Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian from generalized commutation rules

J. C. Flores

Universidad de Tarapacá Departamento de Física Casilla 7-D, Arica Chile

In a first order approximation, the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian with onsite interaction is obtained from the free Hamiltonian (U = 0) and generalized commutation relations for the annihilation-creation operators. Similar generalized commutation relations were used for the first time in high energy physics. The spectrum of the system can be found formally by using the algebraic properties of the generalized operators.

PACS : 67.40.Db ; 05.30.Jp ; 67.90.+z

In recent years much attention has been given to the Hubbard model for bosons ([1-5] and references therein). At first glance, this is a simple descriptions for interacting particles, and related to a subject like phase transitions. In this brief report we obtain, in a first order, the Bose-Hubbard model from usual phonon-like Hamiltonian where the annihilation and creation operators satisfy generalized canonical commutation relations. In fact, the Bose-Hubbard model corresponds to the limit of small perturbation with respect to usual commutation rules. To the best of our knowledge this connection is not found in the literature. Curiously, the model is formally solvable in a way similar to the free-phonon system. So, this work opens new scopes about the interpretation of the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian, and its relationship with some ideas in high energy physics. The feeling of the report is that interaction terms can be considered modifying the commutation rules for the relevant operators.

Consider the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and the operator \mathcal{N} defined by (we use units in which $\hbar = 1$)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} \omega_k (\beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k + \beta_k \beta_k^{\dagger}), \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} (\beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k + \beta_k \beta_k^{\dagger}), \qquad (2)$$

where we assume ω_k a real function of the wavenumber k (first Brillouin zone) and L is the total number of sites in the lattice. Moreover, consider the operators β_k and β_k^{\dagger} satisfying the implicit commutation relations

$$[\beta_k, \beta_p^{\dagger}] = (L + \frac{U}{\omega_k} \mathcal{N}) \delta_{k,p}.$$
(3)

where $\delta_{k,p}$ is the usual Kronecker symbol and U is a constant which will

be related to the usual strength-interaction-parameter in the Bose-Hubbard model. Moreover we assume

$$[\beta_k, \beta_p] = [\beta_k^{\dagger}, \beta_p^{\dagger}] = 0.$$
(4)

With respect to the above definitions we remark : (a) Similar generalizations of the canonical commutation relations were proposed originally in high energy physics to explain some phenomena related to quark physics [6-8] where the correction was proportional to the Hamiltonian rather than to the operator \mathcal{N} . (b) The case U = 0 corresponds to the well-known model of free excitations with spectrum ω_k , where β_k^{\dagger} and β_k are the usual bosonic operators. (c) We can show $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{N}] = 0$ [9] and then, the commutation relations (3) do not change with time. (d) If we consider the limit $L \to \infty$ then $\frac{1}{L} \sum_k \to \int dk$ in (1) and (2). Moreover, in this limit we have $L\delta_{k,p} \to \delta(k-p)$ and a correction proportional to the density $\frac{N}{L}$ in the commutator (3).

To determine the first order relationship between the system defined by (1-3) and the Bose-Hubbard model we go on as follows: let b_k and b_k^{\dagger} be the usual creation-annihilation Bose operators which commutator $[b_k, b_p^{\dagger}] = L\delta_{k,p}$. Since $U \to 0$ we expect $\beta_k \to b_k$; then, it seems reasonable to assume the first-order expansion in U,

$$\beta_k = b_k + \frac{U}{2\omega_k} \sum_{prs} f(k, p, r, s) b_p b_r^{\dagger} b_s + O(U^2)$$
(5)

where f is a unknown real function which will be determined using, at first order, the generalized commutation rules (3) (i.e. we put (5) in (3)). After some calculations we get

$$\beta_k = b_k + \frac{U}{2\omega_k L^2} \sum_{prs} \delta_{k+r,p+s} b_p b_r^{\dagger} b_s + O(U^2) \tag{6}$$

Namely, the expansion (5) is consistent with the commutation rules (3). An homogenous zero order groundstate was assumed. In this approximation (6), the Hamiltonian (1) becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k} \omega_k (b_k^{\dagger} b_k + b_k b_k^{\dagger}) + \frac{U}{L^3} \sum_{krps} \delta_{k+r,p+s} \left(b_k b_s^{\dagger} b_r b_p^{\dagger} + b_k^{\dagger} b_p b_r^{\dagger} b_s \right) + O(U^2).$$
(7)

And if we consider the Fourier transform to real space l in the lattice

$$b_l = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k b_k e^{ikl} \tag{8}$$

then, the Hamiltonian (7) can be written at first order in U as

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{l} t(b_{l}^{\dagger}b_{l-1} + b_{l}b_{l+1}^{\dagger} + b_{l}b_{l-1}^{\dagger} + b_{l}^{\dagger}b_{l+1}) + U\sum_{l} (b_{l}^{\dagger}b_{l}b_{l}^{\dagger}b_{l} + b_{l}b_{l}^{\dagger}b_{l}b_{l}^{\dagger}) + O(U^{2})$$
(9)

which corresponds to the symmetrized Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian of strength U in position representation. To obtain (9) the usual dispersion relation in one-dimension $\omega_k = -2tcosk$, where t is the hopping parameter, was assumed. It must be noted, however, that the Hubbard term is also obtained for dimension greater than one (D > 1).

To determine the spectrum of the system (1-3) we consider the eigenvector of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{N} given by $|E_{k,n}; N_{k,n}\rangle$. Namely,

$$\mathcal{H} \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle = E_{k,n} \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{N} \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle = N_{k,n} \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle.$$
(11)

To find the relationship between different eigenvalues of \mathcal{H} (i.e. between $E_{k,n+1}$ and $E_{k,n}$) we go on as follows : by using the commutation rules (3), one finds

$$\mathcal{H}\beta_k^{\dagger}|E_{k,n};N_{k,n}\rangle = \{E_{k,n} + 2\omega_k + \frac{U}{L}(\mathcal{N}\beta_k^{\dagger} + \beta_k^{\dagger}\mathcal{N})\}|E_{k,n};N_{k,n}\rangle.$$
(12)

However, also from equation (3) one has

$$\mathcal{N}\beta_k^{\dagger}|E_{k,n};N_{k,n}\rangle = \frac{2 + N_{k,n}(1 + \frac{U}{L\omega_k})}{1 - \frac{U}{L\omega_k}}\beta_k^{\dagger}|E_{k,n};N_{k,n}\rangle$$
(13)

then $\beta_k^{\dagger} \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n}$ is an eigenvector of \mathcal{N} . Expressions (13) gives the relationship between different eigenvalues $N_{k,n}$ of the operator \mathcal{N} :

$$N_{k,n+1} = \frac{2 + N_{k,n} (1 + \frac{U}{L\omega_k})}{1 - \frac{U}{L\omega_k}}.$$
 (14)

Introducing (13) onto (12), we have $\mathcal{H}\beta_k \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle = E_{k,n+1}\beta_k \mid E_{k,n}; N_{k,n} \rangle$ and the relationship for the spectrum of \mathcal{H}

$$E_{k,n+1} = E_{k,n} + 2\omega_k + \frac{2U}{L - \frac{U}{\omega_k}}(N_{k,n} + 1)$$
(15)

where if U = 0 becomes the usual oscillator-like-spectrum for phonons. The spectrum (15) is not given in explicit form because of its dependence on the eigenvalue $N_{k,n}$. Nevertheless, from equation (14), it can be solved if we note that

$$N_{k,n} = \frac{L\omega_k}{U} \left[\left(\frac{1 + \frac{U}{L\omega_k}}{1 - \frac{U}{L\omega_k}} \right)^n - 1 \right]$$
(16)

where it was assumed $N_{k,0} = 0$.

We finally raise some questions related to the above connection between the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian and the generalized commutation rules: (i) The extension to the usual Fermi-Hubbard model was not worked-out. The generalization of the commutation (or anticommutation) relations (3) is not straightforward. (ii) Thermodynamic properties like internal energy, heat capacity , thermal conduction, must be calculated by using the spectrum (15). Nevertheless, this seems not direct, although one may attempt a perturbation expansion in powers of U. (iii) The usual term related to density-density nearest-neighbor interaction [2-5], between bosons, is absent in (9). It seems that such a generalization requires a modification of the commutation relations (3). (iv) In last years, much attention has been given to disordered Hubbard models ([1, 10-13] and references therein), in our case it is not clear how to consider disorder in the formulation (1-3). Nevertheless, this can be partially carried-out using an appropriate spectral function ω_k like to this one of disordered systems.

A more detailed treatment of points (i-iv) and further physical applications will be given elsewhere.

Acknowledgments : It is my pleasure to thanks useful discussions with C. Elphick, I. Saavedra and S. Montecinos.

References

- M.P.A. Fisher, P.B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys.Rev.B 40, 546 (1989).
- [2] E. Frey and L. Balents, Phys.Rev.B 55, 1050 (1997).
- [3] R.T. Scalettar, G.G. Batrouni, A.P. Kampf, and G.T. Zimanyi, Phys.Rev.B 51, 8467 (1995).
- [4] E. Roddick and D.H. Stroud, Phys.Rev.B 48, 16 600 (1993).
- [5] A. van Otterlo, K.H. Wagenblast, R. Baltin, C. Bruder, R. Fazio and G. Schön, Phys.Rev.B 52, 16 176 (1995).
- [6] I. Saavedra in : Quantum Theory And The Structures of Time And Space, Vol IV, eds. L Castell, M. Drieshner and C.F. von Weissacher, (Carl Hausser, Munich, 1981).
- [7] I. Saavedra and C. Utreras, Phys.Lett.B 98, 74 (1981).
- [8] S. Montecinos, I. Saavedra and O. Kunstmann, Phys.Lett.A 109, 139 (1985).
- [9] To see this we can write always $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{L} \sum \omega_k \eta_k$ and $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{L} \sum \eta_k$ with $\eta_k = \beta_k \beta_k^{\dagger} + \beta_k^{\dagger} \beta_k$. From (3) and (4) we have $[\eta_k, \eta_p] = 0$ for all k, p.
- [10] D. L. Shepelyansky, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 2607 (1994).
- [11] Y. Ymry, Europhys.Lett **30**, 405 (1995).
- [12] R.A. Romër and M. Schreiber, Phys.Rev.Lett.**78**, 515 (1997).
- [13] Ph. Jacquod, D. L. Shepelyansky, and O. P. Sushkov, Phys.Rev.Lett 78, 923 (1997). And References therein.