

Comment on “Nonlocal Effects on the Magnetic Penetration Depth in d -wave Superconductors”

It is generally believed that d -pairing superconductors display a linear temperature dependence of the magnetic penetration depth (MPD), $\lambda(T) - \lambda(0) \sim T$, at low temperatures. There are, however, experimental indications of a T^2 -dependence of MPD in high- T_c superconductors at temperatures below some temperature T^* . In a recent Letter [1] Kosztin and Leggett explained this behavior in terms of nonlocal effects in the electrodynamics. They showed that in clean superconductors with d -pairing surface effects lead to a T^2 -dependence of $\lambda_{ab}(T)$, as extracted from optical and microwave experiments with the magnetic field orientated along the c -direction, while the temperature dependence of $\lambda_c(T)$ remains linear. There exist, however, other measurement techniques of MPD (direct static magnetic measurements, the lower critical magnetic field B_{c1} , vortex properties, muon spin relaxation, etc.) to which the arguments put forward in [1] cannot be applied. In the following we show that $\lambda(T) - \lambda(0) \sim T^n$ with $n > 1$ is a consequence of a *general thermodynamics principle*.

For simplicity let us consider a uniform system where all properties depend on coordinates $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ only. The current-current correlator,

$$\eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon_{tr}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

connects the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ to the external current $\mathbf{j}_{ext}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ via

$$\eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}_{ext}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \quad (1)$$

In turn, the transversal dielectric function, $\varepsilon_{tr}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, is related to the electromagnetic kernel $Q(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ by the relation $\varepsilon_{tr}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 1 - \frac{4\pi Q(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\omega^2}$. In the static case the additional free energy due to the presence of an external current $\mathbf{j}_{ext}(\mathbf{k})$ (we use a transversal gauge) can be written in the following form [2]:

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0) |\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)|^2 \quad (2)$$

The definition of the operator of inverse MPD is

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, T)} = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \{1 - \text{Re} \varepsilon_{tr}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\} \equiv \frac{4\pi}{c^2} Q(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0) \quad (3)$$

By using these relations and Maxwell's equations the free energy $\mathcal{F}$ can be rewritten in the form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[k^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, T)} \right] \frac{|\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}; T)|^2}{k^4} \quad (4)$$

Here $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}, T)$ is the (temperature dependent) induced magnetic field and satisfies the equation:

$$(k^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, T)}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}; T) = \frac{4\pi}{c} [i \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{j}_{ext}(\mathbf{k})] \quad (5)$$

Differentiating Eq.(4) with respect to temperature we get an expression for the entropy:

$$S(T) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, T)} \right] \frac{|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}; T)|^2}{k^2} \quad (6)$$

According to the Nernst principle (third law) the entropy should vanish in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$. From the positivity of the integrand we must conclude

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \lambda(\mathbf{k}, T)}{\partial T} = 0 \quad (7)$$

The argument can be extended to any nonuniform system.

We see that the vanishing of the first derivative of MPD for $T \rightarrow 0$ is a consequence of a general principle of thermodynamics (third law). The value of T^* below which a T^2 -dependence may be observed depends on the exact physical mechanism. It may be nonlocality, it may be the effect of impurities (as proposed in Ref. [3]). It may be also a consequence of collective excitations.

N. Schopohl^a and O.V. Dolgov^{a,b}

a) Institut für Theoretische Physik

Auf der Morgenstelle 14

D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

b) P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute

Moscow, Russia

November 4, 1997

PACS numbers: 74.25.Nf, 74.20.Fg, 74.72.Bk.

[1] I. Kosztin and A.J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 135, (1997).

[2] O.V. Dolgov, D.A. Kirzhnits, V.V. Losyakov, Sov. Phys.-JETP, **56**, 1095, (1982); D.A. Kirzhnits "General Properties of Electromagnetic Response Functions", Ch. 2 in: *The Dielectric Function of Condensed Systems*, eds., L.V. Keldysh, D.A. Kirzhnits, A.A. Maradudin, Elsevier Publ., 1989.

[3] J.P. Hirschfeld and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 4219, (1993).