# Q uasi-particle excitations and dynam ical structure function of trapped B ose-condensates in the W KB approximation

A ndras C sordas, R obert G raham<sup>y</sup>, and P eter Szepfalusy<sup>yy</sup> Research G roup for Statistical P hysics of the H ungarian A cademy of Sciences, M uzeum krt. 6{8, H-1088 Budapest, H ungary <sup>y</sup>Fachbereich P hysik, U niversitat-G esam thoch schule E ssen, 45117 E ssen, G em any Institute for Solid State P hysics, E otvos U niversity, M uzeum krt. 6{8, H-1088 Budapest, H ungary

<sup>YY</sup>R esearch Institute for Solid State Physics, P.O. Box 49, H {1525 Budapest, Hungary,

The B ogoliubov equations of the quasi-particle excitations in a weakly interacting trapped B osecondensate are solved in the W K B approximation in an isotropic harmonic trap, determining the discrete quasi-particle energies and wave functions by torus (B ohr-Sommerfeld) quantization of the integrable classical quasi-particle dynamics. The results are used to calculate the position and strengths of the peaks in the dynamic structure function which can be observed by o -resonance inelastic light-scattering.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The experimental realization of Bose-E instein condensates of weakly interacting alkali atom s conned by magnetic traps [14] has revived the interest in the properties of Bose-condensed systems [5], in particular in solutions of the Gross-P itaevski [6] equation in an external potential, describing the condensate, and of the Bogoliubov equations governing the quasi-particle excitations out of the spatially inhom ogeneous condensate [749]. Approximate spatially inhom ogeneous solutions of the Gross-P itaevski iequation have been obtained by a Graussian variational ansatz, which is appropriate form oderate size condensates, and by the Thom as-Ferm is proximation [10], which is very good for large condensates, except in a narrow region around the surface of the condensate. Similarly, solutions of the Bogoliubov equations for the bw -lying quasi-particle excitations have been constructed numerically [7], by a variational method [8], and in the hydrodynam ic lim it [9]. Such bw -lying states have recently been excited by resonant periodic variations in the trap parameters [11{13}, and good agreement with the theoretical predictions has been obtained.

Much less work has been done so far on the high lying excitations, where all the methods which have so far been used for the low lying ones are either in practicable or don't apply. Such high lying states are of experim ental interest because they are excited by o -resonance inelastic light scattering. In a previous paper [14] we have computed the high-lying quasi-particle energies and the am plitude of the inelastic peaks in the scattering function using the T hom as-Ferm i approximation and solving the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov equations in perturbation theory of rst order for the energies (with an estimate of the second order ones) but only to zero order in the wave functions. It is desirable to in prove on this highly sin pli ed approach by developing a self-consistent non-perturbative approach and extend the calculation to the whole energy region. It is our purpose, in the present paper, to present a non-perturbative method the WKB approximation for the solution of the Bogoliubov equations in a trap which allows us to interpolate between the hydrodynam ical and the high-energy regime, and to use it to calculate the energies and wave-functions of the quasi-particle states. In a previous brief report we have already presented som e results of our calculation of the high lying levels of the quasi-particles [15]. Here we shall apply our results to calculate the dynam ical structure function, which is of high interest, because it could be measured in o -resonance light scattering [16(18]. Calculations of the dynam ical structure function have previously been presented for the hom ogeneous ideal Bose gas [18,19] and for the hom ogeneous interacting B ose gas [20], which are how ever not directly applicable to the trapped condensates. Results have also been presented for the hydrodynamic limit of the structure function [21]. Very recently results for the dynam ical structure function in the local density approximation have been presented [22], However, due to the limitations of that approximation, the resulting structure function cannot show structure beyond the constraints im posed by the locally averaged energy and m om entum conservation.

The results we shall present here are applicable in a large enery dom ain ranging from low lying to high lying levels of the trapped condensates and retain the full information on the discrete nature of the quasi-particle levels. They are, how ever, restricted to the spatially isotropic case. In previous work [14] we have calculated the dynam ical structure function for the very high lying quasiparticle levels in isotropic trapped condensates in a simpler approximation, replacing the high lying states by free trap states. The more sophisticated non-perturbative calculation presented in the present paper shows, how ever, that the interaction of the high lying states with the condensate has a non-negligible in uence on the selection of the angular momentum of the states excited by light-scattering.

An essential and independent input for our W KB-calculations is the condensate wave-function, which must be obtained by solving the G ross-P itaevski equation. As we intend to carry out the W KB calculations analytically, as far as possible, we shall use here the main analytical approximation for large B ose-condensates with repulsive interaction, the Thom as-Ferm i approximation. The necessary results are collected in section II.

In section III. the W KB approximation for the Boguliubov equations in an isotropic harmonic trap is developed. It is implemented in section IV. by studying the classical quasi-particle dynamics, which is integrable in isotropic traps. For a discussion of the non-integrable quasi-particle dynamics in an isotropic traps see [23].

The reduced action is calculated as a function of energy and angularm om entum. In section IV .the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization of the energy levels of the classical quasi-particle dynamics is performed and the sem iclassical wave functions, properly normalized, are obtained in section V. The necessary integrals, though tedious, can all be done analytically.

In section VI. we present our results for the scattering function and in section VII some num erical examples are given. Our conclusions are summarized in the nal section VIII.

## II. BASIC EQUATIONS FOR CONDENSATE AND QUASI-PARTICLE EXCITATIONS

A necessary prerequisite of any calculation of the quasi-particle excitations is an appropriate description of the condensate. The relevant results are summarized in the present section. For weakly interacting atoms at zero temperature practically all particles are in the condensate and the energy E is a functional of the macroscopic condensate wave function  $_0(r)$ 

$$E (f_{0}g) = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} d^{2}r \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \dot{r}_{0}(r) \dot{f} + U(r) \dot{f}_{0}(r) \dot{f} + \frac{2 h^{2}a}{m} \dot{f}_{0}(r) \dot{f}$$
(2.1)

where the constraint

$$d^{3}rj_{0}(r)f = N_{0}$$
 (2.2)

must be imposed. U (r) is the trap potential. In our calculations we shall assume an isotropic harm onic trap

Ζ

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}m!_{0}^{2}r^{2}$$
(2.3)

for simplicity. The scattering length is assumed to be positive and is denoted by a. The number of atoms in the condensate is denoted by N<sub>0</sub>. We assume that the interaction is very weak and the temperature is close to zero, so that N<sub>0</sub> N. The minimization condition for E, introducing the chemical potential as a Lagrange multiplier to enforce the constraint (2.2), yields the Gross-Pitaevski equation [6]

$$\frac{h^2}{2m}r^2_{0} + U(r)_{0} + \frac{4h^2a}{m}j_{0}j_{0}^2 = 0 :$$
(2.4)

## A.Thom as-Ferm iapproxim ation

In the present paper we shall use as an analytical approximation for the minimum of (2.1) the Thomas Fermi approximation, which holds in the limit of large condensates N<sub>0</sub>a >> d<sub>0</sub>  $\frac{h}{m!_0}$ . In that limit it becomes a very good approximation to solve (2.4) by neglecting the kinetic energy term [10]

$$_{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{4 h^{2} a} ( U(\mathbf{r}) ) ( U(\mathbf{r}) )$$
 (2.5)

where must be determined as a function of N $_0$  by in posing the normalization condition (2.2), which yields

$$= \frac{h!_0}{2} (15N_0 a = d_0)^{2=5}$$
(2.6)

The conditions >> h!<sub>0</sub> and N<sub>0</sub>a >> d<sub>0</sub> are therefore equivalent. In eq. (2.5) (x) is the step function. The approximation eq. (2.5) with discontinuous derivative at  $r = r_0 = \frac{p}{2 - m!_0^2}$  is su cient, except in those cases where derivatives of the wave-function  $_0$  (r) for r close to  $r_0$  are important. In the latter case a boundary layer theory can be used to compute the condensate wave function close to the Thom as Ferm i radius [24], but we shall not need this improvement in the present paper.

## B.Quasi-particle excitations

Let us now turn to a Bose condensate with excitations and adopt a description in the Heisenberg picture, where the eld operator satis as the equation of motion

$$ih\frac{Q^{\prime}}{Qt} = \frac{h^2}{2m}r^{2} + U(r) + \frac{4h^2a}{m} + \cdot \cdot$$
(2.7)

For a weakly interacting Bose condensed gas the Bogoliubov approximation consists in splitting the total Bose eld operator  $^{(t)}$  into

$$(t) = (0 + (t))e^{it}$$
; (2.8)

where is the time-independent condensate wave function and  $\hat{}$  (t) describes the excitations from the condensate, and to linearize the eld equation for  $\hat{}$  (t)

$$ih\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{h^2}{2m}r^2 + U(r) + \frac{8h^2a}{m}j_0(r)j_1^2 + \frac{4h^2a}{m}_0(r)^{2^{+}} : \qquad (2.9)$$

T

The Bogoliubov transform ation

and its adjoint, with Bose operators j,  $j^{+}$  for the quasi-particle excitations and with the sum over j restricted to states with  $j \in 0$ , yields the Bogoliubov equations

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + U_{e}(r) E_{j} K(r) u_{j} = 0; \qquad (2.11)$$

$$K(r) \frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + U_{e}(r) + E_{j} V_{j}$$

T

where we used the abbreviations

$$E_{j} = h!_{j} ; U_{e} (r) = U (r) + \frac{8 h^{2}a}{m} j_{0} (r) j^{2}$$

$$K (r) = \frac{4 h^{2}a}{m} {}_{0} (r)^{2} : \qquad (2.12)$$

Eq. (2.11) is consistent with and its solutions must be chosen to satisfy the orthonorm ality conditions

$$d^{3}r(u_{j}u_{k} \quad v_{j}v_{k}) = j_{k}$$

$$Z$$

$$d^{3}r(u_{j}v_{k} \quad u_{k}v_{j}) = 0$$
(2.13)

in order to guarantee the Bose commutation relations of the j,  $\frac{1}{j}$ .

A form al solution of eq. (2.11) at zero energy  $E_j = 0$  is given by the condensate

$$u_j = v_j = {}_0(r)$$
;  $E_j = 0;$  (2.14)

but this solution is not normalizable in the required sense. For a harm onic trap U (r) =  $\frac{1}{2}m^{P} \sum_{i=1}^{3} ! \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}^{2}$  three linearly independent and correctly normalized exact solutions

$$u_{i} = b_{i}^{\dagger} _{0}(\mathbf{r})$$
;  $v_{i} = b_{i} _{0}(\mathbf{r})$ ;  $E_{i} = h!_{0i}$  (2.15)

always exist [25], where

$$b_{i} = \frac{r}{\frac{h}{2m!}_{0i}} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x_{i}} + \frac{r}{\frac{m!}{2h}} \frac{m!}{2h} x_{i} ; \quad b_{i}^{+} = (b_{i})^{+}$$
(2.16)

are the Bose operators for the harm onical oscillations in the trap.

W e shall here treat the WKB approximation for the case of an isotropic harmonic trap, where  $U_e$  (r) and K (r) depend only on the radial coordinate r.

Let us drop the index j for the time being and expand form ally in h

$$u = (a_0 (r) + ha_1 (r) + h^2 a_2 (r) + \dots e^{iS (r) = h} Y_{lm} (r)$$

$$v = (b_0 (r) + hb_1 (r) + h^2 b_2 (r) + \dots e^{iS (r) = h} Y_{lm} (r)$$
(3.1)

In Eq. (3.1) we shall treat  $U_e$  (r) and K (r) as being independent of h. The angular dependence is separated out by the spherical harm onics  $Y_{Im}$ . The angular momentum, denoted by J in the following, is then quantized in the usual way by  $J^2 = h^2 l(l+1)$ , which will be replaced in our W KB-treatment according to Langer's rule  $J^2 = h^2 (l+1=2)^2$ . Inserting the ansatz (3.1) into (2.11) we obtain up to order  $h^2$  the equations

$$L_{0} \frac{a_{0}}{b_{0}} = 0$$
 (3.2)

$$L_{0} \begin{array}{c} a_{1} \\ b_{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} L_{0} \\ b_{0} \end{array}$$
(3.3)

$$L_{0} \begin{array}{c} a_{2} \\ b_{2} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} L_{1} \\ b_{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_{1} \\ b_{2} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a_{0} \\ b_{1} \end{array} (3.4)$$

ī

with

$$L_{0} = \frac{\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{J^{2}}{2m r^{2}} + U_{e}(r)}{K(r)} = \frac{K(r)}{\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{J^{2}}{2m r^{2}} + U_{e}(r) + E}$$
(3.5)

$$L_{1} = \frac{i}{m} p_{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp_{r}}{dr} + \frac{p_{r}}{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.6)

$$L_{2} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.7)

where  $p_r = dS = dr$ .

The solvability condition of (32), the vanishing of the determ inant of  $L_0$ , gives the condition

$$\det(\mathbf{L}_{0}) = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{dS}{dr}^{2} + \frac{J^{2}}{2mr^{2}} + U_{e}(r) \qquad E^{2} \quad \mathbf{j}(r)^{2} = 0:$$
(3.8)

1

We can solve this equation for E, restricting ourselves to the branch E > 0, because the replacement E! E merely amounts to the renaming u! v, v! u. Then we obtain the time-independent H am ilton-Jacobi equation

$$H (dS=dr;r) = E$$
(3.9)

with

$$H (p_{r}; r) = \frac{\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{J^{2}}{2m r^{2}} + U_{e} (r)}{2} K (r)^{2}; \qquad (3.10)$$

This de nes the Ham iltonian for the classical quasi-particle dynam ics. Introducing the tim e-dependent action

$$W(r;t) = S(r) Et$$
 (3.11)

we may replace eq. (3.9) by the time-dependent Ham ilton Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + H \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}; r \right) = 0:$$
(3.12)

It follows from eq. (3.8) that with S also S is a solution of the H am ilton Jacobi equation (3.9). It is interesting to note that the classical quasi-particle dynam ics is integrable only in the special case of an isotropic harm onic trap. Even in the experim entally realized case of an anisotropic axially sym m etric trap the classical quasi-particle dynam ics turns out to be nonintegrable, in general. A detailed investigation is given in [23]. In this case a sem i-classical quantization of the quasi-particle dynam ics requires the m ethods introduced in the ekd of quantum chaos, such as sem iclassical quantization based on periodic orbits, or even replacing the quasi-particle spectrum and wave-functions by results based on random m atrix theory.

A fler satisfying eq. (3.8) the general solution of eq. (3.2) can be written in the form

where  $a_0$  (r) is still arbitrary.

 $\rm L_{0}$  is not invertible. The solvability condition of eq. (3.3) is that its inhomogeneity is orthogonal to the kernel of  $\rm L_{0}$ 

$$(a_0; b_0) L_1 = \begin{cases} a_0 \\ b_0 \end{cases} = 0:$$
 (3.14)

Evaluating this condition for  $a_0(r) \in 0$  we obtain after som e rearrangem ents a classical transport equation for  $a_0$ ,

$$\frac{dS}{dr}\frac{d}{dr}\ln \dot{p}_{0}(r)\dot{f} 1 + (1 + E^{2} = K(r)\dot{f} = E = K(r)\dot{f} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{dS}{dr} = 0; \qquad (3.15)$$

which can be rewritten as a continuity equation

$$\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{d}{dr} r^{2}ja_{0}(r)j^{2} 1 + \frac{p}{1+E^{2}=j(r)j^{2}} E=j(r)j^{2} \frac{dS}{dr} = 0: \qquad (3.16)$$

The physical meaning of this result as classical conservation law for the quasi-particle current becomes clear if we rewrite it once more as

$$\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{d}{dr} r^{2} \dot{p}_{0}(r) \dot{f} \dot{p}(r) \dot{f} v_{r}(r) = 0; \qquad (3.17)$$

where we used the identities

$$v_{r}(r) \qquad \frac{\theta}{\theta p_{r}} H(p_{r}; r) = \frac{P}{p_{r} = dS = dr} = \frac{P}{E} \frac{E^{2} + K(r)f}{E} \frac{1}{m} \frac{dS}{dr} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{Kf}{Kf} \frac{f}{C} \frac{P}{E^{2} + Kf} \frac{Ef}{E^{2} + Kf} \frac{dS}{dr}$$
(3.18)

to express dS=dr in terms of the radial component  $v_r(r)$  of the quasi-particle velocity eld. The general solution of eq. (3.17) can therefore be written as

$$\dot{a}_0 f = \frac{\cos t}{r^2 v_r (r)}$$
 (3.19)

In principle, this procedure can be continued. Having satis ed the solvability condition for eq. (3.3) we can determ ine its general solution in the form

$$a_{1} = a_{1}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad 1 \qquad E = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{p} \frac{1}{1 + E^{2} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{j}^{2}} + \mathbf{0} \qquad (3.20)$$

Here the rst term is the general solution of the hom ogeneous equation whose still arbitrary amplitude  $a_1$  (r) must be determined from the solvability condition of eq. (3.4)

$$(a_0; b_0) \quad L_1 \quad \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ b_1 \end{array} + L_0 \quad \begin{array}{c} a_0 \\ b_0 \end{array} = 0:$$
 (3.21)

The second term in eq. (3.20) is a particular solution of eq. (3.3), which we choose with vanishing am plitude  $a_1^{part}(r) = 0$  in order to dene the rst term in eq. (3.20) in an unambiguous way. As we shall not need the explicit form of  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$  we shall here not work it out from eqs. (3.20), (3.21).

## IV. SEM IC LASSICAL QUASI-PARTICLE ENERGIES IN ISOTROPIC HARMONIC TRAPS

For the radial canonical momentum as a function of rwe obtain from (3.10)

$$p_{\rm r} = \frac{p_{\rm m}}{2m} \frac{r}{p_{\rm m}} \frac{J^2}{E^2 + K^2(r)} \frac{J^2}{2m r^2} = U_{\rm e}(r) :$$
(4.1)

The sem i-classical quantization can now be performed in a straightforward manner by putting for the angular momentum

$$J = J_{1} = h(1 + 1 = 2)$$
(4.2)

and for the radial action variable

$$I_{r} (E; J) = \frac{1}{r_{c}} \sum_{r_{c}}^{Z} p_{r} (E; J; r) dr = h (n_{r} + 1 = 2) :$$
(4.3)

Here ',  $n_r$  are the integer angular momentum and radial quantum number, respectively. We used Langer's rule' [26] of sem i-classical angular momentum quantization by including 1=2 on the right hand side of (4.2), and took into account a phase-shift of =4 in the wave function at the classical turning points  $r_c$ ,  $r_b$  of the radial motion by including 1=2 on the right hand side of (4.3).

For the T hom as Ferm inpproximation of the condensate the radial integral in eq. (4.3) can be performed analytically, even though the resulting expressions become rather lengthy. In this manner an implicit analytical formula for the energy levels in W K B approximation is obtained. Numerically solving the implicit expression for E for dimensional trade of  $n_r$ , 'we obtain the energy levels E  $n_{r,1}$  in W K B approximation.

## A.Types of m otion

In Thom as Ferm i approximation (2.5) we have

$$U_{e}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{2}!_{0}^{2}r^{2}$$
  

$$K^{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{2}!_{0}^{2}r^{2} \frac{m}{2}!_{0}^{2}r^{2} \qquad (4.4)$$

where

$$= \frac{h!_{0}}{2}R_{0}^{2} ;$$

$$R_{0} = \frac{15N_{0}a}{d_{0}}^{1=5} = \frac{r_{0}}{d_{0}}; \quad d_{0} = \frac{r}{\frac{h}{m!_{0}}}; \quad (4.5)$$

The Thom as Ferm is approximation requires  $R_0^2 >> 1$  for consistency. Let us introduce scaled variables and parameters by

$$= (r=d_0R_0) ; = E = ; j = (J=hR_0^2) ; r = p_r (d_0=hR_0) :$$
(4.6)

Then eq. (4.1) becomes

$$r = \frac{q}{p} \frac{p}{(2+(1-2)^2)(1-1)} \frac{q}{j} = 2 \frac{q}{j} \frac{q}{j} \frac{q}{2} \frac{q}{j}$$
(4.7)

In order to nd the turning points <, > we have to look for the solutions of  $_r = 0$ . i) D om ain < 1: Turning points in this region have to satisfy

$${}^{2}_{<} = \frac{j^{2}}{2+2j^{2}} + p \frac{p}{1+2+2j^{2}} :$$
 (4.8)

The negative root is dism issed because only real and positive solutions are physical. The remaining solution (4.8) turns out to be a lower boundary of the -values for which r is real. The condition

$$\frac{2}{<} < 1$$
 (type B m otion) (4.9)

is only ful lled if

$$> \hat{f}$$
 (type B m otion): (4.10)

We shall denote this motion as being of type B.As there is only a lower turning point < within the region < 1, the upper turning point must lie in the region > 1, i.e. for type B motion the quasi-particle leaves and reenters the condensate during each radial period.

ii) Domain > 1: Turning points in this region must satisfy

$$= \frac{1}{2}(+1) \frac{1^{p}}{2} (+1)^{p} \frac{4^{p}}{2} :$$
 (4.11)

They exist if and only if

where we recall that is restricted to > 0 by de nition. The lower turning point given by eq. (4.11) exists only if it satisfies

$$\frac{2}{c} > 1$$
 (type A motion) (4.13)

in which case the classical quasi-particle dynamics is entirely con ned to the region outside the condensate. It is then simply the motion in the harm onic potential of the trap. We call this motion being of type A. It requires the conditions

> 1 ; 
$$j$$
 > > 2 j 1 (type A motion) (4.14)

all to be satis ed, see g.1.

If the turning point < does not exist in the region > 1, then the turning point < must occur for < 1, i.e. the only alternative of type A motion is motion of type B satisfying eqs. (4.9), (4.10). The upper turning point of both types of motion lies in the region > 1 and is given by

$$_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(1+1) + \frac{1^{p}}{2} + \frac{1^{p}}{(1+1)^{2}} + \frac{4^{p}}{3}$$
 (type A, B motion): (4.15)

It is easily checked that  $\frac{2}{2} > 1$  for both types of motion. In g.1 the parts of the angular momentum | energy plane accessible to the two types of motion are shown. Let us now proceed to evaluate the radial action of both types of motion and quantize.

B.A sym ptotic lim it of a large condensate R $_0$ ! 1

The integral to be evaluated is

$$I_r = \frac{hR_0^2}{r^2} r^2$$
, rd : (4.16)

In order to obtain simple closed expressions we rst study the case of a large condensate for which  $R_0 ! 1$ , while we keep the energy E and angular momentum J of the quasi-particle xed, i.e. the scaled quantities

$$=\frac{2E}{h!_{0}}\frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}} ; j = \frac{+1=2}{R_{0}^{2}}$$
(4.17)

are both  $0(R_0^2)$  in that lim it. It follows that the necessary condition for type A motion  $\frac{2}{3}$  (i.e. motion which remains outside the condensate) cannot be fullled in this lim it, and therefore only type B motion can occur. In the present lim it we have asymptotically

< 
$$r = \frac{h!_{0}(1+1=2)}{2E^{2} + (h!_{0})^{2}(1+1=2)^{2}}$$
;  $r = r = \frac{p}{1+1}$  (4.18)

$$r' (1) \frac{1}{R_0^2} \frac{2E^2}{h^2!_0^2} \frac{1}{(1^2)} \frac{(+1=2)^2}{2} + (1) \frac{p}{(1^2)} \frac{1}{(1^2)} \frac{(+1=2)^2}{2}$$
(4.19)

The integral

$$I_{>} = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{\prod_{1}^{2}} \frac{\sum_{1}^{p} \frac{1}{1+p}}{1+p} \frac{1}{1+p} \frac{1}{1+p} d$$
(4.20)

can be performed asymptotically for ! 0 with the result

$$I_{>} = \frac{h}{3} \frac{1}{R_{0}} \frac{2E}{h!_{0}} \stackrel{3=2}{!} 0:$$
 (4.21)

The contribution of the part of the type B m otion outside the condensate to the total radial action therefore becomes negligible  $0(R_0^{-1})$  as  $R_0 ! 1 \cdot The 0(1)$ -part of the action is therefore given by

$$I_{r} , \frac{h}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2} \frac{1}{h^{2}!_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{(1-2)} \frac{(1+\frac{1}{2})^{2}}{(1-2)} d : \qquad (4.22)$$

The substitution

$${}^{2} = 1 \quad \frac{E^{2}}{E^{2} + (1 + 1 = 2)^{2} (h!_{0})^{2} = 2} \sin^{2} \prime$$
(4.23)

reduces the integral to a rational function of sin ' and we obtain after integration

$$I_{r} = \frac{h}{2} \frac{p}{2(E=h!_{0})^{2} + (1+1=2)^{2}} (1+1=2) + 0 \frac{1}{R_{0}} :$$
 (4.24)

From the sem i-classical quantization rule  $I_r = h(n_r + 1=2)$  we obtain the energy levels

$$E_{n_r} = h!_0 (2n_r^2 + 2n_r' + 3n_r + ' + 1)^{1=2} + 0 \frac{1}{R_0}$$
 (4.25)

This result is remarkably close to the hydrodynam ic result

$$E_{n_r}^{hyd} = h!_0 (2n_r^2 + 2n_r' + 3n_r + ')^{1=2}$$
(4.26)

derived by Stringari [9] for the low lying levels in the hydrodynam ic regime. In that regime the WKB-method is not applicable, but for energies  $E_{n_r}$ , >> h!<sub>0</sub> the agreem ent between (4.25) and (4.26) becomes very good. Thus we nd that there is a common regime of applicability of both the hydrodynam ic approximation and the WKB approximation, where the energy is su ciently large to apply the WKB approximation but not too large to invalidate the hydrodynam ic approach.

## C.Large-energy lim it

For type A motion the classical particle never enters the condensate and the Bohr-quantization (4.3) leads to

$$E_{n_r} = h!_0 (2n_r + + 3=2) \qquad E_h^{osc}$$
: (4.27)

which depends only on the pricipal quantum number  $n = 2n_r + \frac{1}{2}$  (see equation (4.35) below). However, for type B motion, i.e.,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $E_{n_r}$ , diers from  $E_{n_r}^{osc}$ .

$$E_{n_{r}} = E_{n}^{osc} + h!_{0 n'}$$
(4.28)

The shift n' lifting the degeneracy of the free oscillator levels is expected to be small in the large-energy limit  $E_{n_r}$ , and can be calculated in the following way:

For type B motion (4.3) can be written as

$$n_{r} + 1 = 2 = \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} + \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}} d^{2} + \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{2} + \frac{R_{0}^$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ - \end{pmatrix}$  and  $_{>}$  are the turning points for () =  $p = \frac{p}{2} + (1 - \frac{2}{2})$ , i.e., for the free oscillator:

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac$$

The second integral in (4.29) is trivial and using (4.28) eq. (4.29) can be written as

$$n (') = \frac{2R_0^2}{2R_0^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{p} \frac{1}{2} + (1 - 2)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2} = 2 - (1 - 2)$$

$$\frac{2R_0^2}{2R_0^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^{p} \frac{1}{2R_0^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{2} = 2 + (1 - 2); \qquad (4.31)$$

For type B motion  $j^2$ , thus in the present limit ! 1 and a  $2\frac{1}{2}$  is kept xed (0 a 1). Our small parameter is 1= . In this limit the two integrals in leading order cancel each other. With the replacement  $2^2 = 1$  z the next to leading approximation gives

$$_{n} (') = \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{P} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}a} dz \frac{z}{1 - z} = \frac{4R_{0}^{2}}{3} (1 - a)^{3-2} :$$
 (4.32)

The shift is clearly tending to zero in the large energy lim it, thus one can replace the unscaled energy  $E_{n_r}$ , with  $E_n^{osc}$  on the right hand side of eq. (4.32):

$$_{n}(') = \frac{1}{3} \frac{(4 = h!_{0})(n + 3 = 2 = h!_{0}) (' + 1 = 2)^{2}}{[n + 3 = 2 = h!_{0}]^{2}};$$
(4.33)

which is the asymptotics of the shift in the large energy lim it. This is the result presented in [15]. For large values of  $n = 2n_r + 2$  it agrees with the perturbative result of [14].

## D.Radialaction in the case of a nite condensate

W e now evaluate the radial action for nite R $_0$ . The two types of motion must be considered separately. Type A motion:

This type of motion requires 1 2j 1  $^2j$  Its radial action is that of the free harm onic trap and easily obtained as

$$I_{r}(;j) = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{2} \xrightarrow{2} \frac{d}{2} p - \frac{d}{4} + \frac{2}{2}(+1) \frac{2}{2} = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{2} - \frac{+1}{2} j$$
(4.34)

where  $_{>}$ ,  $_{<}$  are given by the two roots (4.11). Quantizing according to eqs. (4.2), (4.3) and solving for the energy levels we obtain

$$E_{n_r} = h!_0 (2n_r + '+ 3=2)$$
(4.35)

i.e. the result which is obtained for the free trap, shifted by the change of the ground state energy due to the condensate.

Type B m otion:

For this type of m otion we have  $j^2 < \ldots$ . The radial action is given by the integral

-

$$I_{r}(;j) = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{d}^{2} d^{2} + (1 ^{2})^{2} (1 )^{1=2} \frac{j^{2}}{2} j^{2} j^{1=2}$$
(4.36)

where  $\langle , \rangle$  are given by eqs. (4.8) and (4.15), respectively.

The integral can be performed in terms of elementary functions. We give a summary of the necessary steps in the appendix. The result is most succinctly expressed in terms of the functions

$$\sum_{A}^{Z} = \frac{\cos^{2} \prime}{a + b \sin^{\prime}} d' = \int_{A} (A;a;b) \qquad a^{2} > b^{2}$$

$$J_{<} (A;a;b) \qquad a^{2} < b^{2}$$
(4.37)

$$\frac{Z}{a} = \frac{\cos^2 \prime}{(a + b \sin \prime)^2} d' = \frac{K_{>} (A; a; b)}{K_{<} (A; a; b)} = \frac{a^2 > b^2}{a^2 < b^2}$$
(4.38)

which can be written and program med explicitely in term sofelem entary functions. The radial action is then obtained in the form

$$I_{r}(;j) = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}J_{2}} (A_{1};a_{i};b_{1}) + C_{4}J_{4}(A_{1};a_{4};b_{1}) + C_{5}K_{4}(A_{1};a_{4};b_{1}) + C_{6}J_{2}(A_{2};a_{5};b_{2})$$

$$(4.39)$$

with the parameters

$$A_{1} = \arcsin \frac{p}{1 + 2^{2} + 2j^{2}}$$
(4.40)

$$A_2 = \arcsin \frac{1}{(+1)^2 - 4\frac{2}{3}}$$
 (4.41)

$$b_{1} = \int_{s}^{p} \frac{1 + 2 + 2j^{2}}{1 + 2j^{2}}$$
(4.42)

$$b_2 = \frac{+1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3}$$
 (4.43)

$$a_{1,2} = (+\frac{2}{3})^{p} \frac{1}{2} + 1 + j^{2} (1)$$
(4.44)

$$a_3 = 22f$$
 (4.45)

$$a_4 = (4.47)$$
  
 $a_5 = -\frac{+1}{--}$  (4.47)

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2} \tag{4.47}$$

and the coe cients

$$C_{1,2} = \frac{1}{4} \quad 1 \qquad \qquad p - \frac{(1 + 2 + 2j^2)}{p - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{3}}$$
(4.48)

$$C_{3} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{{}^{2} (1 + {}^{2} + 2j^{2})}{p + 2j^{2}}$$
(4.49)

$$C_{4} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \qquad 2 \right) \frac{\left(1 + \frac{2}{7} + 2j^{2}\right)}{p + \frac{2}{7}}$$
(4.50)

$$C_{5} = \frac{1^{p}}{2} + \frac{2^{2}}{3} (1 + 2 + 2j^{2})$$
(4.51)

$$C_{6} = \frac{1}{8}(+1)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}j^{2}; \qquad (4.52)$$

The sem iclassical approximations for the quasi-particle excitation energies are now obtained by solving the equation

$$I_{r} = \frac{2E}{h!_{0}R_{0}^{2}}; \frac{+1=2}{R_{0}^{2}} = h(n_{r} + 1=2):$$
(4.53)

for E. This last step cannot be done analytically, but it is easily accomplished numerically.

## V.SEM ICLASSICAL WAVE FUNCTIONS

For purely radial dynam ics eq. (3.16) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} a_0^2(r) r^2 + (\frac{p}{1 + E^2 = K^2} = E = K)^2 p_r = 0$$
(5.1)

which is easily solved, putting [:::] = const in eq. (5.1). Inserting the result in eq. (3.13) we obtain the prefactors of the sem iclassical wave function to lowest order. The exponential factors exp( iS=h) in (3.1) are combined to satisfy the usual matching conditions on the lower turning point. A fler some rearrangement in the prefactors we obtain

$$u_{n_{r} lm}(r) = \frac{C_{0}}{2r} p \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{E^{2} + K^{2} + K} + p \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{E^{2} + K^{2} - K} + \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{E^{2} + K^{2} - K} + \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{E^{2} + K^{2} - K} + \frac{1}{E^{2} + K} + \frac{1}{E^{2} + K^{2} - K} + \frac{1}{E^{2} + K} + \frac{1}{E^{2} +$$

where  $p_r(r)$ , K<sup>2</sup>(r), are de ned in eqs. (4.1), (4.4), and  $r_{<} = {}_{<} d_0 R_0$  given by eq. (4.8) for type B motion or (4.11) for type A motion. The normalization condition (2.13) serves to determ ine the constant C<sub>0</sub> by the integral

$$1 = \frac{C_0^2}{2} \int_{r_{<}}^{2} dr \frac{E}{E^2 + K^2} \frac{1}{p_r(r)j} = \frac{C_0^2}{4m} T_r$$
(5.3)

where  $T_r$  is the period of the radial motion, and  $r_s = {}_{>} d_0 R_0$  is defined by eq. (4.15). To obtain eq. (5.3) we have respirited the normalization integral to the classically allowed domain and replaced the rapidly oscillating  $\sin^2 (h^{-1} r_p r dr + = 4)$  by its average 1/2. Furthermore we used the identities (3.18) for the radial velocity.

## A.Generalcase

The integral in eq. (5.3) can be carried out explicitly. The necessary steps are sum marized in Appendix B.W e obtain

1

$$C_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4m!_{0}}{2} & type A m otion \\ \frac{4m!_{0}}{2} + 4 (2^{2} + 4j^{2})^{-1 = 2} & type B m otion \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.4)

with

$$= \arctan \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{p} \frac{j}{2}$$
  
=  $\arctan \frac{p}{2(2+2j^2)} \frac{+(2+2j^2+p)}{(2+2j^2+p)} \frac{p}{1+(2+2j^2)} \frac{m}{1+(2+2j^2)} \frac{m}{1+(2+2j$ 

U sing these results it can be shown that for type B-m otion the wave-functions for large energy tend to those of the free harm onic trap.

#### B.Large condensate

The result (5.4) simplies if we consider the lim it  $R_0 ! 1$ , keeping

$$E = \frac{1}{2}h!_{0}R_{0}^{2} ; + 1=2 = R_{0}^{2}j$$
(5.6)

xed. Type A motion disappears in that lim it and for type B motion we obtain

$$C_0^2 = \frac{2m!_0}{2 + h^2!_0^2} (+ 1=2)^2 = E^2$$
: (5.7)

The correctly norm alized wave functions (5.2) can now be used to evaluate matrix elements in the sem iclassical limit. This will be done in the next section to calculate the cross section for inelastic light scattering by which a quasi-particle is created from the condensate.

## VI.SEM IC LASSICAL STRUCTURE FUNCTION

To introduce the dynam ical structure function let us nst consider the di erential cross-section for the o -resonant scattering of light from the trapped gas. Light with incoming wave-vector and frequency  $q_L$ ;  $l_L$  is scattered into an outgoing eld with  $q_L^0 = q_L$  k;  $l_L^0 = l_L$  ! with  $l < l_L$ . The scattering angle between  $q_L^0$  and  $q_L$  is related to k by the usual kinematical relation  $ck = 2l_L \sin(l = 2)$  which implies the allowed interval  $0 < k < 2l_L = c$ . The permitted interval for the transferred frequency ! can be estimated from the corresponding relation for free atoms  $0 < l < (2h) l_L^2 = m c^2$ . Let now the di erential cross-section for the o -resonant light scattering from a single atom in its ground state be given by  $(d = d)_{At}$ . Then the spectral distribution of the di erential cross-section for light scattering o the trapped atom ic gas is given by [18,19]

$$\frac{d}{d d(h!)} = \frac{d}{d} S(k;!):$$
(6.1)

The dynamical structure function S(k;!) is the Fourier transform of the density | density correlation function and de ned as the therm all expectation value

$$S(k;!) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=0}^{X} e^{-E} j_{1} j_{1} k) j_{1} j_{2} (h! + E = E_{0}):$$
(6.2)

Here j i and E are energy eigenstates and levels of the system, and

$$(k) = d^{3}r^{+}(r)e^{-ik r}(r)$$
(6.3)

is the operator of the density uctuation with wave vector k.

In the present case S (k; !) assumes the form

$$S(k;!) = S_{0}(k) (h!) + \stackrel{X_{0}}{S_{i}(k)} (h! + E_{i}) + e^{E_{i}} (h! E_{i}) + \stackrel{i}{E_{i}} (h! E_{i}) + \stackrel{i}{E_{i}} (h! + E_{i} + E_{j}) + e^{(E_{i} + E_{j})} (h! E_{i} E_{j}) + \stackrel{i}{E_{i}} (h! E_{i} + E_{j}) ;$$

$$(6.4)$$

where the sum s<sup> $P_0$ </sup> run over the quasi-particle states with  $E_i \in 0$ . The rst term in eq. (6.4) describes coherent elastic scattering, and  $S_0(k)$  is simply the square of the Fourier transform of the density

$$Z \qquad ( )_{2}$$

$$S_{0}(k) = d^{3}re^{ik} j_{0}(r)f + jv_{i}(r)f + ju_{i}f + jv_{i}f e^{h!i} 1^{1} : (6.5)$$

Because  $_0 / \frac{p_{N_0}}{N_0}$  this is by far the dom inant contribution in S (k;!). This contribution of the scattered light, by its interference with the non-scattered light, is used in the phase-contrast in aging of the condensates [27]. The part

 $\int_{1}^{r} \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{j}$  gives the num ber density of particles outside the condensate at tem perature T = 0 due to the interaction. It can be easily seen from eq. (5.2) that  $\dot{\mathbf{y}}_{i}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{j}$  vanishes for  $\mathbf{r} > r_{0}$ . For weak interaction this num ber density is much smaller than  $N_{0} = r_{0}^{3}$ .

The second term in eq. (6.4) describes the creation of a quasi-particle from the condensate. The matrix element for this process is

$$M_{i}(k) = d^{3}re^{ik} (r) (u_{i}(r)) (u_{i}(r))$$
(6.6)

and

$$S_{i}(k) = M_{i}(k) J_{j}(n_{i}+1); \quad n_{i} = \frac{1}{e^{E_{i}}}$$
  
(6.7)

The other quantities in (6.4) are:

$$M_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = \frac{Z}{d^{3}ru_{i}v_{i}e^{ik}} M_{ij}^{(2)}(k) = \frac{Z}{d^{3}r(u_{i}u_{j} + v_{i}v_{j})e^{ik}} S_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(1)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(2)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(2)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(2)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(1)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(2)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(1)}(k)^{2} M_{ij}^{(1)}(k) = M_{ij}^{(1)$$

Here  $S_{ij}^{(1)}$  is proportional to the cross-section for the absorption of an energy h! by creating a pair of quasi-particles with energies  $E_i; E_j$  from the condensate. The cross-section for the time-reversed process is smaller by a factor  $e^{-(E_i+E_j)}$  due to detailed balance. Similarly  $S_{ij}^{(2)}$  ( $E_i - E_j$ ) describes the cross-section for absorbing an energy h! by converting a quasi-particle of energy  $E_j$  into one with energy  $E_i$ . Both  $S_{ij}^{(1)}$  and  $S_{ij}^{(2)}$  give rise to a faint and broad spectral background in the scattering. In the following we shall therefore content ourselves with the evaluation of  $M_i(k) j^2$ .

## A.Generalcase

Here we shall evaluate the matrix element (6.6) in the sem iclassical limit. It is clear that only type B motion can contribute, because otherwise  $_0$  and  $(u_i \quad v_i)$  don't overlap. The condensate wave function is given by eq. (2.5). The amplitude  $(u_i \quad v_i)$  is obtained from eq. (5.2), and e <sup>ik</sup> <sup>r</sup>, where k in z-direction in sem iclassical approximation is expanded as

$$e^{ikz} = \frac{x^{d}}{\sum_{r=0}^{r} (i)^{2} \frac{2}{r}} \frac{r}{\frac{(2^{r}+1)}{k}} \frac{r}{\frac{r}{k^{2}}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}+1=2}{r}} \frac{1}{\frac{r}{k^{2}}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}+1=2}{r}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}}{k^{2}}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}+1=2}{r}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}}{k^{2}}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}+1=2}{r}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}}{k^{2}}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}+1=2}{r}} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{r}}{k^{2}}} \frac{$$

The integral (6.6) is to be taken over the angles ; selecting the angular momentum quantum number from the sum in (6.5) and over  $r^2 dr$  from  $r = r_c$  to  $r = r_0$ . This radial integral is of the general form

$$Z_{r_{0}} dr f (r) \cos' (r) ' \frac{2}{j'^{(0)}(z_{0})j} f (z_{0}) \cos' (z_{0}) + sgn ('^{(0)}(z_{0})) \frac{1}{4}$$
(6.10)

where the evaluation is done in the stationary phase approximation and  $z_0$  is deneed as solution of  $'^0(z_0) = 0$  in the interval  $r_< < z_0 < r_0$ . Before writing the integral explicitly we introduce scaled parameters and variables as before and in addition

$$k = R_0 = d_0$$
;  $C_0 = m!_0C$ : (6.11)

Then, neglecting a rapidly oscillating term without stationary phase in the interval  $r_{<} < r < r_{0}$ , the amplitude can be written as

$$M_{n_{r}} = m_{0}(i), \frac{C}{R_{0}^{2}} = \frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{15N_{0}(2^{\prime}+1)}{8}} \frac{Z_{1}}{max(j=; <)} d \frac{1 + p \frac{1^{2}}{2 + (1^{2})^{2}}}{\frac{1}{2} + (1^{2})^{2}}$$

$$p \frac{1}{p \frac{1^{2}}{2}} \frac{p}{\frac{1}{r(1)}} \cos R_{0}^{2} d_{r}^{0}(0) R_{0}^{2} d_{w}^{0}(0) : \qquad (6.12)$$

with r () given by eq. (4.7) and

$$_{w}() = \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{j^{2}} \frac{p}{j^{2}}$$
 (6.13)

The stationary point  $z_0$  is determined by

$$r(z_0) = v(z_0)$$
 (6.14)

which is solved by

$$z_0 = \frac{r}{\frac{4+2^2}{2^2}} \frac{(6.15)}{(6.15)}$$

Now we have to exam ine under which conditions  $z_0$  lies in the required interval: i) The condition for  $_0$ , u = v, and  $e^{ikz}$  to overlap are

ii)  $z_0$  satis es 0  $z_0^2$  1 if

$$^{4} + 2^{2} ^{2} ^{2} ^{4}$$
 (6.17)

iii)  $z_0$  satis es the lower bound  $j=z_0$  if

$$2^{4} + 2^{2} 2^{2}$$
 (6.18)

which is stronger than the rst inequality (6.17) if the second inequality (6.17) holds. iv)  $z_0$  satisfies the lower bound  $< z_0$  if

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + 2 + 2j^2} + \frac{2(4 + 2^2 - 2j^2 - 2) + 2j^2 + 2j^2$$

It follows from (6.18) that the right hand side of (6.19) is positive. Therefore both sides of (6.19) can be squared without changing the inequality, and we obtain after some rearrangements instead of (6.19)

$$j^{2} + \frac{2}{2^{2}} + \frac{2}{2$$

The term s inside the brackets [:::] on both sides of (6.20) are positive, by virtue of eq. (6.17). Therefore we may take the square root of both sides of eq. (6.20), to obtain after some more rearrangements, again the inequality (6.18). Thus, eq. (6.19) leads to no additional condition if inequalities (6.16) { (6.18) are satistical selection rules for the creation of quasi-particles from the condensate. For later reference it is usefull to rewrite the most restrictive of these inequalities in dimensional quantities as follows

$$\frac{h^2 k^2}{2m} = E_{n_r}, \qquad \frac{h^2 k^2}{2m} + \frac{h^2 k^2}{m} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 (h!_0)^2 : \qquad (6.21)$$

A num erical example for this selection rule is shown in Fig. 5. Next we need to determ ine  $_{w}(z_{0}) = _{r}(z_{0})$  and obtain

$$_{w}(z_{0}) = \frac{r}{\frac{4+2^{2}-2}{4+2^{2}-2}} \frac{2r}{2}; \qquad (6.22)$$

W e also need the sign of

$$\mathbf{r}^{(0)}(z_0) = R_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ w \end{pmatrix} = R_0^2 \frac{2^2}{2 + 4} \mathfrak{P} \frac{4 + 2^2}{2(4 + 2^2)^2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2}$$
(6.23)

which is evaluated to be positive. We can now apply the formula (6.9) to (6.11) and obtain

$$M_{n_{r}} lm (k) = (i)_{m0} \frac{C}{2} \frac{C}{3R_{0}^{3}} \frac{15 N_{0} (2^{+} 1)^{p_{2}}}{8} \frac{p_{4}}{P_{4}} \frac{p_{4}}{4 + 2^{2}} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{3} \cos t + \frac{1}{4}$$
(6.24)

where the phase '

$$' = '_1 + '_2$$
 (6.25)

is given by the integrals

Integrals of this kind had already to be evaluated when calculating the action  $I_r$ , and the same substitutions as were made there are also of help here. In fact the integrals (6.26) and (4.36) di er only by a prefactor h= and by the upper boundary. U sing this observation we obtain by the series of steps sum marized for eq. (4.36) in appendix A a result for (6.26) which di ers from (A.5) only by the prefactor and the boundary A<sub>1</sub>! A<sub>3</sub> with

$$A_{3} = \arcsin \frac{2 + 2 + 2 + 2j^{2}}{(1 + 2)^{2} + 2j^{2}}$$
 (6.27)

Explicitely

$$Y_{1} = R_{0}^{2} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{3} \\ C_{1}J_{2} & (A_{3};a_{1};b_{1}) + C_{4}J_{2} & (A_{3};a_{4};b_{1}) \\ \vdots = 1 \\ + C_{5}K_{2} & (A_{3};a_{4};b_{1}) \end{array}$$
(6.28)

with the parameters given by eqs. (4.42) { (4.51). The second integral (6.26) is simplied by the substitution

$$= \frac{j}{\cos u}$$
(6.29)

and gives, after evaluation

$$r_{2} = R_{0}^{2}j \frac{4+2^{2}-2}{2j^{2}} 1 \operatorname{arccos} \frac{p_{\overline{2}j}}{p_{\overline{4}+2^{2}-2}} :$$
 (6.30)

Now the matrix element is completely determined in the semiclassical approximation. The inelastic part of the structure function (6.4) at T = 0 can be given by the sum

$$S^{(1)}(\mathbf{k};!) = \frac{d_{0}!^{2}}{2a(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{7}h!^{3}_{0}} \sum_{n_{r}}^{\mathbf{X} \quad 0} \underbrace{C_{n_{r}}(2^{\prime}+1)}_{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}} \underbrace{(2!=!_{0})^{2}}_{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}}_{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}} + 2(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}R^{2}_{0} \quad (2!=!_{0})^{2} \quad 2^{\prime} + \frac{1}{2}^{2}}_{1}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{\sin 2'_{n_{r}}}_{\mathbf{k}}}_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{\frac{1+!_{n_{r}1}}{!_{0}}}_{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{2}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d_{0})^{4}}_{\mathbf{k}d_{0}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{k}d$$

where the two sums are restricted to quantum numbers  $n_r$ , 'satisfying the sem iclassical selection rules (6.16) { (6.18) with =  $h!_{n_r}$  = and j = ('+ $\frac{1}{2}$ )= $R_0^2$ . Here we have put indices  $n_r$ , 'on C' and ' de ned by eqs.(6.11),(5.4) and (6.25), respectively, to indicate the dependence of these quantities on the quantum numbers  $n_r$ , 'via =  $h!_{n_r}$  = and j = ('+ $\frac{1}{2}$ )= $R_0^2$ .

#### B.Lim it of large condensate

In the lim it of a large condensate  $R_0 >> 1$ ,  $E = h!_0 = 0$  (1), ('+ 1=2) = 0 (1),  $kR_0d_0 = 0$  (1) the result (6.31) for the inelastic structure function simplifies. For the prefactor  $C_{n_r}$ , we can use the asymptotic form (5.7) with eq. (6.11). The sem iclassical selection rules (6.16) { (6.18) take the form

$$E_{n_r}$$
,  $\frac{1}{2}h!_0 \frac{(+1=2)^2}{R_0^2}$ ;  $+1=2$   $R_0 kd_0$  (6.32)

$$\frac{1}{2}h!_{0}(kd_{0})^{2} = E_{n_{r}}, \quad \frac{1}{p_{1}}h!_{0} = \frac{q}{R_{0}^{2}(kd_{0})^{2}} \quad (*+1=2)^{2}$$
(6.33)

where the right-hand side of (6.33) has been simplied using  $(kd_0=R_0)^2 << 1$ .

The rst condition of (6.32) is always satis ed for su ciently large R<sub>0</sub>, the second represents a restriction on the size of 'since we want to assume  $R_0 kd_0 = 0$  (1). In (6.33) we can use the result (4.25) for the quasi-particle energies in large condensates and obtain

$$2n_r + + 3=2 R_0 kd_0$$
 (6.34)

for the second inequality in (6.33), while the rst is always satis ed in the limit we consider. It is somewhat surprising to see the energy levels of the free trap appearing in (6.34). Under the square-root in the denom inator of eq. (6.31) and also in the nom inator we may again neglect  $(kd_0)^4$  compared to the other terms. Finally, the tedious general results (6.28), (6.30) with (4.40) { (4.51) for '\_1 and '\_2 may be simplied considerably by using the asymptotic results (4.18), (4.19) for < and \_r, and

 $\alpha$ 

$$z_{0} ! \frac{1}{1 - 2(!_{n}; -!_{0}R_{0}kd_{0})^{2}}$$
(6.35)

$$_{W}() = \frac{1}{R_{0}^{2}} \frac{P}{(R_{0}kd_{0})^{2}} (+1=2)^{2} = 2$$
(6.36)

following from eqs. (6.15), (6.13) in eqs. (6.26). The integrals are now much easier to perform and we obtain after som e further rearrangements

$$'_{n_r} (k) = R_0 k d_0 (\sin_{n_r} (k)) = R_0 k d_0 (\sin_{n_r} (k))$$
 (6.37)

with the angle  $n_r$ , (k) de ned by

$$\cos_{n_r}(k) = \frac{2n_r + + 3=2}{R_0 k d_0} :$$
(6.38)

The inequality (6.34) is incorporated in this de nition. A fler all this the structure function (6.31), in the lim it of large condensates, takes the explicit form

$$S^{(1)}(k;!) = \frac{d_0}{ah!_0} \frac{4}{(kd_0)^7} \frac{1}{j!_0} \int_{(2n_r + \frac{1}{3} + 3 = 2)}^{n_r} \frac{X X}{(2n_r + \frac{1}{3} + 3 = 2)} \frac{p(2n_r + \frac{1}{3} + 3 = 2)(2 + 1)}{(R_0 kd_0)^2 (2n_r + \frac{1}{3} + 3 = 2)^2}$$
(6.39)  
$$\cos^2 \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{n_r} \frac{k}{k} \qquad ((! + !_{n_r})) = !_0)$$

with  $n_r$ , and  $n_r$ , given by eqs. (6.37) and (4.25) respectively. It is interesting to note that S<sup>(1)</sup>(k;!) in the present limit has resonances for the maximum momentum transfer  $R_0 k d_0 = 2n_r + + 3=2$  by which states with the principal quantum number  $n = 2n_r +$  can be excited. The angles  $n_r \cdot (k)$  and  $n_r \cdot (k)$  vanish there. Sim ilar resonances appear also in the more general result (6.31) which diverges whenever the border of the sem iclassical selection rule (6.18) is approached.

#### VII.SOM E NUM ERICAL EXAM PLES

All the calculations, so far, have been analytical, but the nal results for the energy levels, wave functions and inelastic structure function are not obtained in explicit form and must be evaluated num erically from the implicit results we have derived. This can be done without di culty. We shall here consider some examples of such results:

#### A.Energy levels:

The energy levels are obtained by solving eq. (4.53) for E. This can be done num erically without di culty and ambiguity, since

$$\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial E} = \frac{T_r}{2} > 0 \tag{7.1}$$

where  $T_r$  is the period of the radial motion. In Fig. 2 we take  $(=h!_0) = \frac{1}{2}R_0^2 = 4.27$  and plot the level shifts  $_n$  (') de ned as a function of ' and the principal quantum number  $n = ' + 2n_r$  by

$$h!_{0 n}$$
 (') =  $E_{n_{r}}$  +  $h!_{0}$  (n + 3=2) : (7.2)

As for type A motion the levels are the same as for the free trap, apart from a shift in the zero-point energy from  $3h!_0=2$  to  $3h!_0=2$ , it is clear that (7.2) vanishes for this type of motion, characterized by the simultaneous inequalities 1 2 j 1 <sup>2</sup>, jwhich in ply

$$(\mathbf{E}_{n_r}, +) = h!_0 \quad (\mathbf{1} + 1 = 2) \quad (2\mathbf{E}_{n_r}, = h!_0)^{1=2} (2 = h!_0)^{1=2} :$$
(7.3)

The rst inequality must always be satis ed for energy levels to exist, at least sem iclassically, i.e. there are no levels where it is violated (cf. eq. (4.12)). The second inequality marks the border in the (n; ')-plane in Fig. 2 where n (') drops to 0. For type B motion r + 1=2  $(2E_{n_r} - h!_0)^{1=2}$  (2 =  $h!_0$ )<sup>1=2</sup> is satis ed. The level shifts are positive due to the repulsion provided by the condensate. This repulsion is stronger for the low er lying levels, as one could expect.

## B.Structure function:

The dynam ical structure function in the approximation we have considered is determined, up to prefactors, by just two parameters,  $R_0^2$  which we the number of particles of a given atom ic species in a given trap via  $N_0 = \frac{P}{h=m!_0}R_0^5=15a$ , and kd<sub>0</sub> which wes the scattering angle via = 2A rcsin kd<sub>0</sub> (=4) (m!\_0=h)<sup>1=2</sup>, where is the wavelength of the scattered light. We shall assume that the wavelength of the light used in the scattering experiment is o -resonance but roughly given by the D-line of the alkalim etal, i.e. 590 nm for sodium, and 800 nm for rubidium.

The result (6.31) for the inelastic part of the structure function for  $R_0^2=2 = -h!_0 = 4.27$  and  $kd_0 = 15:1$  is plotted in Fig. 3. For a trap with frequency  $_0 = !_0=2 = 100$  Hz these numbers correspond to light scattering from a  $^{23}N$  a condensate of  $N_0$  8000 atom s with 40 or from a  $^{87}R$  b condensate of  $N_0$  4000 atom s with 126. This is a typical example for light scattering with a large momentum transfer in which high-lying quasi-particle states are excited. The plot gives as discrete points the strength of the -functions in eq. (6.31), which according to our sign convention for ! appear at negative values ! =  $E_{n_x}$  = h for absorption of energy. Since we consider temperature T = 0 in the plots of Figs. 3, 4, 6 the emission lines at positive ! =  $E_{n_x}$  = h are frozen out. The in nitely sharp lines described by the -functions are, of course, not directly observable. A part from the intrinsic line-widths which we did not consider in this work, they m ust also be convoluted with the experimental resolution. How ever, in order to retain the full inform ation present in eq. (6.31), we prefer not to carry out such an arbitrary sm oothing. The inelastic part of the structure function is seen to consist of several discrete quasi-continuous rotational bands, roughly spaced by  $h!_0$ . In the region of parameter space chosen here, these bands can be usefully labelled by the principal quantum number  $n = 2n_r + 1$  of the free trap, in terms of which the energy levels are expressed as

$$E_{n_r} = h!_0 (n + 3=2) + h!_0 n ('):$$
 (7.4)

If n (') = 0 were satisfied each band would collapse to a single sharp line. The sem iclassical selection rule (6.17) limits the excited bands to the dom ain

$$\frac{1}{2}^{q} \frac{1}{(kd_{0})^{4} + 2R_{0}^{2}(kd_{0})^{2}}{h!_{0}} \frac{E_{n_{x}}}{h!_{0}} \frac{(kd_{0})^{2}}{2} :$$
(7.5)

In the present case  $(kd_0)^2 >> 2R_0^2$  we may simplify this relation som ewhat by expanding the square root and using  $R_0^2 = 2$  = h!<sub>0</sub> to obtain an energy interval of size for the excited quasi-particle states which extends above the recoil energy  $h^2k^2=2m$ 

$$\frac{(kd_0)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{h!_0} = \frac{E_{n_x}}{h!_0} = \frac{(kd_0)^2}{2} :$$
(7.6)

In the local density approximation [2] the structure function is just a single continuous band in the same energy interval (and a corresponding band at negative energies related by detailed balance). It is not surprising that our treatment, being based on the discrete quasi-particle states, reveals considerably more structure, namely the bands and their discrete substructure. As can be seen from (7.6) the number of bands in Fig. 3 just gives the integer part of  $=h!_0$ . This rather direct physical measure of in units of  $h!_0$  could be of practical experimental interest. The ne

structure of the rotational bands is also determ ined by the sem iclassical selection rules (6.16) { (6.18). The rotational quantum number 'increases within each band of Fig. 3 from left to right. The strongest line within each band corresponds to the maximum value 'max (n) of 'permitted by eq. (6.18) for type B motion.

We can compare these results with similar ones one may infer from Fig. 2 of ref. [14], where the quasi-continuous rotational bands due to high-lying quasi-particle states were institute. The results in [14] were obtained by a much simpler approximation, not aiming at achieving full self-consistency, using the excited state wave functions of the empty trap, rather than the WKB wave functions whose use underlies the present Fig. 3. This implies that  $_r()$  in eq. (6.12) is replaced by the free oscillator expression

O f course this leads to much simpler closed form ulas for  $M_{n;}(k)f$  (eqs. (5), (6) of ref. [14]). However, with our present self-consistent treatment we are now able to assess the limitations of the simpler approximation. In fact, the result presented in Fig. 3 di ers in two important details from that of [14]:

(i) There is an overall shift of size of the energy window excited by the transferred wave number k, and (ii) there is a qualitative change in the dependence of the width of the rotational bands on the principal quantum number which, according to Fig. 3, become more narrow as the principal quantum number increases, while the opposite behavior was found in [14]. Both di erences have their origin in a change in the sem iclassical selection rules (6.16) { (6.18), which depend rather sensitively on approximations in the phases of the wave functions inside the condensate. The rst shortcom ing of the approximation in [14] can be easily eliminated by using simply  $E_n^{osc} = h!_0 (n + 3=2)$ 

rather than the free trap energies in the expressions for the free trap eigenfunctions. The second is not as easily avoided, how ever. It simply shows that the in unce of the interaction with the condensate on the spatial dependence of the phase of the high lying states cannot be neglected as long as the widths n of the rotational bands given by  $n = n(0) - n(\max(n))$  with

$$\Delta_{m ax}(n) + 1 = 2 = \frac{p}{2} (kd_0)^4 = 4 + (kd_0)^2 = h!_0 (E_n^{osc} = h!_0)^2^{1=2}$$
 (7.8)

are not them selves negligible. We conclude that for a proper treatment of the rotational bands the present treatment is indispensable.

The spectroscopic resolution of the quasi-continuous bands requires a resolution better than the widths n, which may be discult to attain in the frequency domain, but could be feasible in real-time experiments extended over a time-scale of 10 periods 2 =!\_0. In real time the width n would turn up as collapse rate n = n determining the dephasing of the band with principal quantum number n. Due to the discrete substructure of the bands this dephasing should in principale be reversible. However it appears unlikely that the strict phase coherence over a time-interval of the order of  $n_{max}(n) = n$ , required to see such a rephasing, could be achieved experimentally. For a discussion of collapses and revivals of low -lying collective modes see [28] [29].

Let us now look at the dynam ical structure function also for sm aller m om entum transfer and for som ew hat larger condensates. In g. 4 we have chosen the parameters  $R_0^2 = 1124$ ,  $kd_0 = 3$  corresponding to,  $N_0 = 6000$ , = 21for  ${}^{87}$ R b and to N<sub>0</sub> = 12000, = 7:7 for  ${}^{23}$ N a, if the same choices are made for  ${}_{0}$  and as before. The changes in the scale in g.4 in comparison with g.3 should be noted. In g.4 we have joined spectral lines with equal principal quantum number  $n = 2n_r + by$  straight lines. This is useful because the quantum number n organizes the spectral lines according to multiplets of di erent strengths. However, di erently to the case of high lying states, where n labelled well-separated quasi-continuous bands, the di erent multiplets now overlap at least partially in their energy range. The strongest multiplet in q.4 corresponds to n = 9, the next to n = 8, and the third and weakest one shown to n = 7. There are actually still weaker lines with n 6 which we have suppressed. All the lines satisfy the sem iclassical selection rule (6.21). It is plotted for this case in g.5 in the (angular momentum, energy)-plane, together with the sem iclassical energy levels. All levels within the curved triangular section of the plane satisfy the selection rule. In this plot multiplets of xed  $n = 2n_r +$  be on lines roughly parallel to the upper border of the triangular shape, the higher lying lines corresponding to higher values of n. On this upper border the square-root in the denom inator of the expression (6.31) for S<sup>(1)</sup> (k; !) vanishes, leading to a scattering singularity, while on the lower border the nom inator of this expression vanishes, leading to a suppression of scattering. This observation explains qualitatively the dependence of the scattering cross-section on n. The multiplet with n = 9 consists only of the two lines for '= 1, '= 3. The remaining '= 5, '= 7, '= 9 members of this multiplet have smaller energy but lie above the triangular region in q.5. This highest lying multiplet of q.5 is therefore rather narrow and well separated in energy from the other multiplets. It lies entirely above the chemical potential, i.e. its lines in q.4 lie at  $!=!_0 < =h!_0 =$ 5:62. With these features this multiplet bears some resemblance to the well-separated bands of g.3. In contrast to this the two lower lying and weaker multiplets in g.4 overlap completely with each other and extend over energy levels ranging from values larger to values smaller than and already resemble the low lying multiplets extending down to the hydrodynam ic regime. This can be seen from q.6 where we have chosen  $R_0^2 = 262$ ,  $kd_0 = 2$  corresponding to N<sub>0</sub> = 50000, = 14 for <sup>87</sup>R b and N<sub>0</sub> = 10<sup>5</sup>, = 52 for <sup>23</sup>N a, again assuming a trap of 0 = 100 Hz and o -resonance scattering at wavelengths roughly equal to the respective D -lines. The multiplets are still organized according to their strengths by the principal quantum number n. However, now these multiplets are com pletely overlapping, much as the two lower lying ones in g.4. For the multiplets shown in g.6 n ranges from n = 8 for the strongest multiplet to n = 6 for the weakest one shown in the gure, but again we have suppressed in the plot still weaker lines corresponding to multiplets with smaller values of n. The dependence of the scattering cross-section on n, and within a given multiplet on ', can be understood similarly to our discussion of g.4 by using the asymptotic expression (6.38) for S  $^{(1)}$  (k;!). Taken together the plots g.3{ g.6 show that a measurement of S (k;!) over a range of scattering angles in principle can give a very detailed inform ation on the quasiparticle levels in any energy interval selected by the choice of k according to eq. (6.21).

## V III. C O N C LU S IO N S

In the present paper we have solved the Bogoliubov equations for the elementary excitations of a Bose-condensed gas of atom s trapped in an isotropic harm onic potential in W KB-approxim ation. The underlying classical dynam ics is integrable in this case due to the rotational sym metry. The quasi-particle energies in the trap have been obtained from a Bohr-Som merfeld quantization nule. Corrections to that nule due to the non-dimensional symmetry of the condensate wave function in Thom as Fermi approximation have been discussed in [15]. The mode-functions, including the Bogoliubov amplitudes  $v_j$ , have been obtained in the classically allowed regime in the W KB-form. Our results interpolate between the low -energy regime E = ! 0, where asymptotically exact results within the Bogoliubov approximation we have given a self-consistent calculation of the fully resolved dynam ical structure function S (k;!), which could be measured in o -resonant light scattering, in a large energy dom ain extending to all but the low est lying levels.

## ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

O ne of us (R.G.) wishes to acknow ledge support by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft through the Sonderforschungsbereich 237 \U nordnung und gro e Fluktuationen". This work has been supported by the project of the Hungarian A cademy of Sciences and the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft under grant No. 95 and has also been supported within the fram ework of the G erm an Hungarian Scienti c and Technological Cooperation under P roject No. 62. Two of us (A.Cs., P.Sz.) would like to acknow ledge support by the Hungarian A cadem y of Sciences under grant No. AKP 96-12/14. The work has been partially supported by the Hungarian N ational Scienti c R esearch Foundation under grant Nos. OTKA T017493, F020094 and by the M inistry of Culture and Education of Hungary under grant No. FKFP0159/1997.

# APPENDIX A: EVALUATION OF THE ACTION INTEGRAL

Here we sum marize the essential steps for the evaluation of the integral in eq. (4.36). The integral is splitted into two parts, the rst part  $I_{<}$  taken over from  $_{<}$  to 1, the second part  $I_{>}$  taken over from 1 to  $_{>}$ . Let us consider the evaluation of  $I_{<}$  rst. The substitution

$$= \frac{1}{1} \frac{2 t}{1 t}$$

$$d = \frac{(1 + t^{2})}{(1 t^{2})^{3-2} (1 t^{2} 2 t)^{-2}} dt$$
(A1)

transform s  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{I}}_{\!\!\!<}$  into an integral over t from

t= 0 to t= 
$$t_{max} = \frac{(^2 + j^2) + \frac{p}{1 + 2 + 2j^2}}{+ j^2}$$
: (A2)

A partial fraction expansion of the integrand results in the explicit form

$$L_{c} = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{4} p \frac{2}{2+j^{2}} dt \frac{5}{(1+j^{2}+2j^{2})} t + \frac{2+j^{2}}{(1+j^{2})^{2}} t + \frac{2+j^{2}}{j^{2}} t + \frac{2+j^{$$

A further substitution

$$t = \frac{p \frac{1}{1 + 2 + 2j^2}}{+j} \sin' \frac{2 + j^2}{+j^2}}{+j^2}$$
(A 4)

and treating the curly bracket in eq. (A 3) term by term reduces the integral to the form

$$L_{<} = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{V} C_{i}J_{>}} (A_{1};a_{i};b_{1}) + C_{4}J_{<} (A_{1};a_{4};b_{1}) + C_{5}K_{<} (A_{1};a_{4};b_{1}) + C_{5}K_{<} (A_{1};a_{4};b_{1})$$
(A5)

with the functions  $J_{<}$ ,  $J_{>}$ ,  $K_{<}$  de ned in eq. (4.37) { (4.38) and the parameters and coe cients given in eqs. (4.40) { (4.52).

Turning to the second part I> of Ir we may apply a similar sequence of substitutions resulting in

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

which brings I, to the form

$$I_{>} = \frac{hR_{0}^{2}}{C_{6}J_{>}} (A_{2};a_{5};b_{2})$$

with the parameters and coe cients given in eqs. (4.40) { (4.52). From  $I_r = I_c + I_b$  we obtain the result given in eq. (4.39).

Here we sum marize the necessary steps in the evaluation of the integral in eq. (5.3). For type A motion the radial period is simply =!<sub>0</sub>. To evaluate eq. (5.3) also for type B motion we introduce scaled variables like in eq. (4.6), and the integral is splitted in a part  $I_1$  from < to 1 and a part  $I_2$  from 1 to >.

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{m!_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{Z_{1}} d \frac{p}{(2+(1-2))^{2}} \frac{p}{(2+(1-2))^{2}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{(2+(1-2))^{2}}$$
(B1)

$$I_{2} = \frac{1}{m!_{0}} \int_{1}^{Z} d \frac{1}{q + 1 \int_{2}^{\frac{j^{2}}{2}} 2};$$
(B2)

A series of substitutions amounting to

$${}^{2} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{t^{2}}{p} \frac{2}{1+t^{2}+2j^{2}} t$$

$$t = \frac{1}{1+t^{2}+2j^{2}} \sin t = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p^{2}} = (t+\frac{1}{2})$$
(B3)

puts I<sub>1</sub> into the form

$$I_{1} = \frac{p - \frac{p}{m + j} Z_{-2}}{m !_{0}} \frac{Z_{-2}}{A_{1}} + \frac{p'}{1 + 2 + 2j^{2}} \frac{d'}{1 + 2 + 2j^{2} \sin t'}$$
(B4)

where  $A_1$  is de ned in (4.40). The integral is now elementary and given by

$$I_{1} = \frac{p}{2(2^{2} + 2j^{2})} \frac{2}{m!_{0}}$$
(B5)

with given by eq. (5.5). Here we used the addition theorem for arctan to simplify the nalexpression.

 $\mathrm{I}_2$  is much simpler to evaluate. The substitution

$${}^{2} = \frac{+1}{2} + \frac{+1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \sin'$$
(B 6)

reduces it to the form

$$I_{2} = \frac{1}{2m!_{0}} \int_{A_{2}}^{Z} d'$$
(B7)

where  $A_2$  is de ned in eq. (4.41). A fler som e sim pli cation the result takes the form

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2m!_0} \frac{1}{2}$$
(B8)

with given by eq. (5.5). Combining the results (B5), (B8) with eq. (5.3) we obtain eq. (5.4).

[2] C.C.Bradley, C.A.Sackett, J.J.Tollett and R.G.Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995).

<sup>[1]</sup> M.H.Anderson, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.W iemann, E.A.Cornell, Science 269, 198 (1995).

<sup>[3]</sup> K.B.Davis, M.-O.Mewes, M.R.Andrews, N.J.van Druten, D.S.Durfee, D.M.Kum and W.Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).

<sup>[4]</sup> M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 416 (1996).

- [5] A.Grin, Excitations in a Bose-condensed liquid', (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993)
- [6] L.P.P itaevskii, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 40, 646 (1961) [Sov.Phys.JETP 13, 451 (1961)]; E.P.G ross, Nuovo C in ento 20, 454 (1961); J.M ath.Phys. 4, 195 (1963).
- [7] M. Edwards, P.A. Ruprecht and K. Burnett, R.J.Dodd and C.W. Clark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1671 (1996); P.A. Ruprecht, M. Edwards, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 54, 4178 (1996); K.G. Singh and D.S. Rokhsar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1667 (1996); L.You, W. Hoston, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A 55, R1581 (1997); A. Smerzi and S. Fantoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3589 (1997); F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, M. Guilleum as, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, preprint cond-m at/9705240, B.D. Esry, Phys. Rev. A 55, 1147 (1997); L.You, W. Hoston, M. Lewenstein, and M. Marinescu, preprint (1997).
- [8] A. L. Fetter, Phys. Rev. A 53, 4245 (1996); V. M. Perez-G arc a, H. M ichinel, J. I. Cirac, M. Lewenstein, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett 77, 1520 (1996); Y. Castin and R. Dum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5315 (1996); Yu. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A 54, R1753 (1996); Phys. Rev. A 55, R18 (1997); F. Dalfovo, C. M inniti, S. Stringari, L. P. Pitaevskii, Phys. Lett. A 227 259 (1997).
- [9] S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2360 (1996); P. Ohberg, E. L. Surkov, I. Tittonen, M. Wilkens, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, physics/9705006 (unpublished); M. Fliesser, A. C. sordas, P. Szepfalusy, R. Graham, Phys. Rev. A 56, R? (1997).
- [10] G.Baym and C.J.Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 6 (1996)
- [11] D.S.Jin, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.W iem ann and E.A.Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 420 (1996).
- [12] M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. M. Kum, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 988 (1996).
- [13] D.S.Jin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 764 (1997).
- [14] A.Csordas, R.Graham, P.Szepfalusy, Phys. Rev. A 54, R2543 (1996).
- [15] A.Csordas, R.Graham, P.Szepfalusy, Phys. Rev.A (1997) to appear.
- [16] B.V. Svitsunov and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 70, 460 (1990).

[17] H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. A 43, 6444 (1991).

- [18] J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1927 (1995).
- [19] J. Javanainen and J. Ruostekoski, Phys. Rev. A 52, 3033 (1995).
- [20] R.Graham and D.W alls, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1774 (1996).
- [21] W.-C.Wu and A.Grin, Phys. Rev. A 54, 4204 (1996).
- [22] E.Tim mermans, P.Tom masini, preprint cond-mat/9707322; preprint cond-mat/9707319.
- [23] M. Fliesser, A. C sordas, R. G raham, P. Szepfalusy, Phys. Rev. A (1997) to appear.
- [24] F.Dalfovo, L.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari Phys. Rev. A 54, 4213 (1996).
- [25] D.A.W. Hutchinson, E. Zaremba, A. Grin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1842 (1996); See J.F. Dobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73,

2244 (1994), and references therein; A.L.Fetter, D.Rokshar, unpublished (cond-m at/9704234).

- [26] R.E.Langer, Phys. Rev. 51,669 (1932).
- [27] M.R. Andrews et al., Science 273 84 (1996).
- [28] L.P.P itaevskii, Phys. Lett. A 229, 406 (1997).
- [29] R.Graham, D.F.Walls, M.J.Collet, M.Fliesser, and E.M.W right, preprint cond-m at/9612060

FIG.1. Regions of type A motion and type B motion in the scaled angular momentum -energy (j )-plane. In region D there is no lower turning point of the radial motion, i.e., in the sem iclassical quantization region D is forbidden.

FIG.2. Dierence = n (') of quasi-particle energy levels, in units of h!, to the levels of the free trap. The chem ical potential was chosen to be =  $4.27h!_0$ .

FIG.3. Dynamic structure function  $S^{(1)}(k;!)$  in units of  $d_0=ah!_0$  for  $=h!_0=427$ ,  $kd_0=15:1$  as a function of ! in units of !\_0. Plotted are the strengths of the D irac-delta peaks.

FIG.4. Dynamic structure function  $S^{(1)}(k;!)$  in units of  $d_0=ah!_0$  for  $=h!_0 = 5.62$ ,  $kd_0 = 3$  as a function of ! in units of  $!_0$ . Plotted are the strengths of the D irac-delta peaks. Peaks with equal principal quantum number  $n = 2n_r + are$  connected by straight lines, with n = 9;8;7 from top to bottom.

FIG.5. Sem iclassical selection rule 6.21 and sem iclassical energy levels  $E = E_{n_r}$  in the ('; E)-plane for  $=h!_0 = 5.62$ ,  $kd_0 = 3$ .

FIG.6. Dynam ic structure function  $S^{(1)}(k;!)$  in units of  $d_0=ah!_0$  for  $=h!_0 = 13:1$ ,  $kd_0 = 2$  as a function of ! in units of  $!_0$ . Plotted are the strengths of the D irac-delta peaks. Peaks with equal principal quantum number  $n = 2n_r + are$  connected by straight lines, with n = 8;7;6 from top to bottom.











