R esonant tunneling of electrom agnetic waves through polariton

gaps

Lev I. Deych, D. Livdan, A A. Lisyansky

(Septem ber 11, 2021)

Abstract

We consider resonance tunneling of electrom agnetic waves through an optical barrier form ed by a stop-band between lower and upper polariton branches. We show that the tunneling through this kind of barrier is qualitatively dierent from tunneling through other optical barriers as well as from the quantum mechanic tunneling through a rectangular barrier. We nd that the width of the resonance maximum of the transmission coe cient tends sharply to zero as the frequency approaches the lower boundary of the stop band. Resonance transmission peaks give rise to new photonic bands inside the polariton stop band in a periodic array of the barriers.

42.25 B s,05.40 + j71.36 + c,63.50 + x

Typeset using REVT_EX

I. IN TRODUCTION

The e ect of tunneling is well studied in the context of quantum mechanics (see, for example, book [1]). Recently, tunneling of electrom agnetic waves has attracted interest owing to experiments with evanescent electrom agnetic waves, [2{5] which are a direct analog of wave functions of tunneling quantum particles. These experiments provide an opportunity to experimentally study the time evolution of tunneling wave packets { a subject of longstanding controversy (see, for example, review articles [6{8]). So far, three types of optical barriers have been considered in the context of the tunneling experiments. H istorically, the

rst experiment with evanescent modes was carried out by Bose in 1927, where a prism with a beam incident at an angle larger then the angle of total internal re ection was used as a barrier [9]. The same idea was used by Yeh [10], who considered resonant tunneling of electrom agnetic waves in a superlattice composed of alternating layers with indexes of refraction such that the incident angle was greater then the angle of total internal re ection for one layer and sm aller for the other one. The majority of time-of-ight theoretical considerations and experiments have been performed for an undersized wave quide [4,2,11], and photonic band gaps. [3,5] All of the considered optical barriers were articial structures. However, there exist natural optical barriers, which are well known, but were never considered in the context of light tunneling. We are referring to \restrahlen" or \stop" photonic bands, which exist in many dielectrics and sem iconductors in the region of polariton resonances. It is well known that in the absence of attenuation, the transmission coe cient of light with a frequency within the stop band decreases exponentially with an increase in the width of a slab through which it propagates. Therefore, a simple slab of a dielectric with polariton resonances can serve as a \naturally m ade" photonic barrier. Properties of this polariton barrier, how ever, might be considerably di erent from properties of other kinds of barriers because of the di erent dispersion law of electrom agnetic waves tunneling through the polariton barrier. It is in portant, therefore, to consider the tunneling properties of polariton barriers.

In this paper we consider tunneling of electrom agnetic radiation through a polariton barrier in a steady state mode. The point of interest in this situation is the resonance tunneling through a two-barrier system. Resonance tunneling of electrom agnetic waves in a superlattice structure was previously discussed in Ref. [10]. A tunneling e ect in Ref. [10] arose when the angle of incidence for electrom agnetic waves exceeded the angle of total internal re ection for one of the layers constituting the superlattice. The frequency dependence of the imaginary wave number in this case coincides with that of the square-barrier quantum tunneling problem. The situation barrier layer depends upon the frequency in a peculiar way, demonstrating a singularity near the lower boundary, $!_{\rm T}$, of the polariton gap. This dependence does not have an analog in quantum m echanical systems. This leads to a new pattern of resonance tunneling states.

Extending our system from two-barrier to a periodic array of alternating transparent and barrier layers, we obtain a new kind of photon band inside the initial forbidden band. These bands arise from the tunneling states and inherit many of their properties. In particular, we show that the bandwidths of these bands are determined by the widths of the respective resonances. The band structure of 2-D and 3-D photonic crystals made of materials with

frequency dependent dielectric perm eability was num erically studied in Ref. [12{14}. The authors of Ref. [12] com puted bands of two and three dimensional structures composed of m etal rods (spheres in the 3-D case) with dielectric perm eability of the form "= 1 $!_p^2=!^2$, where $!_p$ is the frequency of plasm a excitations in the m etal. The authors of Ref. [14] dealt with a photonic crystal built of a dielectric with " sim ilar to that used in the present paper [see Eq.(1) below]. One of the interesting elects observed in both studies was attening of the photonic bands below the frequency $!_p$, in the case considered in Ref. [12], or below $!_T$ for Ref. [14]. We show analytically that this electric of the dielectric function at $!_T$. We also discuss the in uence of absorption upon tunneling properties of the polariton barriers.

II. RESONANCE TUNNELING IN A THREE-LAYER SYSTEM

We consider propagation of electrom agnetic radiation of frequency ! in a system that consists of two parallel absorbing dielectric layers, each of thickness a, positioned along the x-axis and separated by a distance b. The dielectric perm eability of the dielectric material is given by

$$"(!) = "_{1} \frac{(! + i)^{2} !_{L}^{2}}{(! + i)^{2} !_{T}^{2}};$$
(1)

where is the attenuation, and $"_1$ is the background dielectric permeability. Both layers are considered optically isotropic, so that TE and TM waves are not coupled. This allows us to neglect the vector nature of the electric eld. We consider the case of norm all incidence so that the electric eld in our system can be presented as follows:

E (x) = e^{ik_0x} + re ik_0x	0 x> 1;
= a_1e^{ikx} + b_1e^{-ikx}	a x> 0;
$= a_2 e^{ik_0 (x a)} + b_2 e^{ik_0 (x a)}$	a+b x > a; (2)
= $a_3 e^{ik(x a b)} + b_3 e^{ik(x a b)}$	2a + b x > a + b;
= $te^{ik_0(x 2a b)}$	x > 2a + b;

where $k_0 = !=c$ is the wave-vector in vacuum, c is the speed of light in vacuum, and r, a_1 , b_1 , a_2 , b_2 , a_3 , b_3 and t are the complex amplitudes of the plane waves in each of the ve di erent regions. The wave number k in the dielectric layers is determined by the expression following from Eq.(1):

$$k = k_0^{V_{\rm L}} \overline{ "}_1 \frac{(!+i)^2 \cdot !_{\rm L}^2}{(!+i)^2 \cdot !_{\rm T}^2}$$
(3)

Taking into account regular boundary conditions at each of the boundaries between different materials, we obtain the following expression for the complex transmission coe cient t:

$$t = 16 \frac{k}{k_0} e^{2ika+ik_0b} 1 \frac{k^2}{k_0^2} e^{2ika+ik_0b} 2e^{2ika} e^{ik_0b} e^{4ika+ik_0b} + (4)$$

$$1 \frac{k}{k_0} + 6\frac{k^2}{k_0^2} 4\frac{k^3}{k_0^3} + \frac{k^4}{k_0^4} e^{4ika} + 1 + 4\frac{k}{k_0} + 6\frac{k^2}{k_0^2} + 4\frac{k^3}{k_0^3} + \frac{k^4}{k_0^4} i :$$

In the case of a lossless dielectric (= 0) the expression for the transm issivity of the system , $T = jt^2 j$ can be obtained as

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_0}{k_0} + \frac{k_0}{2} \sinh^2(a) \cos^2[K(a+b)]};$$
(5)

where K (a + b) is given by

$$\cos [K (a + b)] = \cosh (a) \cos (k_0 b) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_0}{k_0} = \frac{k_0}{2} \sin (a) \sin (k_0 b); \quad (6)$$

W e assume here that the frequency of the wave falls into the region $!_T < ! < !_L$ so that the wave number k = i is in aginary, and propagation of the wave through the dielectric layers is evanescent. Eq. (5) describes optical tunneling through a forbidden \band." However, for a set of frequencies such that

$$\cos [K (a + b)] = 0;$$
 (7)

the transm ission coe cient, T, is equal to 1, and tunneling through the system becomes resonant. Though Eq.(6) is similar to the respective equations of Ref. [10] and of the quantum mechanics tunneling problem, the di erent frequency dependence of the wave number k causes di erent behavior of the solutions to this equation.

In order to determ ine the number of resonance frequencies, it is convenient to rewrite Eq.(7) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{k_0}{k_0} = \frac{1}{k_0} \tan(a) = \cot(k_0b);$$
(8)

If boundary frequencies $!_{L}$ and $!_{T}$ satisfy the inequality

$$!_{\rm L} \quad !_{\rm T} < \frac{\rm C}{\rm b}; \tag{9}$$

the respective interval of wave numbers form $!_{T} = c$ to $!_{L} = c$ can only accommodate less than one period of $\cot(k_0b)$. In this case Eq.(8) has one solution if

$$k_0 a \tan(k_0 b) > 2$$
: (10)

If inequality (9) does not hold, the number of resonance frequencies is equal to

$$N = \frac{(!_{L} !_{T})b}{c} + 1$$
(11)

if inequality (10) is satisfied, and it is N 1 otherwise. The transmission, T, as a function of frequency is presented in Figs.1 for two different values of the widths of the layers a and b. One can see that as the ratio of a to b increases, so does the number of resonant peaks.

Both plots in Fig.1 show a similar pattern: the separation between resonant peaks remains approximately constant while the half-width of peaks sharply decreases as the frequency approaches $!_{T}$. The resonant half-width, ; can be found by expanding the denom inator of Eq. (5) near the resonance frequency $!_{r}$ up to the term quadratic in $!_{r}$. If a resonance frequency, $!_{r}$; is close to the lower boundary of the gap, $!_{T}$, one can do for

$$\frac{2^{p} \overline{2}}{k_{0} a} \frac{(!)^{2}}{!} \exp^{p} 2(k_{0} a) \frac{!}{!} \sum_{i=2}^{4^{m}} i$$
(12)

where $! = !_T$ $!_r$, and $! = (!_L^2 !_T^2) = (2!_T)$. Eq. (12) describes an extremely sharp non-analytical behavior of the width of the resonance near the low-frequency boundary of the gap.

To take absorption into account, one can replace the parameter $\ !$ by the following expression

$$!_{abs} = \frac{q}{(!_{T} !_{r})^{2} + {}^{2}}$$
:

If $!_{T}$ $!_{r} <$ the width of the resonance becomes proportional to exp $(! =)^{1=2}$ and remains small for < !. However, the width grows exponentially fast with an increase of the absorption rate, and the height of the peak decreases correspondingly. Therefore, the resonances nearest to $!_{T}$ are the most vulnerable with respect to absorption. One can see this in Fig2, which shows that these resonances disappear rst when the absorption becomes larger. It also follows from this analysis that the width of the resonances is specied to our particular model and does not take place in the case of Ref. [10], where the wave-vector is nite for any ! in the forbidden band.

When $!_r$ approaches $!_L$, the wave-vector $(!_r)$ becomes smaller and increases with frequency, reaching at $!_0$ ' $!_L$ a certain value, which depends upon all the parameters of the system. For these frequencies, absorption, when small enough, does not contribute signi cantly to the width of the maxima.

III.PHOTON IC BANDS IN THE POLARITON FORBIDDEN GAP

In the case of a periodic array of the three-layer sandwiches considered in the previous section of the paper, one has a set of propagating bands instead of single resonance frequencies. In this situation, Eq. (6) presents the dispersion equation of the propagating modes with K being the B loch wave number. The resonant tunneling frequencies discussed in the previous section are the solutions of the dispersion equation at the center of the B rillouin band, K (a + b) = =2, where K changes between 0 and within the band. It is clear, therefore, that each of the resonances gives rise to a corresponding band. This conclusion is supported by the dispersion curves plotted in Figs. 3. One can see from this gure that for each resonant frequency $!_{\rm rn}$, where n is the number of a given mode, there is a distinct

branch of the dispersion curve. It is interesting to note that branches corresponding to the resonant frequencies close to $!_{\rm T}$, have much smaller dispersion than branches which correspond to $!_{\rm T}$, ' $!_{\rm L}$. One can also notice that regular bands, which appear outside of the polariton gap, also become less dispersive for frequencies near $!_{\rm T}$. This observation agrees with the results reported in Ref. [12{14] for two-dimensional systems. The advantage of the one-dimensional model is that we can analytically determine the cause for this behavior. A sum ing that the width of a band, centered at $!_{\rm rn}$, is much smaller then the frequency itself, one can expand the right-hand side of the dispersion equation (6) with respect to $!_{\rm rn}$ and obtain the approximate dispersion equation as follows:

$$! \quad !_{rn} = (1)^{n} \quad (!_{0n}) \quad \frac{k_{0}}{k_{0}} + \frac{k_{0}}{k_{0}}^{!} \sinh [(!_{0n})a] \cos [k (a+b)]:$$
(13)

If one combines this equation and Eq. (12) for the resonance width , the expression for the band width, $!_n$, for bands near the lower edge $!_T$ becomes

$$!_n \quad \frac{(!)^2}{!}:$$

This expression also holds for frequencies at the pass-band side of $!_{T}$ and explains, therefore, the attening of the photon dispersion curves near $!_{T}$ observed in Ref. [12{14]. Both the band width $!_{n}$ and the width of the resonance tend to a certain nite value when !approaches $!_{L}$. The prefactor (1)ⁿ in Eq. (13) shows that the branches have an alternating sign of the dispersion: positive for the \even" branches and negative for the \odd" ones. This feature is clearly seen in Fig.3.

IV.CONCLUSION

W e consider a polariton stop-band (restrahlen region) as an optical barrier for tunneling of electrom agnetic waves. We show that the peculiar frequency dependence of the tunneling penetration length of electrom agnetic waves results in tunneling properties that are qualitatively di erent from those of other optical barriers. We carry out a detailed study of the resonant tunneling of electrom agnetic waves through a three-layer sandwich, where a dispersionless (vacuum) layer was placed between two identical layers with dielectric perm eability allowing for the polariton stop-band. It was found that the number of resonance frequencies depends upon the frequency width of the stop-band, ! $!_{T}$; and the spacial width, b; of the vacuum layer. This number increases with an increase of ! $!_{T}$ and b. The latter dependence appears paradoxical, since it in plies that no matter how far away tunneling layers are from each other, they are capable of providing conditions for resonant tunneling. The situation becomes clear if one recollects that we deal with the steady state situation, where the ux of energy in the system is xed by an external source. For a large system, a wave has to travel a long distance between the layers before it reaches the steady state condition. This fact is crucial for an experim ental observation of resonance tunneling since one has to have a steady enough source of light and be able to maintain a complete coherency of the wave while it travels between the layers. Let us consider, for example, G aAs, for which $!_T = 5:1 \quad 10^{13} \text{ s}^1$, and $!_L = 5:5 \quad 10^{13} \text{ s}^1$ [15]. The vacuum wavelength in this

case changes between 34 m and 37 m when frequency sweeps over the polariton gap. If one m akes the distance b between the layers equal to, say, 350 m (approximately ten wavelengths), then the number of observable resonances would be equal to 1 or 2 depending upon the width of the dielectric layers. In order to observe greater number of the resonance one could use materials with wider polariton gaps, for example, M gO, where $!_T = 7.5 \ 10^{13} s^{-1}$ and $!_L = 14 \ 10^{13} s^{-1}$ [15]. In this case even for b as small as 60 m, four resonance peaks could be observed. The actual number of resonance maxim a also depends upon relaxation characteristics of the layers. Though these characteristics can vary even for di erent samples of the same type of material, one can consider = 0.02 [16] as a typical value and use it for quantitative estimates. It is seen from Fig.2 that the relaxation of this order of magnitude could reduce the number of observable resonances by at most one maximum if the con guration of the system allows for a maximum near $!_T$. More speci c conclusions regarding the number of the expected resonances could be drawn from the results of the paper for each particular experimental con guration.

The width of the resonance peaks of the transmission coe cient was found to have a sharp nonanalytical frequency dependence at the lower boundary of the polariton gap, $!_{\rm T}$ and to saturate at a certain nite value when approaching the upper boundary, $!_{\rm L}$. This fact results in dimensions to absorption for resonances occurring in vicinities of $!_{\rm T}$ and $!_{\rm L}$. In the first situation even a small absorption completely determines the real width of the resonance, and these resonances are washed out for resonances of absorption. The resonances in the latter case survive much stronger rate of absorption, and for a small one they have a width mainly determined by the properties of the barrier itself.

We also consider extension of our system to a periodic arrays of the sandwiches. We show that each of the resonances of the original system gives rise to a band, with the original resonance frequency at the center of the respective band. The band widths of the bands (and correspondingly their degrees of dispersion) are found to be determined by the frequency width of the respective parent resonances. This fact explains attening photonic bands near $!_T$ observed previously in numerical simulations of 2-D system s [14].

W e wish to thank SA.Schwarz for reading and commenting on the manuscript. This work was supported by the NSF under grant No.DMR-9632789, by a CUNY collaborative grant, and by a PSC-CUNY research award.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.K. Roy Quantum Mechanical Tunneling And Its Applications (World Scienti c Publ., 1986).
- [2] A. Enders and G. N in tz, J. Phys. I (France) 2,1693; J. Phys. I (France) 3,1089 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 47,9605 (1993); Phys. Rev. E 48, 632 (1993);
- [3] A M. Steinberg, P.G. Kwiat, and R.Y. Chiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 708 (1993).
- [4] A. Ranfagni, D. Mugnai, P. Fabeni, and G P. Pazzi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 774 (1991);
- A.Ranfagni, P.Fabeni, G.P.Pazzi, and D.Mugnai, Phys.Rev.E 48, 1453 (1994).
- [5] Ch. Spielm ann, R. Szipocz, A. Stingl, and F. Krausz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2308 (1994).
- [6] R. Landauer and Th. Martin, Rev. M od. Phys. 66, 217 (1994).
- [7] E.H. Hauge and J.A. Stovneng, Rev. M od. Phys. 61, 917 (1989).
- [8] V.S.O Ikhovsky and E.Recami, Phys. Rep. 214, 329 (1992).
- [9] J.Ch.Bose, Bose Institute Transactions, 42 (1927).
- [10] P.Yeh, J.Opt. Soc. Am . A 2, 568 (1985).
- [11] Th.Martin and R.Landauer, Phys. Rev. A 45, 2611 (1992).
- [12] A A.M aradudin and A R.M cG um, J.Opt. Soc.Am.B 10, 307 (1993).
- [13] A.R.McGum and A.A.Maradudin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 17576 (1993).
- [14] W. Zhang, A. Hu, X. Lei, N. Xu, and N. Ming, Phys. Rev. B 54, 10280 (1996).
- [15] C.K ittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (John W iley, New York, 1986).
- [16] Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids (ed. Edward D. Palik, A cadem ic Press, 1991).

CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Transm ission as a function of $\frac{!}{!_{T}}$. The ratio of $\frac{!_{T}}{!_{T}}$ is equal to 3 for both plots. (a) Values of a and b are 2 and 8 respectively. (b) a = 2 and b = 20. The polariton gap lies between normalized frequencies 1 and 3.

Figure 2. Transmission in the presence of absorption for a = 2 and b = 8. The dashed line corresponds to an attenuation coe cient $\frac{1}{1_T} = 0.005$, and the solid line depicts the transmission for $\frac{1}{1_T} = 0.05$.

Figure 3. The bands arising in the polariton gap in the case of periodic arrangement of the considered system. (a) a = 2, b = 8, (b) a = 2, b = 20. The polariton gap is shown by dashed lines. D ispersion curves in the forbidden gap correspond to the resonant peaks from Fig. (1).









