arXiv:cond-mat/9710345v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 31 Oct 1997

On the Momentum Distribution and Condensate Fraction in the Bose Liquid

A. Yu. Cherny * and A.A. Shanenko

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

141980, Dubna, Moscow region, Russia

Abstract

The model recently proposed in Ref.1 is used to derive linear integro–differential equations whose solutions provide reasonable estimates for the momentum distribution and condensate fraction in interacting many–boson system at zero temperature. An advantage of these equations is that they can be employed in the weak coupling regime and beyond. As an example, analytical treatment of the weak coupling case is given.

PACS: 05.30.Jp, 67.90.+z

A new way of investigating spatial particle correlations has recently been proposed for the many–boson system in the ground state [1]. It results in integro–differential equations for the pair distribution function. They accurately take into account the short–range boson correlations and, in the weak coupling regime, yield thermodynamics which reasonably agrees

*Permanent address: Obninsk Institute of Nuclear Power Engineering, Studgorodok, Obninsk, 249020, Russia

with the data of the Bogoliubov approach [1,2]. The structure of the derived equations is similar to that of the Born–Green equation [3] for the pair distribution function of classical liquids. This gives an optimistic view on the possibility of using methods developed for classical liquid in investigating the Bose one. The most important peculiarity of the new approach is that it does not assume a small depletion of the zero momentum state. Moreover, the density of the condensate particles is not at all a parameter contained by the integro–differential equations. So, a question arises how to calculate the condensate density within the model of Ref.1. In addition, it is useful to clarify how to determine the momentum distribution of particles in the Bose liquid with the help of the integro–differential equations mentioned above. Answering these questions is the aim of the present Letter.

The phenomenon of the Bose–Einstein condensation consists in the macroscopic occupation of the zero momentum state so that for the total density of bosons we have (in the thermodynamic limit)

$$n = n_0 + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int n(q)\, d^3q \;, \tag{1}$$

where n_0 denotes the density of condensate particles, $n(q)$ stands for the distribution of bosons over nonzero momenta. According to expression (1), n_0 can be found with the known distribution $n(q)$ at any given n. Hence, we would be able to calculate n_0 within the approach of Ref.1 if we managed to derive an expression for $n(q)$ in terms directly connected with the spatial correlations. An attempt is natural to get this expression with the help of the ground–state energy E because there are two important relations linking it with both the pair distribution function and $n(q)$. These relations are the consequences of the well–known statement which is often called the Hellmann–Feynman theorem and results in the following equality:

$$\delta E = \langle \psi \mid \delta H \mid \psi \rangle \;, \tag{2}$$

where δE and δH are infinitesimal changes of the ground–state energy and Hamiltonian, respectively, and ψ denotes the ground–state wave function. The first relation is given by [4]

$$E = E_{id} + \frac{N^2}{2V} \int\limits_0^1 d\gamma \int g(r;\gamma,n)\,\Phi(r)\,d^3r\ . \tag{3}$$

Here E_{id} stands for the energy of noninteracting particles ($E_{id} = 0$ represents the most general case for bosons), V is the system volume and $N = n\,V$. Besides, γ denotes the coupling constant, $\Phi(r)$ stands for the interparticle potential and $g(r;\gamma,n)$ is the pair distribution function. Note that below, for the sake of brevity, the notation $g(r)$ is also used instead of $g(r;\gamma,n)$ so that $g(r) \equiv g(r;\gamma,n)$. The quantities related to $g(r)$ are handled in the same way. The second important relation mentioned above concerns the functional derivative of E with respect to the one–particle kinetic energy $T(q) = \dfrac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m}$ and is expressed as

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta T(q)} = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\,n(q), \quad q \neq 0\ . \tag{4}$$

Combining (3) and (4) leads to the following equality:

$$n(q) = 4\,\pi^3\,n^2 \int\limits_0^1 d\gamma \int \Phi(r)\,\frac{\delta g(r;\gamma,n)}{\delta T(q)}\,d^3r\ . \tag{5}$$

Now, we have got the connection of $n(q)$ with $g(r)$. However, to employ it one needs to know more than simply the dependence of the pair boson distribution on r, γ and n . One should also have the knowledge of the functional dependence of $g(r)$ on $T(q)$. The integro–differential equations for $g(r)$ proposed in Ref.1, turn out to provide all the necessary information. Let us consider the simplest of them, to shorten the further reasoning without loss of generality. This equation may be written in the following form:

$$\frac{\hbar^2\,\nabla^2\,u(r)}{m\left(1+u(r)\right)} = \gamma\,\Phi(r) + n\,\gamma \int \Phi(|\ \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\ |)\ \Big(u^2(y) + 2u\,(y)\Big)\,d^3y\ , \tag{6}$$

which is convenient in reaching our aim. Here $u(r) = g^{1/2}(r) - 1$. Taking the functional derivatives of the left– and right–hand sides (l.h.s. and r.h.s.) of (6) and, then, equating one to another, we are able to find an equation for

$$K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{\delta u(r)}{\delta T(q)}\ ,$$

and $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$ may be used in evaluating $n(q)$ with the obvious relation

$$\frac{\delta g(r)}{\delta T(q)} = 2\left(1+u(r)\right) K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})\ . \tag{7}$$

While calculating, one should realize that the form of the operator $\dfrac{\hbar^2}{m}\nabla^2$ is completely specified by the shape of $T(q)$. Hence, the functional derivative and operator $\dfrac{\hbar^2}{m}\nabla^2$ are not commutative in the situation considered. Now, let us perturb the one particle kinetic energy replacing $T(q)$ by $T(q)+\delta T(q)$. Working only to the first order in the perturbation, for the change of the l.h.s. of (6) we have

$$\delta(l.h.s.) = -\frac{2}{1+u}\,\delta\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,\nabla^2 u\right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{m\,(1+u)^2}\,\delta u\,\nabla^2 u. \tag{8}$$

The relation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 u(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\ \int\ T(q)\ \widetilde{u}(q)\exp(i\mathbf{q}\,\mathbf{r})\ d^3q\ ,$$

where $\widetilde{u}(q)$ stands for the Fourier transform of $u(r)$, makes it possible to get

$$\delta\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 u\right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\left(\delta u\right) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\ \int\ \delta T(q)\ \widetilde{u}(q)\exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r})\ d^3q\ . \tag{9}$$

Inserting (9) into (8) we arrive at

$$\delta(l.h.s.) = \frac{\hbar^2}{m\,(1+u)}\,\nabla^2\left(\delta u\right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{m\,(1+u)^2}\,\delta u\ \nabla^2 u\ -$$

$$-\ \frac{2}{1+u}\ \int\ \delta T(q)\ \widetilde{u}(q)\ \frac{\exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r})}{(2\pi)^3}\ d^3q\ . \tag{10}$$

In its turn, the leading term produced by the perturbation in the r.h.s. of (6) is given by

$$\delta(r.h.s.) = 2\,n\,\gamma\ \int\ \Phi(\mid \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\mid)\ \left(1+u(y)\right)\ \delta u(y)\,d^3y\ . \tag{11}$$

Using relations (10) and (11) and the equality $\delta(l.h.s.) = \delta(r.h.s.)$, one can derive the following integro–differential equation for $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,m}\left(\nabla^2\,K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})}{1+u(r)}\,\nabla^2\,u(r)\right) = \widetilde{u}(q)\,\frac{\exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r})}{(2\pi)^3}\ +$$

$$+\ n\,\gamma\left(1+u(r)\right)\int\Phi(\mid \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\mid)\ \left(1+u(y)\right)K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{y})\,d^3y\,. \tag{12}$$

The boundary conditions for $u(r)$ are given by

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\, u(r) \,=\, 0\,, \quad u(0) \,<\, \infty\,. \tag{13}$$

To know the behaviour of $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$, when $r \to \infty$, one should keep in mind that the boundary conditions (13) are not changed by perturbing the one–particle kinetic energy. This implies that for any regular $\delta T(q) \ll T(q)$ we have

$$\delta u(r) = \int K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})\, \delta T(q)\, d^3q \to 0 \quad (r \to \infty)\,. \tag{14}$$

Hence, one could expect that $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) \,\to\, 0$ $(r \,\to\, \infty)$. However, it is not the case. To be convinced of this, let us investigate (6) and (12) in the weak coupling regime which is introduced with the inequalities

$$u(r) \ll 1\,, \quad K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) \ll 1\,.$$

Keeping only the leading terms in (6) and (12) results in the following expressions:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{m}\,\nabla^2\, u(r) = \gamma\,\Phi(r) \,+\, 2\,n\,\gamma\int \Phi(|\,\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\,|)\, u(y)\, d^3y \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,m}\,\nabla^2\, K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) = \widetilde{u}(q)\,\frac{\exp(i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r})}{(2\pi)^3} \,+\, n\,\gamma\int \Phi(|\,\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\,|)\, K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{y})\, d^3y\,. \tag{16}$$

From (15) and (16) it follows that the Fourier transforms of $u(r)$ and $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$ ($\widetilde{u}(p)$ and $\widetilde{K_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathbf{p})$, respectively), obey the relations

$$\widetilde{u}(p) = -\,\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\gamma\widetilde{\Phi}(p)}{T(p) \,+\, n\,\gamma\widetilde{\Phi}(p)}\,, \tag{17}$$

$$\widetilde{K_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathbf{p}) \,=\, -\,\frac{\widetilde{u}(q)}{T(p) \,+\, n\,\gamma\widetilde{\Phi}(p)}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,. \tag{18}$$

Remark that in the weak coupling case the value $\widetilde{u}(p)$ at any given p depends only on the quantity of the one–particle kinetic energy at this very p . So, the δ-function behaviour of $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{p})$ in (18) is a result of, say, the "macroscopic" contribution of the $T(p)$ to $\widetilde{u}(p)$. With (17) and (18) it is not difficult to get the expression for $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$ in the weak coupling regime:

$$K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) \;=\; \frac{1}{16\,\pi^3}\,\frac{\gamma\,\widetilde{\Phi}(q)}{\Bigl(T(q)\;+\;n\,\gamma\widetilde{\Phi}(q)\Bigr)^2}\;\exp(i\,\mathbf{q}\,\mathbf{r})\;. \tag{19}$$

As it is seen, for $r \to \infty$ the quantity $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$ oscillates and does not tend to zero. However, relation (14) is fulfilled.

To have a reasonable idea concerning the long–range behaviour of $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$, let us note that (12) is reduced to (16) not only in the weak coupling regime but in the case $r \to \infty$ as well. This can easily be determined via inserting $u(r) \simeq 0$ $(r \to \infty)$ into (12). Then it is reasonable to expect that the solution of (12) is close to that of (16) at large distances. Hence, investigating (12) we may adopt the following ansatz:

$$K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) = D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) \;+ C_{\mathbf{q}}\exp(i\,\mathbf{q}\,\mathbf{r})\;, \tag{20}$$

where the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\; D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad D_{\mathbf{q}}(0) < \infty \tag{21}$$

are fulfilled for the "short–range" function $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$ at any $\mathbf{q}$. Substituting (20) into (12) and using (13) and (21), we obtain

$$C_{\mathbf{q}} = -\,\frac{1}{(2\,\pi)^3}\;\frac{\widetilde{u}(q)}{T(q)\;+\;n\,\gamma\widetilde{\Phi}(q)}\;. \tag{22}$$

So, the "long–range" part in (20) is very similar to the weak coupling expression for $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$. However, $\widetilde{u}(q)$ is now the solution of the exact equation (6).

Integro–differential equations (6) and (12) provide the detailed information concerning the distribution $n(q)$. However, when evaluating only the condensate fraction n_0/n, we do not exactly need the function $K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})$. It is sufficient to work with the quantity

$$K(r) \;=\; \int\; K_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})\;d^3q\;. \tag{23}$$

Indeed, using expressions (1), (5), (7) and the definition of $u(r)$, we derive

$$\frac{n_0}{n} \;=\; 1 - \int\limits_0^1 d\gamma\;\int\;\Phi(r)\,(1+u(r;\gamma,n))\,K(r;\gamma,n)\,d^3r\,, \tag{24}$$

where, according to the notation mentioned above, the identity $K(r) \equiv K(r;\gamma,n)$ is meant. An equation for $K(r)$ can be determined by integrating (12) over $\mathbf{q}$, which results in the following:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,m}\left(\nabla^2\,K(r) - \frac{K(r)}{1+u(r)}\,\nabla^2\,u(r)\right) = u(r) \; + \\ + \; n\,\gamma\left(1+u(r)\right)\int \Phi(\mid \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{y}\mid)\;(1+u(y))\,K(y)\,d^3y\,. \tag{25}$$

The boundary conditions additional to (25) are of the form

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} K(r) = 0, \quad K(0) < \infty \tag{26}$$

and follow from (20) and (21).

Now, let us return to the weak coupling regime to compare our results with the data of the Bogoliubov approach. Using (5), (7) and (19) we arrive at the equality

$$n(q) = \frac{n^2}{2}\int\limits_0^1 d\gamma\;\frac{\gamma\,\widetilde{\Phi}^2(q)}{\left(T(q)+n\,\gamma\,\widetilde{\Phi}(q)\right)^2}$$

which, after integration, can be written as

$$n(q) = f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\ln\!\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{1+x}\right), \quad x \equiv \frac{T(q)}{n\,\widetilde{\Phi}(q)}\,. \tag{27}$$

Expression (27) should be compared with the result of the Bogoliubov approach that, up to the first order, is given [5] by

$$n(q) = f_{Bog}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{x^2+2x}} - 1\right)\,. \tag{28}$$

The values of $f(x)$ and $f_{Bog}(x)$ for $0.02 \le x \le 8$ are listed in Table 1. Besides, for large $x \gg 1$ and small $x \ll 1$ we have

$$f(x) \simeq f_{Bog}(x) \simeq \frac{1}{4x^2} \quad (x \gg 1)\,,$$

$$f(x) \simeq -\frac{\ln x}{2}\,, \quad f_{Bog}(x) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\,x}} \quad (x \ll 1)\,.$$

So, the model considered provides quite satisfactory estimates of $n(q)$ for $x > 0.04$. However, it does not yield adequate values of the boson distribution over momenta when $x \to 1$. This feature is not unexpected because the interval of small x corresponds to the region of small q . In other words, the behaviour of $n(q)$ in the case $q \to 0$ is significantly influenced by the asymptotics of $g(r) - 1$ for $r \to \infty$ which is not reproduced by equation (6) in a proper way (see the discussion in [1,2]). The model of Ref.1 is able to yield good evaluations for the pair correlation function $g(r) - 1$ when $r \ll r_c$ and $r \sim r_c$ (here r_c stands for the correlation length). This means that it can provide reasonable estimates of $n(q)$ for $q\, r_c \sim 1$ and $q\, r_c \gg 1$ and relevant values of the macroscopic quantities such as the mean energy or the condensate fraction n_0/n . Let us, for example, consider the cold many–boson system with the Coulomb interaction in the weak coupling regime. Remark that new interest in this system has been inspired by curious results of applying the Bose and Bose–Fermi liquid models in the investigations of high–temperature superconductors [6]. In the case of charged bosons $\widetilde{\Phi}(q) = 4\pi e^2/q^2$ (e is the boson charge) and thus $x = 2(q/A)^4$, where $A = (16\pi nme^2/\hbar^2)^{1/4}$ is the inverse screening radius. Using equalities (1) and (27) we obtain

$$\frac{n_0}{n} = 1 \, - \, \frac{A^3}{(2\pi)^2\, 2^{3/4}\, n} \int\limits_0^\infty \left(\ln\!\left(1 + \frac{1}{t^4}\right) - \frac{1}{1+t^4} \right) t^2 \, dt. \tag{29}$$

Integration by parts allows one to rewrite expression (29) in the form

$$\frac{n_0}{n} = 1 \, - \, \frac{2^{1/4}\, A^3}{24\, \pi^2\, n} \int\limits_0^\infty \frac{t^2}{1+t^4}\, dt\,. \tag{30}$$

Further, with the equality (see [7])

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{1+t^\nu}\, dt \; = \; \frac{\pi}{\nu}\, \frac{1}{\sin\left(\mu\pi/\nu\right)}\,, \tag{31}$$

one can derive

$$\frac{n_0}{n} = 1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/4} \frac{r_S^{3/4}}{6} \approx 1 - 0.1506\, r_S^{3/4}\,, \tag{32}$$

where r_S stands for the Brueckner parameter given by

$$r_S = \frac{m\, e^2}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{3}{4\,\pi\, n}\right)^{1/3} \quad .$$

The leading term of the result for the condensate fraction in the Bogoliubov approach [8] is of the form

$$\left[\frac{n_0}{n}\right]_{Bog} \approx 1 - 0.2114\, r_S^{3/4}\,. \tag{33}$$

The reasonable character of the model considered can also be illustrated with the calculations of the ratio of the mean kinetic energy $\langle T\rangle$ to the mean interaction energy $\langle U\rangle$. Using (27) and $x = 2(q/A)^4$, for the quantity $\langle T\rangle/N$ we have

$$\frac{\langle T\rangle}{N} = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int n(q)T(q)\,d^3q = $$

$$= \frac{\hbar^2\,A^5}{(4\pi)^2\;2^{1/4}\,m\,n}\int\limits_0^\infty \left(\ln\!\left(1+\frac{1}{t^4}\right) - \frac{1}{1+t^4}\right)\,t^4\,dt. \tag{34}$$

Integrating over t by parts and taking account of (31), one is able to find

$$\frac{\langle T\rangle}{N\,Ry} = \frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/4}\;r_S^{-3/4} \approx 0.2213\, r_S^{-3/4}\,, \tag{35}$$

where $Ry = \dfrac{me^4}{2\,\hbar^2}$. Note that when e is equal to the electron charge, we obtain $Ry \approx 13.6\;eV$. The mean interaction energy of the system of charged bosons calculated within the model of Ref.1 to the first order in the weak coupling approximation, is written as

$$\frac{\langle U\rangle}{N\,Ry} = -\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/4}\;r_S^{-3/4} \approx -1.107\, r_S^{-3/4}\,. \tag{36}$$

Equality (36) can readily be derived via the expression

$$\langle U\rangle = \lim_{\gamma\to 1}\int\Big(g(r;\gamma,n)-1\Big)\frac{e^2}{r}\,d^3r = \lim_{\gamma\to 1}\;\frac{1}{4\pi^3}\int\;\widetilde{u}(q;\gamma,n)\,\frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2}\,d^3q$$

and with (17) and (31). Combining (35) and (36) gives

$$\frac{\langle T\rangle}{\mid\langle U\rangle\mid} = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2\,. \tag{37}$$

The leading term of the mean kinetic energy in the Bogoliubov approach can be calculated with the help of (28) on the analogy of deriving (34)

$$\frac{\langle T\rangle_{Bog}}{N} = \frac{\hbar^2\,A^5}{(4\pi)^2\;2^{1/4}\,m\,n}\int\limits_0^\infty\left(\frac{1+t^4}{\sqrt{t^8+2t^4}}-1\right)\,t^4\,dt. \tag{38}$$

Numerical integration yields

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \left(\frac{1+t^4}{\sqrt{t^8+2t^4}} - 1 \right) t^4 \, dt \approx 0.2015,$$

and we hence get

$$\frac{\langle T \rangle_{Bog}}{N \, Ry} = 0.2008 \, r_S^{-3/4} \, . \tag{39}$$

The mean energy of the charged bosons taken up to the first order in the Bogoliubov approximation has the form [8]

$$\frac{E_{Bog}}{N \, Ry} \approx -0.8026 \, r_S^{-3/4} \, . \tag{40}$$

Relations (39) and (40) lead us to the ratio

$$\frac{\langle T \rangle_{Bog}}{\mid \langle U \rangle_{Bog} \mid} = \frac{\langle T \rangle_{Bog}}{\mid E_{Bog} - \langle T \rangle_{Bog} \mid} \approx 0.2001 \tag{41}$$

that is in nice agreement with (37).

Thus, considered in the weak coupling approximation, equations (6) and (12) provide good estimates for the pair correlation function and boson momentum distribution in relevant regions of distances and momenta. This makes it possible to get adequate evaluations of the condensate fraction and main thermodynamic quantities of the cold many–boson system with weak interaction between particles. Owing to the correct account of the short–range correlations in (6) (see [1,2]), one may expect that equations (6), (12) and, hence, (25) are able to yield reasonable data beyond the weak coupling regime as well.

REFERENCES

[1] A. A. Shanenko, Phys. Lett. **A 227** (1997) 367.

[2] A. A. Shanenko, Phys. Lett. **A 231** (1997) 414.

[3] G.S. Rushbrooke, in: Physics of Simple Liquids, edited by H. N. V. Temperley, J. S. Rowlinson and G. S. Rushbrooke (North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1968) p. 25.

[4] D. Pines, The Many–Body Problem (W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Massachusets, 1962) p.42.

[5] N. N. Bogoliubov, J. Phys. USSR **11**, 23 (1947).

[6] A. S. Alexandrov, JETP Lett. Suppl.**46** (1987) 107; R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B 2** (1988) 469; A. S. Alexandrov, Phys. Rev. **B 38** (1988) 925; R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee, Phys. Lett. **A 138** (1989) 423; R. Micnas, J. Ranninger, and S. Robaszkiewicz, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62** (1990) 113; N. F. Mott, Physica **C 205** (1993) 191; A. S. Alexandrov, N. F. Mott, Rep. Prog. Phys. **57** (1994) 1197; G. Iadonisi, V. Cataudella, D. Ninno, M. I. Chiofalo, Phys. Lett. **A 196** (1995) 359.

[7] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products (Academic, New York, 1965) eq.(3.241.2).

[8] L. L. Foldy, Phys. Rev. **124** (1961) 649; A. S. Alexandrov, W. H. Beere, Phys. Rev.**B 51** (1995) 5887.

TABLES:

Table 1

x	$f(x)$	$f_{Bog}(x)$	x	$f(x)$	$f_{Bog}(x)$
0.02	1.476	2.037	1.0	0.097	0.077
0.04	1.148	1.320	1.5	0.056	0.046
0.06	0.964	1.008	2.0	0.036	0.030
0.08	0.839	0.824	4.0	0.012	0.010
0.10	0.745	0.700	6.0	0.006	0.005
0.50	0.216	0.171	8.0	0.003	0.003