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A bstract W e express connected Ferm ionic Green's fiunctions in term s of two totally explicit tree form ulas. The sim plest and $m$ ost sym $m$ etric form ula, the B rydgesK ennedy form ula is com patible w ith G ram 's inequality. T he second one, the rooted form ula of $A$ R 1], respects even better the antisym $m$ etric structure of determ inants, and allow s the direct com parison of row s and colum ns which correspond to the $m$ athem atical im plem entation in $G$ rassm ann integrals of the $P$ auli principle. To illustrate the pow er of these form ulas, we give a \three lines proof" that the radius of convergence of the $G$ ross $N$ eveu theory $w$ ith cuto is independent of the num ber of colors, using either one or the other of these form ulas.

## I) Introduction

P erturbation theory for ferm ion system $s$ is often said to converge, whether for boson system $s$ it is said to diverge. But what does this $m$ ean exactly? Unnorm alized Ferm ionic perturbation series with cuto sare not only convergent but entire, whether B osonic perturbation series $w$ ith cuto shave zero radius of convergence. But this is of little use since in actual com putations, especially renom alization group com putations, one needs to com pute connected functions. It w as not obvious until recently how to com pute these connected functions in a way which preserves the algebraic cancellations of the Pauli principle to obtain convergent power series w ith accurate and easy estim ates on the convergence radius, w ithout using the heavy techniques of cluster and $M$ ayer expansions.

[^0]The rst solution to this problem which does not require cluster and M ayer expansions is in $\mathbb{F M R T ]}$ where some inductive way of com puting such Ferm ionic connected functions is given and applied to the uniform convergence of tw o-dim ensionalm any ferm ion system s in a slioe. H ow ever in the corresponding com putation the expansions steps were intertw inned with constructions of layers of som e tree, and the nal outcom e was therefore not totally explicit yet.

In [AR1] we developed two explicit tree form ulas which are especially suited for cluster and M ayer expansions. Shortly thereafter we m ade the rem ark that the second form ula leads to a com pletely explicit version of the inductive cluster expansion used in $\mathbb{F M} R T$ ] and $\mathbb{F K} L T$ ]. M ore recently we realized that the rst form ula is also com patible w th G ram 's inequality, and can therefore also be used in ferm ionic expansions. It is possible to develop a continuous renom alization group form alism for Ferm ions using this form ula $\mathbb{D} R$ ], a problem which has attracted attention recently [S].

W e also $m$ ention that an other (closely related) version of this kind of expansions has been introduced recently, using G ram 's inequality $\mathbb{E}$ K T ]. It relies on a resolvent expansion rather than an explicit tree form ula.

## II. T ree form ulas and G rassm ann integrals

In this section we recall the notations and the \Forest Form ulas" of [AR 1] and apply them to $G$ rassm ann (ferm ionic) integrals.

Let $n \quad 1$ be an integer, $I_{n}=f 1 ;::: ; n g, P_{n}=f i ; j g=i ; j 2 I_{n} ; i \notin j$ (the set of unordered pairs in $I_{n}$ ). An elem ent $l$ of $P_{n} w$ ill be called a link, a subset of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$, a graph. A graph $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{fl}_{\mathrm{l}} ;::: ; \mathrm{l} \mathrm{g}$ containing no loops, i.e. no subset
$f i_{1} ; i_{2} g ; f i_{2} ; i_{3} g ;::: ; f i_{k} ; i_{1} g$ with $k \quad 3$ elem ents, is called a forest.
A Forest formula is a Taylor formula w ith integral rem ainder, which P expands a quantity such as exp $12 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{u}_{1}$ to search for the explicit presence or absence oflinks $u_{1}$. Taylor form ulas $w$ ith rem ainders in generalare provided $w$ ith a \stopping rule" and forests form ulas stop at the levelofconnected sets. T hism eans that two points which are joined by a link are treated as a single block. (M ore sophisticated form ulas w ith higher stopping rules are useful for higher particle irreducibility analysis, or renorm alization group com putations but are no longer forests form ulas in the strict sense [AR2]). A ny such forest form ula contains a \weakening factor" $w$ for the links which rem ain underived. M any di erent such forests form ulas exist, with di erent rules for $w$. Two of them were identi ed as the $m$ ost natural ones in $[A R 1]$, corresponding to two di erent logics: in one of them, the Brydges $K$ ennedy form ula, the forest grow $s$ in the $m$ ost sym $m$ etric and random way, and in the other, the \rooted form ula" it grow slayers by layers from a preferred root.

A forest is a union of disconnected trees $T$, the supports of which are disjoint subsets of $I_{n}$ called the connected com ponents or clusters of $F$.
$T$ he $B$ rydges $K$ ennedy form ula is then

B rydges-K ennedy Forest Form ula
where the summ ation extends over all possible lengths of F , including $=0$ hence the em pty forest. To each link of $F$ is attached a variable of integration $w_{1}$; and $W_{f i j g}^{F ; B K}(w)=\inf W_{l} ; 12 L_{F}$ fijg where $L_{F} f i j g$ is the unique path in the forest F connecting ito $j$. If no such path exists, by convention $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{fijg}}^{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{B}} \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{w})=0$.

T he rooted form ula is absolutely identical, but w ith a di erent rule for the w eakening factorw, now called $w_{f i j g}^{F ; R}(w)$. It is a less sym $m$ etrical form ula since we have to give a rule for choosing a root in each cluster. For each non em pty subset or cluster $C$ of $I_{n}$, choose $r_{C}$, for instance the least elem ent in the natural ordering of $I_{n}=f 1 ;::: ; n g$, to be the root of all the trees $w$ ith support $C$ that appear in the follow ing expansion. N ow if $i$ is in som e tree $T$ w ith support $C$ we call the height of $i$ the num ber of links in the unique path of the tree $T$ that goes from $i$ to the root $r_{C}$. W e denote it by $l^{T}$ (i). The set of points iwith a xed height $k$ is called the $k$-th layer of the tree. T he R ooted Forest Form ula is then :

R ooted Forest Form ula
where the only di erence with (II.1) lies in the de nition of $w_{f i j g}^{F ; R}(w)$ which is di erent from the one of $W_{f i j g}^{F ; B K}(w)=0$. W e de ne still $W_{f i j g}^{F ; R}(w)=0$ if i and $j$ are not connected by the F. If $i$ and $j$ fall in the support $C$ of the sam e tree $T$ of $F$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{f i j g}^{F ; R}(w)=0 \text { if } 7^{T} \text { (i) } I^{T}(j) j \quad 2 \quad \text { (i and } j \text { in distant layers) } \\
& W_{f i j g}^{\mathrm{Fi} R}(\mathrm{w})=1 \text { if } I^{T}(i)=I^{T} \text { (j) (i and } j \text { in the sam e layer) } \\
& W_{f i j g}^{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{fi} \mathrm{i}^{0} \mathrm{~g}} \text { if } \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{T}} \text { (i) } 1=\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{i}^{0}\right) \text {, and fii}{ }^{0} 2 \mathrm{~T} \text {. (i and } j
\end{aligned}
$$ in neighboring layers, $i^{0}$ is then unique and is called the ancestor of $i$ in $T$ ).

Proofs of these form ulas are given in [AR1].
To any such algebraic form ula, there is an associated \Taylor" tree formula, in which $u_{1}$ is intenpreted not as a real num ber but as a di erential operator $u_{1}=\frac{@}{@ x_{1}}$ [AR 1]. Let us recall these associated $T$ aylor form ulas. Let $S$ be the space of sm ooth functions from $R^{P_{n}}$ to an arbitrary $B$ anach space $V$. An elem ent of $R^{P_{n}} w$ ill be generally denoted by $x=\left(x_{1}\right)_{12 P_{n}}$. The vector $w$ ith all entries equal to 1 w illbe denoted by 11 . A pplied to an elem ent H ofS, the Taylor R ooted Forest form ula takes the form :

The Taylor forest form ulas

Here $X_{F}^{B K}$ or $R(w)$ is the vector $\left(X_{1}\right)_{12 P_{n}}$ of $R^{P_{n}}$ de ned by $X_{1}=W_{1}^{F: B K}$ or $R(w)$, which is the value at which we evaluate the derivative of H. T he sym bolBK or R m eans that the form ula is true using either the Brydges $K$ ennedy or the rooted weakening factor w.

A gain the proof of (II.3) is in [AR1] (but we recall that it is a rather trivial consequence of (II.1-2) applied to $u_{1}=\frac{@}{@ x_{1}}$ ).

A ny forest is a union of connected trees. T herefore any forest form ula has an associated tree form ula for its connected com ponents. A nd therefore, at least form ally, any forest form ula solves the problem of com puting norm alized correlation functions. Indeed applying the forest form ula to the functional integral for the unnorm alized functions, the connected functions are sim ply given by the connected pieces of the forest form ula, hence by the corresponding tree form ula. It is in this sense that forest form ulas exactly solve the well known snag that makes connected functions di cult to compute. This snag is that since typically there are $m$ any trees in a graph, one does not know \which one to choose" when one tries to com pute connected functions in the (desirable) form of tree sum s. A ny forest form ula gives a particular answer to that problem. It tells us exactly by how m uch our pondered \tree choice" has \weakened" the rem aining loop lines: just by the weakening factor w .


To illustrate this by the sim plest possible exam ple, let us consider the second order connected graphs of the pressure for the ${ }^{4}$ theory in dim ension 0 w ith propagator 1, which sim ply counts m ultiplicities of connected graphs. There are tw o second order connected graphs, pictured in Fig 1. To count contractions correctly it is convenient to label all elds or half lines. T he rst graph $G_{1}$ corresponds to $2 . C_{4}^{2} . C_{4}^{2}=72$ contractions, and has therefore weight 72 . T he second graph has weight $4!=24$. N ow to select a tree in this case is to select the trivial trees $T$ and there are $4^{2}=16$ such trees. If the sam e m easure $w$ as used for the contractions of the three loop lines, we would nd a weight $16 . C_{3}^{2} . C_{3}^{2}=144$ for $G_{1}$, and a weight $16.3!=96$ for $G_{2}$. $T$ his ilhustrates the overcounting problem of choosing a tree, and we see that this overcounting varies w ith the graphs. N ow
we see how the weakening of the loop lines corrects precisely this defect: with the weakening param eters $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{BK}}$ or $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{R}}$, the graph $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ eakened by a factor ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} w d w=1=2$ and the graph $G_{2}$ is $w$ eakened by a factor ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} w^{3} d w=1=4$ so that correct weights are recovered! The reader is invited to try higher order cases to see the distinction betw een $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{K}$ and $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{R}}$ appear.

It rem ains to see which form ulas can be used in the constructive sense and for which theories. It is well known that the BrydgesKennedy Form ula BK] AR 1], when applied to interpolate a sym $m$ etric positive $m$ atrix, preserves positivity. Indeed $w_{i j}^{F ; B K}(w)$ com pleted as a sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix by the de nition $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ii}}^{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{BK}}(\mathrm{w})=18 \mathrm{i}$ is a positive m atrix, since it is a convex combination of block $m$ atrices $w$ ith 1 everyw here $A R 1$ ]. Therefore if $C$ is a positive $m$ atrix (like a bosonic covariance) the m atrix $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{K}}$ (w)C $\left(\mathrm{x}_{i} ; \mathrm{x}_{j}\right)$, being the H adam ard product of tw o positive $m$ atrioes, rem ains positive for any values of the tree param eters $w$. $T$ his $m$ eans that it is suited to the construction of bosonic $m$ odels ( $\mathbb{B}$ ] AR 2] and references therein). But this positivity property also allow s G ram 's estim ates for ferm ionic theories:

Lem ma
Let $A=(\mathrm{a})$; be a G ram matrix: $a=<\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}>$ for some inner product < :;:> . Suppose each of the indices and is of the form ( $i ;$ ) where the rst index i, 1 i $n$, has the sam e range as the indices of the positive $m$ atrix $\left(w_{i j}^{F ; B K}(w)\right)_{i j}$, and runs through some other index set.

Let $B=(\mathrm{b})$; be the $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries $\mathrm{b}_{(\mathrm{i} ;)(\mathrm{j} ;)}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{BK}}(\mathrm{w}):<$ $f_{(i ;)} ; g_{(j ;)}>$ and let (b) 2A; (B be som e square $m$ atrix extracted from $B$, then for any $w$ we have the $G$ ram inequality:

P roof: Indeed we can fake the sym $m$ etric square root $v$ of the positive $m$ atrix $W^{F ; B K}$ so that $W_{i j}^{F ; B K}={ }_{k=1}^{n} V_{i k} V_{k j}$. Let us denote the com ponents of the vectors f and g , in an orthonom albasis for the scalar product < :;:> w ith q elem ents, by $f_{(i ;)}^{m}$ and $g_{(j ;)}^{m}, 1 \mathrm{~m} \quad q$. (Indeed even if the initialH ilbert space is in nite dim ensional, the problem is obviously restricted to the nite dim ensional subspace generated by the nite set of vectors $f$ and $g$ ). We then de ne the tensorized vectors $\left.F_{(i ; ~}\right)$ and $G(j ;)$ w th com ponents $F_{(i ;)}^{\mathrm{km}}=v_{i k} f_{(i ;)}^{m}$ and $G_{(j ;)}^{\mathrm{km}}=v_{j k} g_{(j ;)}^{m}$ where $1 \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n}$ and $1 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ q. N ow considering the tensor scalar product < :;:> ${ }_{\text {T }}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F_{(i ;)} ; G_{(j ;)}\right\rangle_{T}=X_{k=1 m=1}^{X^{n}} X^{q} V_{i k} V_{j k} f_{(i ;)}^{m} g_{(j ;)}^{m}=b_{(i ;)(j ;)}: \tag{II:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By G ram 's inequality using the $<$;; : T $_{\text {t }}$ scalar product we get



Let us now apply the B rydgesK ennedy form ula to the com putation of the connected functions of a Ferm ionic theory. T he corresponding $G$ rassm ann integral is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1^{Z}}{Z} d_{c}(;) P(a ; \quad a) e^{S(a ; a)} \tag{II:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is the covariance orpropagator, $P$ is a particularm onom ial (set ofextemal elds) and $S$ is som e general action. W e take as sim plest exam ple the m assive G ross-N eveu model with cuto, for which the action is local and quartic in a certain num ber of Ferm ionic elds. These elds are two-com ponents because of the spin. In a nite box the action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S=\frac{Z}{N} \mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x})\right)^{2} \tag{II:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a runs over som e nite set of N \color" indices. is the coupling constant. The covariance $C$ is $m$ assive and has an ultraviolet cuto, hence in Fourier space it is for instance $(p)=(\Phi+m) w h e r e$ is a cuto function on large $m$ om enta. W e only need to know that $C$ is diagonal in color space $C\left(x ; a ; y ; a^{0}\right)=0$ for $a \in a^{0}$, and that it can be decom posed as

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x ; y)=R^{d} D(x ; t) E(t ; y) d t \tag{II:9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}(x ; y) j \quad K \frac{1}{(1+\dot{z} \quad y)^{p}} \tag{II:9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e constant $K$.
This decom position am ounts roughly to de ning square roots of the covariance in $m$ om entum space and prove their square integrability. It is usually easy for any reasonable cuto m odel. For instance if is a positive function, we can de ne D in Fourier space as $\frac{p^{1=2}(p)}{\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{1=4}}$ and E as $\frac{(\rho+m)^{1=2}(p)}{\left(p^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{3=4}}$.

It is the Fem ionic covariance orpropagator $C=<\quad a(x)$ a $(y)>w h i c h$ is interpolated w ith the forest form ulas. W e obtain, for instance for the pressure that the form alpow er series in the coupling constant is a sum over trees on $f 1 ;:::: ; n g$, w ith 1, a distinguished vertex sitting at the origin to break translation invariance (sim ilar form ulas w ith extemal elds of course exist for the connected functions) :

Ferm ion ic Tree Expansion

$$
p=\lim _{!1} \frac{1}{j j} \operatorname{LogZ}()=\lim _{!1} \frac{1}{j j}{ }^{Z} d_{c}(;) e^{S}(\text { a; a })
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \text { Y } \\
& \mathrm{dx}_{1}:: \mathrm{Ddx}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}=0\right) \quad \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}(1)} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}(1)}\right)_{1} \quad \operatorname{det}(\mathrm{~b} \quad)_{2 \mathrm{~A}} ; 2 \mathrm{~B}: \tag{II:10}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum over the $a_{i} ' s$ and $b_{i}$ 's are over the colors of the elds and anti elds of the vertioes obtained by expanding the interaction and of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) a_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) b_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad b_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{II:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith 1 i n . The sum over T is over all trees which connect together the n vertices at $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The sum over is over the com patible ways of realising the bonds $1=$ fi;jg 2 T as contractions of a and between the vertices $i$ and $j$ (com patible $m$ eans that we do not contract tw ice the sam e eld or anti eld). A priori there are 8 choices of contraction for each $l=f i ; j g$, including the choice in direction for the arrow. ( T ; ) is a sign we w illexpress later. For any 12 T , i (l) 2 f1;:::;ng labels the vertex where the eld, contracted by the procedure conceming the link 1 , was chosen. Likew ise $j(1)$ is the label for the vertex containing the contracted anti eld. 1 is 1 if the colors (am ong $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{n} ; b_{1} ;::: ; b_{n}$ ) of the eld and anti eld contracted by lare the sam e and else is 0 . F inally the matrix (b) ; is de ned in the following $m$ anner.

The row indices label the 2 n elds produced by the n vertices, so that $=(i ;) w i$ th 1 i $n$ and takes two values 1 or 2 to indicate whether the eld is the second or the fourth factor in (II.11) respectively. T he colum $n$ indices label in the same way the $2 n$ anti elds, so that $=(j ;)$ with $1 \quad j n$ and
$=1$ or 2 according to whether the anti eld is the rst or the third factor in (II.11) respectively. The 's and 's are ordered lexioographically. W e denote by $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{i} ;)$ the color of the eld labeled by $(i ;)$ that is $a_{i}$, if $=1$, and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ if $=2$. W e introduce the sim ilar notation $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{j} ; ~)$ for the colbr of an anti eld. N ow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{(\mathrm{i} ;} ;(\mathrm{j} ;)=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{BK}}(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{i} ;) ; \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{j} ; \quad)): \tag{II:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally each time a eld ( $i ;$ ) is contracted by the corresponding row is deleted from the $2 n \quad 2 n \mathrm{~m}$ atrix (b). Likew ise, for any contracted anti eld the corresponding colum $n$ is erased. A and B denote respectively the set of rem aining rows and the set of rem aining colum ns. The $m$ inor determ inant featuring in form ula (II.10) is now $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{b})$ 2A; 2B which is $(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+1)$. Indeed for each of the $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$ links of T , a row and a colum n are erased.

A though it is not important for the bounds, we indicate the rule for com puting the sign ( $\mathrm{T} ;$ ). Let $(1 ; 1) \quad 1<{ }_{\mathrm{n} 1}(\mathrm{n} ; 2)$ and $(1 ; 1) \quad 1<\quad$ in $1 \quad(\mathrm{n} ; 2)$ be the erased rows and colum ns respectively. Suppose that $r$ was contracted by T and together with (r), for $1 \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{n} 1$. C learly is a perm utation of $\mathrm{f} 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$. Then

$$
(T ;)=(1)(1)++(n-1)+(1)++\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1 \tag{II:13}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where ( ) is the signature of and for any $=(i ;)$ we introduced the notation $i()=i$ and ()$=$ and sim ilarly for any $=(j ;)$, we write $j()=j$ and
()$=$.

P roof: The proof of the previous expansion although a bit tedious is straightforw ard. First expand $\exp S(;)$. E ach term will involve a Berezin integral

$$
Z_{c} \quad d_{c}(;)_{i=1}^{Y^{n}} a_{i}\left(X_{i}\right) a_{i}\left(X_{i}\right) b_{i}\left(X_{i}\right) b_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

which is a $2 n 2 n$ determ inant like the one ofthem atrix (b). Then in order to use (II.3) we introduce for any pair fi; jg $2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ a weakening factor $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{fi} ; \mathrm{jg}} \mathrm{multiplying}$ each of the eight entries involving both vertioes $i$ and $j$. The output of (II.3) is a forst form ula when applied to $Z()$. H ow ever the am plitudes corresponding to each connected tree in the forest factorize (actually, to check that, one needs to be careful and com pute ( $T$; )). Taking the sum over trees instead of forests sim ply com putes $\log \mathrm{Z}()$ instead of $Z()$. F inally $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}=0\right)$ accounts for the division by the volume $j$ jto get the pressure as an intensive quantity.

Let us now use this ferm ionic tree form ulas for proofs of convergence.
III) C onvergence of the tree form ulas

A typicalconstructive result for this $G$ ross $N$ eveu $m$ odelw ith cuto is to prove:

Theorem
The pressure and the connected functions of the cut-o G ross -N eveu $m$ odel are analytic in in a disk of radius $R$ independent of $N$.

P roof: The determ inant $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{b})$ 2A; 2B is diagonal in the colors of the involved elds and anti elds. Each of the block determ inants falls in the category described by the lem $m$ a, and thus the com plete determ inant is bounded by $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ thanks to the decom position (II.9a). The spatial integrals are bounded using (II.9b) for the propagators corresponding to the links in $T$, by $K^{n 1}$. The sum over is bounded by $8^{n 1}$ (the num ber of com patible contractions is actually equal to $8 \quad 12^{n_{4}} \quad 10^{n_{3}} \quad 6^{n_{2}}$ where $n_{p}$ is the num ber of vertices of the tree $T$ w ith coordination num ber p). The sum over colors is bounded by $N^{n+1}$, indeed once $T$ and are know $n$, the circulation of color indiges is determ ined. $T$ he attribution of color indioes costs $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ at the rst vertex and by induction a factor N for each of the rem aining vertioes of the tree. Indeed clim bing inductively into the tree layer by layer, at every vertex there is one color already xed by the line joining the vertex to the root, hence one rem aining color to $x$, except for the root, for which two colors have to be xed. The num ber of T's is bounded by $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n} 2}$, by C ayley's theorem. Finally the n -th term of the series is bounded by ( $j j^{n}=N^{n} n!$ ) $K^{n+1} K^{n 1} 8^{n 1} N^{n+1} n^{n 2}$ and the radius of convergence is thus at least $1=8 \mathrm{eK}{ }^{2}$.

This is perhaps the shortest and m ost transparent proof of constructive theory yet! Rem ark in particular that it does not require any discretization of space, lattice of cubes, cluster or $M$ ayer expansion, all features w hich are necessary for bosonic theories. This three lines" treatm ent of the theory w ith cuto can
presum ably be extended into a \three pages" treatm ent of for instance the $G$ ross$N$ eveu theory with renorm alization in two dim ensions $\mathbb{D} R]$. Such a treatm ent w ith no discretization ofspace, no discrete slicing ofm om enta, and continuous instead of discrete renorm alization group equations $m$ akes the constructive version of these ferm ionic theories alm ost identical to their perturbative version (and no longer m ore di cult).

T he theorem above is interesting not only for the analysis of the G rossN eveu m odelbut also for that of the tw o-dim ensional interacting Ferm ions considered in $\mathbb{F M}$ RT ] or $\mathbb{F} K$ LT ]. In this latter case, the \colors" correspond to angular sectors on the Ferm i sphere and the factor $1=\mathrm{N}$ in the coupling is provided by pow er counting.

W e now give a second and longer proof of the theorem using the rooted form ula. $T$ his is w orth the trouble since the weakening factor in the rooted form ula com pletely factorizes out of the determ inant. This second form ula $m$ ay therefore be usefiulin problem s forw hich $G$ ram 's inequality is not applicable and them ethod of \com parison of row s and colum ns" of [IM ] or $\mathbb{E M}$ RT] has to be used.

W e retum to form ula (II.10) but use the rooted weakening factor. To bound the determ inant, we need to introduce sim ply one further subtle m odi cation. W e need a further sum which decides for each eld or anti eld whether it contracts or not to the level in the tree im $m$ ediately below it.

T he only change in form ula (II.10) is that the loop determ inant has entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}() \mathrm{j}()}^{\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}()} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}( }\right)\right) \quad(\mathrm{c}() ; \mathrm{c}()) \tag{III:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with 2 A, 2 B. A ny of these entries we write as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{X}{u(1) 2 f] i \backslash[g \vee(1) 2 f] ; i ;[g} b^{u() v()} \tag{III:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the convention that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{\backslash \}=b \quad\left(\mathbb{I}(i()) ; I^{T}(j())\right)  \tag{III:3a}\\
& b^{][ }=b \quad\left(\mathbb{I}^{T}(i())+1 ; \mathbb{I}^{T}(j())\right)  \tag{III:3b}\\
& b^{[]}=b \quad\left(\mathbb{T}(i()) \quad 1 ; \mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{T}}(j())\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(III:3c)

Then we expand the determ inant det (b) 2A; 2B by multilinearity w ith respect to row $s$, having for any 2 A , a sum over $u() 2 \mathrm{f}] ; \mathrm{i}$; $[\mathrm{g}$. Then we do the same thing for colum ns and we get a sum over $v() 2 f] ; \backslash[g$, for any

2 B. We then obtain an expansion into $3^{2 n+2}$ determ inants, of the form
 be the num ber of at elds and anti elds. T he num ber ofshanp elds and anti elds $s, s$, and of natural ones $n, n$ are de ned sim ilarly. For one of the determ inants to be nonzero we m ust have $n=n, f=s$ and $s=f . W$ e can also group together
elds and anti elds according to their level in the tree $T$, so that we get a block diagonal determ inant that can be factorized as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~V}()}\right) 2 \mathrm{~A} ; 2 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Yax}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{~b}^{\ \}\right)_{2 A_{k}} ; 2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{m}$ ax is the $m$ axim al occupied level of the tree $T . A_{k}^{\prime}$ is the number of ' $s$ in $A$ w ith $I^{T}(i())=k$ and $u()=\backslash . A_{k}{ }_{k}, A_{k}, B_{k}{ }_{k}, B_{k}^{]}$and $B_{k}^{[ }$are de ned in the sam e m anner. We m ust have of course \# $\left(A_{k}\right)=\#\left(B_{k}^{1}\right)$, \# ( $\left.A_{k}^{]}\right)=\#\left(B_{k+1}^{l}\right)$, and \# $\left(A_{k}^{l}\right)=\#\left(B_{k}^{l}{ }_{1}\right)$ for any $k$, in order for the full determ inant to be nonzero. N ow by de nition of $w_{i j}^{T, R}$ (w) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(b^{\ \}\right)_{2 A_{k}^{\prime}} ; 2 B_{k}^{\backslash}=\operatorname{det} C\left(x_{i}() ; x_{j}()\right) \quad(c() ; C()) A_{2 A_{k}^{\} ; 2 B_{k}^{\}} \tag{III:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{b}^{[\mathrm{I}}\right)_{2 \mathrm{~A}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{j}^{1} ; 2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^{\mathrm{l}} \\
& =\quad \mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}(1) \mathrm{j}^{0}()} \quad \operatorname{det} C\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}()} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}()}\right) \quad(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{)} ; \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{l})) \\
& 2 B_{k+1}^{l_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j^{0}()$ denotes the ancestor of $j()$ in the rooted tree T. Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(b^{[]}\right) 2_{2}{ }_{k}^{1} ; 2 B_{k-1}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e see that the w's factor out and are bounded by 1. T he rem aining determ inants again can be factorized according to the colors c( ( ), and c( ). E ach determ inant obtained that way is of the form $\left.\operatorname{det}\left(C\left(x_{i()}\right) ; x_{j()}\right)\right)$.

At this stage we can complete the proof of the Theorem either using $G$ ram 's inequality or the $m$ ethod of com parisons of row $s$ and colum ns to bound these determ inants.

G ram 's inequality is the fastest road. W e use (II.9) to bound every p by $p$ determ inant by $K^{p}$. A nd the com plete loop determ inant is then bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{jdet}_{(\mathrm{b}} \quad\right)_{2 \mathrm{~A}} ; 2 \mathrm{~B} \dot{j} \quad 3^{2 \mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{n}+1} \text { : } \tag{III:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The end of the proof is as in the case of the B rydges $K$ ennedy interpolation. $\quad \mathrm{a}$

It is also clear on the form (III.3) that them ethod of com parisons of row s and colum ns of $[\mathbb{M}], \mathbb{F M} R T]$ also works here. This m ethod roughly corresponds to Taylor expanding around a m iddle point further and further when elds or anti elds accum ulate in any given cube of unit size of a lattice covering $R^{d}$.
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