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A bstract
W e present a sim ple approach to calculate the degeneracy and the structure of the ground states of non-abelian quantum $H$ all ( QH ) liquids on the torus. O ur approach can be applied to any QH liquids (abelian or non-abelian) obtained from the parton construction. W e explain our approach by studying a series of exam ples of increasing complexity. W hen the e ective theory of a non-abelian QH liquid is a non-abelian Chem-Sim ons (CS) theory, our approach reproduces the well known results for the ground state degeneracy of the CS theory. H ow ever, our approach also apply to non-abelian QH liquids whose e ective theories are not known and which cannot be written as a nonabelian CS theory. We nd that the ground states on a torus of all non-abelian $Q H$ liquids obtained from the parton construction can be described by points on a lattice inside a \folded unit œlll" The folding is generated by re ection, rotations, etc. Thus the ground state structures on the torus described by the \folded unit cells" provide a way to (at least partially) classify non-abelian Q H liquids obtained from the parton construction.

PACS num ber: $73.40 \mathrm{Hm}, 03.65 \mathrm{~F}$ d, 0220 Km

## 1 Introduction

It has becom e increasingly clear that the $Q$ uantum $H$ all $(Q H)$ liquids [], Z] are not $m$ erely disordered liquids: they are quantum liquids $w$ ith extrem ely rich and totally new intemal structures (or topological orders) [3]. Thus Q H liquids represent a new class ofm atter. A thhough we still do not have a com plete theory of this new kind of order, we do know that Q H liquids can be divided into two classes \{ abelian $6_{4}$, 5 , G] and non-abelian [7, [8]. The e ective theory of abelian Q H liquids is known to be the U (1) C hem-Sim ons (C S) theory 目, [G], and because of that we have a classi cation of all abelian Q H liquids 11] in term s of the so-called K m atrix.

In contrast, the ective theories of $m$ any known non-abelian $Q H$ liquids are unknown. The problem is not because we know too little about a non-abelian Q H liquid so that we cannot deduce the e ective theory. In many cases, we know a lot about the low energy properties of a Q H liquid, and still do not know its e ective theory. This is sim ply because the correct e ective theory has not been nam ed yet. Giving a name is easy, but gixing a proper name is hard. G íving a proper name which carries $m$ eaningful inform ation am ounts to the task of classifying non-abelian Q H liquid, and so far we do not know how to do this.

In this paper we concentrate on the physical properties of non-abelian states on the torus, and use a simple approach to calculate the ground state degeneracy of non-abelian states. W e assum e no prior know ledge of the sub ject. O ur approach is dow $n$ to earth, and we proceed through speci c exam ples.
$F$ irst we test our approach on abelian states. Then we study the non-abelian state with wave function $\left({ }_{q}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\right)^{2}$ where $q$ is the wave function with $q$ led Landau levels. $U$ sing the $S U(2)_{q} C S$ e ective theory of the $\left({ }_{q}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$ state 8$]$, we nd that the $\left({ }_{q}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$ state has $q+1$ degenerate ground states on a torus. The $q+1$ degeneracy of the $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{q} C S$ theory has been calculated before, using a powerfilm athem atical approach based on algebraic geom etry, topological theory, and Lie algebraic theory [9, 10]. W e hope that our discussion w ill.be m ore accessible to the non $-m$ athem atical reader. N ext, we study a slightly more com plicated non-abelian state with wave
function ${ }_{1}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\left({ }_{q}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\right)^{2}$. W e are able to set up a sim ple modelwhich describes the $(q+1)(q+2)=2$ degenerate ground states of the ${ }_{1}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\left({ }_{q}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\right)^{2} Q H$ liquid on the torus. It is not clear, how ever, whether our m odel can be derived from a nam ed topological eld theory. Since the m odel is given by the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory (which has2 (q+1) (q+2) ground states on the tonus) w ith som e additionalpro jections, we call our e ective theory ( $\left.\mathrm{U}(1)_{2 q+4} \quad \mathrm{SU}(2)_{q}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ theory. Then, we study the nonabelian state $w$ ith wave function $\left[{ }_{q}\left(f_{i} g\right)\right]^{3}$ (associated with SU (3) CS theory) to dem onstrate $m$ ore features of the ground states on torus. In particular we show that, on torus, the non-abelian state $\left[{ }_{q}\left(f z_{i} g\right)\right]^{3}$ can described by a U (1) U (1) CS theory plus som e pro jections.

A though we only discussed som e sim ple exam ples, the approach used here can be applied to $m$ ore com plicated non-abelian states. Through those studies we see some generalpattems. W e hope those pattems w ill shed light on how to classify topological orders in non-abelian Q H liquids. W e outline such a classi cation in the concluding section.

## 2 Abelian FQH states

It is well known by now that the fractionalquantum H all ( FQH ) uid can be represented e ectively by a Chem-Sim ons CS eld theory 1 , 21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d^{z} x d t \frac{1}{4} K_{I J} \quad a^{I} @ a^{J} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith an integer valued m atrix K. T he theory is topological, w ith low energy properties characterized by degenerate ground states. In a classic paper [12] on the sub ject, $W$ en show ed that the ground state degeneracy of (1) when quantized on a m aniffld of genus $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=(\operatorname{det} K)^{g} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we study the simple case when the $m$ anifold is a torus $(g=1)$. $F$ irst let we assum e the $m$ atrix $K$ is 1 and equal to an integer $k$. In this case the CS theory (1) is the ective theory of lling fraction $=1=k$ Laughlin state,
and $D$ is just the ground state degeneracy of the Laughlin state. Let us call the CS theory w th $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{k}$ the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{CS}$ theory. The approach used below and in the next section for abelian and non-abelian CS theories are not entirely new (see Ref. [9]). W e present it here for the purpose of introducing proper notations and concepts for later discussions. W e also present our introduction to the CS theory (abelian or non-abelian) in a way which is easy for people not in the eld of eld/string theory to understand.
$W$ en [12] determ ined the ground state degeneracy $D$ by adding to $S$ the nontopologicalM axw ell term

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} x d t{ }^{p} \bar{g} g \quad g \quad \frac{1}{f^{2}} F \quad F \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $f^{2}$ som e coupling constant. Here $g$ denote the $m$ etric of the $m$ anifold. On a torus, the ground state properties are determ ined by constant (independent of ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}$ ) but of course dependent on tim e) gauge potentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=0 ; \quad a_{1}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=\frac{2 x(t)}{L_{1}} ; \quad a_{2}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=\frac{2 y(t)}{L_{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(L_{1} ; L_{2}\right)$ are the size of the torus. The dynam ics of the constant gauge potentials is described by the Lagrangian obtained by inserting (4) into (1) and (3) (w ith m determ ined by the $M$ axwell coupling $1=f^{2}$ )

$$
L=k\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y \underline{x} & x y \tag{5}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m \quad \underline{x}^{2}+\underline{y}^{2}
$$

The \large" gauge transform ations $V=e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ and $V=e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{2}}$ transform a constant gauge potential to another constant gauge potential, and induce (under the gauge transform ation a ! a iV ${ }^{1} @ V$ ) the follow ing changes: $(x ; y)!(x+1 ; y)$ and $(x ; y)!(x ; y+1)$. Since ( $x ; y),(x+1 ; y)$, and $(x ; y+1)$ are related by gauge transform ation, they represent equivalent point

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y) \quad(x+1 ; y) \quad(x ; y+1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus Lagrangian in (5) describes a m ass m particle on a torus $w$ ith a uniform \m agnetic eld." The total num ber of $u x$ quanta is $k$, which leads to a $k$-fold degenerate ground state.

The de ning characteristic of a topological theory such as (1) is that it does not depend on the $m$ etric. $W$ e should be able to determ ine the ground state of a topological eld theory w ithout having to add a regulating term which breaks the topological character of the theory. In the follow ing, we will determ ine the ground state degeneracy without adding the regulating term .
$G$ iven (taking $m=0$ in (5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dtL}=^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dt} 2 \mathrm{kx} \mathrm{~L} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~L}}=2 \mathrm{kx} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x ; y]=\frac{i}{2 k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we regard $y$ as the position variable, the con jugatem om entum is given by $\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{kx}$. The Ham iltonian H vanishes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=p \underline{L}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

a halm ark of a topological theory. Thus the Schrodinger equation just reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=E \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

How then do we determ ine the wave functions (y)?
Naively, any function (y) will have zero energy and would qualify as a ground state $w$ ave function. But such a wave function would in general not satisfy the equivalence condition (6)! The allowed wave functions are determ ined by the requirem ent that the particle lives on a torus with coordinates ( $x ; y$ ) such that $x \quad x+1$ and $y \quad y+1$. In other words, $y$ and $y+1$ really represent the sam e point and hence we m ust require $(y)=(y+1)$. This periodicity condition im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y)=X_{n=1}^{X^{3}} G_{n} e^{i 2 n y} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith n an integer. To im pose the periodicity condition in the x direction $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}+$ 1, we need to $m$ ake a Fourier transform ation to obtain the wave function in the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ om entum " space. C anonical conjugation gives p $=i \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}}}$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(p)=^{x} C_{n} \quad(p \quad 2 r) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful (if only to get rid of the (2 )'s, but m ore im portantly to em phasize the equal status of x and y ) to recall $\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{x}$ and hence to de ne a wave function in the x coordinate

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)={ }^{x} \quad G_{n} \quad(k x \quad n) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}+1$ now im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=C_{n+k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e have thus reached the conclusion that the ground state degeneracy $D$ is $k$, since there are $k$ independent $c_{n}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, nam ely $\mathrm{c}_{1} ; \mathrm{c}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}$. W e have thus shown how to determ ine the ground state degeneracy w ithout breaking the topological character of the theory.

It is usefiul to de ne the periodic delta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{y}) \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

equal to 1 (up to som e irrelevant overall in nite constant) if $y$ is an integer, and 0 otherw ise. It is also convenient to write, for any integer $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{lk}+\mathrm{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith $l$ an integer) and de ne $[n]_{k} \quad m$ as the reduced part of $n . W$ e $w$ ill suppress the index $k$ on $[n]_{k}$ if there is no ambiguity. $W$ ith these de nitions we can rewrite (12) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (y)=x_{m=1}^{X^{k}} C_{m} e^{i 2 m y} \quad e_{l=1}^{0} e^{X^{1}} \quad 1 \\
& =g(y){ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{ky}) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain $w$ riting $n=1 k+m$, we obtain from (14) that $[k x]=m$. $\operatorname{since} c_{n}=c_{m}$ by periodicity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=C_{[k x]}{ }^{P}(k x) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This show sthat $(x)$ and $(y)$ are indeed on the sam e footing, and that $(y)$ is, in the sense described here, just the Fourier transform of $c_{m}$. W e note that ( $x$ ) and ( $y$ ) are proportional to ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kx})$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{ky})$ respectively. Thus the position coordinates $x$ and $y$ are both quantized to be $\frac{1}{k} ; \frac{2}{k} ;::: ; \frac{k 1}{k} ; 1$. O f course, $x$ and $y$ cannot be sim ultaneously diagonalized. M ore precisely, the wave function $(x)$ is non-zero only when x take on certain discrete values, (and sim ilarly w th x replaced by y.)

W em ention here for later use that it is now of counse straightforw ard to generalize the case given in (1). The e ective point particle quantum m echanics is described by the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~K}_{I J} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~V}_{J} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(repeated indiges in $I ; J$ sum $m$ ed) and the com $m$ utation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{I} ; Y_{J}\right]=\frac{i}{2}\left(K^{1}\right)_{I J} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave function in the $y$ coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y)=e_{n}^{x} c_{n} e^{i 2 n y} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{I}$, the com ponents of $n$, are integens. T he corresponding $w$ ave function in the x -coordinates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)={ }_{n}^{x} \quad G_{n} \quad x_{I} \quad\left(K^{1}\right)_{I J} n_{J} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 SU (2) non-abelian FQH states

N ext, let us calculate the ground states degeneracy of a sim ple class of non-abelian Q H states. It was pointed out [ $\left.\mathrm{O}^{[ }\right]$that the QH liquid described by wave function $\left({ }_{q}\left(z_{1} ;:: ; z_{N}\right)\right)^{2}$ (where $q$ is the ferm ion $w a v e$ function $w$ ith $q$ led Landau levels)
is a non－abelian QH state，whose e ective theory is the SU（2）${ }_{q} C S$ theory（i．e．the SU（2）level－q CS theory）．Let us rst recall how the non－abelian states［7］can be constructed，using the rather physical parton construction［8］．For the sake of pedagogical clarity，we focus on a speci c（but unphysical）exam ple．

W e im agine that at short distances the electron can be cut into two constituents （\partons＂），each of charge $e_{0}=e=2$ ．The long distance physics of the resulting H all uid should be independent of the details of the short distance dynam ics．This can be explained very sim ply in term s of wave functions．D enote the coordinates of the partons by $f z_{i} g ;=1 ; 2$ and $i=1 ; 2 ;::: ; N$ ，$w$ ith $N$ electrons in the system ． Let each species of partons llq Landau levels，and denote the corresponding wave function by $q$ ．The wave function of the entire uid is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { q } z_{1}^{(1)} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(1)} \quad \text { q } \quad z_{1}^{(2)} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now have to tie the \partons＂together to form the electrons．This is done by setting $z_{j}^{(1)}=z_{j}^{(2)}=z_{j}$ in the wave function．For instance，for $q=1$ ，we have $1=\sum_{i>j}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)$ ，and so we obtain $\quad \sum_{i>j}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{2}$ ，whidh is nothing but the $=\frac{1}{2}$ Laughlin state（for bosonic electrons），as Laughlin［⿴囗⿱一兀寸［ taught us．
In eld theoretic language，before we bind the \partons＂together into electrons， we have the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & i{ }_{1}^{y} @_{t}+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{1}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i}\right)^{2}{ }_{1} \\
& +i{ }_{2}^{y} @_{t}+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{2}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i}\right)^{2}{ }_{2} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

with 1， 2 corresponding to the two parton elds．We ghe the two \partons＂ together by coupling them to an SU（2）gauge potentials a ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=i^{y}\left(@_{t} \quad i a_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i} \quad i a_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=l_{2}^{1}$ and a are herm itian traceless 2 by 2 m atrioes．N ow we can integrate out $1 ; 2$（see for exam ple Ref．［6］）and obtain the e ective theory：

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{2 \mathrm{q}_{0}^{2}}{4} \quad A @ A+\frac{q}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \quad\left(a @ a+\frac{i}{3} a \operatorname{a} a\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A llwe need from Ref. [6] is the result that given

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=i{ }^{y} @_{t}+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and w th lling $q$ Landau levels we obtain the e ective Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{\mathrm{qe}_{0}^{2}}{4} \quad A @ A \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

upon integrating out. There is also an analogous form ula for the non-abelian sector.
The rstterm tellsusthat the this state hasa lling fraction $=2 q_{0}^{2}=e^{2}=\mathrm{q}=2$. The second term describes a level q SU (2) (denoted by SU (2) $)_{q}$ ) Chem-Sim ons C S e ective theory, which determ ines the ground state properties of the $Q H$ liquid on com pact spaces.

Starting from the $S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory (27), we choose the $a_{0}=0$ gauge to calculate the ground states on a torus. In this gauge we need to enforoe the oonstraint of zero eld strength: $f_{i j}=0$. Introduce $W$ ilson loop operators $U_{c} \quad P\left[e^{i}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{dx}\right.$ a $] 2$ SU (2) (where P [:::] is a path ordered product). For a contractable path c , we have trivially $U_{C}=1$ due to the constraint. On a torus, all the gauge invariant quantities are contained in the two $W$ ilson loop operators for the tw o non-contractable loops $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 2}$ in the $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ directions: $U_{1}=P\left[e^{i} c_{1}^{d x a}\right]$ and $U_{2}=P\left[e^{i} c_{2}^{d x a}\right]$. Since $U_{1} U_{2} U_{1}^{Y} U_{2}^{Y}$ is a W ilson loop operator for a contractable loop, we have $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{1}^{Y} \mathrm{U}_{2}^{\mathrm{Y}}=1$, and $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ com $m$ utes $w$ th $U_{2}$. $M$ aking a global $S U$ (2) gauge transform ation, we can $m$ ake $U_{1 ; 2}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \times{ }_{3}} ; \quad \mathrm{U}_{2}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{y} 3} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his corresponds to spatially constant gauge potentials: writing $a_{i}=a_{i}^{1} w$ th $l_{1}$ the usual P aulim atrioes we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{1 ; 2}=0 ; \quad a_{1}^{3}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=2 x(t)=L_{1} ; \quad a_{2}^{3}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)=2 y(t)=L_{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that the SU (2) CS theory has at low energies non-trivial physical degrees of freedom described by ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) ( j ust as in $\mathrm{S}^{5}$ )). C lassically di erent values of ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) correspond to di erent degenerate physical states, and there are in nite num ber of
degenerate ground states. H ow ever, in quantum theory, x and y do not com m ute and the uncertainty relation leads to only a nite num ber of degenerate ground states. To obtain the com mutator between $x$ and $y$, we insert (31) into (27) to obtain the e ective theory

$$
S={ }^{z} d t 2 q(x \underline{y} \quad y \underline{x}):
$$

$T$ his is identical to the e ective theory for the $U(1)_{k}$ theory 7 ) w ith the identi cation $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{q} . \mathrm{T}$ he resulting com m utator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x ; y]=\frac{i}{2 k} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, under a large gauge transform ation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \quad x+1 ; y \quad y+1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, naively one $m$ ight think that the $S U(2)_{q}$ theory is described by (32) and (34) which is nothing but a $U(1)_{k}$ theory $w$ ith $k=2 q$. But that would be wrong. In fact, the $S U(2)_{q}$ theory is not equivalent to the $U(1)_{2 q}$ theory, because the $S U$ (2) CS theory contains an additional globalSU (2) gauge transform ation that changes $a^{3}$ to $a^{3}$. This gauge transform ation im poses an additionalequivalence condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y) \quad(x ; y) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (32), (34), and (35) form a com plete description of the $S U(2)_{q}$ theory on the tonus.

From the above discussion, we see that the $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{q}$ states can be obtained from the $U(1)_{k=2 q}$ states. The $k$ states in the $U(1)_{k}$ theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y)={ }^{x} \quad c_{n} e^{i 2 n y} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)={ }^{x} \quad c_{n} \quad(k x \quad n) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}$. The additional condition (35) im plies that only those states that satisfy $(\mathrm{y})=(\mathrm{y})$ and $(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{x})$ can belong to the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{q}}$ theory. This requires $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Thus the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{CS}$ theory, as well as the non-abelian $\mathrm{FQ} H$ state described by $\left({ }_{q}\right)^{2}$, has $q+1$ degenerate ground states, corresponding to the $q+1$ independent coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$.

It tums out that $S U(2)_{1} C S$ theory represents a special case. W e note that w hen $q=1$, the requirem ent $c_{n}=c_{n}$ does not rem ove any states. $T$ his agrees $w$ ith the known result that the $S U(2)_{1} C S$ theory is equivalent to the $U(1)_{2} C S$ theory.

## 4 U (1) SU (2) non-abelian FQ H states

In this section we are going to discuss a non-abelian state which is closely related to the one discussed above, but which is physical. The electron is a ferm ion and we would like to split it into three ferm ionic partons. Thus we rst split an electron into three di erent partons of electric charge $e_{0}=q=(q+2), e_{1}=e_{2}=$ $1=(q+2)$ (so that $\left.e_{0}+e_{1}+e_{2}=1\right)$, and $w$ rite the above $w$ ave function as ${ }_{1}\left(z_{1}^{(0)} ;:: ; z_{N}^{(0)}\right){ }_{q}\left(z_{1}^{(1)} ;::: ; z_{N}^{(1)}\right){ }_{q}\left(z_{1}^{(2)} ;:: ; z_{N}^{(2)}\right)$. This non-abelian state has a wave function ${ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\left({ }_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right)^{2}$. The e ective theory for the partons is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & i{ }_{0}^{y} @_{t} 0+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{0}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i}\right)^{2} 0 \\
& +i{ }_{1}^{y} @_{t} \quad+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{1}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{1} A_{i}\right)^{2}{ }_{1} \\
& +i{ }_{2}^{y} @_{t} 1+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{2}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{2} A_{i}\right)^{2} \quad \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

The above e ective theory describes three independent $Q H$ uids of lling fraction $0=1,1=2=q$. Now we include $a(1)$ and an $S U(2)$ gauge eld, $b$ and $a$, to recom bine partons together to form an electron:

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & i{ }_{0}^{y}\left(@_{t} \quad 2 i b_{0}\right) \quad 0+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{0}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i} \quad 2 i b_{i}\right)^{2} 0 \\
& +i{ }^{y}\left(@_{t} \quad i a_{0}+i b_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{1} A_{i} \quad i a_{i}+i b_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=1$ and a are 2 by 2 m atriges. N ow we can integrate out 0;1;2 (see (29) or $R$ ef. [6]) and obtain the e ective theory for the $1{ }_{q}^{2}$ state:

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{e_{0}^{2}+2 q e_{1}^{2}}{4} A @ A \quad+\frac{2^{2}+2 q}{4} b @ b+\frac{q}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \quad\left(a @ a+\frac{i}{3} a a \operatorname{a}\right) \\
& \frac{4 e_{0}+4 q e_{1}}{4} A \text { @ b } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

T he rst term tells us that the $1{ }_{q}^{2}$ state has a lling fraction $=e_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{qe}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{qe}_{1}^{2}=$ $q=(q+2)$. The next tw o term s describe a $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ e ective theory, which determ ines the ground state properties of the Q H liquid on com pact spaces.

A coording to the results we have thus far, the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory has $(2 q+4)(q+1)$ degenerate ground states on the tonus. T hus one $m$ ay naively expect that the $1{ }_{q}^{2}$ state also has $(2 q+4)(q+1)$ degenerate ground states on the torus. H ow ever this results cannot be right, since when $q=1$ the ${ }_{1}{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}$ state is nothing but the $=1=3$ Laughlin state and should have 3 degenerate ground states instead of 12 as im plied by $(2 q+4)(q+1)$. Therefore despite the above \derivation", the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory cannot be the correct e ective theory for the $\quad 1{ }_{q}^{2}$ state. As we w ill see later, how ever, the correct e ective theory can be obtained from the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory.

Recall that the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory on a tonus can be described by four degrees of freedom $s(x ; y)$ and $\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)$. T he corresponding gauge elds are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=2 \frac{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{L}_{1}} ; \quad \mathrm{b}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=2 \frac{\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{L}_{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
a_{1}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; t\right)=2 \frac{x^{0}(t)}{L_{1}} \quad \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{42}\\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad ; \quad a_{2}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; t\right)=2 \frac{y^{0}(t)}{L_{2}} \quad \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

$T$ his reduces the e ective theory (40) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=(2 q+4)(x \underline{y} \quad y \underline{x})+2 q\left(x^{0} \underline{y}^{0} \quad y^{0} \underline{x}^{0}\right): \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s noted before, large gauge transform ations give us som e equivalence conditions. 2
For exam ple the U (1) large gauge transform ation $\exp \underset{@}{@} i \frac{2 x_{1}}{L_{1}} \stackrel{B}{@} \quad 1 \quad \stackrel{C}{A}$ C that
acts on $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 \\ \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}} & { }_{0} \\ { }_{1} \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{A}\end{array}$ shifts x to $\mathrm{x}+1$. This kind of large gauge transform ations leads to the equivalence condition

$$
x \quad x+1 ; \quad y \quad y+1
$$


$\left.1 \quad \begin{array}{c}C \\ A \\ A\end{array}\right)$ 1
leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0} \quad x^{0}+1 ; \quad y^{0} \quad y^{0}+1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The SU (2) CS theory also has an additional re ection equivalence condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (43), (44), (45), and (46) describe the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory on the torus and has $(2 q+4)(q+1)$ degenerate ground states.

H ow ever, for our theory we have an additional large gauge transform ation which $m$ ixes the $U\left(1_{b}\right)$ and the center of $S U(2)$. The farge gauge fransform ations are given
 follow ing equivalence conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; x^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) ; \quad\left(y ; y^{0}\right) \quad\left(y+\frac{1}{2} ; y^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}=\frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}+\frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}$.
W e believe that equations (43), (44), (45), (46), and (47) describe the the correct e ective theory for the $1 \underset{q}{2}$ state on torus. Because the correct e ective theory is obtained from the $U(1)_{2 q+4} S U(2)_{q} C S$ theory by applying the additionalequivalence condition (47), we willcall it the (U $\left.(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q}\right)=Z_{2}$ theory. The edge excitations of the $1 \underset{q}{2}$ state is discussed in Ref. [8], which is described by the $U(1) \quad S U(2)_{q}$ KM algebra $m$ atching very wellw ith the bulk e ective theory.

To obtain the ground state degeneracy of the $1 \underset{q}{2}$ state, we start with the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2 q+4} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{2 q}$ theory. The states are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=C_{[(2 q+4) x] ;\left[2 q x^{0}\right]}{ }^{P}((2 q+4) x)^{P}\left(2 q x^{0}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cient $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}}$ ( w th $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}=$ integer) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n ; n^{0}}=C_{n}+2 q+4 ; n^{0}=C_{n ; n^{0}+2 q} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a consequence of the equivalence conditions 44), and 45). The condition ( $x ; x^{0}$ ) $\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; x^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in 47) is satis ed by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n ; n^{0}}=C_{n}+q+2, m^{0} q \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(y ; y^{0}\right) \quad\left(y+\frac{1}{2} ; y^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right)$ in (47) is satis ed by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}^{0}}=0 \quad \text { if } \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}^{0}=\text { odd } \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be seen from the relation

The re ection condition 46) gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n ; n^{0}}=C_{n ; n^{0}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 1, the circles represent $(2 q+4)(2 q)=4$ independent $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}}$ after im posing 49), (59) and (51). The led circles represent $\frac{(2 q+4)(2 q)}{42}+\frac{2 q+4}{22}=\frac{(q+1)(q+2)}{2}$ independent $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}}$ after im posing the additional re ection condition (53). Therefore the $1{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}$ state has

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=(q+1)(q+2)=2 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

degenerate ground states on torus.
N ote that when $q=1$ the $1 \underset{q}{2}$ state is just the $=1=3$ Laughlin state and $\frac{(\mathrm{q}+1)(\mathrm{q}+2)}{2}=3$ is the expected ground state degeneracy. W hen $\mathrm{q}=2$ the $=1=2 \quad 1 \quad 2$ state has six degenerate ground states.

By an elegant argum ent, Tao and $W$ u 14] threaded a solenoid through the quantum H all geom etry proposed by Laughlin and showed that as the ux of the solenoid


Figure 1: The ground states of $1 \underset{q}{2}$ non-abelian state for $(a) q=3$ and (b) $q=2$.
increases by quantized units, if the system goes through $p$ degenerate states before retuming to its original state, an integer num ber $n$ ofelectrons are transported. Thus, the H all conductance or lling fraction is given by $\quad=n=p$ while the degeneracy $D=m p$ is an integer $m m u l t i p l e ~ o f p$. That is the ground state degeneracy $D$ is an integer $m$ ultiple of the denom inator of . W e note that, for our results, the denom inator of the lling fraction $\frac{q}{q+2}$ is alw ays a factor of the degeneracy $\frac{(q+1)(q+2)}{2}$. This is consistent w ith the above result.

## 5 SU (3) non-abelian FQH states

N ow let us calculate the ground states degeneracy of SU (3) non-abelian Q H states, whose wave functions are given by $\left({ }_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right)^{3}$ and which have a lling fraction $\mathrm{q}=3$. In the parton construction, we cut the electron into three pieces, each of charge $e_{0}=e=3$. We put the partons into Landau levels. Finally, we ghe the partons together into electrons.

At the gluing stage, we have a choioe. W e can do the gluing either w ith an SU (3) gauge eld, thus obtaining a non-abelian CS theory, or with two U (1) gauge elds a and b , thus obtaining an abelian $(\mathrm{U}(1))^{2} \mathrm{CS}$ theory. W e w ill discuss the second option rst as it is conceptually som ew hat sim pler.

A s before, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & i \frac{y}{1}\left(a_{t} i\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)\right) 1_{1}+\frac{1}{2 m}{\underset{1}{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i} \quad i\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}_{1} \\
& +i \frac{y}{2}\left(a_{t} \quad i\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)\right) 2+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{2}^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i} \quad i\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& +i \frac{y}{3}\left(a_{t} \quad i\left(2 b_{0}\right)\right) \quad 3+\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{y}{3}\left(a_{i} \quad i e_{0} A_{i} \quad i\left(2 b_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

(N ote that we need two gauge elds. Suppose we introduce only b. Then we would have the bound states $\begin{array}{lllllllll}1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 3\end{array}$, as well as 123 . There would be three di erent kinds of electrons.)

F illing $q$ Landau levels with $1,2,3$, and integrating out the elds, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{3 q e_{0}^{2}}{4} \\
& +\frac{A @ A}{4}  \tag{56}\\
& (2 a @ a+6 b @ b)
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing $W$ ilson loops as before, we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{1}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{a}_{2}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}_{2}} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}_{1}} \mathrm{x}^{0}(t) ; \mathrm{b}_{2}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}_{2}} \mathrm{y}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e insert (57) and (58) into (55) to obtain the e ective action for the low energy degrees of freedom :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S==^{z} d t 2 q(x y y \underline{x})+6 q\left(x^{0} \underline{y}^{0} \quad y^{0} x^{0}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing a $U(1)_{a}$ transform ation of the form $U_{a}=e^{i 2 x_{1}=L_{1}}$, we conclude that $x \quad x+1$ with $y ; x^{0} ; y^{0}$ unchanged. Sim ilarly, perform ing a $U_{b}$ transform ation, we conclude that $x^{0} \quad x^{0}+1$ with $x ; y ; y^{0}$ unchanged. Furthem ore, we can also perform the corresponding transform ations along the $x_{2}$ direction and change $y$ and $y^{0}$ respectively. W e can thus interpret (59) as describing the $m$ otion of two particles on a torus of size $(1,1)$.

H owever, there is an additional slightly subtle point: we can perform a gauge transform ation using the diagonal subgroup of $U(1)_{a} \quad U(1)_{b}$. M ore precisely, we transform 1 ! $e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1}}{ }_{1}$ and $3!\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1}}{ }_{3}$, leaving 2 unchanged. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad x+\frac{1}{2} ; x^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $y ; y^{0}$ left invariant. Sim ilarly, perform ing the corresponding transform ation along the $x_{2}$ direction we have $\left(y ; y^{0}\right) \quad y+\frac{1}{2} ; y^{0}+\frac{1}{2}$. In other words, various points in the phase space of the two quantum particles have to be identi ed.

W e can now write dow $n$ the wave function in the $y$ basis as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n ; n^{0}} C_{n ; n^{0}} e^{i 2\left(n y+n^{0} y^{0}\right)} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and correspondingly in the x basis as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n ; m^{0}} C_{n} n^{0} \quad(2 q x \quad n) \quad\left(6 q x^{0} \quad n^{0}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he tons boundary condition im plies that $n$ and $n^{0}$ are integers and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n^{\prime}}{ }^{0}=C_{n}+2 q ; n^{0}=C_{n ; n^{0}+6 q} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, the equivalence relation 60) im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nn}} 0=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq} ; \mathrm{n}} 03 \mathrm{q} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, we note that the Lagrangian (55) en joys three discrete interchange sym me triesu : $1_{2}$ \$ ${ }_{2} \mathrm{v}$ : 2 \$ 3 ;andw : ${ }_{1}$ \$ ${ }_{3}$; with the corresponding operations on the two gauge elds a and b. Indeed, $m$ athem atically, the three operations $u, v$, and w generate the perm utation group $S_{3}$ on three ob jects, and our construction am ounts to nding the two-dim ensional representation induced on a and b . Taking out the irrelevant factors we can represent $u, v$, and $w$ on ( $x ; x^{0}$ ) (and sim ilarly on $\left(y ; y^{0}\right)$ as follows. De ne the two dim ensional colum $n$ vector $X$ w th the com ponents $x$ and $x^{0}$. Then under the three discrete interchange sym $m$ etries $X \quad 4 \quad \begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array} \quad x$;
 on the wave function (62) we obtain the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Cnn}^{0}=C_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}}  \tag{65}\\
\mathrm{Cnn}^{0}=\frac{C_{\frac{n+n}{} 0} ; \frac{3 n_{n}}{2}}{} \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{m}^{0}} 0=\frac{C_{\frac{n}{} 0}^{2} ; \frac{3 n+n^{0}}{2}}{} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions 63) and 65) im ply that we can restrict $n$ to range over ( $0 ; 1 ;::: ; q$ $1 ; q)$ and $n^{0}$ to range over $(1 ; 2 ;::: ; 6 q)$. It is convenient then to visualize a $q+1$ by 6q toroidal lattice (i.e. one with periodic boundary conditions) w ith sites labelled by $\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}\right)$ and on which a particle hops according to the rules

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(n ; n^{0}\right)!\left(n \quad q ; n^{0} \quad 3 q\right)  \tag{68}\\
\left(n ; n^{0}\right)!\frac{1}{2}\left(n+n^{0} ; 3 n \quad n^{0}\right)  \tag{69}\\
\left(n ; n^{0}\right){ }^{[ }!\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
n & n^{0} ; 3 n & n^{0}
\end{array}\right) \tag{70}
\end{gather*}
$$

W e sef from the rules (69) and 70) that only even lattice sites ( $n \quad n^{0}=$ even) are visited. Starting from a given site, all the sites visited by the particle by follow ing an arbitrary sequence of $u, v$, and $w$ hops, before retuming to the starting site, are equivalent. W e call the set of points thus visited a trip.

The desired ground state degeneracy $D$ is equal to the num ber of di erent trips the particle can take (or equivalently, the num ber of inequivalent sites on the lattioe.)

The reader can easily com pute $D$ pictorially for sm all values of $q$ by draw ing a ( $q+1$ ) by $6 q$ lattice and hop around on it according to the hopping rules given above. For exam ple, for $q=3$, we have the trips

| $(0 ; 2)$ | $(1 ; 17)$ | $(2 ; 8)$ | $(3 ; 11)$, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0 ; 4)$ | $(2 ; 16)$ | $(3 ; 13)$ | $(1 ; 7)$, |
| $(0 ; 6)$ | $(3 ; 15)$, |  |  |
| $(0 ; 8)$ | $(2 ; 14)$ | $(3 ; 17)$ | $(1 ; 5)$, |
| $(0 ; 10)$ | $(1 ; 13)$ | $(2 ; 4)$ | $(3 ; 1)$, |
| $(0 ; 12)$ | $(3 ; 3)$, |  |  |
| $(0 ; 14)$ | $(1 ; 11)$ | $(2 ; 2)$ | $(3 ; 5)$, |
| $(0 ; 16)$ | $(2 ; 10)$ | $(1 ; 1)$ | $(3 ; 7)$, |
| $(0 ; 18)$ | $(3 ; 9)$, |  |  |
| $(1 ; 3)$ | $(1 ; 15)$ | $(2 ; 12)$ | $(1 ; 9)$ |
|  | $(2 ; 6)$ | $(2 ; 18)$, |  |

There are ten trips, one $w$ th six sites visited, six $w$ ith four sites visited, and three w ith two sites visited. This inventory of the num ber of trips with given lengths is
also characteristic of the QH state being studied. Thus, the topological degeneracy of this $Q H$ state is $D(q=3)=10 . W$ e can also check that the total num ber of sites visited ( $=1 \quad 6+6 \quad 4+3 \quad 2=36)$ is indeed equal to the num ber of even lattice sites $\frac{1}{2} 6 q(q+1) \dot{j}_{1}=36:$
$W$ e can readily determ ine $D$ for an arbitrary $q$. $W$ e argue that we start $w$ th a lattice whose number of sites is a quadratic function of $q$ and that this number is reduced by various sym $m$ etry relations. So it is at least plausible that $D(q)$ is a quadratic of the form $a q^{2}+b q+c$. It is simple to determ ine $D(q=1)=3$ (Laughlin's result [2] !) and D $(q=2)=6$, in addition to the result we showed explicitly $D(q=3)=10 . F$ itting to these three points we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{1}{2}(q+1)(q+2) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have veri ed this result by hand (for $q=4$ ) and by a com puter program (for a large num ber of q's).

W e now follow the altemative ofgluing the partons into electrons using an SU (3) gauge eld. The e ective parton theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=i^{y}\left(@_{t} \quad i a_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{y}\left(@_{i} \quad i \frac{e}{3} A_{i} \quad i a_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{B}{B_{6}}{ }_{2}^{1} \mathrm{C}$ A and a are herm itian traceless 3 by 3 m atrioes. N ote that (56) 3
is just the restriction of 72) to the diagonal subgroup of SU (3). A fter integrating out the partons (w ith each species lling out q Landau levels), we get the SU (3) ${ }_{q}$ C S theory:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{q}{34} A @ A \quad+\frac{q}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \quad\left(a @ a+\frac{i}{3} a \operatorname{a} a\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain we choose the $a_{0}=0$ gauge. Follow ing what we did for the SU (2) case, we nd the gauge invariant $W$ ilson loops are given in term $s$ of the follow ing spatially constant gauge potentials (in analogy w ith 31)):
where

W e see that at low energies the non-trivial physical degrees of freedom of the SU (3) C S theory are described by $u=\left(u_{1} ; u_{2}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{1} ; v_{2}\right)$ where $w e$ introduced a vector notation. A fter writing the e ective Lagrangian for $u$ and $v$, we see that $u$ and $v$ satisfy the follow ing com $m$ utation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{i} ; v_{j}\right]=\frac{i_{i j}}{2(2 q)} ; \quad\left[u_{i} ; u_{j}\right]=0 ; \quad\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{v}_{j}\right]=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The large gauge transform ations

and the ones in the $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ direction lead to the follow ing equivalence relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \quad u+e_{i} ; \quad v \quad v+e_{i} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}=(1 ; 0), e_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{{ }^{\frac{3}{3}}}{2}\right)$, and $e_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{{ }^{\frac{\bar{J}_{3}}{2}}}{2}\right) . N$ ote that the angles betw een the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's are 120 .

From the requirem ent $(u)=\left(u+e_{i}\right), i=1 ; 2 ; 3$, we have the $w$ ave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u)={ }_{w}^{x} \quad C_{w} e^{i 2 w u} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is restricted by dem anding that w eare integers. From the com mutation relation betw een $u$ and its conjugate $m$ om entum $v$, we obtain the wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v)=\int_{w}^{x} \quad C_{w} \quad v \quad \frac{1}{2 q} w \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (v) is non-vanishing only when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{q}} \mathrm{w} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

or upon dotting with $e_{i}$, only when 2qv $\mathrm{e}=$ integers $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}=1 ; 2 ; 3$
Thus the wave function (v) is non-zero only on the dual lattice points spanned by $\left(d_{1} ; d_{2}\right)$ which satisfy $d_{i} \quad \rho={ }_{i j}=2 q$. D ue to the periodic condition $\quad(v)=\left(v+e_{i}\right)$ only the circles inside the \unit cell" spanned by $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{2}$ can be independent. (See Fig. 2)

The globalSU (3) gauge transform ations

| ${ }^{0} 1$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 署 | 1 | C |  | 8 | 1 | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | © | 1 |  | ! | B |  |  | A |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |

generate a sim ultaneous 120 rotation on $u$ and $v$. This leads to the follow ing equivalence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}) \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{120} \mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{R}_{120} \mathrm{v}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

 transform ation $\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \mathrm{u}_{2} ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}\right)$ ! ( $\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \mathrm{u}_{2} ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}$ ) and the corresponding equivalence relation.

A ll the above equivalence relations can be satis ed by requiring the wave function to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{v})=\left(\mathrm{R}_{120} \mathrm{v}\right) ; \quad\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow only theblack circles in the \unit cell" are independent (see Fig. 2). The num ber of the ground states (the black circles) can be calculated as follow s. First the num ber of the black circles inside the shaded triangle (see Fig. 2) is $\frac{q^{2}}{2}$. H ow ever each of the 3 (q 1) black circles on the edge is only counted as $1 / 2$ in the above calculation. To include the other half we need to add $3 \frac{9}{2}$. The 3 black circles on the comers is only counted as $1 / 6$ each. So we also need to include a term $3 \frac{5}{6}$. Thus the total num ber of states is $\frac{q^{2}}{2}+3 \frac{q 1}{2}+3 \frac{5}{6}=\frac{(q+1)(q+2)}{2}$ in agreem ent $w$ ith (71).


Figure 2: The ground states of ${ }_{q}^{3}$ non-abelian state for (a) $q=1$ and (b) $q=2$.

## 6 A generalpicture

A generalpicture em erges from these calculations. W e can now propose the follow ing com plete classi cation schem e for the ground state structures for a large class of Q H states on a torus, abelian or non-abelian: For all Q H states reachable by the parton construction, the ground states on the torus are characterized by a lattioe and the hopping rules on this lattice.

To be m ore precise, the ground states are labeled by lattioe points in a vector space. T he equivalent lattioe points label the sam e physical ground state. T here are tw o kinds of equivalence relations: A ) Translation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \quad v+e_{i} \dot{\bar{j}}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{D} \text { in }(\mathrm{v}) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and B ) linear m ap:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~V} \dot{j}=1 ; 2 ;::: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}$ is a D im (v) by D im (v) m atrix.
$v$ have conjugate variables, $u$, which satisfy the sam e equivalence relations (85) and 86). T he com $m$ utator betw een $v$ and $u$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} ; u_{j}\right]=i g_{\mathrm{ij}}=2 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sym m etric matrix $g=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ de nes an inner product $v_{1} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{V}_{1_{i}}\left(g^{1}\right)_{i j} V_{2_{j}}$ (whidh $m$ ay not be positive de nite). T he lattice that labels the ground states is just the dual lattioe (which w illbe called the d-lattice) of the lattioe generated by basis vectors $e_{i}$ (w hidh w illbe called the e-lattice) . T he basis vectors $d_{i}$ of the $d$-lattice are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i} \quad e=i j \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this to be consistent w ith the equivalence relation 85), we also require that the e-lattioe is a sub-lattioe of the d-lattice. In other words, $K_{i j}=e_{i}$ g must be an integer for all $i$ and $j$. This also im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i} \quad G=\left(K^{1}\right)_{i j} ; \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is matrix: $K=\left(K_{i j}\right)$. We would like to rem ark that di erent choioes of basis for $v$ and $u$ lead to di erent $g_{i j}$. But $K_{i j}$ does not depend on the choioe of the basis. In our discussion of the $S U$ (3) Q H liquid, $g_{i j}$ was taken to be ${ }_{i j}=2 q$ (se 76)).

For abelian states, only the equivalence relation 85) appears and the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix com pletely describes the ground state structures. The ground states are labeled by the points on the $d$-lattice inside the unit cell of the e-lattice. H ow ever, for nonabelian states, we also have an additional type of equivalence relation of the form in 86). This com pletely changes the structure of the ground states. O nly part of the points in the unit cell correspond to independent ground states.

W e see that the ground state structure of a Q H state on a torus is described by a lattioe characterized by the $K$ m atrix (89) plus a set of linearm aps w ithin the lattioe.

N ow let us discuss what kind of linear maps are allowed. For convenience, we choose the basis for $v$ and $u$ such that $g_{i j}=\left(K^{1}\right)_{i j}$. This is alw ays possible since $g_{i j}$ and $K_{i j}$ have the sam e signature (ie the sam e num ber of positive eigenvalues and the sam e num ber of negative eigenvalues). $W$ ith this choice of basis, $e_{i}$ becom $e$ the standard basis vector: the $j^{\text {th }}$ elem ents of $e_{i}$ is just $\left(e_{i}\right)_{j}={ }_{i j}$.

To obtain the condition on the $m$ aps $M_{i}$, rst we note that the $m a p M_{i}$ acts on both $v$ and $u$ and keep the commutator 87) unchanged. Thus $M_{i} m$ ust leave $g_{i j}$ or in our case $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ invariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}^{T} K M_{i}=K \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{i}\right)=1 . M_{i}$ should also $m$ ap the e-lattioe onto itself. $T$ his requires $M_{i}$ to be an integer $m$ atrix. Thus the allowed $m a p s M_{i}$ are elem ents in L (D im (K ); Z ) which leaves K invariant (9G). To obtain the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's, we may start with a transform ation betw een the partons which leave the electron operator unchanged, as we did for the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q}=Z_{2}$ state. Such a transform ation induces a transform ation on the gauge elds, and hence a transform ation on v's and u's which is nothing but the $M_{i}$ transform ation.

It is interesting to work out the $\mathrm{K} m$ atrix for the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q}=Z_{2}$ and the $\operatorname{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{q}}$ states. Forthe $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2 \mathrm{q}+4} \quad \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ state we have $\mathrm{g}=$
$1=(2 q+4) \quad 0 \quad$,
$e_{1}=(1 ; 0)$ and $e_{2}=(1=2 ; 1=2)(5 \circledast(43),(44)$, and (47) $)$. Thusk $=\begin{array}{cc}2 q+4 & q+2 \\ q+2 & 1\end{array}$. For the $S U(3)_{q}$ state, we have $g=\begin{array}{cc}1=2 q & 0 \\ 0 & 1=2 q\end{array}, e_{1}=(1 ; 0)$ and $e_{2}=\left(1=2 ;{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}=2\right)$. Thusk $=\begin{array}{rr}2 q & q \\ q & 2 q\end{array}$.

In our previous work 目] we have em phasized that, for abelian states, the lling fraction , even when supplem ented by the topological degeneracy D, cannot (evidently) capture all the inform ation contained in the $m$ atrix K. Sim ilarly for the non-abelian states. For exam ple, we note that the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q}=Z_{2}$ state studied in section 4 has degeneracy $D=\frac{1}{2}(q+1)(q+2)$, exactly the same as the degeneracy in (71) for the $S U(3)_{q}$ states. H ow ever the two states are in general different. For one thing the $U(1)_{2 q+4} \quad S U(2)_{q}=Z_{2}$ state has a lling fraction $=\frac{q}{q+2}$, while the $S U(3)_{q}$ state has $=\frac{q}{3}$. But, for $q=1$, we have the same and $D$, and indeed they both correspond to the Laughlin $=\frac{1}{3}$ state even though theire ective theories are quite di erent: $\operatorname{SU}(3)_{1}$ has eight gauge potentials, while $U(1)_{6} \quad S U(2)_{1}$ has only four.

W e trust that the reader can now work out the lattice and the hopping rules for any non-abelian states reachable by the parton construction. For a state described by the group $G$, the dim ensions of the lattice is given by the rank of $G$. For exam ple, if we cut the electron into ve equal pieces and construct the $S U(5)_{q}$ states, we would have a particle hopping on a four dim ensional lattice.

## 7 Sum m ary

In this paper we propose a sim ple $m$ ethod to calculate the ground state degeneracy of several non-abelian Q H liquids. O urm ethod can be applied to any abelian and nonabelian QH liquids obtained from the parton construction. A general pattem em erge from our calculation. For the $Q H$ liquids reachable by the parton construction, the ground states on a torus can be described by points on a lattioe. For abelian Q H liquids, the ground states correspond to points inside a \unit œell". For non-abelian states the ground states correspond to points inside a \folded" unit œell. The folding
is generated by re ection, and possibly rotations. (See Fig. 1 and F ig. 2)
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## 9 A ppendix: C oset C on struction

In this A ppendix, we are going to study the coset construction of the C S theory. W e will see that the coset construction is closely related to the parton construction.

W e start w ith a physicalexam ple. The = 1=k Laughlin state can be constructed from a parton construction [13]. For example, to construct the $=1=2$ Laughlin state (ofbosons), wem ay start w th two kinds of partons in the $=1$ state, w th the wave function $\quad{ }_{i j}\left(z_{i}^{(1)} z_{j}^{(1)}\right)^{Q}{ }_{i j}\left(z_{i}^{(2)} z_{j}^{(2)}\right)$ where $z_{i}^{(1)}$ and $z_{i}^{(2)}$ represent the coordinates of the two kinds of partons, described by a CS e ective theory (1) w ith $K=\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}$. This C S e ective theory is thus the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1} C S$ theory.

Suppose we now $m$ ake a pro jection by setting $z_{i}^{(1)}=z_{i}^{(2)}$. P hysically, we recom bine the two kinds of partons together into electrons. The wave function becom es $Q_{i j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z_{i} & z_{j}\end{array}\right)^{2}$ and the parton state becom es the $=1=2$ Laughlin state.

W e w ill now describe how this projection can be achieved in the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1}$ CS e ective theory. Note that the projection binds the two kinds of partons so that they alw ays m ove together. T hus after pro jection, their currents and densities $j^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} \quad @ a^{(1)}$ and $j^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \quad @ a^{(2)} \mathrm{m}$ ust be equal. This leads to the constraint $a^{(1)} \quad a^{(2)}=0$. By setting $a^{(1)}=a^{(2)}$ in $L=\frac{1}{4}\left(\quad a^{(1)} @ a^{(1)}+\quad a^{(2)} @ a^{(2)}\right)$ we see that the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1}$ CS theory is reduced to the $U(1)_{2} C S$ theory which is the e ective theory of the $=1=2$ Laughlin state.

Next we would like to understand how the parton-construction realizes itself in the ground states of the Q H liquids. In other words, we would like to start w ith the ground states of the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1}$ CS theory, and to see how to $\backslash p r o j$ ject" to obtain
the ground states of the $=1=2$ Laughlin state (i.e. the ground states of the $U(1)_{2}$ CS theory). It tums out that this can be achieved through the coset construction.

W e know that before pro jection, the edge excitations of the parton state (described by the $K=\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}$ CS theory) is described by two currents $j_{1 ; 2}$ associated $w$ ith each kind of partons. The currents form two level-1 U (1) K acM oody (K M) algebras: $\mathrm{U}(1)_{1} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{1}$. The relative m otion of the two kinds of partons is described by
 and $\left[j_{2} ; j_{2}\right] 1$ and hence $\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}j_{1} & j_{2} & ; j_{1} \\ j_{2}\end{array}\right] \quad 2\right)$. A fter pro jecting out the the $U(1)_{2} \mathrm{KM}$ algebra, the resulting edge theory is described by the coset m odelU $(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1}=U(1)_{2}$ $w$ th a U $(1)_{2} \mathrm{KM}$ algebra generated by $j_{+}=j_{1}+j_{2}$.
$M$ otivated by the relation between the edge theory and the CS theory, we would like to study the coset theory of the C S theory. In particular, we would like to show that the coset CS theory $\mathrm{U}(1)_{1} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{1}=\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}$ is nothing but the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{2} \mathrm{CS}$ theory, as suggested by the parton construction given above.

Let us rst start with a simpler problem. C onsider a U (1) $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ C S theory, which has k degenerate ground states on the torus. The coset $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{m}$ odel can be obtained by $m$ aking the projection $a=0$. Obviously, after projection there is no non-trivial low energy excitation and the ground state is non-degenerate.

The ground states of the $U(1)_{k}$ CS theory are described by $x$ and $y$ operators satisfying the com $m$ utator (9). First we note that $x$ and $y$ operators do not satisfy the equivalence condition (G), and hence are not operators that act w ith in the the physical Hibert space. The allowed operators are $U_{m n} \quad e^{2 i(m x+n y)} w$ ith $m ; n=$ integers. N aively one m ay want to pro ject by requiring the states to satisfy $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=0$. Or m ore precisely, onem ust require $\mathrm{U}_{10}=\mathrm{U}_{01}=$. Since x and $\mathrm{y}\left(\right.$ or $\mathrm{U}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{01}$ ) do not com $m$ ute ( $w$ ith a com $m$ utator equal to a num ber), no state satis es this condition. Thus no state survives the projection. This contradicts our physical intuition that there should be one and only one state that survives the pro jection.

To construct the pro jected theory $U(1)_{k}=U(1)_{k}$ correctly, we start w ith a $U(1)_{k}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}}$ theory described by the e ective Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=2 \mathrm{k}\left(\underline{x} y \quad \underline{x}^{0} y^{0}\right) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing two particles living on the tonus. The $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}}$ in $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the theory before projection and $U(1)_{k}$ is the \conjugate" of the pro jection and henœe a $U(1)_{k}$ theory. (In general, to construct the $G=H$ coset $m$ odel, one $m$ ay start $w$ ith the G H m odel.) W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x ; y]=\frac{i}{2 k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x^{0} ; y^{0}\right]=\frac{i}{2 \mathrm{k}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus im plying

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{y}^{0} \tag{94}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

A $s$ in (12) and 14), the wave functions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n ; n^{0}} C_{n n^{0}} e^{i 2\left(n y+n^{0} y^{0}\right)} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right)={\underset{n \neq n^{0}}{x} G_{n n^{0}} \quad(k x \quad n) \quad\left(k x^{0} \quad n^{0}\right), ~}_{n} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Periodicity $x \quad x+1$ and $x^{0} \quad x^{0}+1$ imply, as in 115),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n n^{0}}=C_{n+k ; n^{0}}=C_{n ; n^{0}}+k \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a total of $k \quad k$ ground states before the pro jection.
W e now project. Remember that we want to impose $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=0$. But this is im possible since $x$ and $y$ do not com $m$ ute. $W$ ith the help of the additional sector $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}}$, we can im pose the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}^{0}=0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \quad y^{0}=0 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is possible since $x \quad x^{0}$ and $y \quad y^{0}$ com $m$ ute. In the language of 91) the pro jection has the physical interpretation of binding the two particles together. Since the coordinates on the torus are de ned only mod integer, the right hand sides of (98) and 99) should be interpreted as 0 m od integer. (O r m ore precisely, we can only im pose the condition on the allowed operators: $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right)}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2\left(\mathrm{y} \mathrm{y}^{0}\right)}=1$.) Thus the states in the projected theory satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{i} 2\left(x \times{ }^{0}\right)}=e^{\mathrm{i} 2\left(y y^{0}\right)}= \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting (96) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n ; n^{0}} C_{n ; n^{0}} \quad\left(k\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad\left(n+n^{0}\right)\right) \quad\left(k x^{0}+n^{0}\right) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the $\mathrm{Cnn}^{\prime}$ 's are non-zero only when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}^{0}=0 \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{k}: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, writing (95) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y ; y^{0}\right)=\underbrace{x}_{n ; n^{0}} C_{n ; n^{0}} e^{i 2\left(\left(n+n^{0}\right) y+n^{0}\left(y^{0} \quad y\right)\right)} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see, referring to (16), that in order for $\left(y ; y^{0}\right)$ to be proportional to ${ }^{P}\left(y y^{0}\right)$ as required by (99), the $\mathrm{Cn}^{0^{\prime}} \mathrm{s}$ should depend only on $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n n^{0}}=d_{n+n^{0}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Referring to (102) and to the periodicity condition 97) we see that $d_{n+n}$ is independent of $n+n^{0}$. All the non-zero $\mathrm{Cnn}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 's are equal. There is only one state left after pro jection, as expected for the $U(1)_{k}=U(1)_{k}$ coset m odel. Thus, in contrast to the naive construction described before, we have now m anaged to obtain the correct result using this construction starting with the $U(1)_{k} \quad U(1)_{k}$ theory.
$U$ sing the fact that $n+n^{0}=j k$ has to be a multiple of $k$, we can now obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(y ; y^{0}\right) & =x e^{i 2 j k y} x^{j} e^{i 2 n^{0}\left(y y^{0}\right)} \\
& ={ }^{p}(k y)^{B}\left(y y^{0}\right) \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we can w rite (101) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x ; x^{0}\right) & ={ }^{x} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\left.k\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x^{0}
\end{array}\right) \quad k j\right){ }^{x} \quad\left(k x^{0}+n^{0}\right) \\
& ={ }^{P}\left(k x^{0}\right)^{P}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x^{0}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

W e see explicitly that $\left(x ; x^{0}\right)$ and $\left(y ; y^{0}\right)$ have the sam e status. Indeed, note

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kx}){ }^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}}\left(k x^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}^{0}\right) \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e rem ark in passing the obvious fact that ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kx})^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{x} & \left.\left.\mathrm{x}^{0}\right) \text { is notequalto }{ }^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kx})^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kx})^{0}\right), ~(1)\end{array}\right.$
The above exam ple suggests that to construct a $G=H$ coset $m$ odel, one $m$ ay start with an enlarged theory G H and then project out a diagonal part H H to end up w th $G=H$. Such an approach at least w orks for the coset construction of K M algebras.

N ow we are ready to consider the $\mathrm{U}(1)_{1} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{1}=\mathrm{U}(1)_{2}$ coset m odel. Let us study them ore generalU $(1)_{k} \quad U(1)_{k^{0}}=U(1)_{k+k^{0}}$ coset $m$ odelw here $k$ and $k^{0}$ have no com $m$ on factors. Starting from the $U(1)_{k} U(1)_{k^{0}} \quad U(1)(k+k)^{0}$ CS theory described by the operators ( $x ; y$ ), $\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)$, and $\left(x^{\infty} ; y^{\infty}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x ; y]=\frac{i}{2 k} ; \quad\left[x^{0} ; y^{0}\right]=\frac{i}{2 k^{0}} ; \quad\left[x^{\infty} ; y^{\infty}\right]=\frac{i}{2\left(k+k^{0}\right)} ; \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

we project by im posing the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
k x+k^{0} x^{0}+k^{\infty} x^{\infty}=0 \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k y+k^{0} y^{0}+k^{\infty} y^{\infty}=0 \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned for notational convenience $k^{\infty} \quad\left(k+k^{0}\right)$. This is possible since $k x+k^{0} x^{0}+k^{\infty} x^{\infty}$ and $k y+k^{0} y^{0}+k^{\infty} y^{\infty}$ com $m$ ute. A gain the right hand sides of 109) and (110) should be interpreted as 0 m od integer, because the coordinates $(x ; y)$ etc. are only de ned up to an integer.

Extending (95) and (96), we write the wave functions as
and

$$
\left(x ; x^{0} ; x^{\infty}\right)=\begin{array}{cllllll}
x ; n^{0} ; n^{\infty}  \tag{112}\\
x
\end{array} C_{n n_{n} \infty}^{\infty} \quad(k x \quad n) \quad\left(k x^{0} \quad n^{0}\right) \quad\left(k^{\infty} x^{\infty} \quad n^{\infty}\right)
$$

Periodicity $x \quad x+1$ etc. im ply, as in 97),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n n} 0_{n}^{\infty}=C_{n}+k ; n_{n} 0^{\infty}=G_{n ; n^{0}}+k ; n^{\infty}=C_{n ; n^{0} ; n^{\infty}+k^{\infty}} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a total of $\mathrm{kk}^{0}{ }^{\infty}$ states before pro jection.
It tums out that the pro jection does not rem ove any states. Indeed, we see from (112) that (109) is already satis ed, posing no restriction on the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. D e ning $m=[n]_{k}$ as before and the corresponding prim ed and double prim ed quantities $m{ }^{0}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{\infty}$ wewrite (C f 18)

W e nd that (110) does not in pose any further restriction either. Thus the $U(1)_{k}$ $\mathrm{U}(1)_{k^{0}}=\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{0}}$ ©oset m odel has $\mathrm{kk}^{0}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{\infty}\right)$ degenerate ground states. In particular, the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{k}=U(1)_{k+1}$ coset $m$ odel has $k(k+1)$ degenerate ground states, in agreem ent w ith a previous result obtained using a m ore abstract and algebraic approach [10]. W hen $k=1$ the $U(1)_{1} \quad U(1)_{1}=U(1)_{2}$ coset $m$ odel has 2 degenerate ground states which is the sam e as the num ber of ground states in the $U(1)_{2}$ theory and the $=1=2$ Laughlin state .
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