

New integrable boundary conditions for the q -deformed supersymmetric U model and Bethe ansatz equations

Yao-Zhong Zhang ^{*} and Huan-Qiang Zhou [†]

Department of Mathematics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

New classes of integrable boundary conditions for the q -deformed (or two-parameter) supersymmetric U model are presented. The boundary systems are solved by using the coordinate space Bethe ansatz technique and Bethe ansatz equations are derived.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Ad, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

Integrable correlated electron systems (see, e.g. [1]) with open boundary conditions constitute an important class of physically significant models which are exactly solvable by means of the Bethe ansatz technique and the boundary quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [2,3]. In this letter, we determine integrable boundary conditions for the q -deformed (or two-parameter) supersymmetric U model [4–6] of strongly correlated electrons. We present four classes of boundary conditions for this model, all of which are integrable by the supersymmetric boundary QISM formulated recently in [8]. We solve the boundary systems using the coordinate Bethe ansatz method and derive the Bethe ansatz equations for all four cases.

Let $c_{j,\sigma}$ and $c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger$ denote fermionic creation and annihilation operators with spin σ at site j , which satisfy the anti-commutation relations given by $\{c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger, c_{j,\tau}\} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\tau}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ and $\sigma, \tau = \uparrow, \downarrow$. We consider open-boundary q -deformed supersymmetric U models with the Hamiltonian of the form:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} H_{j,j+1}^Q + B_{lt} + B_{rt}, \quad (1)$$

where B_{lt} (B_{rt}) stands for left (right) boundary term whose explicit forms are spelled out below, and $H_{j,j+1}^Q$ is the bulk Hamiltonian density of the q -deformed supersymmetric U model [5,6]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,j+1}^Q = & - \sum_{\sigma} (c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1\sigma} + h.c.) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\eta - \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma)n_{j,-\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \text{sign}(\sigma)\gamma)n_{j+1,-\sigma}\right) \\ & + \frac{U}{2}(n_{j\uparrow}n_{j\downarrow} + n_{j+1\uparrow}n_{j+1\downarrow}) \\ & + t_p(c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j+1\downarrow} c_{j+1\uparrow} + h.c.) + e^{-2a} n_j + e^{2a} n_{j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here $\text{sign}(\sigma) = 1$ for $\sigma = \uparrow$ and -1 for $\sigma = \downarrow$, $n_{j\sigma}$ is the density operator $n_{j\sigma} = c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}$, $n_j = n_{j\uparrow} + n_{j\downarrow}$, and η, γ are two free parameters; and

$$\begin{aligned} t_p = \frac{U}{2} &= [2e^{-\eta}(\cosh \eta - \cosh \gamma)]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ a = \frac{1}{4} &\{\ln[\frac{\sinh \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \gamma)}{\sinh \frac{1}{2}(\eta - \gamma)}] - \gamma\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let us point out that in the limit of $\eta = \gamma$ (first discarding the chemical potential terms and then taking the limit), the bulk model Hamiltonian (2) reduces to that of Bariev [7]. This implies that, up to the chemical potential terms, the bulk model (2) is a generalization of the Bariev's model [7].

We propose the following four classes of boundary conditions:

^{*}E-mail: yzz@maths.uq.edu.au

[†]E-mail: hqz@maths.uq.edu.au

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case A : } B_{lt} &= \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_-)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma\xi_-}{2}} n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - e^{-\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_-}{2})} n_1 \right), \\ B_{rt} &= \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_+)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma\xi_+}{2}} n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} - e^{\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_+}{2})} n_L \right); \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Case B : } B_{lt} = \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a} n_{1\downarrow}, \quad B_{rt} = -\frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_+ - a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_+ - a} n_{L\downarrow}; \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case C : } B_{lt} &= \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_-)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma\xi_-}{2}} n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - e^{-\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_-}{2})} n_1 \right), \\ B_{rt} &= -\frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_+ - a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_+ - a} n_{L\downarrow}; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case D : } B_{lt} &= \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a} n_{1\downarrow}, \\ B_{rt} &= \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_+)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sinh \gamma}{\sinh \frac{\gamma\xi_+}{2}} n_{L\uparrow} n_{L\downarrow} - e^{\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_+}{2})} n_L \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\xi_{\pm}$ and $\zeta_{\pm}$ are some parameters describing the boundary effects.

Quantum integrability of the system defined by Hamiltonian (1) with all four boundary conditions can be established as follows by means of the supersymmetric boundary QISM [8] in which the above Case A is treated in details as an example. We first search for boundary K-matrices which satisfy the graded reflection equations [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u_1 - u_2) \overset{1}{K}_-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2) \overset{2}{K}_-(u_2) &= \overset{2}{K}_-(u_2) R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) \overset{1}{K}_-(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 - u_2), \\ R_{12}(-u_1 + u_2) \overset{1}{K}_+(u_1) \overset{1}{M}^{-1} R_{21}(-u_1 - u_2 + 2) \overset{1}{M} \overset{2}{K}_+(u_2) & \\ &= \overset{1}{M} \overset{2}{K}_+(u_2) R_{12}(-u_1 - u_2 + 2) \overset{1}{M}^{-1} \overset{1}{K}_+(u_1) R_{21}(-u_1 + u_2), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $R(u)$ is the R-matrix of the q -deformed supersymmetric U model [6], $R_{21}(u) = P_{12} R_{12}(u) P_{12}$ with P being the graded permutation operator and $M = \text{diag}(1, 1, e^{2\gamma}, e^{2\gamma})$ is the so-called crossing matrix. There are two different diagonal boundary K-matrices, $K_-^I(u)$, $K_-^{II}(u)$, which solve the first reflection equation in (8) [9]:

$$K_-^I(u) = \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\gamma\xi_-}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_- - 2)}{2}} \text{diag}(A_-(u), B_-(u), B_-(u), C_-(u)), \quad (9)$$

$$K_-^{II}(u) = \text{diag}(\bar{A}_-(u), \bar{A}_-(u), \bar{B}_-(u), \bar{B}_-(u)), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_-(u) &= e^{\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_- + u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u - 2 + \xi_-)}{2}, \\ B_-(u) &= \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_- - u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(u - 2 + \xi_-)}{2}, \\ C_-(u) &= e^{-\gamma u} \sinh \frac{\gamma(\xi_- - u)}{2} \sinh \frac{\gamma(-u - 2 + \xi_-)}{2}, \\ \bar{A}_-(u) &= 1 + \frac{e^{-\gamma u} - 1}{2 \sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a}, \\ \bar{B}_-(u) &= 1 + \frac{e^{\gamma u} - 1}{2 \sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The corresponding K-matrices $K_+^{I(II)}(u)$ which obey the second reflection equation in (8) are derived by isomorphism:

$$K_+^I(u) = M K_-^I(-u + 1), \quad K_+^{II}(u) = M K_-^{II}(-u + 1) \quad (12)$$

We form the boundary transfer matrix $t(u)$:

$$t(u) = \text{str}[K_+(u)T_-(u)K_-(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \quad T_-(u) = R_{0L}(u) \cdots R_{01}(u). \quad (13)$$

Since $K_{\pm}(u)$ can be taken as $K_{\pm}^I(u)$ or $K_{\pm}^{II}(u)$, respectively, we have four possible choices of boundary transfer matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} t^A(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^I(u)T_-(u)K_-^I(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\ t^B(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{II}(u)T_-(u)K_-^{II}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\ t^C(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^{II}(u)T_-(u)K_-^I(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \\ t^D(u) &= \text{str}[K_+^I(u)T_-(u)K_-^{II}(u)T_-^{-1}(-u)], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which reflects the fact that the boundary conditions on the left end and on the right end of the open lattice chain are independent. Then it can be shown [8] that Hamiltonians corresponding to all four boundary conditions can be embedded into the above four boundary transfer matrices, respectively. We thus arrive at the four possible cases (4-7), all of which are compatible with the bulk integrability.

We now solve the above boundary systems by using the coordinate space Bethe ansatz method. Following [10,8], we assume that the eigenfunction of Hamiltonian (1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{\{(x_j, \sigma_j)\}} \Psi_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N}(x_1, \dots, x_N) c_{x_1 \sigma_1}^\dagger \cdots c_{x_N \sigma_N}^\dagger |0\rangle, \\ \Psi_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N}(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \sum_P \epsilon_P A_{\sigma_{Q1}, \dots, \sigma_{QN}}(k_{PQ1}, \dots, k_{PQN}) \exp(i \sum_{j=1}^N k_{Pj} x_j), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the summation is taken over all permutations and negations of $k_1, \dots, k_N$, and Q is the permutation of the N particles such that $1 \leq x_{Q1} \leq \dots \leq x_{QN} \leq L$. The symbol ϵ_P is a sign factor ± 1 and changes its sign under each 'mutation'. Substituting the wavefunction into the eigenvalue equation $H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\dots, \sigma_j, \sigma_i, \dots}(\dots, k_j, k_i, \dots) &= S_{ij}(k_i, k_j) A_{\dots, \sigma_i, \sigma_j, \dots}(\dots, k_i, k_j, \dots), \\ A_{\sigma_i, \dots}(-k_j, \dots) &= s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) A_{\sigma_i, \dots}(k_j, \dots), \\ A_{\dots, \sigma_i}(\dots, -k_j) &= s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) A_{\dots, \sigma_i}(\dots, k_j), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)$ are the two-particle scattering matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{11}^{11} &= S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{22}^{22} = 1, \\ S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{12}^{12} &= S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{21}^{21} = \frac{\sin(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{\sin(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\gamma)}, \\ S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{21}^{12} &= e^{-i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \frac{\sin i\gamma}{\sin(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\gamma)}, \\ S_{ij}(k_i, k_j)_{12}^{21} &= e^{i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)} \frac{\sin i\gamma}{\sin(\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i\gamma)} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with λ_j being suitable particle rapidities related to the quasi-momenta k_j of the electrons by [5]

$$k(\lambda) = 2 \arctan(\coth a \tan \lambda) \quad (18)$$

and $s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i})$, $s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i})$ are the boundary scattering matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) &= \frac{1 - p_{1\sigma_i} e^{ik_j}}{1 - p_{1\sigma_i} e^{-ik_j}}, \\ s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) &= \frac{1 - p_{L\sigma_i} e^{-ik_j}}{1 - p_{L\sigma_i} e^{ik_j}} e^{2ik_j(L+1)} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

with $p_{1\sigma_i}$ and $p_{L\sigma_i}$ being given by the following formulae, corresponding to the four cases, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case A : } p_{1\uparrow} = p_{1\downarrow} &\equiv p_1 = -e^{2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_-)}{2}} e^{-\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_-}{2})}, \\ p_{L\uparrow} = p_{L\downarrow} &\equiv p_L = -e^{-2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_+)}{2}} e^{\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_+}{2})}; \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case B : } p_{1\uparrow} &= -e^{2a}, \quad p_{1\downarrow} = -e^{2a} + \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a}, \\ p_{L\uparrow} &= -e^{-2a}, \quad p_{L\downarrow} = -e^{-2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_+ - a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_+ - a}; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case C : } p_{1\uparrow} = p_{1\downarrow} &\equiv p_1 = -e^{2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_-)}{2}} e^{-\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_-}{2})}, \\ p_{L\uparrow} &= -e^{-2a}, \quad p_{L\downarrow} = -e^{-2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_+ - a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_+ - a}; \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case D : } p_{1\uparrow} &= -e^{2a}, \quad p_{1\downarrow} = -e^{2a} + \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh(\gamma\zeta_- + a)} e^{\gamma\zeta_- + a}, \\ p_{L\uparrow} = p_{L\downarrow} &\equiv p_L = -e^{-2a} - \frac{\sinh 2a}{\sinh \frac{\gamma(2-\xi_+)}{2}} e^{\gamma(1-\frac{\xi_+}{2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

As is seen above, the two-particle S-matrix (17) is nothing but the R-matrix of the six-vertex model in the homogeneous gradation and thus satisfies the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE),

$$S_{ij}(k_i, k_j) S_{il}(k_i, k_l) S_{jl}(k_j, k_l) = S_{jl}(k_j, k_l) S_{il}(k_i, k_l) S_{ij}(k_i, k_j). \quad (24)$$

It can be checked that the boundary scattering matrices s^L and s^R obey the reflection equations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ji}(-k_j, -k_i) s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_j}) S_{ij}(-k_i, k_j) s^L(k_i; p_{1\sigma_i}) \\ = s^L(k_i; p_{1\sigma_i}) S_{ji}(-k_j, k_i) s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) S_{ij}(k_i, k_j), \\ S_{ji}(-k_j, -k_i) s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_j}) S_{ij}(k_i, -k_j) s^R(k_i; p_{L\sigma_i}) \\ = s^R(k_i; p_{L\sigma_i}) S_{ji}(k_j, -k_i) s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}; p_{\sigma_i}) S_{ij}(k_j, k_i). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This is seen as follows. One introduces the notation

$$s(k; p) = \frac{1 - pe^{-ik}}{1 - pe^{ik}}. \quad (26)$$

Then the boundary scattering matrices $s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i})$, $s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i})$ can be written as, corresponding to the four cases, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case A : } s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) &= s(-k_j; p_1) I, \\ s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) &= e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} s(k_j; p_L) I; \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case B : } s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) &= s(-k_j; p_{1\downarrow}) \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\lambda_j} \frac{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_-}{i} + \lambda_j)}{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_-}{i} - \lambda_j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) &= e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} s(k_j; p_{L\downarrow}) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\lambda_j} \frac{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_+}{i} - \lambda_j)}{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_+}{i} + \lambda_j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case C : } s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) &= s(-k_j; p_1) I, \\ s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) &= e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} s(k_j; p_{L\downarrow}) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\lambda_j} \frac{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_+}{i} - \lambda_j)}{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_+}{i} + \lambda_j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case D : } s^L(k_j; p_{1\sigma_i}) &= s(-k_j; p_{1\downarrow}) \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\lambda_j} \frac{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_-}{i} + \lambda_j)}{\sin(\frac{\gamma\zeta_-}{i} - \lambda_j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_i}) &= e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} s(k_j; p_L) I. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Here I stands for 2×2 identity matrix and $p_{1\downarrow}$, $p_{L\downarrow}$ are the ones given in (21). We immediately see that (27) are the trivial solutions of the reflection equations (25), whereas (28) are the diagonal solutions [2,11].

The diagonalization of Hamiltonian (1) reduces to solving the following matrix eigenvalue equation

$$T_j t = t, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (31)$$

where t denotes an eigenvector on the space of the spin variables and T_j takes the form

$$T_j = S_j^-(k_j) s^L(-k_j; p_{1\sigma_j}) R_j^-(k_j) R_j^+(k_j) s^R(k_j; p_{L\sigma_j}) S_j^+(k_j) \quad (32)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^+(k_j) &= S_{j,N}(k_j, k_N) \cdots S_{j,j+1}(k_j, k_{j+1}), \\ S_j^-(k_j) &= S_{j,j-1}(k_j, k_{j-1}) \cdots S_{j,1}(k_j, k_1), \\ R_j^-(k_j) &= S_{1,j}(k_1, -k_j) \cdots S_{j-1,j}(k_{j-1}, -k_j), \\ R_j^+(k_j) &= S_{j+1,j}(k_{j+1}, -k_j) \cdots S_{N,j}(k_N, -k_j). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

This problem can be solved using the algebraic Bethe ansatz method. The Bethe ansatz equations for all the four cases are

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} F(k_j; p_{1\sigma}, p_{L\sigma}) &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{\sin(\lambda_j - \Lambda_\alpha - \frac{i\gamma}{2}) \sin(\lambda_j + \Lambda_\alpha - \frac{i\gamma}{2})}{\sin(\lambda_j - \Lambda_\alpha + \frac{i\gamma}{2}) \sin(\lambda_j + \Lambda_\alpha + \frac{i\gamma}{2})}, \\ \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - \lambda_j + \frac{i\gamma}{2}) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha + \lambda_j + \frac{i\gamma}{2})}{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - \lambda_j - \frac{i\gamma}{2}) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha + \lambda_j - \frac{i\gamma}{2})} &= G(\Lambda_\alpha; p_{1\sigma}, p_{L\sigma}) \prod_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \beta \neq \alpha}}^M \frac{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\beta + i\gamma) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha + \Lambda_\beta + i\gamma)}{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\beta - i\gamma) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha + \Lambda_\beta - i\gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(k_j; p_{1\sigma}, p_{L\sigma}) &= \begin{cases} s(k_j; p_1) s(k_j; p_L) & \text{(Case A)} \\ s(k_j; p_{1\uparrow}) s(k_j; p_{L\uparrow}) & \text{(Case B)} \\ s(k_j; p_1) s(k_j; p_{L\uparrow}) & \text{(Case C)} \\ s(k_j; p_{1\uparrow}) s(k_j; p_L) & \text{(Case D)}, \end{cases} \\ G(\Lambda_\alpha; p_{1\sigma}, p_{L\sigma}) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{(Case A)} \\ \frac{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - i\gamma\zeta_+ + i\frac{\gamma}{2}) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha - i\gamma\zeta_- + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha + i\gamma\zeta_+ - i\frac{\gamma}{2}) \sin(\Lambda_\alpha + i\gamma\zeta_- - i\frac{\gamma}{2})} & \text{(Case B)} \\ -\frac{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - i\gamma\zeta_+ + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha + i\gamma\zeta_+ - i\frac{\gamma}{2})} & \text{(Case C)} \\ -\frac{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha - i\gamma\zeta_- + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{\sin(\Lambda_\alpha + i\gamma\zeta_- - i\frac{\gamma}{2})} & \text{(Case D)}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where again $p_{1\uparrow}$, $p_{L\uparrow}$ are the ones given in (21). The energy eigenvalue E of the model is given by $E = -2 \sum_{j=1}^N \cos k_j$ (up to some additive constants, which we have dropped).

Y.-Z.Z is supported by the QEII Fellowship Grant from Australian Research Council.

- [1] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin, *Exactly solvable models of strongly correlated electrons*, World Scientific, 1994.
- [2] E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A: Math.Gen.** **21** (1988) 2375.
- [3] L. Mezincescu, R. Nepomechie, J. Phys. **A: Math. Gen.** **24** (1991) L17.
- [4] A.J. Bracken, M.D. Gould, J.R. Links, Y.-Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 2768.
- [5] R.Z. Bariev, A. Klümper, J. Zittartz, Europhys. Lett. **32** (1995) 85.
- [6] M.D. Gould, K.E. Hibberd, J.R. Links, Y.-Z. Zhang, Phys. Lett. **A212** (1996) 156.
- [7] R.Z. Bariev, J. Phys. **A: Math. Gen.** **24** (1991) L549.

- [8] A.J. Bracken, X.-Y. Ge, Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, Nucl. Phys. **B516** (1998) 603;
Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **B** (1998), in press, `cond-mat/9707263`.
- [9] Similar K-matrices have also been obtained independently by D. Arnaudon, JHEP Electronic J.: JHEP **12** (1997) 6.
- [10] H. Asakawa, M. Suzuki, J. Phys. **A: Math. Gen.** **29** (1996) 225;
M. Shiroishi, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 1.
- [11] H.J. de Vega, A. González-Ruiz, J. Phys. **A: Math. Gen.** **26** (1993) L519.