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## I. IN TRODUCTION

$T$ he experim ental realization of $B$ ose $E$ instein condensates of $w e a k l y$ interacting alkaliatom $s$ con ned by $m$ agnetic traps 国[4] has revived the interest in the properties of B ose-condensed system s [ $\mathrm{G}_{\text {] }}$, in particular in solutions of the G ross $P$ itaevski [6] equation in an extemal potential, describing the condensate, and of the Bogoliubov equations goveming the quasi-particle excitations out of the spatially inhom ogeneous condensate [1] 9$]$. A pproxim ate spatially inhom ogeneous solutions of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiequation havebeen obtained by a $G$ aussian variationalansatz, which is appropriate form oderate size condensates, and by the $T$ hom asFerm iapproxim ation [10], which is very good for large condensates, except in a narrow region around the surface of the condensate. Sim ilarly, solutions of the B ogoliubov equations for the low -lying quasi-particle excitations have been constructed num erically [l], by a variationalm ethod 8], and in the hydrodynam ic lim it 9]. Such low -lying states have recently been excited by resonant periodic variations in the trap param eters $11 ; 13]$, and good agreem ent $w$ ith the theoretical predictions has been obtained.

M uch less work has been done so far on the high lying excitations, where all the $m$ ethods which have so far been used for the low lying ones are either im practicable or don't apply. Such high lying states are of experim ental interest because they are excited by o resonance inelastic light scattering. In a previous paper 14] we have com puted the high-lying quasi-particle energies and the am plitude of the inelastic peaks in the scattering function using the $T$ hom asFerm iapproxim ation and solving the H artree Fock B ogolinbov equations in perturbation theory of rst order for the energies (w ith an estim ate of the second order ones) but only to zero order in the wave functions. It is desirable to im prove on this highly sim pli ed approach by developing a self-consistent non-perturbative approach and extend the calculation to the whole energy region. It is our purpose, in the present paper, to present a non-perturbative $m$ ethod | the W KB approxim ation | for the solution of the B ogoliubov equations in a trap which allow s us to interpolate betw een the hydrodynam ical and the high-energy regim e, and to use it to calculate the energies and wave-fiunctions of the quasi-particle states. In a previous brief report we have already presented som e results of our calculation of the high lying levels of the quasi-particles [15]. H ere we shall apply our results to calculate the dynam ical structure function, which is ofhigh interest, because it could be m easured in o resonance light scattering 16] [18]. C alculations of the dynam ical structure function have previously been presented for the hom ogeneous ideal B ose gas [18,19] and for the hom ogeneous interacting B ose gas 20], w hich are how ever not directly applicab le to the trapped condensates. Results have also been presented for the hydrodynam ic lim it of the structure function 21]. Very recently results for the dynam ical structure function in the local density approxim ation have been presented 22], H ow ever, due to the lim itations of that approxim ation, the resulting structure function cannot show structure beyond the constraints im posed by the locally averaged energy and $m$ om entum conservation.

The results we shall present here are applicable in a large enery dom ain ranging from low lying to high lying levels of the trapped condensates and retain the fill inform ation on the discrete nature of the quasi-particle levels. They are,how ever, restricted to the spatially isotropic case. In previous w ork 14] w e have calculated the dynam icalstructure function for the very high lying quasiparticle levels in isotropic trapped condensates in a sim pler approxim ation,
replacing the high lying states by free trap states. T he m ore sophisticated non-perturbative calculation presented in the present paper show s , how ever, that the interaction of the high ly ing states w ith the conden sate has a non-negligible in uence on the selection of the angular $m$ om entum of the states excited by light-scattering.

An essential and independent input for our W K B-calculations is the condensate wave-function, which m ust be obtained by solving the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiequation. A swe intend to carry out the W KB calculations analytically, as far as possible, we shall use here the $m$ ain analytical approxim ation for large B ose-condensates w ith repulsive interaction, the Thom asFerm i approxim ation. T he necessary results are collected in section 4 .

In section III. the W K B approxim ation for the B oguliubov equations in an isotropic harm onic trap is developed. It is im plem ented in section $\mathbb{I V}$. by studying the classical quasi-particle dynam ics, which is integrable in isotropic traps. For a discussion of the non-integrable quasi-particle dynam ics in anisotropic traps see 23].
$T$ he reduced action is calculated as a function ofenergy and angularm om entum. In section $\mathbb{I V}$. the B ohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization of the energy levels of the classical quasi-particle dynam ics is perform ed and the sem iclassical wave functions, properly norm alized, are obtained in section $V$. T he necessary integrals, though tedious, can all be done analytically.

In section $V$. we present our results for the scattering function and in section $V$ II som e num erical exam ples are given. O ur conclusions are sum $m$ arized in the nal section VIII.

## II. BASIC EQUATIONSFOR CONDENSATEAND QUASIPARTICLEEXCITATIONS

A necessary prerequisite of any calculation of the quasi-particle excitations is an appropriate description of the condensate. The relevant results are sum $m$ arized in the present section. For weakly interacting atom $s$ at zero tem perature practically all particles are in the condensate and the energy $E$ is a functional of the $m$ acroscopic condensate wave function 0 ( $r$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(f \circ g)=Z^{Z} d^{2} r \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} j r \quad 0(r) j+U(r) j 0(r) \mathcal{j}+\frac{2 h^{2} a}{m} j 0(r) \jmath^{4} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constraint

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{3} r j 0(r) \hat{j}=\mathrm{N}_{0}
$$

$m$ ust be im posed. $U(r)$ is the trap potential. In our calculations we shall assum $e$ an isotropic harm onic trap

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(r)=\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{0}^{2} r^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sim plicity. The scattering length is assum ed to be positive and is denoted by $a$. The num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate is denoted by $N_{0} . W$ e assum e that the interaction is very weak and the tem perature is close to zero, so that $N_{0} \quad N$. The m inim ization condition for $E$, introducing the chem ical potential as a Lagrange multiplier to enforce the constraint 2.2), yields the $G$ ross $P$ taevskiequation 回]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2} 0+U(r) 0+\frac{4 h^{2} a}{m} j 0 J_{0}^{?}=0: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. Thom asFerm i approxim ation

In the present paper we shall use as an analytical approxim ation for the m inim $u m$ of 2.1) the $T$ hom as Ferm i approxim ation, which holds in the lim it of large condensates $N_{0} a \gg d_{0} \frac{h}{\frac{h}{m}!_{0}}$. In that lim it it becom es a very good approxim ation to solve (2.4) by neglecting the kinetic energy term 10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(r)=\frac{m}{4 h^{2} a}(\quad U(r))^{1=2} \quad(\quad U(r)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ ust be determ ined as a function of $N_{0}$ by im posing the nom alization condition 2.2), which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{h!0}{2}\left(15 N_{0} a=d_{0}\right)^{2=5} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions $\gg h!0$ and $N_{0} a \gg d_{0}$ are therefore equivalent. In eq. 2.5) ( $x$ ) is the step function. The approxim ation eq. 2.5) w ith discontinuous derivative at $r=r_{0}=\frac{p}{2=m!_{0}^{2}}$ is su cient, except in those cases where derivatives of the $w$ ave-function $0(r)$ for $r$ close to $r_{0}$ are im portant. In the latter case a boundary layer theory can be used to com pute the condensate wave function close to the $T$ hom as Ferm i radius [24], but we shall not need this im provem ent in the present paper.

## B. Q uasi-particle excitations

Let us now tum to a Bose condensate w ith excitations and adopt a description in the $H$ eisenberg picture, where the eld operator satis es the equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ih} \frac{@^{\wedge}}{@ t}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2 \wedge}+U(r)^{\wedge}+\frac{4 h^{2} a}{m} \wedge^{\wedge} \wedge \wedge: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a weakly interacting B ose condensed gas the B ogoliubov approxim ation consists in splitting the totalBose eld operator ${ }^{\wedge}(\mathrm{t})$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{\wedge}(t)=\left(0^{+}{ }^{\wedge}(t)\right) e^{i t=h} ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the tim e-independent condensate wave function and ${ }^{\wedge}(t)$ describes the excitations from the condensate, and to linearize the eld equation for ${ }^{\wedge}$ ( $t$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
i h \frac{@^{\wedge}}{@ t}=\quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+U(r) \quad+\frac{8 h^{2} a}{m} j 0(r) J^{!} \wedge^{!}+\frac{4 h^{2} a}{m} 0(r)^{2^{\wedge+}}: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The B ogolinbov transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{(t)}={ }_{j}^{X} u_{j}(r) e^{i!j_{j} t} \quad V_{j}(r)_{j}^{+} e^{i!j t} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its adjoint, with Bose operators $j$, ${ }_{j}^{+}$for the quasi-particle excitations and $w$ th the sum over $j$ restricted to states with ! $; 0$, yields the B ogoliubov equations

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+U_{e}(r) & E_{j} & K(r)  \tag{2.11}\\
K(r) & & \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+U_{e}(r)+E_{j}
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& u_{j} \\
& V_{j}
\end{aligned}=0 ;
$$

where we used the abbreviations

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{j}=h!_{j} ; U_{e}(r)=U(r)+\frac{8 h^{2} a}{m} j 0(r) \jmath^{2} \\
& K(r)=\frac{4 h^{2} a}{m} O(r)^{2}: \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. 2.11) is consistent $w$ th and its solutions $m$ ust be chosen to satisfy the orthonorm ality conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Z & d^{3} r\left(u_{j} u_{k}\right. & \left.v_{j} v_{k}\right)={ }_{j k} \\
Z & d^{3} r\left(u_{j} v_{k}\right. & \left.u_{k} v_{j}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

in order to guarantee the B ose com m utation relations of the $j$, ${ }_{j}^{+}$.
A form al solution of eq. 2.11) at zero energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}=0$ is given by the condensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}=v_{j}=0(r) ; E_{j}=0 ; \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this solution is not norm alizable in the required sense. For a harm onic trap $U(r)=\frac{1}{2} m{ }^{P}{ }_{i=1}^{3}!{ }_{0 i}^{2} x_{i}^{2}$ three linearly independent and correctly norm alized exact solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \quad 0(\mathrm{r}) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} 0(\mathrm{r}) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}!\mathrm{Oi}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

alw ays exist 25], where
are the B ose operators for the harm onical oscillations in the trap.
III. W K B -APPROX IM ATION FOR QUASIPARTICLESTATES IN THECLASSICALLYALLOWED REGION

W e shall here treat the W K B approxim ation for the case of an isotropic harm onic trap, where $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{r})$ and K ( r ) depend only on the radial coordinate $r$.

Let us drop the index $j$ for the tim e being and expand form ally in $h$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\left(a_{0}(r)+h a_{1}(r)+h^{2} a_{2}(r)+:::\right) e^{i S(r)=h} Y_{l m}(;) \\
& v=\left(b_{0}(r)+h b_{1}(r)+h^{2} b_{2}(r)+:::\right) e^{i S(r)=h} Y_{l m}(;): \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. 3.1) we shall treat $U_{e}(r)$ and $K(r)$ as being independent of $h$. The angular dependence is separated out by the spherical harm onics $Y_{l m}$. The angular $m$ om entum, denoted by $J$ in the follow ing, is then quantized in the ususal way by $J^{2}=h^{2} l(1+1)$, which w ill be replaced in our $W$ K B treatm ent according to Langer's rule $J^{2}=h^{2}(1+1=2)^{2}$. Inserting the ansatz 3.1) into 2.11) we obtain up to order $h^{2}$ the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0} \begin{array}{l}
a_{0} \\
b_{0}
\end{array}=0  \tag{32}\\
& L_{0} \begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
b_{1}
\end{array}=L_{1} \begin{array}{l}
a_{0} \\
b_{0}
\end{array}  \tag{3.3}\\
& L_{0} \begin{array}{l}
a_{2} \\
\mathrm{~b}_{2}
\end{array}=\quad \mathrm{L}_{1} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~b}_{1}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a}_{0} \\
\mathrm{~b}_{0}
\end{array} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
L_{0}= & \frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{J^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+U_{e}(r) \quad E \quad \\
K(r) & \\
& \frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{J^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+U_{e}(r)+E
\end{aligned}  \tag{3.5}\\
& L_{1}=\frac{i}{m} p_{r} \frac{d}{d r}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d p_{r}}{d r}+\frac{p_{r}}{r} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}  \tag{3.6}\\
& L_{2}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\right) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{d} S=\mathrm{dr}$.
The solvability condition of (32), the vanishing of the determ inant of $L_{0}$, gives the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(L_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{d S}{d r}^{2}+\frac{J^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+U_{e}(r)^{!} \quad E^{2} \quad k(r)^{2} \mathcal{J}=0: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can solve this equation for E , restricting ourselves to the branch $\mathrm{E}>0$, because the replacem ent E ! E merely


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{dS}=\mathrm{dr} ; \mathrm{r})=\mathrm{E} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(p_{r} ; r\right)=\sqrt[s]{\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{J^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+U_{e}(r)^{2} \quad j K(r) \xi}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his de nes the $H$ am iltonian for the classicalquasi－particle dynam ics．Introducing the tim e－dependent action

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r ; t)=S(r) \quad E t \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we m ay replace eq．3．9）by the tim e－dependent $H$ am ilton Jacobiequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W}{@ t}+H\left(\frac{@ W}{@ r} ; r\right)=0: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow sfrom eq．（3．9）that $w$ ith $S$ also $S$ is a solution of the $H$ am ilton Jacobiequation（3．）．It is interesting to note that the classicalquasi－particle dynam ics is integrable only in the specialcase of an isotropic harm onic trap．E ven in the experim entally realized case of an anisotropic axially sym $m$ etric trap the classicalquasi－particle dynam ics tums out to be nonintegrable，in general．A detailed investigation is given in 23］．In this case a sem i－classicalquantization of the quasi－particle dynam ics requires the $m$ ethods introduced in the eld of quantum chaos，such as sem iclassical quantization based on periodic orbits，or even replacing the quasi－particle spectrum and wave－fiunctions by results based on random $m$ atrix theory．

A fter satisfying eq．（3．8）the general solution of eq．3．2）can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}  \tag{3.13}\\
& b_{0}
\end{aligned}=a_{0}(r) \quad \frac{1}{E+P} \begin{aligned}
& E^{2}+j(r) f^{2} \\
& K(r)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{0}(r)$ is still arbitrary．
$\mathrm{L}_{0}$ is not invertible．The solvability condition ofeq．3．3）is that its inhom ogeneity is orthogonal to the kemel of $\mathrm{L}_{0}$

$$
\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}\right) L_{1} \begin{align*}
& a_{0}  \tag{3.14}\\
& b_{0}
\end{align*}=0:
$$

Evaluating this condition for $a_{0}(r) \in 0$ we obtain after som e rearrangem ents a classical transport equation for $a_{0}$ ，
which can be rew ritten as a continuly equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r} r^{2} \dot{j}_{0}(r) \jmath^{2} \quad 1+P \overline{\left.1+E^{2}=k(r)\right\}^{2}} \quad E=k(r) j^{2} \quad \frac{d S}{d r}=0: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The physicalm eaning of this result as classical conservation law for the quasi－particle current becom es clear if we rew rite it once $m$ ore as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r} r^{2} \quad \dot{j}_{0}(r)\right\} \quad \text { 向 }(r)\right\} \quad v_{r}(r)=0 ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the identities
to express $d S=d r$ in term s of the radial com ponent $v_{r}(r)$ of the quasi－particle velocity eld．The general solution of eq．（3．17）can therefore be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{0} \tilde{\jmath}^{2} \quad \text { 包出 }=\frac{\text { const }}{r^{2} v_{r}(r)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In principle，this procedure can be continued．H aving satis ed the solvability condition foreq．（3．3）w e can determ ine its generalsolution in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}  \tag{3.20}\\
& b_{1}
\end{align*}=a_{1}(r) \quad 1 \quad E=j_{j+} p \frac{0}{1+E^{2}=K^{j}} \quad+b_{1}^{p a r t}(r):
$$

$H$ ere the rst term is the general solution of the hom ogeneous equation whose still anbitrary am plitude $a_{1}(r) m$ ust be determ ined from the solvability condition of eq. 3.4)

$$
\left(a_{0} ; b_{0}\right) \quad L_{1} \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{1}  \tag{3.21}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{1}
\end{aligned}+\mathrm{L}_{0} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}_{0} \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{0}
\end{align*}=0:
$$

$T$ he second term in eq. (320) is a particular solution ofeq. 3.3), which we choose w ith van ishing am plitude $a_{1}^{\text {part }}(r)=0$ in order to de ne the rst term in eq. 320 in an unam biguous way. A swe shall not need the explicit form of $a_{1}$, $b_{1}$ we shall here not work it out from eqs. (320), 321).

> IV. SEM ICLASSICALQUASIPARTICLE ENERGIES IN ISOTROPIC HARMON IC TRAPS

For the radial canonicalm om entum as a function of $r$ we obtain from 3.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r}=p \frac{r}{2 m}^{p \frac{J^{2}}{E^{2}+K^{2}(r)} \quad \frac{U^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}(r)}: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sem i-classicalquantization can now be perform ed in a straightforw ard $m$ anner by putting for the angular $m o-$ $m$ entum

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J_{V}=h(`+1=2) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the radial action variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}(E ; J)=\frac{1}{Z}_{r_{<}}^{r_{>}} p_{r}(E ; J ; r) d r=h\left(n_{r}+1=2\right): \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ', $n_{r}$ are the integer angularm om entum and radialquantum num ber, respectively. W e used Langer's nule' 26] of sem i-classicalangularm om entum quantization by including $1=2$ on the right hand side of (42), and took into account a phase-shift of $=4$ in the $w$ ave function at the classicaltuming points $r_{<}, r$ of the radialm otion by including $1=2$ on the right hand side of 4.3).

For the $T$ hom as Ferm iapproxim ation of the condensate the radialintegralin eq. 4.3) can be perform ed analytically, even though the resulting expressions becom e rather lengthy. In this $m$ anner an im plicit analytical form ula for the energy levels in W K B approxim ation is obtained. Num erically solving the im plicit expression for E for di erent values of $n_{r}$, 'we obtain the energy levels $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} 1}$ in $\mathrm{W} K B$ approxim ation.

## A. Types of m otion

In Thom asFerm iapproxim ation 2.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{e}(r)=\quad \frac{m}{2}!{ }_{0}^{2} r^{2} \\
& K^{2}(r)=\frac{m}{2}!{ }_{0}^{2} r^{2}{ }^{2} \quad \frac{m}{2}!{ }_{0}^{2} r^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{h!0}{2} R_{0}^{2} ; \\
R_{0} & =\frac{15 N_{0} a}{d_{0}} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The Thom as Ferm iapproxim ation requires $R_{0}^{2} \gg 1$ for consistency. Let us introduce scaled variables and param eters by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(r=d_{0} R_{0}\right) ; \quad=E=\quad ; \quad j=\left(J=h R_{0}^{2}\right) \quad ; \quad r=p_{r}\left(d_{0}=h R_{0}\right): \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then eq．4．1）becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r={ }^{9} \bar{p} \frac{1}{2+(1}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad(1 \quad) \quad j=2 \quad \text { jl } \quad{ }^{2} j: \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to nd the tuming points $<,>$ we have to look for the solutions of $r=0$ ． i）D om ain $<1$ ：Tuming points in this region have to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{2}{<}=\frac{j^{2}}{2+2 j^{2}} 1+p \overline{1+2^{2}+2 j^{2}} \quad: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The negative root is dism issed because only real and positive solutions are physical．The rem aining solution 4．8） tums out to be a lowerboundary of the－values for which $r$ is real．The condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{<}^{2}<1 \quad \text { (type B m otion) } \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is only ful led if

$$
\begin{equation*}
>\text { 〕 (type B m otion) : } \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e shall denote this $m$ otion as being of type $B$ ．A s there is only a lower tuming point $<$ within the region $<1$ ， the upper tuming point m ust lie in the region $>1$ ，i．e．for type $B \mathrm{~m}$ otion the quasi－particle leaves and reenters the condensate during each radial period．
ii）$D$ om ain $>1$ ：Tuming points in this region $m$ ust satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
>\quad=\frac{1}{2}(+1) \frac{1}{2} \overline{(+1)^{2} \quad 4 \frac{3}{\}}}: \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

They exist if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 j \quad 1 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that is restricted to $>0$ by de nition．The lower tuming point given by eq．（4．11）exists only if it satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{2}{<}>1 \quad \text { (type A m otion) } \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case the classical quasi－particle dynam ics is entirely con ned to the region outside the condensate．It is then simply the $m$ otion in the harm onic potential of the trap．$W$ e call this $m$ otion being of type $A$ ．It requires the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
>1 ; \quad \text { ? }>\quad>2 j 1 \text { (type A m otion) } \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

all to be satis ed，see g．田．
If the tuming point＜does not exist in the region $>1$ ，then the tuming point＜must occur for＜1，i．e．the only altemative of type A m otion is m otion of type B satisfying eqs．4．9），4．19）．The upper tuming point of both types of $m$ otion lies in the region $>1$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{2}{>}=\frac{1}{2}(+1)+\frac{1}{2} p \overline{(+1)^{2} \quad 4 \stackrel{3}{\jmath}} \text { (type A, B m otion) : } \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that $\stackrel{2}{>}>1$ for both types of $m$ otion．In $g$ ．团 the parts of the angular $m$ om entum｜energy plane accessible to the tw o types ofm otion are shown．Let us now proceed to evaluate the radialaction ofboth types ofm otion and quantize．
$T$ he integral to be evaluated is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}={\underline{h R_{0}^{2}}}^{Z}>{ }_{r} \mathrm{~d}: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain sim ple closed expressions we rst study the case of a large condensate for which $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ! 1 , while we keep the energy $E$ and angular $m$ om entum $J$ of the quasi-particle xed, i.e. the scaled quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2 E}{h!_{0}} \frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}} \quad ; \quad j=\frac{`+1=2}{R_{0}^{2}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

are both $0\left(R_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ in that lim it. It follow $s$ that the necessary condition for type $A m$ otion $\quad j$ (i.e. m otion which rem ains outside the condensate) cannot be ful lled in this lim it, and therefore only type B m otion can occur. In the present lim it we have asym ptotically

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{\prime} \quad(1 \quad) \frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}} \frac{2 \mathrm{E}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}!_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1{ }^{2}\right)} \quad \frac{(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}}{2}  \tag{4.19}\\
& +(1)^{p} \overline{+1{ }^{2}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

The integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{>}={\frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{Z^{p}}{ }_{1}^{1+} \mathrm{p} \overline{1+\quad{ }^{2}} \mathrm{~d}}_{1} \tag{420}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be perform ed asym ptotically for ! 0 w th the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{>}=\frac{h}{3} \frac{1}{R_{0}}{\frac{2 E}{h!_{0}}}^{3=2} \quad!\quad 0: \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he contribution of the part of the type $B \mathrm{~m}$ otion outside the condensate to the total radialaction therefore becom es negligible $0\left(R_{0}{ }^{1}\right)$ as $R_{0}!1$. The $0(1)$-part of the action is therefore given by

The substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=1 \quad \frac{E^{2}}{E^{2}+(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}\left(h!_{0}\right)^{2}=2} \sin ^{2}, \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces the integral to a rational function of $\sin$ ' and we obtain after integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=\frac{h}{2} P \overline{2\left(E=h!_{0}\right)^{2}+(`+1=2)^{2}} \quad(\Im+1=2)+0 \frac{1}{R_{0}} \quad: \tag{4,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the sem i-classicalquantization rule $I_{r}=h\left(n_{r}+1=2\right)$ we obtain the energy levels

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n_{r}},=h!0\left(2 n_{r}^{2}+2 n_{r}{ }^{`}+3 n_{r}+`+1\right)^{1=2} 1+0 \frac{1}{R_{0}}: \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is rem arkably close to the hydrodynam ic result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}^{\mathrm{hyd}}=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\left(2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{`}+3 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+\right)^{1=2} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived by Stringari [f] for the low lying levels in the hydrodynam ic regim e. In that regim e the W K B m ethod is not applicable, but for energies $E_{n_{r}}, \gg h!0$ the agreem ent betw een 4.25) and 4.26) becom es very good. Thus we nd that there is a com $m$ on regim e of applicability of both the hydrodynam ic approxim ation and the W KB approxim ation, where the energy is su ciently large to apply the W K B approxim ation but not too large to invalidate the hydrodynam ic approach.

For type A m otion the classical particle never enters the condensate and the B ohr-quantization 4.3) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}=\mathrm{h}!0\left(2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+\cdots+3=2\right) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{osc}}: \tag{427}
\end{equation*}
$$

which depends only on the pricipal quantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ ' (see equation 4.35) below). H ow ever, for type B $m$ otion, i.e., $\quad$, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{r}}$, di ens from $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}^{\stackrel{\text { osc }}{ } \text {, }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n_{r}},=E_{n}^{o s c}+h!0 n,: \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shiff $n$, lifting the degenerecy of the free oscillator levels is expected to be sm all in the large-energy lim it $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}$, and can be calculated in the follow ing w ay:

For type B m otion 4.3) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{r}+1=2={\frac{R_{0}^{2}}{}}^{Z}{ }_{r}() d={\frac{R_{0}^{2}}{}}^{Z} d^{q} \bar{p} \overline{{ }^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2} & f={ }^{2} & \binom{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\stackrel{(0)}{<}$ and > are the tuming points for ()$=\sum^{p} \frac{\mathcal{J}={ }^{2}+\left(1{ }^{2}\right) \text {, i.e., for the free oscillator: }}{}$

The second integral in (429) is trivial and using 4.28) eq. 4.29) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 R_{0}^{2}}{\text { z_ }_{1}}{ }_{<}^{(0)} \mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{2} \quad \bar{f}={ }^{2}+\left(1{ }^{2}\right): \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

For type B motion $j^{2} \quad$, thus in the present lim it ! 1 and a ${ }^{2} \dot{j}$ is kept xed ( 0 a 1 ). Our small param eter is $1=$. In this lim it the tw o integrals in leading order cancel each other. $W$ ith the replacem ent ${ }^{2}=1 \quad z$ the next to leading approxim ation gives

$$
n(\Upsilon)={\stackrel{R}{p_{0}^{2}}}_{0}^{Z} d z p \frac{z}{1 z_{1} a}=\frac{4 R_{0}^{2}}{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a)^{3=2}:  \tag{4.32}\\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$T$ he shift is clearly tending to zero in the large energy lim it, thus one can replace the unscaled energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}$, w ith $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ osc on the right hand side of eq. 4.32) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(`)=\frac{1}{3} \frac{\left.\left(4=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{n}+3=2 \quad=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right) \quad(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}\right)^{3=2}}{\left[\mathrm{n}+3=2 \quad=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right]^{2}} ; \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the asym ptotics of the shift in the large energy lim it. $T$ his is the result presented in 15]. For large values of $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+$ 2' $^{\text {'it }}$ agrees w th the perturbative result of 14 ].

$$
\text { D. R adial action in the case of a } n \text { ite condensate }
$$

$W$ e now evaluate the radial action for nite $R_{0}$. The tw o types of $m$ otion $m$ ust be considered separately. Type A m otion:
This type of $m$ otion requires $1 \quad 2 j \quad 1 \quad{ }^{2}$.j Its radial action is that of the free harm onic trap and easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}(; j)={\frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{}}^{Z}>\underline{d} p-\frac{h}{4}+{ }^{2}(+1) \quad \frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{2} \frac{+1}{2} j \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where > , < are given by the two roots 4.11). Q uantizing according to eqs. 4.2), 4.3) and solving for the energy levels we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}, \mathrm{~h}!_{0}\left(2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+, ~+3=2\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the result which is obtained for the free trap, shifted by the change of the ground state energy due to the condensate. Type B m otion: For this type ofm otion we have $j^{2}<$. The radial action is given by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}(; j)={\underline{h R_{0}^{2}}}^{Z} d \quad{ }^{2}+\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2}(1 \quad)^{1=2} \frac{j^{2}}{2} \quad \mathbb{H}^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{1=2} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where < , > are gi̇ven by eqs. 4.8) and 4.15), respectively.
$T$ he integral can be perform ed in term s of elem entary functions. W e give a sum $m$ ary of the necessary steps in the appendix. The result is $m$ ost succinctly expressed in term $s$ of the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{A}^{Z}=2 \frac{\cos ^{2}}{a+b \sin ^{\prime}} d^{\prime}=\begin{array}{ll}
J_{>}(A ; a ; b) & a^{2}>b^{2} \\
J_{<}(A ; a ; b) & a^{2}<b^{2}
\end{array} \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be written and program $m$ ed explicitely in term sofelem entary functions. $T$ he radialaction is then obtained in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{r}(; j)=\frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{( } X^{3} C_{i} J_{>}\left(A_{1} ; a_{i} ; b_{1}\right)+C_{4} J_{<}\left(A_{1} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right) \\
&+C_{5} K_{<}\left(A_{1} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right)+C_{6} J_{>}\left(A_{2} ; a_{5} ; b_{2}\right) \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the param eters

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\arcsin \frac{2^{2}+j^{2}}{1+2^{2}+2 j^{2}}!  \tag{4.40}\\
& A_{2}=\arcsin p \frac{1}{(+1)^{2} 4 j^{2}}  \tag{4.41}\\
& b_{1}=p \frac{1+2^{2}+2 j^{2}}{\rho^{2}}  \tag{4.42}\\
& b_{2}=\frac{1^{2}}{2} p \frac{j}{2+1}+j^{2}(1)  \tag{4.43}\\
& a_{1 ; 2}=(+j)^{2}  \tag{4.44}\\
& a_{3}=  \tag{4.45}\\
& a_{4}=2  \tag{4.46}\\
& a_{5}=\frac{2}{2} \tag{4.47}
\end{align*}
$$

and the coe cients

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{4} 1 \quad P \overline{2}+1  \tag{4.48}\\
& C_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)}{+j}  \tag{4.49}\\
& C_{4}\left(1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)  \tag{4.50}\\
& C_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\quad 2 \frac{\left(1+^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)}{+\frac{j}{j}}\right.  \tag{4.51}\\
& C_{5}=\frac{1}{2} P\left(+j^{2}\left(1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{4.52}\\
& C_{6}=\frac{1}{8}(+1)^{2} \frac{1}{2} j^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

The sem iclassical approxim ations for the quasi-particle excitation energies are now obtained by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}} \frac{2 \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{~h}!{ }_{0} \mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}} ; \frac{`+1=2}{\mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}}=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+1=2\right): \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for E. This last step cannot be done analytically, but it is easily accom plished num erically.

> V.SEM ICLASSICALWAVEFUNCTIONS

For purely radialdynam ics eq. 3.1.) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r} a_{0}^{h}(r) r^{2} 1+\left(^{p} \overline{1+E^{2}=K^{2}} \quad E=K\right)^{2} p_{r}^{i}=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily solved, putting [: : : ] = const in eq. 5.1). Inserting the result in eq. 3.13) we obtain the prefactors of the sem iclassical w ave function to low est order. The exponential factors exp (iS=h) in (3.7) are com bined to satisfy the usualm atching conditions on the low er tuming point. A fter som e rearrangem ent in the prefactors we obtain
where $p_{r}(r), K^{2}(r)$, are de ned in eqs. 4.1), 4.4), and $r_{<}=<d_{0} R_{0}$ given by eq. 4.8) for type $B$ m otion or 4.11) for type A m otion. T he nom alization condition 2.13) serves to determ ine the constant C 0 by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
1={\frac{C_{0}^{2}}{2}}_{r_{<}}^{r_{>}} \operatorname{drp} \frac{E}{E^{2}+K^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{r}(r) j}=\frac{C_{0}^{2}}{4 m} T_{r} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{r}$ is the period of the radialm otion, and $r_{>}=\quad>d_{0} R_{0}$ is de ned by eq. 4.15). To obtain eq. (5.3) we have restricted the norm alization integral to the classically allowed dom ain and replaced the rapidly oscillating $\sin ^{2}\left(h^{1}{ }^{R_{r}} p_{r} d r+=4\right)$ by its average 1/2. Furtherm ore we used the identities 3.18) for the radialvelocity.

> A. G eneral case

The integral in eq. 5.3) can be carried out explicitely. T he necessary steps are sum m arized in A ppendix B. We obtain

$$
C_{0}^{2}=\frac{\frac{4 m!0}{}}{\frac{4 m!0}{\left.\left(2^{2}+4 j^{2}\right)\right)^{1=2}}} \quad \begin{align*}
& \text { type A m otion }  \tag{5.4}\\
& \text { type B m otion }
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\arctan \frac{1}{2^{p} \overline{n^{2}}}  \tag{5.5}\\
& =\arctan \frac{p \frac{3}{2\left({ }^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)}}{\frac{{ }^{2}}{2+2 j^{2}+p \frac{p}{1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}}}}{ }^{2+j^{2}+\frac{1+j^{2}+2 j^{2}}{1+}}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing these results it can be shown that for type B $-m$ otion the $w$ ave-functions for large energy tend to those of the free harm onic trap.
B. Large condensate

The result 5.4) sim pli es if we consider the $\lim$ it $R_{0}!1$, keeping

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} h!{ }_{0} R_{0}^{2} \quad ; \quad \vartheta+1=2=R_{0}^{2} j \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

xed. Type A m otion disappears in that lim it and for type B m otion we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{2}={\underline{2 m}!_{0}}^{q} \overline{2+h^{2}!_{0}^{2}(r+1=2)^{2}=E^{2}}: \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he correctly norm alized w ave functions (5.2) can now be used to evaluate $m$ atrix elem ents in the sem iclassicallim it. $T$ his $w$ illbe done in the next section to calculate the cross section for inelastic light scattering by w hich a quasi-particle is created from the condensate.

## VI.SEM ICLASSICALSTRUCTUREFUNCTION

To introduce the dynam icalstructure function let us rst consider the di erential cross-section for the o resonant scattering of light from the trapped gas. Light w ith incom ing wave-vector and frequency $q_{L} ;!_{L}$ is scattered into an outgoing eld with $q_{L}^{0}=q_{L} \quad k ;!_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}=!_{\mathrm{L}} \quad!\mathrm{w}$ ith $!\ll!_{\mathrm{L}}$. The scattering angle betw een $q_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}$ and $q_{\mathrm{L}}$ is related to k by the usual kinem atical relation $\mathrm{ck}=2!_{\mathrm{L}} \sin (=2)$ which im plies the allowed interval $0<k<2!_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{C}$. The perm itted interval for the transferred frequency ! can be estim ated from the corresponding relation for free atom $s$ $0<!<\left(2 h!{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}=m c^{2}\right)$. Let now the di erential cross-section for the 0 resonant light scattering from a single atom in its ground state be given by $(d=d)_{A t}$. Then the spectral distribution of the di erential cross-section for light scattering $\circ$ the trapped atom ic gas is given by 18,19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d d(h!)}=\frac{d}{d}_{A t} S(k ;!): \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dynam ical structure function $S(k ;!)$ is the Fourier transform of the density $\mid$ density correlation function and de ned as the them al expectation value

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(k ;!)=\frac{1}{Z}^{X} e^{E} \not h^{0} j(k) j \text { i̧ }(h!+E \quad E 0): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here j i and E are energy eigenstates and levels of the system, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=d^{3} r^{+}(r) e^{i k r}(r) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the operator of the density uctuation $w$ ith $w a v e ~ v e c t o r k$.
In the present case $S(k ;!)$ assum es the form

$$
\begin{align*}
S(k ;!)= & S_{0}(k)(h!)+{ }^{X} S_{i}(k) \quad\left(h!+E_{i}\right)+e^{E_{i}}\left(h!E_{i}\right) \\
& +X^{X^{i}} S_{i j}^{(1)}(k)^{i}\left(h!+E_{i}+E_{j}\right)+e^{\left(E_{i}+E_{j}\right)}\left(h!\quad E_{i} \quad E_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum $s{ }^{P}{ }^{0}$ run over the quasi-particle states $w$ ith $E_{i} \notin 0$. The rst term in eq. (6.4) describes coherent elastic scattering, and $S_{0}(k)$ is sim ply the square of the Fourier transform of the density

Because $0 /{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{N}_{0}}$ this is by far the dom inant contribution in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{k} ;!)$. This contribution of the scattered light, by its interference w ith the non-scattered light, is used in the phase-contrast im aging of the condensates 27]. The part
${ }_{i}^{0} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{f}$ gives the num ber density of particles outside the condensate at tem perature $\mathrm{T}=0$ due to the interaction. It can be easily seen from eq. 52) that $\dot{j}_{i}(r) f$ vanishes for $r>r_{0}$. For weak interaction this num ber density is $m u c h$ sm aller than $\mathrm{N}_{0}=\mathrm{r}_{0}^{3}$.
The second term in eq. (6.4) describes the creation of a quasi-particle from the condensate. The matrix elem ent for th is process is

$$
M_{i}(k)=Z^{Z} d^{3} r e^{i k} r_{0}(r)\left(u_{i}(r) \quad Y_{i}(r)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}(k)=\mathbb{M}_{i}(k) \rho\left(n_{i}+1\right) ; \quad n_{i}=\frac{1}{e^{E_{i}} l^{\prime}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other quantities in 6.4) are:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{i j}^{(1)}(k)=Z^{Z} r u_{i} v_{i} e^{i k} r_{;} \quad M_{i j}^{(2)}(k)=d^{3} r\left(u_{i} u_{j}+v_{i} v_{j}\right) e^{i k} r \\
S_{i j}^{(1)}(k)=M_{i j}^{(1)}(k)^{2 q} \frac{\left(n_{i}+1\right)\left(n_{j}+1\right) ;}{(2)} S_{i j}^{(2)}(k)=M_{i j}^{(2)}(k)^{2 q} \overline{\left(n_{i}+1\right) n_{j}} \tag{6.8}
\end{array}
$$

Here $S_{i j}^{(1)}$ is proportional to the cross-section for the absonption of an energy $h$ ! by creating a pair of quasi-particles w ith energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ from the condensate. The cross-section for the tim e-reversed process is sm aller by a factor $e^{\left(E_{i}+E_{j}\right)}$ due to detailed balance. Sim ilarly $S_{i j}^{(2)}$ ( $E_{i} E_{j}$ ) describes the cross-section for absorbing an energy $h$ ! by converting a quasi-particle of energy $E_{j}$ into one with energy $E_{i}$. Both $S_{i j}^{(1)}$ and $S_{i j}^{(2)}$ give rise to a faint and broad spectral background in the scattering. In the follow ing we shall therefore content ourselves $w$ ith the evaluation of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{f}$.

## A. G eneral case

Here we shall evaluate the $m$ atrix elem ent 6.6) in the sem iclassical lim it. It is clear that only type B motion can contribute, because otherw ise 0 and ( $u_{i}, v_{1}$ ) don't overlap. The condensate wave finction is given by eq. 2.5). The am plitude ( $u_{i} v_{1}$ ) is obtained from eq. (52), and $e^{i k} \stackrel{r}{r}$, where $k$ in $z$-direction in sem iclassical approxim ation is expanded as

The integral 6.6) is to be taken over the angles ; selecting the angular $m$ om entum quantum num ber from the sum in 6.5) and over $r^{2} d r$ from $r=r$, to $r=r_{0}$. This radial integral is of the general form
where the evaluation is done in the stationary phase approxim ation and $z_{0}$ is de ned as solution of ${ }^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ in the interval $r_{<}<z_{0}<r_{0}$. Before writing the integral explicitely we introduce scaled param eters and variables as before and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{d}_{0} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{m}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}!_{0} C^{2}} \text { : } \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen, neglecting a rapidly oscillating term $w$ thout stationary phase in the interval $r_{<}<r<r_{0}$, the am plitude can be written as
w ith r ( ) given by eq. (4.7) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{p} \overline{2} \quad \bar{f}={ }^{2} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stationary point $z_{0}$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=\frac{r \frac{4}{4^{2}+2^{2}}}{2^{2}}: \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we have to exam ine under which conditions $z_{0}$ lies in the required interval:
i) The condition for $0, u \quad v$, and $e^{i k z}$ to overlap are

$$
\begin{equation*}
>\text { f } ; j< \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) $z_{0}$ satis es $0 \quad z_{0} \quad 1$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{4}+2^{2} \quad 2 \quad 4 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) $z_{0}$ satis es the low er bound $j=$
mif

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad 4+2^{2} \quad 2 \text { 予 } \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is stronger than the rst inequality 6.17) if the second inequality 6.17) holds. iv) $z_{0}$ satis es the lower bound < $\quad$ \# if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \overline{1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{\left.{ }^{2}\left({ }^{4}+2^{2} \quad 2\right\}^{3}{ }^{2}\right)+2 j^{2}{ }^{4}}{2 j^{2}{ }^{2}}: \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from 6.18) that the right hand side of 6.19) is positive. Therefore both sides of 6.19) can be squared w ithout changing the inequality, and we obtain after som e rearrangem ents instead of 6.19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{2}+\frac{2^{4}}{2^{2}} \quad 1 \frac{24^{2}}{2^{2}} \quad 1 \frac{2}{2^{2}} \quad \frac{2^{2}+4^{2}}{2}: \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $s$ inside the brackets [: : :] on both sides of 6.2d) are positive, by virtue ofeq. 6.17). Therefore we $m$ ay take the square root of both sides of eq. 620), to obtain after som e m ore rearrangem ents, again the inequality 6.18). Thus, eq. 6.19) leads to no additional condition if inequalities 6.16) \{ 6.18) are satis ed, which are therefore the sem iclassical selection rules for the creation of quasi-particles from the condensate. For later reference it is usefull to rew rite the $m$ ost restrictive of these inequalities in dim ensional quantities as follow s

$$
\frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{2 m} \quad E_{n_{r}}, \quad \begin{align*}
& \frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{m} \frac{1}{2}\left(\Upsilon+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(h!_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{6,21}
\end{align*}:
$$

A num erical exam ple for this selsction rule is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5 -
$N$ ext we need to determ ine ${ }_{w}\left(z_{0}\right)={ }_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{r} \underline{4^{4}+2^{2}{ }^{2} 2^{2}}}{4^{4}+2^{2} 2^{2}}: \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also need the sign of

$$
\begin{equation*}
,^{\infty}\left(z_{0}\right)=R_{0}^{2}\left({ }_{r}^{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \quad \underset{w}{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=R_{0}^{2} \frac{2^{2}}{2+4^{4}} \frac{4^{4}+2^{2} 2^{2}}{2\left(4^{4}+2^{2} 2^{2}\right)} \tag{623}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is evaluated to be positive. W e can now apply the form ula 6.9) to 6.11) and obtain
where the phase '

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime=\prime_{1}+\prime_{2} \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime_{1}=R_{0}^{Z_{z_{0}}} d_{r}{ }_{r}() \\
& \prime_{2}=R_{0}^{2}{ }_{j=}^{z_{0}} d_{w}():
\end{align*}
$$

Integrals of this kind had already to be evaluated when calculating the action $I_{r}$, and the sam e substitutions as were m ade there are also of help here. In fact the integrals 6.26) and 4.36) di er only by a prefactor $\mathrm{h}=$ and by the upper boundary. U sing this observation we obtain by the series of steps sum m arized for eq. (4.36) in appendix A a result for 6.26) which di ers from (A5) only by the prefactor and the boundary $A_{1}!A_{3}$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}=\arcsin \frac{{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}}{\left(+{ }^{2}\right)} \frac{\#}{1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}}: \tag{627}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicitely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prime_{1}=R_{0}^{2} X^{X}=1 \\
& C_{i} J_{>}\left(A_{3} ; a_{i} ; b_{1}\right)+C_{4} J_{<}\left(A_{3} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right) \\
&+C_{5} K<\left(A_{3} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right) \tag{628}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the param eters given by eqs. 4.42) (4.51). The second integral 6.26) is sim pli ed by the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{j}{\operatorname{cosu}} \tag{6,29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and gives, after evaluation
$N$ ow the $m$ atrix elem ent is com pletely determ ined in the sem iclassical approxim ation. The inelastic part of the structure function 6.5) at $T=0$ can be given by the sum

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{(1)}(k ;!)=P_{\overline{2} a\left(k d_{0}\right)^{7} h!0_{0}^{3} n_{r}}^{X} \quad 0_{0} \quad \frac{C_{n_{r}} \cdot\left(2^{`}+1\right)(2!=!0)^{2} \quad\left(k d_{0}\right)^{4}}{\left(k d_{0}\right)^{4}+2\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2} R_{0}^{2} \quad\left(2!=!_{0}\right)^{2} \quad 2^{`^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}^{2}}} \\
& \frac{1 \quad \sin 2^{\prime}{ }_{n_{r}}^{\prime}}{2} \quad \frac{!+!_{n_{r} 1}}{!_{0}} \tag{6.31}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the two sum s are restricted to quantum num bers $n_{r}$, ' satisfy ing the sem iclassical selection rules 6.16) \{ 6.18) w th $=h!_{n_{r}}=$ and $j=\left(`+\frac{1}{2}\right)=R_{0}^{2}$. Here we have put indices $n_{r}$, ' on $C$ and' de ned by eqs. 6.11), (5.4) and 625), respectively, to indicate the dependence of these quantities on the quantum num bers $n_{r}, \prime$ via $=h!_{n_{r}}$ ' $=$ and $j=\left(`+\frac{1}{2}\right)=R_{0}^{2}$.

## B. Lim it of large condensate

In the $\lim$ 止 of a large condensate $R_{0} \gg 1, E=h!0 \quad 0(1),(`+1=2) \quad 0(1), k R_{0} d_{0} \quad 0(1)$ the result (6.3) for the inelastic structure function simpli es. For the prefactor $C_{n_{r}}$, we can use the asym ptotic form 5.7) w ith eq. 6.11). The sem iclassical selection rules 6.18) \{ 6.18) take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{n_{r}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} h!0 \frac{(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} ; \quad,+1=2 \quad R_{0} k d_{0}  \tag{6.32}\\
\frac{1}{2} h!_{0}\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2} \quad E_{n_{r}}, \quad P_{\overline{2}}^{1} h!!_{0} \frac{R_{0}^{2}\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}}{(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}} \tag{6.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the right-hand side of 6.33) has been sim pli ed using $\left(k d_{0}=R_{0}\right)^{2} \ll 1$.
$T$ he rst condition of 6.32) is alw ays satis ed for su ciently large $R 0$, the second represents a restriction on the size of ' since we w ant to assum e $R_{0} k d_{0} \quad 0(1)$. In ( $\left.6.3 \beta\right)$ we can use the result (42 ) for the quasi-particle energies in large condensates and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}+\cdots+3=2 \quad \mathrm{R}_{0} k d_{0} \tag{6,34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the second inequality in 6.33), while the rst is alw ays satis ed in the lim it we consider. It is som ew hat surprising to see the energy levels of the free trap appearing in 6.34). U nder the square-root in the denom inator of eq. 6.31) and also in the nom inator we m ay again neglect $\left(\mathrm{kd}_{0}\right)^{4}$ com pared to the other term s . Finally, the tedious general results 6.28), 6.30) w ith 4.49) \{ 4.51) for' 1 and ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be sim pli ed considerably by using the asym ptotic results 4.18), 4.19) for $<$ and $r$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{0}!\frac{q}{1} \overline{2\left(!_{n} ;=!_{0} R_{0} k d_{0}\right)^{2}}  \tag{6.35}\\
{ }_{w}(\quad)={\frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}}}^{p} \frac{\left(R_{0} k d_{0}\right)^{2} \quad(\Upsilon+1=2)^{2}={ }^{2}}{(\Upsilon)} \tag{6.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

follow ing from eqs. 6.15), 6.13) in eqs. 6.26). The integrals are now much easier to perform and we obtain after som e further rearrangem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime^{\prime} n_{r},(k)=R_{0} k d_{0}\left(\sin n_{r},(k) \quad n_{r},(k) \cos n_{r},(k)\right) \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the angle $n_{r},(k)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos n_{r},(k)=\frac{2 n_{r}+`+3=2}{R_{0} k d_{0}}: \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality 6.34) is inconporated in this de nition. A fter all this the structure function 6.31, in the lim it of large condensates, takes the explicit form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos ^{2} \frac{-}{4}+{ }^{\prime} n_{n_{r}},(k) \quad\left(\left(!+!_{n_{r}},\right)=!{ }_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith ' $n_{r}$, and $!_{n_{r}}$, given by eqs. 6.37) and 4.25) respectively. It is interesting to note that $S^{(1)}(k ;!)$ in the present lim it has resonances for the $m$ axim um $m$ om entum transfer $R_{0} k d_{0}=2 n_{r}+\cdots+3=2$ by which states $w$ th the principal quantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ 'can be excited. The angles $n_{r},(k)$ and ${ }^{\prime} n_{r},(k)$ vanish there. Sim ilar resonances appear also in the $m$ ore general result (6.31) which diverges whenever the border of the sem iclassical selection rule (6.18) is approached.
VII. SOMENUMERICALEXAMPLES

All the calculations, so far, have been analytical, but the nal results for the energy levels, wave functions and inelastic structure function are not obtained in explicit form and must be evaluated num erically from the im plicit results we have derived. This can be done w ithout di culty. W e shall here consider som e exam ples of such results:

## A. Energy levels:

The energy levels are obtained by solving eq. 4.53) for E . This can be done num erically without di culty and am biguly, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ I_{r}}{@ E}=\frac{T_{r}}{2}>0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{r}$ is the period of the radialm otion. In $F$ ig. 目 we take $(=h!0)=\frac{1}{2} R_{0}^{2}=4: 27$ and plot the level shifts $n$ (') de ned as a function of 'and the principalquantum number $n=$ '+ $2 n_{r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h!!_{n}(`)=E_{n_{r}} \cdot+\quad h!_{0}(n+3=2): \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for type A m otion the levels are the same as for the free trap, apart from a shiff in the zero-point energy from $3 h!0=2$ to $3 h!_{0}=2$, it is clear that (7) vanishes for this type of $m$ otion, characterized by the sim ultaneous inequalities $1 \quad 2 j \quad 1 \quad 2$ juw hich im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}+\right)=\mathrm{h}!_{0} \quad(\Upsilon+1=2) \quad\left(2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{r}}=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right)^{1=2}\left(2=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right)^{1=2}: \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst inequality $m$ ust alw ays be satis ed for energy levels to exist, at least sem iclassically, i.e. there are no levels
 drops to 0 . For type B m otion ${ }_{r}+1=2 \quad\left(2 E_{n_{r}},=h!_{0}\right)^{1=2}\left(2=h!_{0}\right)^{1=2}$ is satis ed. The level shifts are positive due to the repulsion provided by the condensate. $T$ his repulsion is stronger for the low er lying levels, as one could expect.

## B. Structure function:

The dynam ical structure function in the approxim ation we have considered is determ ined, up to prefactors, by just two param eters, $R_{0}^{2}$ which xes the number of particles of a given atom ic species in a given trap via $N_{0}=$ $\overline{h=m!}{ }_{0} R_{0}^{5}=15 a$, and $k d_{0}$ which xes the scattering angle via $=2 A r \operatorname{csin} k d_{0}(=4)\left(m!_{0}=h\right)^{1=2}$, where is the w avelength of the scattered light. $W$ e shall assum e that the w avelength of the light used in the scattering experim ent is o resonance but roughly given by the D -line of the alkali-m etal, i.e. 590 nm for sodium, and 800 nm for rubidium.
$T$ he result 6.31) for the inelastic part of the structure function for $R_{0}^{2}=2=\quad=\mathrm{h}!_{0}=4: 27$ and $k d_{0}=15: 1$ is plotted in F ig. 3. For a trap w ith frequency $0=!0=2=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ these num bens correspond to light scattering from a ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a condensate of $N_{0} \quad 8000$ atom $s w$ ith 40 or from $a^{87} R \mathrm{~b}$ condensate of $\mathrm{N}_{0} \quad 4000$ atom $\mathrm{s} w$ ith $\quad$ 126. This is a typical exam ple for light scattering $w$ ith a large $m$ om entum transfer in which high-lying quasi-particle states are excited. The plot gives as discrete points the strength of the -functions in eq. (6.31), which according to our sign convention for ! appear at negative values ! = $E_{n_{r}}$, $=\mathrm{h}$ for absonption of energy. Since we consider tem perature $T=0$ in the plots of $F$ igs. 3 , 4 , 因 the em ission lines at positive $!=E_{n_{r}}=h$ are frozen out. $T$ he in nitely shanp lines described by the -functions are, of course, not directly observable. A part from the intrinsic linew idths which we did not consider in this work, they m ust also be convoluted w th the experim ental resolution. H ow ever, in order to retain the full in form ation present in eq. 6.31), we prefer not to carry out such an anbitrary sm oothing. T he inelastic part of the structure function is seen to consist of several discrete quasi-continuous rotationalbands, roughly spaced
by $h!_{0}$. In the region of param eter space chosen here, these bands can be usefully labelled by the principalquantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ ' of the free trap, in term $s$ of $w$ hich the energy levels are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}=\mathrm{h}!_{0}(\mathrm{n}+3=2) \quad+\mathrm{h}!_{0 \mathrm{n}}(\Upsilon): \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathrm{n}\left({ }^{( }\right)=0$ were satis ed each band would collapse to a single shanp line. The sem iclassical selection rule 6.17) lim its the excited bands to the dom ain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\left(\mathrm{kd} \mathrm{~d}_{0}\right)^{4}+2 \mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}}{\mathrm{~h}!_{0}} \quad \frac{\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}: \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present case $\left(\mathrm{kd}_{0}\right)^{2} \gg 2 \mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}$ we $m$ ay sim plify this relation som ew hat by expanding the square root and using $R_{0}^{2}=2=h!0$ to obtain an energy interval of size for the excited quasi-particle states $w$ hich extends above the recoil energy $\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{E_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}}}{\mathrm{~h}!_{0}} \quad \frac{\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}: \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the local density approxim ation 22] the structure function is just a single continuous band in the sam e energy interval (and a corresponding band at negative energies related by detailed balance). It is not surprising that our treatm ent, being based on the discrete quasi-particle states, reveals considerably m ore structure, nam ely the bands and their discrete substructure. A s can be seen from 7. () the num ber ofbands in $F$ ig. 3 just gives the integer part of $=h!_{0}$. This rather direct physicalm easure of in units of $h!_{0}$ could be of practical experim ental interest. The ne structure of the rotationalbands is also determ ined by the sem iclassical selection rules 6.18) \{ 6.18). The rotational quantum number ' increases w thin each band of $F$ ig. 3 from left to right. The strongest line within each band corresponds to the $m$ axim um value $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $(\mathrm{n})$ of ' perm itted by eq. 6.18) for type $B \mathrm{~m}$ otion.

W e can com pare these results w ith sim ilar ones one may infer from F ig. 2 of ref. [14], where the quasi-continuous rotationalbands due to high-lying quasi-particle states were rst found. The results in 14] were obtained by a m uch sim pler approxim ation, not aim ing at achieving full self-consistency, using the excited state wave functions of the em pty trap, rather than the W K B wave functions whose use underlies the present Fig. 3. This im plies that $r()$ in eq. 6.12) is replaced by the free oscillator expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r}^{0}=p-{ }_{j=2}^{2}: \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course this leads to m uch simpler closed form ulas for $M_{n} ;^{\prime}(k) \mathcal{j}$ (eqs. (5), (6) of ref. [14]). H ow ever, with our present self-consistent treatm ent we are now $a b l e$ to assess the lim itations of the sim pler approxim ation. In fact, the result presented in $F$ ig. $\mathrm{Z}^{3}$ di ers in two im portant details from that of 14 :
(i) There is an overall shift of size of the energy w indow excited by the transferred wave num ber $k$, and (ii) there is a qualitative change in the dependence of the $w$ idth of the rotationalbands on the principal quantum num ber which, according to F ig. $\mathrm{B}^{3}$, becom m ore narrow as the principal quantum num ber increases, while the opposite behavior $w$ as found in 14]. B oth di erences have their origin in a change in the sem iclassical selection rules 6.16) \{ (6.18), which depend rather sensitively on approxim ations in the phases of the wave functions inside the condensate. T he rst shortcom ing of the approxim ation in 14 can be easily elim inated by using sim ply $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {osc }}=\mathrm{h}$ ! $0(\mathrm{n}+3=2$ ) rather than the free trap energies in the expressions for the free trap eigenfunctions. The second is not as easily avoided, how ever. It sim ply show s that the in uence of the interaction $w$ ith the condensate on the spatialdependence of the phase of the high lying states cannot be neglected as long as the widths $n$ of the rotationalbands given by $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}(0) \quad \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathrm{n})\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\operatorname{ax}}(\mathrm{n})+1=2={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\left(\mathrm{kd} \mathrm{~d}_{0}\right)^{4}=4+\left(k d_{0}\right)^{2}=\mathrm{h}!_{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{osc}}=\mathrm{h}!_{0}\right)^{2}{ }^{1=2} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are not them selves negligible. W e conclude that for a proper treatm ent of the rotationalbands the present treatm ent is indispensable.
$T$ he spectroscopic resolution of the quasi-continuous bands requires a resolution better than the widths $n$, which $m$ ay be di cult to attain in the frequency dom ain, but could be feasible in real-tim e experim ents extended over a tim e-scale of 10 periods $2=!_{0}$. In realtime the width $n$ would tum up ascollapse rate $n=n$ determ ining the dephasing of the band $w$ ith principalquantum num ber $n$. D ue to the discrete substructure of the bands this dephasing should in principle be reversible. H ow ever it appears unlikely that the strict phase coherence over a tim e-interval of the order of max $^{\prime}(n)=n_{n}$, required to see such a rephasing, could be achieved experim entally. For a discussion of collapses and revivals of low-lying collective m odes see 28] 29].

Let us now look at the dynam ical structure function also for sm aller m om entum transfer and for som ew hat larger condensates. In g. 4 we have chosen the param eters $\mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}=11: 24, \mathrm{kd}_{0}=3$ corresponding to, $\mathrm{N}_{0}=6000$, $=21$ for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and to $\mathrm{N}_{0}=12000,=7: 7$ for ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N} a$, if the sam $e$ choiges are m ade for 0 and as before. The changes in the scale in $g$. 4 in com parison $w$ ith $g$. 3 should be noted. In $g$. $\mathrm{A}^{\text {t }}$ we have joined spectral lines with equal principalquantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ 'by straight lines. $T$ his is usefull because the quantum num ber $n$ organizes the spectral lines according to $m$ ultiplets of di erent strengths. H ow ever, di erently to the case of high lying states, where $n$ labelled w ell-separated quasi-continuous bands, the di erent m ultiplets now overlap at least partially in their energy range. The strongest $m$ ultiplet in $g$. 4 corresponds to $n=9$, the next to $n=8$, and the third and weakest one show $n$ to $n=7$. There are actually still weaker lines $w$ ith $n \quad 6 w$ hich we have suppressed. All the lines satisfy the sem iclassical selection rule 621). It is plotted for this case in 9 . 5 in the (angular mom entum, energy)-plane, together w ith the sem iclassical energy levels. A $l l$ levels $w$ ithin the curved triangular section of the plane satisfy the selection rule. In this plot $m$ ultiplets of $x e d n=2 n_{r}+$ 'lie on lines roughly parallel to the upper border of the triangular shape, the higher lying lines corresponding to higher values of $n$. O $n$ this upper border the square-root in the denom inator of the expression 6.31 ) for $S^{(1)}(\mathrm{k} ;!)$ vanishes, leading to a scattering singularity, while on the low er border the nom inator of this expression vanishes, leading to a suppression of scattering. This observation explains qualitatively the dependence of the scattering cross-section on $n$. The multiplet $w$ ith $n=9$ consists only of the two lines for ' $=1, ~ '=3$. The rem aining $'=5, ~ '=7, ~ '=9 \mathrm{mem}$ bers of this m ultiplet have sm aller energy but lie above the triangular region in $g$. 5. This highest lying $m$ ultiplet of $g$. 司 is therefore rather narrow and well separated in energy from the other m ultiplets. It lies entirely above the chem icalpotential, i.e. its lines in 9.4 lie at $!=!_{0}<=h!0=5: 62 . W$ ith these features this $m$ ultiplet bears som e resem blance to the well-separated bands of g . 3. In contrast to this the two lower lying and weaker multiplets in $g$. A overlap com pletely with each other and extend over energy levels ranging from values larger to values sm aller than and already resem ble the low lying $m$ ultiplets extending dow $n$ to the hydrodynam ic regim e. This can be seen from $g$. 6 where we have chosen $R{ }_{0}^{2}=26: 2$, $\mathrm{kd}_{0}=2$ corresponding to $\mathrm{N}_{0}=50000,=14$ for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{0}=10^{5}$, $=5.2$ for ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a, again assum ing a trap of $0=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 0 -resonance scattering at wavelengths roughly equal to the respective D -lines. The multiplets are still organized according to their strengths by the principal quantum num ber $n$. H ow ever, now these m ultiplets are com pletely overlapping, much as the two low er lying ones in $g$. 4 . For the $m$ ultiplets show in g . $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{n}$ ranges from $n=8$ for the strongest $m$ ultiplet to $n=6$ for the weakest one show $n$ in the gure, but again we have suppressed in the plot still w eaker lines corresponding to $m$ ultiplets $w$ ith $s m$ aller values of $n$. T he dependence of the scattering cross-section on $n$, and w ithin a given multiplet on ', can be understood sim ilarly to our discussion of $g$. 4 by using the asym ptotic expression 6.38) for $S^{(1)}(k ;!)$. Taken together the plots $g$. Bi $g$. 6 show that a m easurem ent of $S(k ;!)$ over a range of scattering angles in principle can give a very detailed inform ation on the quasiparticle levels in any energy interval selected by the choice of $k$ according to eq. 621).

V III. C O N C LU S IO N S

In the present paper we have solved the B ogolubov equations for the elem entary excitations of a B ose-condensed gas of atom strapped in an isotropic harm onic potentialin W K B -approxim ation. The underlying classicaldynam ics is integrable in this case due to the rotationalsym $m$ etry. The quasi-particle energies in the trap have been obtained from a Bohr-Som $m$ erfeld quantization rule. C orrections to that rule due to the non-di erentiabilty of the condensate $w$ ave function in $T$ hom asFerm i approxim ation have been discussed in 15]. The $m$ ode-fiunctions, including the B ogoliubov am plitudes $v_{j}$, have been obtained in the classically allow ed regim e in the W K B -fom . O ur results interpolate betw een the low -energy regim eE = ! 0, where asym ptotically exact resultsw ithin the B ogoluubov approxim ation are available [9] and the high-energy regim e $=\mathrm{E}!0$, where perturbation theory can be used. A s an application we have given a self-consistent calculation of the fully resolved dynam ical structure function $S(k ;!)$, which could be m easured in - -resonant light scattering, in a large energy dom ain extending to allbut the low est lying levels.
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```
APPENDIX A:EVALUATION OF THEACTION INTEGRAL
```

H ere we sum $m$ arize the essential steps for the evaluation of the integral in eq. 4.36). T he integral is splitted into two parts, the rst part $I_{<}$taken over from < to 1 , the second part $I_{>}$taken over from 1 to >. Let us consider the evaluation of $I_{<}$rst. $T$ he substitution

$$
\begin{align*}
& =r \frac{2 t}{1 t^{2}} \\
d & =\frac{\left(1+t^{2}\right)}{\left(1 \quad t^{2}\right)^{3=2}\left(1 \quad t^{2} \quad 2 \quad t^{1}=2\right.} d t \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

transform $S I_{<}$into an integral over $t$ from

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=0 \text { to } t=t_{n a x}=\frac{\left({ }^{2}+j^{2}\right)+p \overline{1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}}}{+j}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A partial fraction expansion of the integrand results in the explicit form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(p \frac{1}{2}+1+\right.}{4^{2}}+\frac{1}{{ }^{2}+1}+\frac{p \frac{1}{2^{2}+1}}{4^{2}}+1 p \frac{1}{{ }^{2}+1}+1+t \\
& \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1}+\frac{2}{4^{2}} \frac{1}{1+t}+\frac{1}{2(1+t)^{2}} \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

A further substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{p \frac{p}{1+{ }^{2}+2 j^{2}}}{+j} \sin , \quad \frac{2+j^{2}}{+j} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and treating the curly bracket in eq. A3) term by term reduces the integral to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{<}=\frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{( } X^{3} C_{i} J_{>}\left(A_{1} ; a_{i} ; b_{1}\right)+C_{4} J_{<}\left(A_{1} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right) \\
&+C_{5} K_{<}\left(A_{1} ; a_{4} ; b_{1}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th the functions $J_{<}, J_{>}, K_{<}$de ned in eq. 4.37) $\{4.38$ ) and the param eters and coe cients given in eqs. (4.40) $\{$ 4.52).

Tuming to the second part $I_{>}$of $I_{r}$ we $m$ ay apply a sim ilar sequence of substitutions resulting in
which brings Is to the form

$$
I_{>}=\frac{h R_{0}^{2}}{} C_{6} J_{>}\left(A_{2} ; a_{5} ; b_{2}\right)
$$

$w$ ith the param eters and coe cients given in eqs. (4.40) $\left\{\left(4.52\right.\right.$ ). From $I_{r}=I_{<}+I_{>}$we obtain the result given in eq. 4.39).

H ere we sum $m$ arize the necessary steps in the evaluation of the integral in eq. 5.3). For type A m otion the radial period is smply $=!0$. To evaluate eq. 5.3) also for type $B \mathrm{~m}$ otion we introduce scaled variables like in eq. 4.4), and the integral is splitted in a part $I_{1}$ from < to 1 and a part $I_{2}$ from 1 to > .

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=\frac{1}{m!}^{Z} d P \frac{1}{{ }^{2}+\left(1 r^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{j^{2}}{2}+1} 2^{2+\frac{1}{2}+\left(1{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{B1}\\
& I_{2}=\frac{1}{m!0}_{1}^{Z} d \underset{+1 \frac{j^{2}}{2}{ }_{2}^{2}}{ } \quad \text { } \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

A series of substitutions am ounting to

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2=\frac{1 t^{2} t}{h p^{1} \frac{t^{2}}{1+2^{2}+2 j^{2}} \sin , \quad 2 \quad \jmath^{i}=\left(+\jmath^{2}\right)}  \tag{B3}\\
& t=
\end{align*}
$$

puts $I_{1}$ into the form
where $A_{1}$ is de ned in 4.40. The integral is now elem entary and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\frac{2}{2\left(^{2}+2 j^{2}\right)} \frac{}{m!_{0}} \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with given by eq. 5.5). H ere we used the addition theorem for arctan to sim plify the nal expression.


$$
\begin{equation*}
s{\frac{s}{\frac{+1}{2}}{ }^{2} \quad f \sin \prime}^{\prime} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces it to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}={\frac{1}{2 m!_{0}}}_{A_{2}}^{Z} d^{\prime} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{2}$ is de ned in eq. 4.41. A fter som e sim pli cation the result takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\frac{1}{2 m!_{0}} \frac{}{2} \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith given by eq. 5.5). C om bining the results B5), B8) with eq. 5.3) we obtain eq. (5.4).
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FIG.1. Regions of type A motion and type B motion in the scaled angular momentum -energy (j) -plane. In region D there is no low er tuming point of the radialm otion, i.e., in the sem iclassical quantization region $D$ is forbidden.

FIG.2. D i erence $=n$ (') of quasi-particle energy levels, in units of $h!0$, to the levels of the free trap. The chem ical potentialwaschosen to be $=4.27 \mathrm{~h}!0$.

FIG.3. D ynam ic structure function $S^{(1)}(k ;!)$ in units of $d_{0}=a h!0$ for $=h!0=4: 27, k d_{0}=15: 1$ as a function of ! in units of ! o. P lotted are the strengths of the $D$ irac-delta peaks.

FIG.4. D ynam ic structure function $S^{(1)}(k ;!)$ in units of $d_{0}=a h!0$ for $=h!0=5: 62, k d_{0}=3$ as a function of ! in units of ! o. P lotted are the strengths of the $D$ irac-delta peaks. P eaks $w$ ith equalprincipalquantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ 'are connected by straight lines, $w$ ith $n=9 ; 8 ; 7$ from top to bottom .

F IG . 5. Sem iclassical selection rule 621 and sem iclassical energy levels $E=E_{n_{r}}$, in the ('; $E$ )-plane for $=h!0=5: 62$, $\mathrm{kd}_{0}=3$.

FIG.6. D ynam ic structure function $S^{(1)}(k ;!)$ in units of $d_{0}=$ ah! 0 for $=h!0=13: 1, k d_{0}=2$ as a function of ! in units of ! o. Plotted are the strength s of the $D$ irac-delta peaks. Peaks $w$ ith equalprincipalquantum num ber $n=2 n_{r}+$ 'are connected by straight lines, w th $\mathrm{n}=8 ; 7 ; 6$ from top to bottom .







