:

$$\langle E \rangle_{T} = E_{GS} + \frac{n_{F}}{2} k_{B} T = E_{GS} + \frac{n_{F}}{2}$$
 (8)

Substituting this value into Eq. (7), we obtain the follow ing optim alvalue for the exponent m:

$$m_{opt} = n_F$$
 : (9)

Hence, the optim alweight factor is given by

$$w(E) = 1 + \frac{E E_0}{n_F}$$
; (10)

where E_0 is the best estimate of the global-m in imum energy E_{GS} .

We have tested our new method in the system for the protein folding problem, a long-standing problem in biophysics with rough energy landscape. Here, Met-enkephalin has become an often-used model to exam ine the performance of new algorithms, and we study the same system. Met-enkephalin has the amino-acid sequence Tyr-G ly-G ly-P he-Met. The energy function E_{tot} (in kcal/mol) that we used is given by the sum of the electrostatic term E_c , 12-6 Lennard-Jones term E_{LJ} , and hydrogen-bond term E_{HB} for all pairs of atom s in the peptide together with the torsion term E_{tor} for all torsion angles:

$$E_{tot} = E_{C} + E_{LJ} + E_{HB} + E_{tor}$$
; (11)

$$E_{C} = \frac{X}{\underset{(i,j)}{(ij)}} \frac{332q_{i}q_{j}}{r_{ij}}; \qquad (12)$$

$$E_{LJ} = \frac{X}{(i;j)} \frac{A_{ij}}{r_{ij}^{12}} \frac{B_{ij}}{r_{ij}^{6}};$$
(13)

$$E_{HB} = \frac{X}{_{(i;j)}} \frac{C_{ij}}{r_{ij}^{12}} \frac{D_{ij}}{r_{ij}^{10}} ;$$
(14)

$$E_{tor} = \bigcup_{l}^{X} U_{l} (1 \cos(n_{l-l})):$$
(15)

Here, r_{ij} is the distance (in A) between the atom si and j, and $_1$ is the torsion angle for the chem ical bond 1. The parameters for the energy function and the molecular geometry (with xed bond lengths and bond angles) were adopted from ECEPP/2 (Empirical Conform ational Energy Program for Peptides) [?]. The dielectric constant was set equal to 2. Fixing the peptide bond angles ! to 180 leaves us with 19 torsion angles as independent degrees of freedom (i.e., $n_F = 19$). The computer code KONF90 [13] was used. One M onte C arlo sweep updates every torsion angle of the peptide once.

It is known from our previous work that the global-m in in um value of KONF 90 energy for M et-enkephalin is $E_{GS} = 12.2$ kcal/m ol [14]. The peptide has essentially a unique

three-dimensional structure at temperatures T 50 K, and the average energy is about

11 kcal/m ol at T = 50 K [9]. Hence, in the present work we always set T = 50 K (or, = 10:1 $\frac{1}{\text{kcal=m ol}}$) in our new probability weight factor. All simulations were started from completely random initial con gurations (Hot Start).

To demonstrate that therm alization is greatly enhanced in our ensemble, we rst compare the \time series" of energy as a function of M onte C arlo sweep. In Fig. 1 we show the results from a regular canonical M onte C arlo simulation at temperature T = 50 K (dotted curve) and those from a generalized-ensemble simulation of the new algorithm (solid curve). Here, the weight we used for the latter simulation is given by Eq. (10) with $n_F = 19$ and $E_0 = E_{GS} = -12.2$ kcal/m ol. For the canonical num the curve stays around the value E = -6 kcal/m ol with sm all therm all uctuations, rejecting the low-temperature nature. The run has apparently been trapped in a localm inimum, since the m ean energy at this temperature is $\langle E \rangle = -11.1$ kcal/m ol as found by a multicanonical simulation in Ref. [14]. On the other hand, the simulation based on the new weight covers a much wider energy range than the canonical run. It is a random walk in energy space, which keeps the simulation from getting trapped in a local minimum. It indeed visits the ground-state region several times in 200,000 M onte C arlo sweeps. These properties are common features of generalized-ensemble methods.

Since the simulation by the present algorithm samples a large range of energies, we can use the reweighting techniques [7] to construct canonical distributions and calculate therm odynam ic quantities over a wide tem perature range. Following 10,000 sweeps for therm alization, we performed a single simulation of 1,000,000 M onte C and sweeps, storing the con guration information at every second sweep. We have set again $E_0 = 12.2$ kcal/m ol and $n_F = 19$ in the weight of Eq. (10). >From this production run one can calculate various therm odynam ic quantities as a function of tem perature. A s examples we show the average energy and the speci c heat in Fig.2a and Fig.2b, respectively. The speci c heat here is de ned by the following equation:

$$C \qquad \frac{1}{k_{B}} \frac{d \frac{\langle E_{tot} \rangle_{T}}{N}}{dT} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{\langle E_{tot}^{2} \rangle_{T}}{N} \langle E_{tot} \rangle_{T}^{2}}{N} ; \qquad (16)$$

where N (= 5) is the number of am ino-acid residues in the peptide. The harm onic

approximation holds at low temperatures, and by substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (16), we have

$$C = \frac{n_{\rm F}}{2\rm N} = 1.9 :$$
 (17)

Note that the curve in Fig. 2b approaches this value in the T ! 0 lim it. The results from a multicanonical production run with the same statistics are also shown in the Figures for comparison. The results from both methods are in complete agreement.

W e now exam ine the dependence of the simulations on the values of the exponent m in our weight (see Eqs. (3) and (10)) and dem on strate that $m = n_F$ is indeed the optimal choice. Setting $E_0 = E_{GS} = 12.2$ kcal/m ol, we perform ed 10 independent simulation runs of 50,000 M onte C arlo sweeps with various choices ofm . In Table I we list the lowest energies obtained during each of the 10 runs for ve choices of m values: 9:5 (= $\frac{n_F}{2}$), 14, 19 (= n_F), 50, and 100. The results from regular canonical simulations at T = 50 K with 50,000 M onte C arlo sweeps are also listed in the Table for comparison. If m is chosen to be too small (e.g., m = 9.5), then the weight follows a power law in which the suppression for higher energy region is insu cient (see Eq. (5)). As a result, the simulations tend to stay at high energies and fail to sample low energy con gurations. On the other hand, for too large a value of m (e.g., m = 100), the weight is too close to the canonical weight, and therefore the simulations will get trapped in local minima. It is clear from the Table that $m = n_F$ is the optimal choice. In this case the simulations found the ground-state con gurations 80 % of the time (8 runs out of 10 runs). This should be com pared with 90 %, 75%, 80%, and 40% formulticanonical annealing, 1=k-annealing, simulated tempering annealing, and simulated annealing algorithms, respectively, in simulations with the same num ber of M onte Carlo sweeps [15].

To analyze the above results further, we calculated the actual probability distributions of energy for various values of m. This can be done by the reweighting techniques from the single production run of 1,000,000 M onte C arlo sweeps mentioned above (which is based on the weight of Eq. (10) with $E_0 = 12.2$ kcal/m ol and $m = n_F = 19$). The results are shown in Fig. 3a. By examining the Figure, we again nd that $m = n_F$ is the optim all choice. It yields to an energy distribution which has a pronounced peak around the mean energy value (< E > = 11:1 kcal/m ol) at T = 50 K. At the same time,

7

it has a tail to higher energies. This behavior is exactly what we were looking for and justi es our de nition of weights in Eq. (10).

The greatest advantage of the new method over other generalized-ensemble approaches is the simplicity of the weight factor. In multicanonical algorithms, 1=k-sampling, or simulated tempering, the explicit functional form s of the weights are not known a priori. and they have to be determ ined num erically by iterations of trial simulations. This can be a form idable task in many cases. On the other hand, the weight factor of the present algorithm just depends on the know ledge of the global-m in imum energy E_{GS} (see Eq. (10)). If its value is known, which is the case for some system s with frustration, the weight is completely determ ined. However, if E_{GS} is not known, we have to obtain its best estimate E_0 . We can calculate the actual probability distributions of energy for various values of E₀ by the reweighting techniques again. The results are shown in Fig. 3b. We see that for the system of M et-enkephalin, one needs the accuracy of about 1 2 kcal/m ol in the estimate of the global-minimum energy $E_{\,G\,S}$ in order for our new algorithm to be e ective. This implication is supported by Table II where we list the lowest energies obtained during each of 10 independent simulation runs of 200,000 M onte Carlo sweeps with $m = n_F = 19$. Four choices were considered for the E₀ value: 12:2; 13:2; 14:2, 15.2 kcal/m ol. W e rem ark that E_0 has to underestim ate E_{GS} to ensure that E and E_0 can not become negative. Our data show again that an accuracy of 1 2 kcal/m ol in the estimate of the global-minimum energy is required for Met-enkephalin.

The use of our method therefore depends on the ability to displayed of the ground-state energy E_{GS} , which is still much easier than the determination of the weights for other generalized-ensemble algorithms. In principle, such estimates can be found in an iterative way. Here, we give one of the elective iteration procedures. One rst sets an initial guess of the optim alE_0 which should be lower than E_{GS} . One performs a simulation with the weight of the present method with small number of M onte C and sweeps. From this simulation one calculates the average energy $\langle E \rangle_T$ at the chosen temperature T by the reweighting techniques. If $\langle E \rangle = E_0 = \frac{n_F}{2}k_BT$, one raises the value of E_0 by a certain amount and repeats the short simulation. One iterates this process until $\langle E \rangle = E_0 = \frac{n_F}{2}k_BT$. The search of the optim al E_0 can be further

facilitated by inform ation such as the average energy and the speci c heat obtained from high tem perature simulations. For M et-enkephalin the incorporation of such inform ation gave a start value of $E_0 = 13$:8 kcal/m ol, which is already within the 2 kcal/m ol accuracy required by our m ethod (see R ef. [16] for details).

In sum m ary, we have introduced a new generalized-ensemble algorithm for simulations of systems with frustration. We have demonstrated the electiveness of the method by taking the example of the system of a small peptide, Met-enkephalin, which has a rough energy landscape with a huge number of local minima. The advantage of the new method lies in the fact that the determination of the probability weight factor is much simpler than in other generalized-ensemble approaches.

A cknow ledgem ents:

The simulations were performed on the computers at the Computer Center at the Institute for Molecular Science (IMS), Okazaki, Japan. This work is supported, in part, by Grants-in-Aid for Scienti c Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture.

References

- [1] R.H. Swendsen and J.-S.W ang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 86 (1987).
- [2] B A . Berg and T . Neuhaus, Phys. Lett. B 267, 249 (1991); Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 9 (1992).
- [3] B A . Berg, Int. J. M od. Phys. C 3, 1083 (1992).
- [4] B.Hesselbo and R.B. Stinchcombe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2151 (1995).
- [5] A.P. Lyubartsev, A.A.Martinovski, S.V. Shevkunov, and P.N. Vorontsov-Velyam inov, J.Chem. Phys. 96, 1776 (1992).
- [6] E.Marinari and G.Parisi, Europhys. Lett. 19, 451 (1992).

- [7] A M. Ferrenberg and R H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2635 (1988); Phys. Rev.
 Lett. 63, 1658 (E) (1989), and references given in the erratum.
- [8] B A. Berg and T. Celik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2292 (1992); B A. Berg, T. Celik, and U.H. E. Hansmann, Phys. Rev. B 50, 16444 (1994).
- [9] U H E. Hansmann and Y. O kam oto, J. Com p. Chem. 14, 1333 (1993).
- [10] M.-H. Hao and H.A. Scheraga, J. Phys. Chem. 98, 4940 (1994).
- [11] C.Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988).
- [12] D A. Stariolo and C. T sallis, Annual Reviews of Computational Physics II, edited by D. Stau er (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1995), p. 343; I. Andricioaei and JE. Straub, Phys. Rev. E 53, R 3055 (1996). J. Phys. Chem. 88, 6231 (1984), and references therein.
- [13] H.Kawai, Y.Okamoto, M.Fukugita, T.Nakazawa, and T.Kikuchi, Chem.Lett. 1991, 213 (1991); Y.Okamoto, M.Fukugita, T.Nakazawa, and H.Kawai, Protein Engineering 4, 639 (1991).
- [14] U.H.E.Hansmann and Y.Okamoto, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 3945 (1994); Physica
 A 212, 415 (1994).
- [15] U.H.E.Hansmann and Y.Okamoto, J.Comp.Chem. 18 920 (1997).
- [16] U H E. Hansmann, manuscript in preparation.

Table Captions:

- 1. Lowest energy (in kcal/m ol) obtained by the present m ethod with several di erent choices of the free param eterm. The other free param eter E₀ was xed at the value of the global-m inimum energy $E_{GS} = 12.2$ kcal/m ol. The tem perature was set to T = 50 K. The case for m = 1 stands for a regular canonical run at T = 50 K. For all cases, the total number of M onte C arlo sweeps per run was 50,000. < E > is the average of the lowest energy obtained by the 10 runs (with the standard deviations in parentheses), and n_{GS} is the number of runs in which a conform ation with E 11:0 kcal/m ol (the average energy at T = 50 K) was obtained.
- 2. Lowest energy (in kcal/m ol) obtained by the present m ethod with several di erent choices of the free parameter E_0 . The other free parameter m was xed at the optim al value of $n_F = 19$, the number of degrees of freedom. The tem perature was set to T = 50 K. For all cases, the total number of M onte C arbo sweeps per nun was 200,000. < E > is the average of the lowest energy obtained by the 10 runs (with the standard deviations in parentheses), and n_{GS} is the number of runs in which a conformation with E 11.0 kcal/m ol (the average energy at T = 50 K) was obtained.

Table I.

E ₀	$E_{GS} = 12.2$	122	12:2	122	122	
m	$\frac{n_{\rm F}}{2} = 9.5$	14	$n_F = 19$	50	100	1
Run						
1	0.8	5:2	11:8	6 : 9	6 : 8	42
2	1:4	2:6	11:5	7:1	7:7	52
3	0.1	6 : 8	11:5	6 : 9	4:9	11:8
4	0.5	5:5	11:7	8:2	9 : 9	7:1
5	1:0	3:4	11 : 6	7:4	12:0	3:3
6	1.1	6 : 4	11 : 6	10:1	8:8	0.9
7	13	5:1	8:5	8 : 7	8 : 7	53
8	0.4	3:3	9 : 7	10:8	9 : 5	63
9	12	8:1	11:6	12:0	6 : 8	6 : 4
10	12	33	11:9	10:8	9:5	4 : 7
< E >	02(1:0)	5:0 (1:8)	11:1 (1:1)	8:9 (1:9)	8:5 (2:0)	53 (32)
n _{G S}	0/10	0/10	8/10	1/10	1/10	1/10

Table II	
----------	--

E ₀	$E_{GS} = 122$	132	142	152
m	n _F = 19	19	19	19
Run				
1	11:8	11:1	10:5	9:0
2	11:9	10:8	8:3	10:3
3	11:9	11:3	11 : 6	9 : 7
4	11:9	102	10 : 9	10:8
5	11:8	112	6 : 9	92
6	11:3	11:5	10:8	9 : 6
7	11:9	11:3	83	10:3
8	11:8	11:4	5 : 9	6 : 8
9	12:0	11:5	10 : 6	8 : 6
10	11 : 7	10:0	103	8:9
< E >	11:8 (0:2)	11:0 (0:5)	9:4 (1:9)	93 (11)
n _{G S}	10/10	7/10	1/10	0/10

Figure Captions:

- 1. T in e series of the total energy E_{tot} (kcal/m ol) from a regular canonical simulation at tem perature T = 50 K (dotted curve) and that from a simulation of the present m ethod with the parameters: $E_0 = 12.2$ kcal/m ol, $m = n_F = 19$, and T = 50 K (solid curve).
- 2. A verage energy (a) and speci c heat (b) as a function of tem perature. They were calculated by the reweighting techniques from a single simulation run of the present m ethod with the parameters: $E_0 = 12.2 \text{ kcal/m ol}$, $m = n_F = 19$, and T = 50 K. The results from a multicanonical simulation are also shown for comparison. In both simulations (by the present m ethod and by the multicanonical algorithm) the total number of M onte C arlo sweeps was 1,000,000.
- 3. Distributions of energy for various values of the exponent m (a) and the globalminimum energy estimate E_0 (b) in the present method. The ordinate for (a) is logarithmic. The results were obtained by the reweighting techniques from a single simulation run with the parameters: $E_0 = 12.2$ kcal/mol, $m = n_F = 19$, and T = 50 K. The total number of M onte Carlo sweeps was 1,000,000. For (a) the regular canonical distribution at T = 50 K as calculated by the reweighting techniques is also shown for comparison.



Ш



∧ Щ ∨



<C(t)>



