# Boundary critical behaviour of two-dim ensional random Ising models

F Igloiyz, P Lajkoz, W Selkex and F Szalmaz

y Research Institute for Solid State Physics, H {1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 49, Hungary z Institute for Theoretical Physics, Szeged University, H {6720 Szeged, Hungary x Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Technische Hochschule, D {52056 A achen, G erm any

A bstract. Using M onte C arb techniques and a star{triangle transform ation, Ising m odels with random, 'strong' and 'weak', nearest{neighbour ferrom agnetic couplings on a square lattice with a (1,1) surface are studied near the phase transition. B oth surface and bulk critical properties are investigated. In particular, the critical exponents of the surface m agnetization,  $_1$ , of the correlation length, , and of the critical surface correlations,  $_k$ , are analysed.

PACS numbers: 05.50 + q, 68.35 Rh

Short title: Random Ising models

April 15, 2024

### 1. Introduction

Q uenched random ness m ay have a profound e ect on the nature of phase transitions. If there is a continuous phase transition in the perfect system then, according to the H arris criterion [1], the relevance of the perturbation is connected to the sign of the speci c heat exponent in the pure system. The two-dimensional random Ising m odel with = 0represents the borderline case of the perturbational theory. Indeed, that m odel has been the subject of intense investigations to clarify its critical properties [2, 3, 4].

A coording to eld-theoretical studies [2, 3] the random ness is, in the renorm alization group sense, a marginally irrelevant perturbation, therefore it leads to logarithm ic corrections to the power-law singularities of the pure model. For example, the bulk magnetization,  $m_{\rm b}$ , and the correlation length, , are expected to behave near the transition point as

$$m_{b} t^{=8} j \ln t j^{1=16};$$
 (1)

and

$$t^{1}jlntj^{=2}$$
; (2)

where  $t = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{r}_c$   $T = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{r}_c$  is the reduced temperature. The critical spin-spin correlation function G (r) averaged over several samples has a pure power law decay [5]

G (r) 
$$r^{1=4}^{h} A + B = (\ln r)^{2};$$
 (3)

whereas the typical correlation function calculated in a large single sample is conjectured [6] to decay as

G (r) 
$$r^{1=4}$$
 (ln r)  $r^{1=8}$  : (4)

The above conjectures are found to be in agreement with numerical results of large  $\{$  scale M onte C arb (M C) simulations [7, 4, 8] and transfer m atrix calculations [9, 10, 11]. However, also conjecting interpretations of the numerical indings have been suggested, invoking dilution (dependent critical exponents and weak universality [12, 13].

In this paper, we consider the boundary critical behaviour of the two-dimensional random bond Ising model. The surface critical properties of the perfect model are exactly known since many years [14]. For example, the asymptotic behaviour of the surface magnetization,  $m_1$ , and the correlation length, k, measured parallel to the surface, is given by

$$m_1 \quad t^{=2}$$
 (5)

and

$$_{k}$$
 t<sup>1</sup>; (6)

2

whereas the critical surface spin-spin correlation function has the asymptotic decay:

$$G_{s}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}^{\perp}$$
 (7)

Thus the corresponding critical exponents are  $_1 = 1=2$ ,  $_k = 1$  and  $_k = 1$ . No eld-theoretical results are available for the random case. However, it seems reasonable to expect, in analogy to the bulk properties, that the random ness is a marginally irrelevant variable at the surface xed point as well. Then one might obtain logarithm ic corrections to the asymptotic behaviour of the perfect model.

In the present study, we perform ed extensive num erical investigations to illum inate this issue by determ ining the surface critical properties of the Ising m odelw ith nearest-neighbour random couplings on the square lattice. In our rst approach, we used large-scale M C techniques and computed the surface m agnetization and the complete m agnetization prole of the m odel. Our second m ethod is based on the star-triangle (ST) transformation. By that m ethod we calculated both the surface m agnetization and the surface magnetization function of the m odel. By the two, in several respects complementary approaches, we determined numerically the complete set of surface critical exponents, including the surface m agnetization exponent  $_1$ , the correlation length exponent  $_k$  and the decay exponent of the critical suface correlations  $_k$ . Note that some of the M C results on the surface m agnetization have already been announced in a short communication [15].

The paper is organised as follows. The MC results on the surface magnetization and the magnetization proles are presented in Section 2. In Section 3 we describe the ST approach as applied to the random Ising model and discuss the numerical results on the surface magnetization and the surface correlation function. The main conclusions are given in the Summary. Some details of the ST method have been transferred to the Appendix.

### 2. M onte Carlo sim ulations

Let us consider the Ising m odel with nearest-neighbour ferrom agnetic couplings, where the spins  $s_{i;j} (= 1)$  are situated on the sites (i; j) of a square lattice. A surface may be introduced by cutting the coupling bonds along one of the axes of the lattice, leading to the (10) surface, or along the diagonal, leading to the (11) surface. In the M C simulations, we studied system s with two parallel surface lines, each line having L sites. Each row perpendicular to the surface consists of K sites. The spins in the rst and last row are assumed to be connected by periodic boundary conditions. The lines parallel to the surfaces are numbered by the index i, i.e. i = 1 and i = K denote the two surface lines. The index j refers to the position along a line, running from 1 to L. The total number of spins is K L. The aim of the simulations is to determ ine therm alproperties of the sem i(in nite system, where K; L ! 1; therefore nite(size e ects need to be studied with care.

The interaction between neighbouring spinsm ay be either 'strong',  $J_1 > 0$ , or 'weak',  $0 < J_2 < J_1$ . Strong and weak couplings are distributed random by, with p (or 1 p) being the probability of a weak (or strong) bond. If both interactions occur with the same probability, p = 1=2, then the model is self{dual [16]. The self{dual point is located at

$$\tanh (J_1 = k_B T_c) = \exp (2J_2 = k_B T_c)$$
: (8)

determing the critical temperature,  $T_c$ , of the bulk Ising system (K;L ! 1, and full periodic boundary conditions), if the model undergoes one phase transition. Indeed, results of previous simulations support that assumption [4, 7]. The simulations were done for the self(dual case, i.e. at p=1/2.

Certainly, one expects that both bulk and surface will still order at the bulk critical tem perature,  $T_c$ , in a two{dimensionalmodelwith short{range interactions: The one{ dimensional surface does not support any separate ordering, so that one encounters the 'ordinary transition' [17, 18].

Varying, in the self(dual case, the ratio of the strong and weak couplings,  $r = J_2=J_1$ , one m ay change the degree of dilution. At r = 1, one recovers the perfect Ising m odel, while r = 0 corresponds to the percolation limit, where  $T_c = 0$ . As had been shown before, the crossover to the random ness dom inated bulk critical behaviour m ay be monitored conveniently by choosing r in the range of 1/10 to 1/4. Then the crossover length, at criticality, ranges from a few to about 20 lattice spacings [7]. Indeed, we simulated the random m odel at these two values, r = 1=4 and 1/10, augmented by computations for the perfect m odel, r = 1.

M ost of the simulations were performed for the (11) surface, albeit a few runs were also done for the (10) surface to compare with exact results. For the (11) surfaces, we usually set L = K = 2, with K ranging from 40 to 1280 to check for nite(size e ects. For the (10) surfaces, quadratic system swere studied. We averaged over an ensemble of bond con gurations (or realizations). The number of realizations typically ranged from at least 15 for the largest system s up to several hundreds for the small system s. In general, the one{cluster ip M onte C arlo algorithm was used (m ainly for testing purposes, we also applied the single{spin ip m ethod), generating, close to the critical point, several 10<sup>4</sup> clusters per realization. N ote that the statistical errors for each realization were signi cantly smaller than those resulting from the ensemble averaging. To avoid inaccuracies due a, possibly, unfortunate choice of the random num ber generators, we com pared results obtained from shift register and linear congruential generators.

The crucial quantity, computed in the MC simulations, is the magnetization pro le. It is described by the magnetization per line, m (i) = <  $j^P s_{i;j} j$ > =L, where  $s_{i;j}$  denotes

the spin in line i and row j, with i = 1;2; ::K, and summing over j = 1;2; ::L. The absolute values are taken to obtain a non{vanishing prole for nite system s, as usual. The surface magnetization is given by  $m_1 = m(1) = m(K)$ .

Because the distribution of the random bonds is the same in the bulk and at the surface, one may expect a monotonic decrease of m (i) on approach to the surface, due to the reduced coordination number at the surface (being two for the (11) and three for the (10) surface). This behaviour is illustrated in Fig. 1, comparing magnetization proles of the perfect, r = 1, and random, r = 1=4, Ising model with a (11) surface, at the same distances from  $T_c$ , measured by the reduced temperature t = jT  $T_c j = T_c$ . The critical point,  $T_c$ , follows from (1). Obviously, random ness tends to suppress the magnetization, at xed value of t. The proles display a pronounced plateau around the center of the system s, at which the bulk magnetization  $m_b$ , is reached. The existence of the broad plateau indicates that the linear dimension K of the MC system is su ciently large to compute, for instance, the surface magnetization of the sem i(in nite system . O f course, in addition one has to monitor possible changes of  $m_1$  with L, to have possible nite(size e ects due to that dimension under control.{ Note that  $m_b$  is known exactly in the perfect case [14], and very accurately in the random case [7].

For the perfect two{dimensional Ising model with a (10) surface, the complete magnetization prole has been calculated exactly in the continuum limit [19, 20]. In particular, the prole approaches the bulk value in an exponential form, with  $m_b = m$  (i) / exp(  $i=_r$ ), where  $_r$  is the bulk 'correlation range', which becomes only asymptotically, as T ! T<sub>c</sub>, identical to the bulk 'true correlation length' [21]. Indeed, we tested the accuracy of our simulational data by comparing them, for the (10) surface, to the exact expression. In addition, we found that the same correlation range determ ines the exponential approach of the magnetization towards its bulk value in the (11) case as well.

For the perfect two{dim ensional Ising m odelwith a (11) surface, exact results exist for the surface m agnetization,  $m_1$ , and the m agnetization in the next line, m (2) [22]. A gain, the M onte C arb data, obtained with m odest computational e orts, agreed very well with the exact results, as shown in Fig. 2. In the gure, the 'e ective exponent'

 $(i)_{\text{eff}}$  is depicted, de ned by

$$(i)_{eff}(t) = d \ln (m (i)) = d \ln (t)$$
 (9)

Certainly, as t ! 0, the elective exponent acquires the true asymptotic value of the critical exponent (i). For example, the asymptotic critical exponent of the surface magnetization is  $(1) = _1 = 1=2$ , being, by the way, identical for (11) and (10) surfaces. Because the magnetization m (i) is computed at discrete temperatures  $t_k$ , we use in analysing the simulational data, instead of (9) the corresponding difference expression, with  $t = (t_k + t_{k+1})=2$ . The error bars, included in Fig. 2, have been calculated in a

conservative fashion, getting the bounds for by comparing the upper (lower) limit of m (i) to the lower (upper) limit of m (i + 1), where the bounds of the magnetization are computed in the standard way from the ensemble averaging. Alternately, we also computed the error bars from usual error propagation, which turned out to be appreciably smaller.

In Fig. 2, the tem perature dependence of the elective exponent (i)<sub>eff</sub> deeper in the bulk is also displayed. For example at i = 10, one readily observes the crossover from the bulk elective exponent (as follows from the exact expression for the bulk m agnetization [14]) to the surface dominated behaviour, when the correlation length becomes large compared to the distance from the surface. In general, at nite and arbitrarily large distances to the surface, (i)<sub>eff</sub> will always converge, on approach to T<sub>c</sub>, to the surface critical exponent,  $_1 = 1/2$ , and not to the bulk critical exponent, = 1/8. A nalogous observations have been reported for three{dimensional Ising m odels with surfaces [23].

The main aim of the M onte C arb study has been to estimate  $_1$  in the random case. Results of the extensive simulations are summarized in Fig. 3, depicting the elective exponent  $(1)_{eff}$  (t) at r = 1=4 and r = 1=10, compared to its exactly known form for the perfect case, r = 1. Typical error bars, increasing closer to criticality, are displayed, based on standard error propagation resulting from the variance in ensemble averaging of m<sub>1</sub> (t<sub>k</sub>) and m<sub>1</sub> (t<sub>k+1</sub>). D ata obviously a lected by nite{size elects have not been included in the gure.

As seen from Fig. 3, at xed distance from the critical point, t,  $(1)_{eff}$  rises system atically with increasing dilution, rejecting the decrease in m<sub>1</sub> with stronger random ness. However, asymptotically, t ! 0, it is well conceivable that the surface critical exponent will coincide in the perfect and dilute cases, with  $_1 = 1=2$ . Indeed, a reasonable estimate, both for r = 1=4 and r = 1=10, is  $_1 = 0.49$  0:02.

Thence, the simulations demonstrated that the critical exponent  $_1$  is rather robust against introducing random ness simultaneously in the bulk and at the surface. Note that  $_1$  remains 1/2 too, when only the surface bonds of the two (dimensional Ising model are random ized as described above, but keeping a unique bulk coupling, as we can immed in simulations. Interestingly enough, in the three(dimensional case, introducing random nearest{neighbour strong and weak surface bonds, but having only one interaction for the bulk couplings, seem s to be an irrelevant perturbation as well, i.e. the surface critical exponent seem s to be the same as for the perfect surface,  $_1$  0.80 [23]. This robustness m ay indicate that the bulk critical uctuations play a crucial role for the surface critical exponent, albeit it is not determ ined by bulk critical exponents [17, 18]. If that is true, then our result for the two{dimensional case with random bulk and surface interactions suggests that the bulk critical uctuations are not very sensitive towards dilution (in accordance with the theory of, at most, logarithm ic modi cations of the asymptotic power{laws describing critical behaviour of the perfect system in two{dimensional Ising

models [3, 4]). We shall come back to this aspect in the next Section.

## 3. Star-triangle transform ation

The star{triangle transform ation was introduced by H ilhorst and van Leeuwen [24], and used later by others [25, 26, 27] to calculate the surface m agnetization and the surface correlations in layered triangular lattice Ising m odels. Here we generalize the m ethod for non-translationally invariant system s.

## 3.1. Star-triangle approach to boundary behaviour

The method is based on an exact mapping of the original triangular model, with couplings  $fK_1g$ ;  $fK_2g$  and  $fK_3g$ , to a hexagonal model with couplings  $fp_1g$ ;  $fp_2g$  and  $fp_3g$  denoted by dashed lines in Fig. 4. In the transform ation the right-pointing triangles are replaced by stars such that the couplings are related by

$$K_{1} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\cosh(p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})\cosh(p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})}{\cosh(p_{1} + p_{2} - p_{3})\cosh(p_{1} - p_{2} + p_{3})};$$
(10)

and its cyclic permutation in the indices i = 1;2;3. In the second step of the mapping the left-pointing stars of the hexagonal lattice are replaced by triangles resulting in a new triangular lattice, which is denoted by dotted lines in Fig. 4. Iterating this procedure a sequence of triangular Ising models is generated (n = 0;1;2;:::) from the original model with n = 0.

As seen in Fig. 4, the surface spins of the n-th and the (n + 1)-th models are connected by the surface couplings of the intermediate hexagonal model. In this geometry, the therm all average of the l-th surface spin of the n-th model, denoted by  $hs_{1}^{(n)}i = \frac{n}{1}^{(n)}i$  is connected to the therm all averages of the neighbouring spins  $s_{1}^{(n+1)}$  and  $s_{1+}^{(n+1)}$  of the (n+1)-th model, where the corresponding surface couplings of the hexagonal lattice are denoted by  $p_{1}^{(n+1)}$  and  $p_{1+}^{(n+1)}$ . As shown in the Appendix, one has

$${}_{1}^{(n)} = a_{1+}^{(n+1)} {}_{1+}^{(n+1)} + a_{1}^{(n+1)} {}_{1+}^{(n+1)};$$
(11)

where

$$a_{l+}^{(n)} = \tanh(p_{l+}^{(n)}) \frac{1 \tanh^2(p_{l+}^{(n)})}{1 \tanh^2(p_{l+}^{(n)}) \tanh^2(p_{l-}^{(n)})};$$
(12)

while in  $a_1^{(n)}$  one should interchange  $p_{l+}^{(n)}$  and  $p_1^{(n)}$ . Now using the vector notation  ${}^{(n)}$  for the surface spin exceptational values in the n-th model and similarly  ${}^{(n+2)}$  for the (n + 2)-th model we obtain the relation

$$(n) = A^{(n+1)}A^{(n+2)} (n+2)$$
(13)

where the non-vanishing elements of the A  $^{(n+1)}$  matrix are given by  $a_{+}^{(n+1)}$  (l) and  $a^{(n+1)}$  (l) in terms of the surface couplings of the (n + 1)-th hexagonal lattice, equation (12), and sim ilar relation holds for A  $^{(n+2)}$ . (We consider two successive steps in (13) in order to avoid complications with the di erent parity of the odd and even number of transformations.) Now taking the boundary condition  $\lim_{n! \to 1} (n) = (1;;1;:::;1)$  we obtain for the average surface magnetization

$$m_{1} = \lim_{L \neq 1} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{X^{L}} \sum_{n \neq 1}^{(0)} = \lim_{n \neq 1} f(n); \qquad (14)$$

with

$$f(n) = \lim_{L \ge 1} \frac{1}{L} \frac{X^{L}}{\sum_{i;j=1}^{Y^{n}} A^{(k)}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i;j=1}^{K} A^{(k)}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{ij}^{K}} (15)$$

We note that f(n) in (15) is form ally equivalent to the partition function of an nstep directed walk (polymer) in a random environment, where the (random) fugacities corresponding to the k-th step of the walk are contained in the A<sup>(k)</sup> matrix, which is just the transfermatrix of the directed walk.

Next we consider the average connected surface correlation function de ned as

$$G_{s}(l) = \lim_{L \mid 1} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} [hs_{i+1}s_{i}i \quad hs_{i+1}ihs_{i}i]:$$
(16)

As shown in the Appendix, the surface correlations in the n-th triangular model are connected to those in the (n + 1)-th model, and the relation is given in terms of the surface couplings of the intermediate hexagonal lattice, equation (30), similarly to (11). Furthermore, as we argue in the Appendix, in the asymptotic limit (1 1) the surface correlation function can be expressed by the partition function f (n) of the corresponding directed walk,

$$G_{s}(1) / \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dn \frac{1}{n^{3-2}} exp = \frac{1^{2}}{n} [f^{2}(n) - f^{2}(1)]:$$
 (17)

Thus the surface properties of the model are connected to the asymptotic behaviour of f(n) in (15). For dimensional permutations, corresponding to dimensional phases of the random Ising model, the f(n) function has dimensional production behaviour, as can be seen in Fig. 5 for a dilution of r = 1=10.

In the ordered phase,  $T < T_c$ , f(n) approaches a nite limit, the surface magnetization m<sub>1</sub>, through an exponential decay,

$$f(n) = m_1(T) + A \exp(n = \frac{2}{k}) \quad T < T_c$$
: (18)

For T  $T_c$  the limiting value of f (n) is zero, which corresponds to vanishing surface magnetization, and the decay for T >  $T_c$  is exponential,

$$f(n) / exp(n=_{k}^{2}) T > T_{c};$$
 (19)

whereas at the critical point, it has the form of a power law

$$f(n) / n \qquad T = T_c$$
: (20)

W e argue that  $_{k}$  in equations (18) and (19) is the surface correlation length, below and above the critical point, respectively. Indeed, substituting (18) or (19) into (17) and evaluating the integral by the saddle-point method, we get

$$G_{s}(l) / \exp(l_{k});$$
 (21)

in accordance with the de nition of the surface correlation length.

At the critical point, where f (n) as in (20), the surface correlation function in equation (17) leads to a power law decay  $G_s(1) = 1^4$ . Thus the decay exponent, k, of the critical surface correlation function is given by

$$_{k} = 4$$
 : (22)

We conclude at this point, that we have obtained a complete description about the surface properties of the random Ising model by the star-triangle method. In the following, we shall use the above formalism to calculate numerically the surface magnetization, the critical surface correlations, and the correlation length.

## 3.2. Num erical results

In the actual calculations, we considered the random Ising model of the MC simulations, with a (1,1) surface, on a strip of width L of a diagonal square lattice (which can be considered as a triangular lattice with vanishing vertical couplings) and im posed periodic boundary conditions. To reduce nite size e ects, we considered relatively large strips (with L up to 512). We checked that the di erence between the results for the two largest strips (L = 256 and L = 512) is essentially negligible, doing up to n = 2000 iterations[28]. We calculated the partition function f (n) as a function of n, averaging over several (typically around twenty) random con gurations of the couplings. The ratio r between the two, weak and strong, random couplings was chosen to be 1, 1/4, and 1/10, as in the simulations; both couplings occur with the same probability, p = 1=2.

We start with the analysis of the results in the ordered phase, i.e.  $T < T_c$ . For a given temperature, f(n) approaches the surface magnetization m<sub>1</sub>, see (14), which is found to agree (within the error of the calculations) with MC data presented in the previous Section. Approaching the critical point, the convergency of f(n) with n becomes slower, in accordance with the form of the correction term in (18). A ccordingly, accurate estimates become more di cult. As in the case of the simulations, the ensemble sampling over dierent congurations seems to be, how ever, the main source of error.

From the values for  $m_1(t)$  at dimensional temperatures t, we determ ined e extive surface magnetization exponents  $(1)_{eff}(t)$ , as de ned in (9). The estimates of the elective exponents obtained from the star-triangle method are close to those found by the MC technique, see Fig.3. Thus we can important  $_1$  is rather robust against introducing random ness in the two{dimensional Ising model.

At  $T_c$ , we studied the surface correlation function, as follows from the partition function f (n). As shown in Fig. 6, f (n) exhibits, with n ranging from 100 to 1000, on a log-log plot (ln (f (n)) vs. ln (n)), an alm ost linear behaviour. The average slope then de nes an average decay exponent <sub>av</sub>, see (20). For the perfect model, our estimate agrees nicely with the exact value <sub>pure</sub> = 1=4. In the random case, the average exponent decreases with rising random ness, i.e. decreasing value of r. For 100 < n < 1000, we obtain the average exponents <sub>av</sub> = 0.228 and <sub>av</sub> = 0.207, at r = 1=4 and r = 1=10, respectively. Based on these estimates, one may argue, that also the decay exponent k, see equation (22), varies with dilution, r. However, a more detailed analysis is needed to substantiate or repudiate these statements. For instance, looking at the local e ective exponent, de ned in analogy to (9), a slight increase of that exponent with increasing n is observed. Indeed, the data for f (n) depicted in Fig. 6, may be tted by the power law of the perfect modelm odi ed by logarithm ic corrections with alm ost identical con dence (doing least square ts) as by the power laws with the average, dilution dependent exponents. Thence, our data leave room to di erent interpretations.

In the disordered phase of the model,  $T > T_c$ , we studied the correlation length from the asymptotic decay of f (n) in (19). Examples of the results of our calculations are shown in Fig. 7, plotting ln (f (n)) as a function of n at several temperatures t for r = 1=10. As seen from that gure, f (n) seems to exhibit an exponential decay, with  $_k$  (t) <sup>2</sup> following from the slopes of the curves. Approaching the critical temperature  $T_c$ , the correlation length is expected to diverge as  $_k$  (t) t. From data at t > 0.05, we calculated average critical exponents  $_{av}$  (t), with  $_{av} = 1.07$  (2) at r = 1=4, and  $_{av} = 1.13$  (6) at r = 1=10. These average exponents are larger than the asymptotic exponent of the puremodel,  $_{pure} = 1$ , see (6), and vary with the degree of dilution. Note that sim ilar values have been obtained before by using nite size scaling [11]. However, those average values have been interpreted as re ecting logarithm is corrections to the power law of the perfect case [11]. A gain, we cannot rule out that possibility.

For further interpretation of our data, we consider the scaling relation [17]

$$_{1} = _{k} = 2;$$
 (23)

which is satisfied, within the errors of the estimates, by the average exponents, both for r = 1=4 and r = 1=10. Following the alternate interpretation involving logarithmic corrections, the critical surface correlations, described by <sub>k</sub>, would be then a ected by logarithmic corrections, due to the correction terms in the correlation length (and their presumed absence in the surface magnetization). The above scaling law, (23), can be obtained by relating the surface correlation function between two spins at a distance of the correlation length, (t), to the square of the surface m agnetization,

$$G_{s}((t)) = m_{1}^{2}(t);$$
 (24)

in the limit t ! 0 (when logarithm ic corrections are present, such a relation has been, for instance, checked for the q = 4 state Potts m odel[29]). Then, supposing logarithm ic term s in the surface correlations, but not in the surface m agnetization, one easily arrives at the conjecture

$$G_{s}(l) = l^{1} (ln l)^{1=2}$$
 (25)

### 4. Sum m ary

In this paper, the boundary critical properties of the two-dimensional random Ising model have been studied by MC techniques and by the star{triangle (ST) approach. In the simulations, we computed magnetization proles, allowing to monitor surface and bulk quantities simultaneously. On the other hand, by the ST method we calculated the surface magnetization as well as surface correlation functions. Both methods provide data for the surface magnetization which are in very good agreement, demonstrating the correctness and accuracy of the two approaches.

To analyse the behaviour of the random Ising model in the critical region, we considered three singular quantities: the surface magnetization, the (surface) correlation length and the critical surface correlation function. The surface magnetization of the dilute model, as obtained from both methods, follows closely the power law of the corresponding perfect model, where  $_1 = 1/2$ , showing the robustness of that exponent against even fairly strong random ness.

The behaviour of the other two singular quantities, the critical surface correlation function and the correlation length, as determ ined from the ST method, is rather subtle. Note that in the ST method we averaged over the logarithm of the surface correlation function, leading to inform ation about the typical behaviour of the correlation length. The numerical estimates for the critical exponents of both quantities, i.e.  $_{k}$  and  $_{k}$ , are found to be dilution dependent, when calculating average exponents. Similar notings have been reported before for bulk exponents in the two{dimensional random Ising model. These non{universal average bulk exponents have been interpreted previously either as rejections of the power laws in the perfect model (in accordance with edd) theoretical predictions). O ur numerical data for the surface quantities leave room to both types of interpretation, as concerns bulk and surface critical properties. Extending the eld-theoretical predictions and attributing the apparent variation of the average exponents with the degree of dilution to logarithm ic corrections, we conjectured, in equation (25), the asymptotic form of the critical surface correlation function.

Appendix

W e consider the st two layers of a hexagonal Ising lattice (Fig. 4), where a surface spin s is connected to the second layer spins  $s_{+}$  and s by couplings  $p_{+}$  and p, respectively. W e are interested in a relation between the therm all averages  $hs_{+}$  i, hs i and hsi.

W e start by considering the conditional probability

$$P(s)j_{s_{+},s} = \frac{\exp(p_{+}ss_{+}+p ss_{-})}{\exp(p_{+}ss_{+}+p ss_{-})};$$
(26)

with xed values of  $s_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and s . Under this condition the expectational value of s is given by

$$hsij_{s_{+},s} = tanh (p_{+} ss_{+} + p ss_{-})$$

$$= tanh (s_{+} + s_{-})\frac{p_{+} + p_{-}}{2} + (s_{+} - s_{-})\frac{p_{+} - p_{-}}{2}; \qquad (27)$$
which can be evaluated using the fact that  $s_{+} = -1$  and  $s = -1$  as

$$hsij_{s_{+},s} = s_{+} \frac{\tanh(p_{+} + p) + \tanh(p_{+} - p)}{2} + s \frac{\tanh(p_{+} + p) + \tanh(p_{-} - p)}{2} :$$
(28)

At this point, one can sum over the variables  $s_+$  and s leading to

$$hsi = hs_{+} i \frac{\tanh (p_{+} + p_{-}) + \tanh (p_{+} - p_{-})}{2} + hs_{-} i \frac{\tanh (p_{+} + p_{-}) + \tanh (p_{-} - p_{+})}{2}; \qquad (29)$$

which is equivalent to equation (11).

The connected surface correlation function of the n-th triangularm odel 
$$g^{(n)}$$
 (i+ 1; i) =  
 $hs_{i+1}^{(n)}s_{i}^{(n)}i$   $hs_{i+1}^{(n)}ihs_{i}^{(n)}i$  and that of the (n + 1)-th model are related by  
 $g^{(n)}$  (i+ 1; i) =  $a_{(i+1)}^{(n+1)}a_{i+}^{(n+1)}g^{(n+1)}$  (i+ 1+ 1; i+ 1) +  $a_{(i+1)+}^{(n+1)}a_{i}^{(n+1)}g^{(n+1)}$  (i+ 1+ 1; i 1)  
+  $a_{(i+1)}^{(n+1)}a_{i+}^{(n+1)}g^{(n+1)}$  (i+ 1 1; i+ 1)  
+  $a_{(i+1)}^{(n+1)}a_{i}^{(n+1)}g^{(n+1)}$  (i+ 1 1; i); (30)

which can be obtained along the lines of (11). Iterating the expression in (30), one obtains a sum, each term of which can be form ally represented by two directed walks, which start at positions i + l and i, respectively. If the two walks meet at step n and at some position j, then  $g^{(n)}(j;j) = 1$   $h_{j}^{(n)}i^{2}$  and the walks annihilate each other. In the n ! 1 limit, the non-vanishing contribution to  $g^{(0)}(i + l; i) = g(i + l; i)$  is given by those processes, which are connected to annihilated walks. In the transfer matrix notation the average surface correlation function is given by

$$G_{s}(l) = \frac{1}{L} \frac{X^{L} X Y^{n}}{\sum_{i=1 \text{ a.w.} m=1}^{m} A^{(m)} X^{n}} A^{(k)} 1 \frac{(n)^{2}}{\sum_{j=1 \text{ a.w.} m=1}^{m} \sum_{i+1;j=k=1}^{m} A^{(k)} 1 \frac{(n)^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} A^{(k)} X^{n}} A^{(k)} A^{(k)}$$

12

The asymptotic behavior of this expression can be obtained by noticing that the transverse uctuations of directed walks have G aussian nature, and the corresponding probability distribution is sharp. Consequently, in the large 1 (and large n) lim it it is enough to consider the typical processes. Then there are two factors in (31), which are both approaching the partition function of n-step directed walks, f (n), see (15), and these contributions should be multiplied by  $P_n$  (1), the ratio of those walks which are annihilated at the n-th step. In this way, we obtain

$$G_{s}(1) = \Pr_{n}(1)[f^{2}(n) = f^{2}(1)];$$
 (32)

which in the continuum approximation is given in (17).

A cknow ledgm ents

P.L. and F.Sz. would like to thank the Institutes for Theoretical Physics at the Universitat Hannover, especially Prof. H.U.Everts, and at the Technische Hochschule Aachen for kind hospitality, as well as the Deutsche Akadem ische Austauschdienst and the Soros Foundation, Budapest, for facilitating their visits. F.J.'s work has been supported by the Hungarian NationalResearch Fund under grants OTKA TO 12830 and OTKA TO 23642 and by the Ministery of Education under grant No FKFP 0765/1997.

#### 14

References

- [1] Harris A B 1974 J. Phys. C 7 1671
- [2] Dotsenko V ik S and Dotsenko V IS 1983 Adv. Phys. 32 129
  - Dotsenko V 1995 Usp.Fiz.Nau 165 481
- [3] Shalaev B N 1994 Phys. Rep. 237 129
- [4] Selke W , Shchur L N , and Talapov A L 1994 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics vol1, ed
   D Stau er (Singapore: W orld Scienti c) p 17
- [5] Dotsenko V, Picco M and PujolP 1995 Nucl. Phys. B 455 [FS] 701
- [6] Ludwig A W W 1990 Nucl. Phys. B330 639
- [7] W ang J{S, Selke W, D otsenko V 1S, and Andreichenko V B 1990 Physica A 164 221
- [8] Ballesteros H G, Fernandez L A, M artin {M ayor V, M unoz Sudupe A, Parisi G, and Ruiz {Lorenzo J J 1997 J. Phys. A (in print)
- [9] de Queiroz S L A and Stinchcom be R B 1996 Phys. Rev. E 54 190
- [10] Stau er D, Aanao Reis F D A, de Queiroz S L A, and dos Santos R R 1997 Int. J. M od. Phys. C (in print)
- [11] Aamao Reis F D A, de Queiroz S L A, and dos Santos R R 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 6013
- [12] K im J{K and Patrascioiu A 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 2785
- [13] Kuhn R 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 2268
- [14] M CC oy B M and W u T T 1973 The Two {D im ensional Ising M odel (C am bridge: H arvard U niversity P ress)
- [15] Selke W , Szalm a F, Lajko P, and Igloi F 1997 J. Stat. Phys. 89 1079
- [16] Fisch R 1978 J. Stat. Phys. 18 111
- [17] Binder K 1983 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena vol 8, eds. C Dom b and J L Lebow itz (London: A cadem ic Press) p 1
- [18] DiehlH W 1986 Phase Transitions and Critical Phenom ena vol10, eds. C Dom b and J L Lebow itz (London: A cadem ic Press) p 75
- [19] Bariev R Z 1980 Teo. Mat. Fiz. 40 95
- [20] Czemer P and Ritschel U 1997 Int. J. M od. Phys. B 11 2075
- [21] Fisher M E and Ferdinand A E 1967 Phys. Rev. Lett 19 169
- [22] Peschel I 1984 Phys. Rev. B 30 6783
- [23] Pleim ling M and Selke W 1997 Preprint
- [24] Hilhorst H J and van Leeuwen JM J 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 1188
- [25] Burkhardt T W, Guim I, Hilhorst H J and van Leeuwen JM J 1984 Phys. Rev. B 30 1486
- [26] IgloiF and Lajko P 1996 J. Phys. A 29 4803
- [27] Burkhardt T W and Guim I 1997 Physica A (in print)
- [28] One expects that the characteristic values of n and L are related by L  $n^{1=2}$ , like the size of transverse uctuations of a directed walk to the number n of steps
- [29] Cardy JL, Nauenberg M and Scalapino D J 1980 Phys. Rev. B 22 2560

Figure 1. Magnetization proles m (i) of two{dimensional perfect (squares) and random, r = 1=4 (circles), Ising models with (11) surfaces, at t = 0.2 (open symbols) and t = 0.05 (full symbols). System s of size 160 80 were simulated.

Figure 2.E ective exponent (i)  $_{eff}$ , with = 1,2,3, and 10, vs. reduced tem perature t, for the perfect Ising m odel with (11) surface. The solid lines denote exact results[14,22]. M onte C arb data for system s of sizes 80 40 (t > 0.3), 160 80 (0.07 < t < 0.3), and 320 160 (t < 0.07) are shown.

Figure 3. E ective exponent  $(1)_{eff}$ , vs. reduced tem perature t for the random two{ dimensional Ising model with (11) surface, at r=1/4 (circles) and r=1/10 (triangles). System s of sizes 80 40 (t > 0:3), 160 80 (t = 0.275), 320 160 (0:1 < t < 0.275), 640 320 (0:05 < t < 0:1), and 1280 640 (t < 0:05) were simulated. The solid line denotes the exact result in the perfect case[22].

F igure 4. M apping of the original triangular lattice (solid line) to an equivalent hexagonal lattice (dashed line) and further to a new triangular lattice (dotted line) using the star-triangle transform ation. The surface spins of the n-th m odel ( $S_1^{(n)}$ ) and those of the (n + 1)-th m odel ( $S_{1+}^{(n+1)}$ ;  $S_1^{(n+1)}$ ) are connected by the surface couplings of the interm ediate (n + 1)-th hexagonalm odel ( $p_{1+}^{(n+1)}$ ;  $p_1^{(n+1)}$ ). The couplings fK ig, fpig; i = 1;2;3 appearing in the star-triangle relation in (10) are also indicated.

Figure 5. Magnetization of the (1;1) surface of the two-dimensional random Ising model with r = 1=10 as a function of the temperature. The nite iteration approximants f (n) of the star-trianglemethod in equation (15) are indicated by circles (n = 128), squares (n = 256), triangles (n = 512) and by crosses (n = 1024). The asymptotic behaviour of f (n) is different for  $T < T_c$ ,  $T > T_c$  and at  $T = T_c$ , as given in (18),(19) and (20), respectively.

Figure 6. Finite iteration approximants to the surface magnetization, f(n), as a function of n in a log-log plot, at the critical point of the two-dimensional random Ising model with dilution r = 1=10 (squares) and r = 1=4 (circles), compared with the perfect model (triangles). The slope of the curves, indicated by straight lines, is related to the average decay exponent  $_k$  of the critical surface correlations through (22), see text.

Figure 7. Finite iteration approximants to the surface magnetization, f(n), as a function of n in a sem i-logarithm ic plot, at di erent reduced temperatures t = 0.1 (triangles), t = 0.2 (circles) and t = 0.3 (squares) above the critical point of the twodimensional random Ising model with r = 1=10. The slope of the curves, indicated by straight lines, corresponds to the inverse square of the average correlation length, see equation (19).













