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#### Abstract

$T$ he deform ation of the quantum N eel state induced by a spin polaron is analyzed in a slave ferm ion approach. Ourm ethod is based on the selfconsistent Bom approxim ation for $G$ reen's and the wave function for the quasiparticle. The results of various spin-correlation functions relative to the position of the $m$ oving hole are discussed and show $n$ to agree $w$ ith those available from sm all cluster calculations. A ntiferrom agnetic correlations in the direct neighb orhood of the hole are reduced, but they rem ain antiferrom agnetic even for $J$ as sm allas $0: 1 t$. These correlation functions exh ib it dipolar distortions in the spin structure, which sensitively depend on the $m$ om entum of the quasiparticle. $T$ heir asym ptotic decay w ith the distance from the hole is govemed by power law s, yet the spectral weight of the quasiparticles does not van ish.


PACS num bers: 71.10Fd, 71.10.w, 7127.+ a, 74.90.+ n
I. IN TRODUCTION

The problem ofspin polaronsm oving in a quantum antiferrom agnet has found considerable attention, since it is im portant for the description ofM ott insulators at low doping [1] ]. W hile the m a jor part of investigations for the t-J m odelw as concemed e.g. w the the polaron dispersion and the spectral function using a variety of techniques such as exact diagonalization
 otherm ethods $\left[14^{1}\left\{1 \overline{1}_{1}\right], \mid\right.$ our focus here is on the spatial structure of the spin polarization and its asym ptotic behaviour. T he study of the deform ation of the spin system due to spin polaron form ation was $m$ ainly perform ed by
 are im portant questions w hich can only be studied by analytical approaches, such as the asym ptotic decay of the polarization of the $m$ edium [1920 is closely related to the question whether a quasiparticle (QP) description applies. The rst successful m easure$m$ ent of the single hole dispersion in the $M$ ott insulator $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{CuO}_{2} \mathrm{C} l_{2}$ by angular resolved photoem ission [2] $\left.{ }_{2}^{1}\right]$ has revived this interest, and stim ulated investigations of the

$T$ he $G$ reen's function for a hole $m$ oving in a xed spin background was discussed already in the context of transition $m$ etal oxides in the late 60th by Bulaevskii et al.
 the Green's function tumed out local and fully incoherent. The rst prediction that the low-energy single particle excitations in the 2D $t \mathrm{~J}$ model [2] [2] and its anisotropic generalization (0
1),

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{t J J}= & t^{\text {X }}{ }^{<i j>} e_{i ;}^{\mathrm{y}} e_{j ;}+H . c . \\
& +J{ }_{<i j>}^{X} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}+\frac{-}{2}\left(S_{i}^{+} S_{j}+S_{i} S_{j}^{+}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

are propagating quasiparticles (Q P ) w ith a bandw idth of order $J$ was $m$ ade by $K$ ane, Lee and $R$ ead [d] and was con m ed by a num ber of exact diagonalization studies [R12 on the ferm ion operators $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}},=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ ( $\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \quad\right)$ and by the fact that quantum uctuations play a crucial role. This m odel has been w idely studied particularly because it is believed to contain $m$ uch of the low -energy physics of the high $-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ superconductors $\left[2 \mathrm{Z}^{-1} 1{ }_{1}^{1} 1\right]$.
$N$ evertheless fundam ental issues are still unclear such as the spin-dynam ics and the form of the Ferm i surface at $m$ oderate doping, i.e. in the regim e corresponding to the underdoped high-tem perature superconductors. But even in the case of a single hole there are di erent view s e.g. whether the quasiparticle spectral weight is nite or vanishes in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it. In particular Anderson has argued that holes introduce a deform ation in the spin-background which decays as a power law and as a consequence the spectralw eight should vanish, | leading to non $F$ erm iliquid behaviour $\left[\overline{2}_{2} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$. A ccording to this argum ent the non Ferm i liquid behaviour is connected w th the property of a single hole. R ecently W eng et al [28] argued that the quasiparticle $w$ eight $Z_{k}$ should vanish as a consequence of string form ation associated $w$ ith the $M$ arshall's sign, which is a characteristic property of the undoped $H$ eisenberg ground state. $T$ hese argum ents are based on the appearance of an orthogonality catastrophe in the $m$ atrix elem ent $h{ }_{k}^{\text {exact }} j_{k} \quad j 0 i$, betw een the exact, i.e. fully relaxed, single hole ground state and the state $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}-j \mathrm{O} \mathrm{i}$, where jOi is the ground state of the H eisenberg $m$ odel w thout holes.

T he asym ptotic decay of the polarization cloud cannot be analyzed by num ericalm ethods, such as exact diagonalization (quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo results for the $2 \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{J}$ m odel are still not available), since such studies are conned to sm all clusters and thus can only provide insight
into the short-range deform ation of the spin-background.
A particularly powerfiul tool in the study of the spin polaron problem is the slave ferm ion approach combined $w$ ith a selfconsistent $B$ om approxim ation for the calculation of the polaron $G$ reen's function $\left[\frac{1}{1} / \frac{1}{2}\right]$. This approach w as successful in reproducing the diagonalization results for the filll $G$ reen's function obtained by diagonalization $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}}]$. Therefore we shall follow this route here. Further$m$ ore the $m$ ethod properly accounts for the low-energy spin excitations, which are crucial for the long-range distortion of the spin-background around the $m$ oving hole. $T$ his method has been also applied to the nite doping
 construction of the quasiparticle wave function with in the SCBA by Reiter [323]. This wave function contains im plicitely all inform ation about the deform ation of the spin system, and can be used to calculate this perturbation in term s of correlation functions.

O fparticular interest is here the study of relative correlation functions ( RCF ), i.e. relative to the position of the hole, like for exam ple $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \quad \mathbb{R}_{2}\right)$ i, which $m$ easures the nearest neighbor correlation function for a bond at a distance $R=\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right)=2$ from the hole at $R=0$ (assum ing here that $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ di er by a lattice unit vector u). Such correlation functions are usually not studied because of their com plexity. H ow ever they provide detailed inform ation about deform ation of the spin system around the $m$ oving hole, in contrast to the averaged correlation function $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \quad \mathbb{R}_{2} i$, which $m$ easures only the global change in spin correlations due to the holes.

T he results for the RCF's clearly show that the nearest neighbor spin correlations in the neighborhood of the hole are reduced, yet they rem ain antiferrom agnetic (even for $J$ as sm all as $0: 1 \mathrm{t}$ ). Therefore the frequently invoked ferrom agnetic polaron picture, where the hole is assum ed to m ove in a ferrom agnetically aligned neighborhood of spins, does not apply to the $t-J$ m odel.

Them ain punpose of this work is to use Reiter's wave function for the calculation of correlation functions and to present a quantitative picture of the shape and size of the quasiparticle. W hile a short sum $m$ ary of selected results w as given earlier [2d], the present w ork focuses on the description of the technique em ployed for the calculation of the correlation functions. The technique discussed here $m$ ay also be usefiul in other cases where the noncrossing approxim ation is em ployed, such as m ore com plex $m$ odels including electron-phonon coupling $[3$ Results for various correlation functions describing the deform ation of the spin-background around the hole will be presented for the t- m odel [ $=1$ in Eq. (1)] aswellas for the sim plert $J^{z}(=0) \mathrm{m}$ odel $\left.\bar{\beta} \bar{j} \bar{j}\right]$ w hich has no spindynam ics and has a sim ple classical $N$ eel ground state. For the $t-J$ odel the relative correlation functions are found to be strongly dependent on the $m$ om entum of the quasiparticle and in good agreem ent with known results from exact diagonalization.

Furthem ore a detailed investigation of the asym ptotic decay of various correlation functions is given. For exam ple the perturbation of the nearest-neighbor spincorrelation function $C_{R}$ is found to decay as $1=R^{4}$ w ith the distance from the hole. Since the asym ptotic behaviour of these correlation fiunctions is closely connected w ith the question whether $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is nite or not, it is im portant to calculate the deform ation of the spin-system w ithin the di erent existing approaches. In the present fram ew ork it is found that all perturbations introduced by the hole in the quantum antiferrom agnet decay at large distance as power-law with dipolar or more com plex angular dependence depending on the $m$ om entum of the quasiparticle. $N$ evertheless this does not lead to vanishing quasiparticle spectral weight, consistent with earlier num erical results based on the study of the polaron $G$ reen's function w thin the SCBA [1d].

The plan of the paper is as follow s: In Sections II and III we brie y sum $m$ arise the selfconsistent Bom treat$m$ ent for the $G$ reen's and the w ave function of the quasiparticle, and provide the fram ew ork to calculate expectation values w th respect to $R$ eiter's w ave function. Section IV deals w ith the quasiparticle spectral weight, the $m$ agnon distribution function and provides a discussion of the convergency of the approach. Them ore com plex RCF's are studied in Section $V$ for two generic cases, the $t-J$ and the $t-J^{z} \mathrm{~m}$ odel, i.e. one $w$ ith and the other w thout spin dynam ics. This section also contains a discussion of the asym ptotic behaviour of the di erent correlation functions. $T$ he paper concludes $w$ ith a sum $m$ ary in Section VI.

## II. SLAVE FERM ION APPROACH

In a rst step of the reform ulation of the problem, holes are described as spinless (slave) ferm ion operators, i.e. on the A-sublattioe a spinless ferm ion creation operator is de ned as $h_{i}^{+}=c_{i n}$ while the corresponding operator $c_{i \#}=h_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{+}$is expressed as a com posite operator, and sim ilarly for the $B$-sublattice [10 ${ }^{-1}$ ]. The kinetic energy then consists of term $s$ of form th $h_{j}^{+} S_{j}$, that is, each hop of the ferm ion is connected with a spin-ip. The spin dynam ics is described w ithin linear spin w ave theory (LSW ) which provides a satisfactory approxim ation for the 2D spin-1/2 H eisenberg antiferrom agnet.

We follow here Refs. erators via the H olstein P rim ako transform ation, and sim plify the notation by perform ing a 180 rotation of the spins on the $B$-sublattice,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S_{i}^{+}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{i \varrho}\right. \\
& R_{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(2 S \quad d_{1}^{y} a_{i}\right)^{1=2} a_{i} .
$$

$H$ ere the origin $R_{0}=0$ belongs to $A$-sublattice (spin up) and $Q=(=a ;=a)$. The lattice constant is a 1 . $T$ he spin interaction term is further diagonalized after linearizing spin operators and perform ing the B ogoliubov transform ation

$$
\underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{q}} \quad=\quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~b}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}}
\end{array} ;
$$

where $b_{q}^{y}=N \quad{ }^{1=2} P_{i} e^{\text {iq }} R_{i} a_{i}^{y}$ and $N$ is the number of lattice sites. H ere we use the usual B ogoliubov coe cients $u_{q}, v_{q}$ and the spin wave dispersion is $!_{q}=$ $2 J^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{1 \quad\left({ }_{q}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{q}=\left(\operatorname{cosq}_{x}+\operatorname{cosq}_{y}\right)=2$. A fter ferm ion operators are decoupled into slave ferm ions and bosons,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{i \#}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{i \ell} R_{i}^{R_{i}}\right) h_{i}^{Y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad e^{i \ell} \quad R_{i}\right) h_{i}^{Y} S_{i} ; \\
& e_{i^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{i \ell} R_{i}^{R_{i}}\right) h_{i}^{Y} S_{i}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1 e^{i \ell} R_{i}^{R_{i}}\right) h_{i}^{Y} ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

the ferm ion-m agnon H am iltonian em erges [id

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=P_{\bar{N}}^{\frac{1}{X q}}\left(M_{k q} h_{k}^{Y} q_{q} h_{k} \underset{q}{Y}+H: C:\right)+{ }_{q}^{X} \quad!_{q} \underset{q}{Y} \quad: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A constant term irrelevant for the present discussion has been dropped here. O ne recognises that the kinetic energy appears now as a ferm ion-m agnon coupling $w$ ith a coupling function given by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kq}}=4 \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{k}\right)$. $T$ his $H$ am iltonian is sim ilar to the $s m$ all polaron $m$ odel except that a kinetic energy term for the spinless ferm ions is absent. In the case of the cuprate superconductors, $w$ here $t>J$, the $m$ odel is in the interm ediate or strong coupling regim e and a selfconsistent calculation technique $m$ ust therefore be chosen.

In the follow ing we w ill use the hole G reen's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}(!)=\frac{1}{!k_{k}(!)}=\frac{Z_{k}}{!}+G_{k}^{\text {inc }}(!) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the QP band energy $k$ and the pole strength $Z_{k}$ are related to the ferm ion selfenergy $k(!)$ as $k=$ $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1}=1 \quad @_{\mathrm{k}}(!)=@!j_{\mathrm{k}}$ respectively.
W e calculate $k(!)$ w ithin the self-consistent B om approxim ation (SCBA)

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}(!)=\frac{1}{N}^{X} M_{k q}^{2} G_{k} \quad\left(!\quad!_{q}\right): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an approxim ation am ounts to the sum $m$ ation of non-crossing diagram s to all orders. The validity of this approach is well established. The QP dispersion and spectral weight calculated w th in the SC BA $\left.[1]_{1}^{-1}\right]$ agrees very wellw th the exact diagonalization results for sm all clusters [ $[\overline{6}]$. The spectral weight in the lim it N ! 1 is
 this $m$ ethod leads to $Z_{k}$ ! 1, i.e. an overestim ation in com parison to $0: 82$ obtained for $t=0$ in $R$ ef. [ $[3,2]$ ]. The success of the SCBA has roots in the vanishing of low order vertex corrections as pointed out by several authors for system swhere the hole is coupled to an AFM spin


## III. QUASIPARTICLEWAVEFUNCTION

G iven the G reen's function in selfconsistent B om approxim ation it would be interesting to have the wave function of the quasiparticle which corresponds to the pole in Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ at energy $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k})$. The know ledge of th is wave function will allow us to calculate in principle all equal-tim e correlation functions which de ne the perturbation of the AFM -background around the hole. W e follow here closely R eiter's [32'] original approach and prove in addition that the quasiparticle w eight derived from the wave function is consistent w ith the well known expression obtained from the $G$ reen's function.
$T$ he quasiparticle w ave function is de ned as the eigenstate of H

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j k} i=k j k i ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives rise to the quasiparticle peak in the spectral representation for the $G$ reen's function

Here j0i represents the vacuum state $w$ ith respect to ferm ion and $m$ agnon operators and $j \mathrm{~km} i$ is an eigenstate of H am iltonian Eq. (5) w ith eigenenergy km . The spectral weight of the quasiparticle state $j_{k} i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k}=j h k j h_{k}^{+} j 0 i \jmath \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be quite sm all, how ever it should not scale to zero in the them odynam ic lim it, whereas the matrix elem ents contributing to the incoherent part are of $\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{N})$ or sm aller.

G iven the Ham iltonian Eq. ( $\overline{5} 1 \mathbf{1}$ ) we expect the quasiparticle $w$ ave function $j k i$ to have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& j k i=a^{0}(k) h_{k}^{+} j 0 i+{\underset{\bar{N}}{\bar{N}}}_{1}^{X} a_{q_{1}}^{1}\left(k ; q_{1}\right) h_{k}^{+} \quad q_{1} \quad{ }_{q_{1}}^{+} j 0 i \\
& +\frac{1}{N}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{X} a^{2}\left(k ; q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) h_{k} \quad q_{1} \quad q_{2} \quad \underset{q_{2}}{+} \quad \underset{q_{1}}{+} j 0 i \\
& +::: ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coe cients $a^{n}\left(k ; q_{1} ;::: ; q_{n}\right)$ are to be deter$m$ ined.
$>$ From the Schrodinger equation we obtain the follow ing system of equations for the expansion coe cients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!a^{0}(k) \quad \frac{1}{N}_{q_{1}}^{X} a^{1}\left(k ; q_{1}\right) M_{k q_{1}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& !\quad q_{q_{1}} a^{1}\left(k ; q_{1}\right) \quad a^{0}(k) M_{k ; q_{1}} \\
& \quad \frac{1}{N}^{X}{ }_{q_{2}} a^{2}\left(k ; q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) M_{k} \quad q_{1} ; q_{2}=0: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain these equations which correspond to the noncrossing approxim ation for the $G$ reen's function one has to adopt the follow ing contraction rule: W hen one m agnon is annihilated in the $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ agnon com ponent of the wave function, Eq. $\left(\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right)$, only the contribution is considered where the last $m$ agnon in the sequence, i.e. ${ }_{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{+}$, is anninilated. This is rem inescent of the retraceable path approxim ation in $m$ om entum space. The general equation for $\mathrm{n}>0$ reads:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
!\quad!_{q_{1}}::: \quad!_{q_{n}} a^{n}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n}\right) \quad a^{n}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n}\right) M_{k_{n} \quad 1 ; q_{n}} \\
\frac{1}{N}_{q_{n+1}}^{X} a^{n+1}\left(k ;:: ; q_{n+1}\right) M_{k_{n} ; q_{n+1}}=0 ; \quad \text { (14) } \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q} \quad::$ q.
A s rst show $n$ by $R$ eiter $\left.{ }^{[32} 21\right]$ th is sequence ofequations (12ㄴㄴ) $-\left(\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \bar{U}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ has the general solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{n+1}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n+1}\right)=a^{n}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n}\right) g_{k_{n} ; q_{n+1}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduced the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k_{\mathrm{n}} ; q_{\mathrm{n}+1}}=M_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} ; q_{\mathrm{n}+1}} G_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}+1}}\left(!\quad!_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \quad::: \quad \dot{q}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (1] ${ }^{-}$) into the last term on the lin :s: of Eq. (1-4), we recognise that this term is identical to the expression Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ for the selfenergy $k(!)$ tim es $a^{n}$. $T$ his yields for Eq. (14í)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
!\quad:: \quad \dot{q}_{n} \quad k_{n}\left(!\quad::: \quad \dot{q}_{n}\right) a^{n}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n}\right) \\
 \tag{17}\\
\\
\quad d^{n}{ }^{1}\left(k ;::: ; q_{n} \quad 1\right) M_{k_{n} \quad 1 ; q_{n}}=0:
\end{array}
$$

Since the prefactor of $a^{n}$ is the inverse of the G reen's function $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(!\quad!_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \quad::: \quad \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ this equation is identical to Eq. (1-15) w ith $n$ replaced by $n$ 1. It only rem ains to be shown that also Eq. (121) is solved. Equation (12) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{0}(k)!\quad k(!)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a nontrivial solution $a^{0}(k) \in 0$ at the QPenergy ! $=k$. The know ledge of the $G$ reen's function
 the coe cients a ${ }^{n}\left(k ; q_{1} ;:: q_{n}\right)$.
$T$ he coe cient $a^{0}(k)$ which determ ines the $Q P$ weight $Z_{k}=\left[a^{0}(k)\right]^{2}$ follow from the nom alisation of the $w$ ave function $h_{k j} j_{i}={ }_{n=0} j a^{n}\left(k ;:: ; q_{n}\right) \mathcal{J}=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{k j k} i= & {\left[a^{0}(k)\right] 1+\frac{1}{N}_{q_{1}}^{X} g_{k ; q_{1}}^{2}+}  \tag{19}\\
& +{\frac{1}{N^{2}}}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{X} g_{k ; q_{1}}^{2} g_{k}^{2} q_{1} ; q_{2}+::::
\end{align*}
$$

W hen one calculates the derivative @ $k(!)=@$ ! from Eq. $(\overline{7})$ and com pares the result w ith Eq. $\left(\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{9}_{1}\right)$ it is easy to see that $\left[{ }^{2} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k j} j i=\left[a^{0}(k)\right]^{2} \quad \frac{@ k(!)}{@!} \quad!={ }_{k}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $j_{k i} i$ is norm alized to 1 , $\left[a^{0}(k)\right]^{2}$ is indeed identical to the QP-spectralw eight as calculated directly from $G$. $T$ his latter step is im portant, since it accom plishes the prove of the intemal consistency of $G$ and, i.e. where both are calculated w ithin selfconsistent Bom approxi$m$ ation. It should be em phasised that the above derivation does not rely on the assum ption that the coupling term in the $H$ am iltonian is sm all.

Because of the presence of AFM long-range order the quasiparticles $m$ ove on one sublattice, while visiting the other sublattice only virtually. In view of the 'degeneracy ${ }_{k+Q}=k$ and $G_{k+Q}=G_{k}$ we de ne new $B$ lochoperators

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}^{\mathrm{y}}=2^{1=2}\left(h_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which create holes on the " (\#) sublattice for $=1$ ( 1 ), respectively. The $m$ om enta $k$ are now restricted to the reduced (A FM) B rillou in zone. The corresponding w ave functions including $m$ agnon operators up to order $n$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
j{ }_{k}^{(n)} i=p_{\overline{2}}^{1} j_{k}^{(n)} i+\quad j_{k+Q}^{(n)} i \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ i follow ing from Eq. (1] $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{k}^{(n)} i=Z_{k}^{1=2^{h}} h_{k}^{y}+N \quad N^{1=2} \quad g_{k ; q_{1}} h_{k_{1}}^{y} \quad \underset{q_{1}}{y}+::::: \\
& +\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}=2} \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{X}
\end{array} q_{\mathrm{k} ; q_{1} g_{k} \quad q_{1} ; q_{2} \quad::}  \tag{23}\\
& q_{1} ;:::: ; q_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $g_{k ; q}=M_{k q} G_{k} \quad\left({ }_{k} \quad!_{q}\right)$ as de ned in Eq. (1-1 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$. $W$ e note that the $G$ reen's function $G$ in $g_{k ; q}$ is always evaluated below the low est pole and therefore real. For
 hence $g_{k ; q}$ is real. $T$ his is actually a subtle consequence of the selfconsistent evaluation of the G reen's function which leads to a sm aller energy variation of the $Q P$ energy com pared to the spin wave dispersion [1] . We stress that this holds also true in the strong coupling case where also the ${ }_{k}$ variation is of order $J$, i.e. com parable w ith spin wave energies. T he choice of sublattice wave functions E q. (22) is conven ient since they are eigenstates of $S_{\text {tot }}^{z} w$ th eigenvalues $1=2$.
$T$ he diagram $m$ atic structure of the wavefunction $j_{k^{\prime \prime}}^{(n)}{ }_{i}$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (a). The translation rules are straightforw ard: (1) O pen ends on the right correspond to operators $h_{k}^{Y} q_{1}: \ddot{p} \frac{q_{n}}{q_{k}}$ and ${\underset{q_{1}}{y}::: ~}_{q_{n}}^{y}$, (2) thin lines are associated w ith $\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}}$, (3) a vertex (dot) connected w ith a double line corresponds to $g_{k ; q}$, and (4) there is a mo$m$ entum sum for each $m$ agnon line. It is obvious that
the wavefunction does not correspond to a strict order n expansion $w$ th respect to the ferm ion $-m$ agnon coupling, since the $G$ reen's function, Fig. 1 (b), is already evaluated selfconsistently w ith respect to this interaction.

In the next Section we will investigate the relative im portance of the di erent term $s$ in the $w$ ave function Eq. (23) and address the question under which conditions this series can be truncated.

## IV. MAGNON D ISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

The rst question one $m$ ay ask is: \How many $m$ agnons are involved in the form ation of the polaron?" A s the coupling betw een hole and spin-excitations is the kinetic energy of the $t-J \mathrm{~m}$ odel, sm all values of $J=t$ correspond to strong coupling (sm all spin sti ness), where $m$ any $m$ agnons are excited by the hole $m$ otion. In order to estim ate the num ber $n$ ofm agnon term s needed in the wave function we have calculated the norm $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=h{ }_{k}^{(n)} j_{k}^{(n)} i=X_{m=0}^{X^{n}} A_{k}^{(m)}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distribution function $A_{k}^{(m)}$ de nes the probability for the $n-m$ agnon contribution in the $w$ ave function. A sim ilar study on a sm allcluster w aspresented in $R$ ef. $\left[4 \overline{4} \overline{0}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

In Fig. 2 the norm is presented diagram $m$ atically consistent w ith Eq. $\left(\overline{1} \underline{g}^{\prime}\right)$. E ach term $A_{k}^{(m)}$ corresponds to a single non-crossing diagram with $n$-m agnons. Vertioes denoted $w$ ith dots correspond to the ferm ion-m agnon coupling $m$ atrix elem ents $M_{k q}$ and the double line to the square of $G$ reen's function Eq. (G) calculated w ith in SCBA. The analyticalexpression for $\bar{A}_{k}^{(m)}$ is independent of and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{Z_{k}}{N^{m}} \underset{q_{1} ;::: ; q_{m}}{X} g_{k ; q_{1}}^{2} g_{k}^{2} \quad q_{1} ; q_{2}::: g_{k}^{2} \quad q_{1} \quad::: ; q_{m} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

form $>0$, while $A_{k}^{(0)}=Z_{k}$. From Eq. $\left({\underset{L}{1}}_{1}^{1} \underline{g}_{-}^{\prime}\right)$ we know that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ! 1 in the lim it n ! 1 . This norm alisation condition will serve as a check of our num erical procedure.

It is instructive to study the distribution function $A_{k}^{(m)}$
rst in the case of the the $t-J^{z} \mathrm{~m}$ odel $(=0)$. In this lim it of $m$ odel Eq. ( $\overline{11}$ ) the analysis becom es sim ple because there is no intrinsic spin-dynam ics. The SCBA equations for the self-energy are independent of $k$ and reduce to one equation $\underline{k}(!)=4 t^{2}\left[!\quad 2 J^{2} \quad k(!\right.$ $\left.\left.2 J^{z}\right)\right]^{1}[\underline{9}]$. Equation $(\underline{2}-\overline{1})$ can then be expressed in a recurrence form

$$
A_{k}^{(m+1)}=A_{k}^{(m)}\left[2 t G_{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k} & 2 m J^{2} \tag{26}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{2}:
$$

The norm $N_{k}$ is shown in $F$ ig. 3 as a function of the num ber ofm agnon term $s n$ for various $\mathrm{J}^{z}=t$. A crossover betw een the weak and the strong coupling regim e occurs
at $J^{z}=t \quad 0: 3$. For $s m$ aller $J^{2}=t$ the num ber of $m$ agnon term s needed to ful 1 the sum rule $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}=1$ increases rapidly. In $F$ ig. 4 the distribution of $m$ agnons $A_{k}^{(m)}$ is displayed for the strong coupling case, $\mathrm{J}^{2}=\mathrm{t} \quad 1$. In this regim $e A_{k}^{(m)}$ has a $m$ axim um at a nite value $n$, which increases w ith the coupling constant $t=J^{z}$.
$T$ he average num ber of $m$ agnons form ing the spin polaron $m$ ay be de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hni}=h_{k}^{(n)}{\underset{j}{X}}_{q}^{X} \underset{q}{y} q j_{k}^{(n)} i={ }_{m}^{X} \mathrm{~mA}_{k}^{(m)}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Ising lim it hni is identical to the average num ber of spin deviations (localm agnons) $h_{i} S_{i}^{+} S_{i} i=h{ }_{i} a_{i}^{y} a_{i} i$. It is evident that the latter expression is proportional to the average string length $l_{a v}$ [1d] of overtumed spins in the $N$ eel state created by the hole $m$ otion. A s the string potentialis an approxim ately linear function of the string length this implies hni/ $l_{a v} /\left(t=J^{z}\right)^{1=3}$. This estim ate is reasonable for long strings, i.e. sm all $J^{z}=t$. In Fig. 5 we present hni as a function of $\mathrm{J}^{2}=\mathrm{t}$ calculated w ith up to 40 $m$ agnon term $s$ in the wave function. For large $J^{z}=t \quad 1$ only the leading term $m=1$ in Eq. $\left(2 \overline{2}_{1}\right)$ is relevant, therefore the asym ptotic result is $\mathrm{hni}=-\left(t=\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{2}$. For $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{t} \quad 1 \mathrm{we}$ nd excellent agreem ent w th the result $\mathrm{mni}=1: 4\left(t=J^{z}\right)^{1=3}$ obtained by M attis and C hen [ $\left.4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

From these results for the $t-J^{z} \mathrm{~m}$ odel it is clear for $\mathrm{J}^{z}=\mathrm{t} \quad 0: 4$ the w ave function can be truncated at $\mathrm{n}=3$ or even at $n=2$. W e note that the sam e holds true for the t-J m odel [2d].

In $F$ igures 6 (a) and 6 (b) the num erical results for the norm $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of the $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{m}$ odel are show n for $\mathrm{k}=0$ and $\mathrm{k}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{2}{2}\right)$, respectively, both calculated w th up to $\mathrm{n}=$ 3 m agnons kept in the wavefiunction. For $J=0: 43-$ $m$ agnon contributions are necessary to ful 1 the norm. The quasiparticle spectral weight $Z_{k}$, which corresponds to the $\mathrm{n}=0$ term displayed in F igs. $6(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$, is alw ays nite except in the lim it ofvanishing spin sti ness $J=0$. $T$ hus our wavefunction does not lead to an orthogonality catastrophe. $T$ his result $w$ ill.be further com plem ented in the next Section by a detailed study of the asym ptotic decay of the spin-polaron correlation functions.
$T$ he question whether the $Q P$ spectral weight $Z_{k}$ for the $t-J \mathrm{~m}$ odel is nite or not is still not com pletely settled. N um erical results obtained on sm allclusters are in a good agreem entw ith the results obtained from the SC BA Equations ( $\overline{1})$ ) $]_{1}^{1}$ $n$ ite $[1,1,1]$ elem ent forq! 0 is not singular and therefore the hole is weakly coupled to low energy spin waves. In Ref. [2d] it w as argued that $Z_{k}$ should van ish nevertheless because of string like phases associated w th the holem otion (due to hidden M arshall signs). W e stress that the M arhall sign convention is im plicitely included in our present form ulation. In fact the vacuum state j0i (originating via unitary transform ation) is equivalent to the quantum N eel
groundstate of the $T=0$ Heisenberg $m$ odel, and thus by construction obeys the $M$ arshall sign rule in the original basis, i.e. before the 180 rotation of the $B$-sublattice. A fter the transform ation, Eq. $(\overline{2})$ ), there are no additional phases in the transform ed H am iltonian due to the M arshall sign.

N ot considered in the present treatm ent, is the e ect of the 4 broken bonds $m$ eeting at the site of the hole. This leads to an additional relaxation of the spin correlations and hence to a reduction of the quasiparticle weight. This e ect is expected to be strongest in the $\lim$ it $t=0$. The exact result for the spectral weight in this case is $Z_{-}=0: 82$ and was derived by $M$ al'shukov and $M$ ahan $[3]$ ] (as com pared to 1 in the present treat$m$ ent). The energy change due to the broken bonds $m$ ust also be included in the B om approxim ation if one wants to com pare the quasiparticle energies $w$ ith those from exact diagonalization, as discussed by $M$ art nez and $H$ orsch [10 ${ }^{\prime}$ ].

## V.SPIN POLARON CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

The spatial structure of the spin-polaron can be described $w$ th various correlation functions $m$ easuring the perturbation of the spin system relative to the position of the $m$ oving hole. As we shall see, these correlation functions are strikingly di erent in the $t J$ and the $t J^{z}$ model, | a consequence of the absence of spin dynam ics in the latter m odel. In the $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{J}$ odel perturbations created by the hole are carried aw ay by spin waves thereby generating a power law perturbation pattem w ith an interesting angular dependence, whereas in the absence of spin dynam ics the perturbations are characterized by an isotropic gaussian decay.

Such relative correlation functions can be evaluated using the quasiparticle wave function. O ne of the sim plest correlation functions is the distribution ofm agnons around the hole

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{R}=h{ }_{k}^{(n)} j_{i}^{X} n_{i} a_{R_{i}+R}^{Y} a_{R_{i}+R} j{ }_{k}^{(n)} i \quad \quad h_{0}\left(a_{R}^{Y} a_{R}\right) i: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $n_{i}=h_{i}^{y} h_{i}$ is density operator for holes at site $i$ w ith position $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ also corresponds to the distribution of spin deviations, $\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ i. Therefore it provides a suitable $m$ easure of the polaron size. This correlation function is also proportional to the distributions $\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{X}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{i}$.

C orrelation functions such as $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ are evaluated using sim ilar diagram $s$ as in the calculation of the norm $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$. O ne has to evaluate the expectation values

$$
\begin{equation*}
h{ }_{k}^{(n)}{ }_{j}^{X} n_{i} n_{i} \hat{O_{R i}+R} j_{k}^{(n)} i \quad h n_{0} \hat{O_{R}} i: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the summ ation ${ }^{P}{ }_{i}$ corresponds to all lattice sites and the density operator for the hole

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.n_{i}=\frac{1}{N}_{k_{1} k_{2}}^{X} e^{i\left(k_{2}\right.} k_{1}\right) \quad{ }^{R_{i}} h_{k_{1}}^{Y} h_{k_{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $T$ he operator $\hat{O_{R}}$ is decom posed into $m$ agnon variables as

The diagram $m$ atic structure of the contributions for a general correlation function of this type is presented in Fig. 7. The rst class of diagram $s$ is sym $m$ etric and derives from the vertex function $f_{q_{1} q_{2}}(R)$. These diagram $s$, denoted by ( $B_{n}$ ), arise as diagonalcontributions from the $\mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ agnon com ponent of the wave function.

The construction rule for these diagram $s$ is the follow ing: If the vertex $f$ (circle) as well as the connected two m agnon lines (together $w$ ith their vertioes and associated double lines) are rem oved from the diagram, one must arrive at a diagram contained in the expression for the norm ( $F$ ig. 2). O therw ise the diagram is not consistent w ith the selfoonsistent B om approxim ation and should be dropped.
$T$ he second class of diagram $s\left(C_{n m}\right)$ is asym $m$ etric and corresponds to the vertex function $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{R})$ which connects n m agnon contributions w th $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n} \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ agnon term $s$ in the wave function. A gain only such diagram $s$ must be taken into account which are consistent with the construction rule form ulated before.
$T$ he vertex functions $f$ and $g$ are expressed in term $s$ of B ogoliubov coe cients and thus strongly $m$ om entum dependent. For the case of the correlation function $N_{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.f_{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{R})=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} u_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}\right) e^{i\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right.} \mathrm{q}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R} \\
& \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{R})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}}\right) e^{i\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{2}\right)} \mathrm{R}: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to ilhustrate a typical calculation of $m$ atrix elem ents needed in the correlation functions, we present here the second order contributions $B_{2}$ in $F$ ig. 7,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{B}_{2} & =\mathrm{N}^{{ }^{3}} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} f_{q_{1} q_{2}}(R)\left(g_{k} ; q_{3} g_{k} \quad q_{3} ; q_{1} g_{k}\right. \\
& q_{3} ; q_{2} g_{k} ; q_{3}+ \\
&\left.+g_{k} ; q_{1} g_{k} \quad q_{1} ; q_{3} g_{k} \quad q_{2} ; q_{3} g_{k} ; q_{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst and the second term correspond to noncrossing and crossing term, respectively.

$$
\text { A. Ising lim it }(=0)
$$

In generalcorrelation functions and the corresponding $m$ atrix elem ents have to be evaluated num erically, which
is easy for not too largen up to 5. Thet $-J^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odelis an exception, since the B ogoliubov factors sim plify to $u_{q}=$ 1 and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}=0$. Thus $\operatorname{Re} f_{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathbb{R})=\cos \left(\mathrm{q}_{1} \quad \mathrm{q}_{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}$ and $g_{q_{1} q_{2}}(R)=0$, respectively. As the $G$ reen's function is dispersionless it is possible to express the $m$ atrix elem ents analytically and perform the sum $m$ ation of diagram $s\left(B_{n}\right)$ to any order. Furtherm ore diagram $s\left(C_{n m}\right)$ are zero. It is instructive to express the wave function in real space. E ach $n-m$ agnon term can then be visualized as a string of $n$ steps $w$ ith starting point at the origin. From such a study one can get insight into the noncrossing structure of the wave function and correlation functions.

The SCBA is sim ilar to the retraceable path approxi$m$ ation, yet $w$ ith the im portant di erence that in SCBA the hole can also hop backw ards on its path. At the level of the $G$ reen's function the di erences were discussed in Ref. [1d]. The result for the $m$ agnon distribution function, Eq. (2-1) can therefore be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{R}={ }_{m=1}^{X^{n}} p_{m}(R) P_{m} ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{m}=P_{j=m}^{n} A_{k}^{(j)}$ can be interpreted as a probability to have at least $m$ local $m$ agnons excited. T he coe cients $p_{m}(R)$ represent the probability that a string ofm excited localm agnons ends at a given lattice position R.This distribution can be determ ined by counting all possible paths of $m$ steps, where in each step all $z$ neighbors can be reached,

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{R})=4^{\mathrm{m}} \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{m} & \mathrm{~m}  \tag{34}\\
\mathrm{~m}+ & \mathrm{m}
\end{array}
$$

Herem $=\left(m \quad R_{x} j \quad R_{y} j\right)=2 m$ ust be a non-negative integer, otherw ise $p_{m}(R)=0$. This result is free of boundary conditions.

The correlation function $N_{R}$ can be used to deter$m$ ine the spatial size of the polaron in the Ising lim it. $W$ e de ne the size of the polaron quantitatively by the radius $R_{p}$ (elem ent of $B$ ravais lattice), which encloses a given fraction $p_{P}$ of the total number of spin deviations, $p=\operatorname{hni}{ }^{1} R_{R} R_{p} N_{R}$. In $F$ ig. 8 the polaron radius $R_{p}$ vs. $J=t$ is shown for three di erent values of $p=0: 75,0: 9$, and $0: 99$. In the physically interesting regim $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{J}^{z}=\mathrm{t} \quad 0: 3$, the polaron is contained w ithin the radius $\mathrm{R}<2$. The scaling $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{hn} \mathrm{i}^{1=2} /$ $\left(t=J^{z}\right)^{1=6}$ expected for the polaron $[192 d]$ is well established. W P have also calculated the average radius, $h R i=\operatorname{lni}{ }^{1} \quad R_{R} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{R}}$, and the root-m ean square radius, $R_{R M S}=\left(\min ^{1}{ }_{R} \mathcal{R}^{f} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{1=2}$. In F ig. $8 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{RM}} \mathrm{S}$ and $h R i$ are presented $w$ ith solid and dashed lines, respectively. The RMS radius can be well tted with $R=1: 06(t=J)^{0: 157}$ for $J^{z}=t<1$.

In the Ising lim it the totalspin is not conserved. H ow ever, the $z$-com ponent of spin is a conserved quantity. A
state $w$ th one static hole $(t=0)$ at the site $i_{\text {i }}$ has by definition the $z$-com ponent of total spin $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}={ }_{i f} \dot{i}_{0} S_{i}^{z}=$ $=2(=1)$, i.e. the spin of one site of the sublattice not corresponding to $i_{0}$. If the hole becom es m obile ( $t \in 0$ ), som e spins around the hole deviate from the N eel order. T he region where the spin order is disturbed corresponds to the spin polaron de ned above. The correlation function describing the spatial distribution of spin around the hole is thus

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{R} & =h n_{0} S_{R}^{z} i= \\
& =e^{i Q} R_{i}^{X} e^{i Q} \quad R_{i} \frac{1}{2} h n_{i} i \quad h n_{i} a_{R_{i}+R}^{Y} a_{R_{i}+R} i \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have expressed spin operators in term $s$ of $m$ agnons according to Eq. (i) . T he conservation of spin corresponds to the sum rule $R \in 0 S_{R}=\quad=2$. The local spin operator is $w$ ithin the LSW approxim ation related to the num ber of bosons, $S_{i}^{z}=\frac{1}{2} \quad a_{i}^{y} a_{i}$. H ow ever, $S_{R}$ is due to the factor $\exp (i Q \quad R)$ non-trivially related to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and has to be calculated independently. A fter carrying out the steps sim ilar as in the evaluation of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{R}=e^{i Q}{ }^{R} \frac{1}{2} P_{0} \quad X^{n} \quad P_{m}(R) P_{m}^{r} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{m}^{r}=P_{j=m}^{n}(1)^{j} A_{k}^{(j)}$.
$T$ he spin correlation function $S_{R}$ for several $J^{z}=t$ values is given in F ig. 9. W e have perform ed the calculation for $n$ up to 40 , which wasm ore than su cient to obtain converged values. T he results can be qualitatively understood visualising the correlation function $S_{R}$ in the $m$ oving coordinate fram e of the hole. For large $J^{z}=t$ the hole m oves slow ly through the N eel ordered background and on the average spends $m$ ore tim e on sublattice . The altemating contribution to $S_{R}$ corresponds to the AFM ordered background, and is given by the rst term on the rhs of Eq. (3-1), which is apart from the AFaltemation independent of R . It represents the di erence in the probability that the hole sits on the " and \# sublattice, respectively. T his background contribution tends to zero for $J^{z}=t \quad 1$, where the hole rapidly hops from one sublattice to the other. The second term in $S_{R}$ carries all spatial dependence, i.e. de nes the region of spin disturbance, and becom es dom inant at $J^{z}=t \quad 1$.

W e w ould like to stress here that the disappearance of the staggered $N$ eel structure for $s m$ all $J^{z}=t$ in this correlation function is sim ply a consequence of the fact that the hole visits the tw o sublattiges w ith equalprobability, and it does not $m$ ean that the antiferrom agnetic order is no longer present as one could naively conclude from sim ilar results of a nite cluster diagonalizations. W e note that, our results resem ble sunprisingly well the results for $S_{R}$ obtained in exact diagonalization studies for sm all chusters $\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$

In $F$ ig. 10 we show w ith open squares the dependence of $S_{R}$ at $R=(1 ; 1)$ with $J^{z}=t=0: 4$ on the num ber of $m$ agnons $n$ taken into account in the calculation. $T$ he results for other $J^{z}=t$ values are in agreem ent $w$ ith the results for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$, where we found that above (below) $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{t} \quad 0: 3$ a relatively sm all (large) num ber ofm agnons are excited and therefore needed in the evaluation of the correlation functions.
$T$ he conservation of the totalspin $z$-com ponent can be tested by summ ing up $\quad{ }_{R \in 0} S_{R}$. The totalspin $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ is presented in Fig .11 as a function of $\mathrm{J}^{z}=\mathrm{t}$ w ith diam onds and the full line is a guide to the eye. $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ consists oftw o parts. The rst corresponds to the rst term in Eq. ( $\overline{3} \bar{\sigma} \bar{W}^{2}$ ), $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{0}$, and is show n w th the dashed curve. $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ represents the di erence in the probability of the hole sitting on sublattice " or \#. The second term in Eq. (3-3) is not presented separately. The interchange of im portance of the tw o contributions is in agreem ent w ith the discussion above. The sm allviolation of the $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ conservation law is a consequence of the H olstein -P rim ako representation of spin operators. W e have also calculated $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ as a function of $n$. For $J^{z}=t>0: 3$ only three $m$ agnon term $s$ included in the wave function give su cient accuracy in agreem ent w ith calculation of the nom $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$.

$$
\text { B. H eisenberg lim it }(=1)
$$

The im portant new features of the $t-\mathrm{m}$ odel are (i) the spin-dynam ics described by antiferrom agnetic spin waves, which have a linear dispersion around $q=(0 ; 0)$ and ( ; ), respectively. (ii) The ground state of the model in 2D is a quantum $N$ eel state, i.e. m ore com plex than the sim ple classical $N$ eel ground state of the $t J^{z} m$ odel. An immediate consequence of (i) is that a spin-deviation which is created by a single $m$ ove of the hole w illpropagate aw ay from the hole in form of a spinwave until it is reabsorbed at a later instance. The long wavelength spin excitations determ ine the distortion of the quantum antiferrom agnet at large distances from the hole.

A further aim of our study of the RCF's is to show that the spin correlations rem ain antiferrom agnetic in the vicinity of the hole. The antiferrom agnetic correlations are weakened yet not ferrom agnetic. The ferro$m$ agnetic polaron picture, i.e. a carrier accom panied by a ferrom agnetically aligned spin-cluster, does not apply here. Ferrom agnetic polarons are a quite popular scenario usually inferred by a generalization of $N$ agaoka's theorem [42], which applies to the $J=0 \mathrm{~m}$ odel, to nite exchange interaction $J$.

To gain $m$ ore insight into the com plex angular dependence of the relative correlation functions we present in addition to the num erical results (which include up to $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ agnons) an analytical study of the RCF's based on the wavefunction in the one-m agnon approxim ation.

This wave function is su cient for a quantitative discussion in the large $J$ case; yet it also predicts the large distance behaviour for sm aller $J$ values.
$T$ he $m$ ain $k$-dependence in the $w$ ave function stem $s$ from the hole-m agnon coupling $m$ atrix elem ent $M_{k q}$. In the $q$ ! $0 \lim$ it the $k$ - and $q$ - dependence of $g_{k ; q} /$ $q^{1=2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}k & 2^{2}=2 \\ v_{k} & q=q)\end{array}\right)$ determ ines the asym ptotic sym $m$ etry of the correlation functions. From this structure of $g_{k ; q}$ it is clear that at $k=0$ the spatial sym $m$ etry is s-wave, whereas at the $m$ inim um of the QP band at $\left.\mathrm{k}=\left(\frac{\overline{2}}{2} ;\right)_{2}\right)$ the sym m etry is determ ined by the dipolar term, where $v_{k}=r_{k} \quad$ [ 2 ind

If one is only concemed about the behaviour of the wave function at large distance $R$ from the position of the hole $R_{i}$ the one $m$ agnon contribution simpli es and one can perform the corresponding Fourier transform of $g_{k ; q}$. The Bloch representation of the wave function in the lim its $t=J!0$ and $R!1$ is then approxim ated in leading order,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j_{k^{\prime \prime}}^{(1)} i^{\prime} Z_{k}^{1=2} \frac{r}{\frac{2}{N}} X_{R_{i} 2 \prime \prime}^{\prime \prime} e^{i k R_{i}} h_{R_{i}}^{Y}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ ere the Fourier transform $s=2^{p} \overline{2}_{k} t=(J R)$ and ${ }_{1}=2\left(v_{k} \quad R\right) t=(J R)$ have di erent spatial sym $m e^{-}$ tries. The 1 -term is dipolar and vanishes at $k=(0 ; 0)$ and ( ; ). At $\left(\frac{-}{2} ;-\frac{1}{2}\right) 1$ has its $m$ axim um, while the $m$ onopole contribution 0 vanishes instead. $W$ e note that $j_{k " 1}^{(1)}$ i has sim ilarity to the wave function describing the $m$ otion of a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ atom in super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ [ $\left.433_{2}^{2}, 44_{1}^{1}\right]$. In the follow ing this wave function will serve us as a starting point for the derivation of the asym ptotic properties of various correlation functions.

The wave function, Eq. ( $2 \mathbf{2}_{13} \mathbf{3}^{1}$ ), is properly norm alized also for the $H$ eisenberg lim it and the norm is given by Eqs. (24) and (2-5). The evaluation of $A_{k}^{(n)}$ can be done num erically. In $F$ ig. $12 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ is plotted for $\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k})$ and $n=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ at $J=t=0: 4$. For $n=0, A_{k_{-}}^{(0)}=Z_{k}$ and the m om entum dependence is well know n [ 101 l ]. The next term, $\mathrm{n}=1$, corresponds to the em ission of onem agnon. The m om entum dependence is very weak, which can be qualitatively understood from the $t=J!0 \lim$ it. For $q<q_{c} \quad 1$ the onem agnon contribution $A_{k}^{(1)}$ follow s as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{(1)}{ }_{q_{c}}, \frac{Z_{k}^{2}}{2^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{2}^{2}} d^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{q_{c}} g_{k ; q}^{2} q d q /\left(k_{k}^{2}+\dot{j}_{k} J^{2}\right) q_{c}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have put $Z_{k} \quad 1$ for the weak coupling lim it. The obtained result is constant fork along the $(1 ; 1)$ line. This behavior is found in the fill num erical calculations even in the strong coupling regim e $J=t=0: 4 \mathrm{in} F$ ig. 12. O ther distribution functions $A_{k}^{(n)}$ in $F$ ig. 12 have a $m$ ore subtle
$m$ om entum dependence which cannot be reproduced $w$ ith this sim ple asym ptotic expansion. The sum $\begin{aligned} & n=3 \\ & n=0\end{aligned} A_{k}^{(n)}$ is close to 1 , as it is clear also from $F$ igs. $6(a, b)$. T he results in Fig. 12 show that the higher order magnon term $s$ are less im portant for quasiparticle $m$ om enta close to the band $m$ inimum at $k=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$. For the full $J=t$ dependence of the norm at $k=(\overline{2} ; \overline{2})$ see $R$ ef. $\left[2 \overline{O_{1}^{\prime}}\right]$. In order to obtain converged results in the $H$ eisenberg lim it, we have perform ed num ericalcalculations using unit cells $w$ th $N=16 \quad 16$ up to $N=32$ 32. In summations over the $B$ rillouin zone the points $q=0$ and $q=Q$ were excluded. T he num erical $m$ ethod of solving the SCBA equations for $G_{k}$ (!) was identical to $R$ ef. [3]
$T$ he average num ber of $m$ agnons hni in $F$ ig. 13 is presented for $J=t=0: 4$ and $m$ om entum $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$, i.e. close to the Q P band minim um. It is interesting that hni calculated for the $t-J$ model alm ost coincides $w$ ith the result obtained for the Ising case ( $F$ ig. 5).
$T$ he additionalspin-deviations created by the hole $m$ otion are given by the expression $N_{R}=\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(a_{R}^{Y} a_{R}\right) i$ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {AFM }}$. Here we have subtracted the large contribution $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AFM}}=0: 197$ due to the quantum uctuations in the ground state of the 2D H eisenberg antiferrom agnet in the absence of the hole. The shape of the polaron is elongated in the direction of the $Q P \mathrm{~m}$ om entum which re ects a quasione-dim ensionalm otion of the polaron, as w as pointed out in Ref. [20]. This is consistent w ith the asym $m$ etry of the QP energy band in the \hole pocket" region centered around $k=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{2}\right)$, where the ective next-nearest neighbor hopping for the $(1 ; 1)$ direction is

5 that in the $(1 ; 1)$ direction. This asym $m$ etry is $m$ ost pronounced at the bottom ofthe $Q P$ band and gradually vanishes aw ay from the QP energy $m$ inim um and disappears at $\mathrm{k}=0$ and $\mathrm{k}=(; 0)$. In the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{R}!1$ the perturbative result is to low est order in $t=J$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{R}=\frac{8 t^{2}}{J^{2} R^{2}}\left({ }_{k}^{2}+\left(\frac{v_{k}}{R}\right)^{2}\right): \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result strictly holds only asym ptotically, but nevertheless it re ects all sym $m$ etries found at short distances in the num erical treatm ent. The $m$ om entum dependence is qualitatively correct as well, while the $J=t$ dependence is correct only in the range of validity of perturbation, $t=J!~ 0$. The correlation function $N_{R}$ decays as a power-law, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}} / \mathrm{R}^{2}$. A theough the num ber of excited $m$ agnons hni is sm all, it tums out that the change in the total num ber of spin deviations ${ }_{R} N_{R}$ diverges logarithm ically. T he de nition of the polaron size used for the Ising lim it of the m odel thus cannot be used here. Since the $m$ agnetic excitations ! $q$ vanish linearly $w$ ith $q$, also other correlation functions show power law decay, yet w ith di erent exponents $\left[{ }_{[2}^{2} \overline{0}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

In F ig. 14 we display the distribution of z com ponent of spin $S_{R}$ as a function of $J=t$ and for $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$. $T$ his correlation function depends strongly on the direction and size of the $m$ om entum of the quasiparticle (see

Ref. $\left.{ }^{[20} 0_{1}^{-1}\right]$. The asym $m$ etry of the polaron is re ected in di erent values for $S_{R}$ at positions $R$ labelled w ith 2 and $2^{\prime}$ or 4 and $4^{\prime}$, respectively. This result is quite di erent from the isotropic perturbation in the Ising lim it (e.g., $F$ ig. 9). W e stress that the sam e asym $m$ etry $w$ as found in num ericalstudies ofan 18 site t-J clusterw ith one hole [ 10 -1] $]$. The ground state is at $k=\left(\frac{2}{3} ; \frac{2}{3}\right)$ for $J=t=0: 4$ [T he point $\mathrm{k}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{-}{2}\right)$ is absent in that system ]. D ue to the high sym $m$ etry of the $4 \quad 4$ cluster such subtle asym $m$ etries of the polaron cannot be studied there. $T$ he $J=t$ dependence of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}}$ is in excellent agreem ent $w$ th the results of Refs. [3, clusters are expected to be quite large, the good agree$m$ ent of $S_{R} w$ th the exact results is surprising. The reason for the disappearance of the AFM structure in this correlation function for $s m$ all $J=t$ is as in the Ising lim it a consequence of fast holem otion which leads to an average over the tw o sublattices. It does not im ply that the antiferrom agnetic order of the spin background is destroyed. $T$ he correlation function is sm allin this lim it because the polaron is large and $m$ any sites contribute to the sum rule $S_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{2}$.

To test our analyticaland num ericalprocedure we have calculated $S_{R}$ for di erent num ber of $m$ agnons term $s n$ ( $F$ ig.10). The convergence rate is sim ilar as in the case of Ising lim it, i.e. three $m$ agnon term $s$ give a su cient accuracy. To display the anisotropy $S_{R}$ is shown in $F$ ig. 10 for $R=(1 ; 1)$ and $\left.k=\frac{\hbar}{2} ;-1\right)$ ith $J=t=0: 4$ as a function of num ber ofm agnon lines in the $w$ ave function, $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ; 3$. The corresponding contributions (diagram s) are labelled w ith sym bols ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ ) as de ned in Fig. 7. The asym $m$ etry of the polaron, which is fully consistent $w$ th the num erical results of $R$ ef. [1] [1], can be attributed to the diagram $\mathrm{C}_{02}$, corresponding to a two m agnon process.

Thee ect of the hole on the AF correlations and the energy of the spin system is $m$ easured by the nearestneighbor spin correlation function $C_{R}=\mathrm{hn}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}_{1}} & \mathrm{~S}_{2}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{i}$ de ned on bonds betw een two neighboring sites (1) $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { ), }\end{array}$ $R=\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right)=2$ [ function of $J=t$ and for $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$. The correlation function rem ains negative and in agreem ent w ith the num erical result obtained on a 16 sites cluster [12 1 ]. H ence AFM -correlations persist in the vicinity of the hole contrary to what one would expect from the ferrom agnetic polaron picture. $C_{R}$ is asym $m$ etric as can be seen, e.g., from the bonds $R=\left(1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$, labeled $w$ th 1 and $1^{\circ}$. The $m$ om entum dependence of $C_{R}$ can be explained $w$ ith the perturbative result which follow s from asym ptotic wave


$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{R}=0: 329+\frac{4 t^{2}}{J^{2} R^{4}}\left({ }_{k}^{2}+2 j_{k} \text { J }\right): \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This correlation function decays as R ${ }^{4}$ at large distances and could be used as a de nition of the size of the polaron. O ur results suggest that the size of the polaron
$m$ easured $w$ ith this correlation function is, at $m$ oderate $J=t=0: 4$, of the order of a few lattice sites.

A nother interesting aspect of the deform ation of the spin background is contained in the bond-spin currents $\dot{k}=h n_{0}\left(S_{R_{1}} \quad S_{R_{2}}\right)^{z} u i$, where $u$ is a unit vector $u=$ $\mathrm{R}_{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}$ [1, ofm otion for the spin density
$w^{*}$ here ${ }^{\wedge}$ are $P$ auli spin $m$ atrioes. H ere the rst term is the spin current induced by the hopping of the hole and the second term ( iं) describes the back ow in the spin system. D ue to the broken sym $m$ etry total spin is not a good quantum num ber, therefore we consider only the $z$ com ponent of the current. In Fig. 15 (b) $\dot{k}$ is presented as a function of $J=t$ and for $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$. $\dot{k}$ is an odd function $w$ th respect to the $w$ ave vector (at $k=0$ ). Because of sym $m$ etry it vanishes also at $k=(; 0)$. Since the ground state has A FM long-range order, the points $k$ and $\mathrm{k}+(\mathrm{i})$ are equivalent, and therefore, $\dot{k}$ vanishes also at $k=\left(\frac{1}{2} ;\right)_{2}$. Com parison of $k$ with exact diagonalization results is delicate. A s reported in Ref. [2d] we nd good agreem ent w th results from $R$ ef. [181]. For the com plete $m$ om entum dependence of $k$ in comparison w ith exact diagonalization see $R$ ef. [46]. A greem ent is excellent in the anisotropic lim it, < 1 . In the $H$ eisenberg lim it a reliable com parison is very di cult because of the strong $k$ dependence of $\dot{k}$ which $m$ akes it very sensitive to the boundary conditions of sm all chusters. In $F$ ig. 15 (b) we present $j_{R}$ for various bonds $R$ de ned in Fig. 15 (a). The asym ptotic pattem ofbond spin currents is dipolar [ $\left.{ }^{-1} 0^{\prime}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{4^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{~J}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{3}} k\left[\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \frac{\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{R}\right) \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}}\right] \mathrm{u}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin back ow current $j_{R}$ decaysas $R{ }^{3}$ and vanishes in the ground state for $k=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{2}\right)$. A gain the general m om entum dependence is correct as in the case of the other correlation functions considered.

## V I. C O N C LU SIO N S

W e have outlined a m ethod that allow s to calculate the real-space structure of a spin polaron in a quantum antiferrom agnet. The approach is based on the spin-polaron form ulation for the $t-J$ odel, where holes are described as spinless ferm ions while the spin excitations are treated w thin linear spin-w ave theory. T he single-particle $G$ reen's function in (selfoonsistent) B om approxim ation, which has been shown earlier to provide an excellent description of the num erical data for the $t-$ J m odel, is su cient to calculate the $m$ any-body wave function describing the polaron (R eiter's w ave function).

W e have show $n$ here how this wave function can be used to calculate in the fram e of the selfoonsistent B om approxim ation quite com plex correlation functions.

O ur calculation of a num ber of correlation functions, which $m$ easure the deform ation of the spin system due to a m oving hole (spin polaron), provides a very detailed check of this approach against exact diagonalization. In particular we have shown that the spectral w eight of the spin-polaron quasiparticle calculated from the w ave function is consistent w ith the result derived from the G reen's function. It is dem onstrated how the number of spinexcitations involved in the polaron form ation increases $w$ ith decreasing spin sti ness when $J!0$. W e have determ ined the probability distribution of the num ber of m agnons excited in the ground state and found that for $J=t=0: 4$ (a typical value for copper-oxide superconductors) the average num ber of $m$ agnons is about one. In the Ising lim it the average num ber of $m$ agnons scales as $\mathrm{hni} /\left(t=J^{z}\right)^{1=3}$ as $J^{z}=t$ ! 0 in agreem entw th the string picture for the $m$ oving hole and $w$ th the $R$ ef. $\left.[4]_{1}^{1}\right]$.

W e have put particularem phasis on the asym ptotic decay of the perturbations introduced by the spin polaron form ation. Since the spin wave energies $!_{q}$ in a quantum antiferrom agnet vanish linearly with $q$, perturbations in the spin system decay with a power law. For exam ple the change of the local spin deviations $N_{R} / R{ }^{2}$, while the perturbation of the nearest-neighbor spin correlations decays as $R{ }^{4}$ w ith the distance from the hole. In the t-J m odel all correlation functions have a quite com plex structure in realspace which depends on the $m$ om entum of the polaron, whereas in the $t-J^{z} \mathrm{~m}$ odel all perturbations are isotropic.

W e note that despite of the power law decay of the polaron correlation functions in the $t J \mathrm{~m}$ odel the quasiparticle spectral w eight does not vanish. $W$ hether this is correct or an artifact of the selfoonsistent B om approxim ation rem ains to be show $n$ by a $m$ ore rigorous treat$m$ ent.

F inally we want to stress that the approach discussed here $m$ ay also be applied to other interesting problem s such as strongly correlated electrons coupled to H olstein or other phonons.
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FIG.1. D iagram $m$ atic representation of the wave function $j_{k}^{(n)}$ i for $="$. (a) $T$ he rst three term $s$ contain nom agnon, one-m agnon and two-m agnons excitations, respectively. (b) T he double line represents the single particle $G$ reen's function in noncrossing approxim ation.

FIG.2. D iagram $m$ atic representation of the norm $N_{k}$. The nst term \{ containing no $m$ agnon line $\{$ is identical to the Q P pole strength $Z_{k}$.

FIG.3. The norm $N_{k}$ in the Ising lim it as a function of the num ber, $n$, of $m$ agnons included in the $w$ ave function for various $J^{z}=t$.

F IG . 4. The distribution of the num ber of $m$ agnons $A_{k}^{(n)}$ as a function of $n$ for various $J^{2}=t$.

FIG.5. A verage num ber ofm agnons hni in the Ising lim it as a function of $J^{z}=t$. The inset shows hni on logarithm ic scale com pared w ith asym ptotic results.

FIG. 6. The norm $N_{k}$ for the $t-J$ odel as a function of $J=t$ including $m$ agnons up to order $n=3$ in the quasiparticle wavefunction. (a) $M$ om entum at the top of the Q P band at $\mathrm{k}=0$, and (b) at $\mathrm{k}=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$, i.e. close to the $Q P$-band $m$ inim um . The num erical calculation $w$ as perform ed for a mom entum space grid corresponding to a $32 \quad 32$ system. T he solid and the dashed lines are guides to the eye only.

FIG.7. D iagram $m$ atic representation of correlation functions. Each class of ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) diagram s contains n noncrossing diagram s. ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ ) diagram s appear alw ays in pairs $w$ ith the H .c. counterparts. For a detailed description see text.

FIG.8. R adius of the polaron in the Ising lim it vs. $J^{z}=t$ for various de nitions: $R_{p}$ for $p=0: 75$ (fill circles), $p=0: 90$ (open squares), and full squares for $p=0: 99$. H ere $p$ de nes the fraction of spin deviations with in the radius $R_{p}$. The full line represents root $m$ ean square radius, $R_{R M} s$, while the dashed line gives the average radius hR i.

FIG. 9. D istribution of the $z$-component of spin $S_{R}=h n_{0} S_{R}^{z}$ i around the $m$ oving hole for three di erent values for $J^{z}=t$.

FIG.10. The dependence of $S_{R}$ on the num ber of $m$ agnon term $s \mathrm{n}$ in the w ave function. In the Ising lim it $\mathrm{S}_{(1 ; 1)}$ (dash-dotted line) is essentially converged for $n>3$ given $J^{z}=t=0: 4$. In the $H$ eisenberg case the contributions from di erent diagram $s$ to $S_{R}$ are shown for $R=(1 ; 1)$ (solid) and $R=(1 ; 1)$ (dashed line), respectively, for $J=t=0: 4$ and $k=\left(\frac{1}{2} ;\right)_{2}$. For the classi cation of diagram $s\left(B_{n}\right)$ and ( $C_{n m}$ ) see $F i g .7$.

F IG . 11. The total z-com ponent of spin $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ vs. $J^{z}=t$ (diam onds). The dashed line represents $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ in Eq. (36), where $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ is the di erence of the probabilities for the hole to occupy sublattice " or \#, respectively.

FIG. 12. M agnon distribution function $A_{k}^{(n)}$ for the $t-J$ m odel $(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{t}=0: 4)$ as function of $\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k})$ forvariousm agnon num bers $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{n}=0$ (diam onds) $\mathrm{n}=1$, (full circles), $\mathrm{n}=2$ (open squares), and $n=3$ (open circles). T he num erical calculation of all $m$ atrix elem ents $w$ as perform ed on a grid corresponding to a 1616 system. Lines connecting the sym bols are guides to the eye only.

FIG.13. The average num ber of $m$ agnons hni involved in the spin polaron form ation in the $t-J$ odel as function of $J=t$. $T$ he polaron $m$ om entum is $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$.

FIG.14. The z-com ponent of the spin correlation function $S_{R}$ vs. $J=t$ for $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$. N ote the asym $m$ etry betw een the directions $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{k}(1 ; 1)$ and $\mathrm{Rk}(1 ; 1)$ in the $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{J}$ model. The num erical calculation was perform ed on a grid corresponding to 1616 system.

FIG.15. (a) N earest-neighborspin-correlation function $C_{R}$ and (b) the $z$-com ponent of the bond spin currents $\dot{k}$ as function of $J=t$ for the quasiparticle $m$ om entum $k=\left(\frac{3}{8} ; \frac{3}{8}\right)$. The inset in (a) provides a de nition of the $n n$ :-correlations considered. In both (a) and (b) note the asym $m$ etry betw een the directions $\mathrm{Rk}(1 ; 1)$ and $\mathrm{Rk}(1 ; 1)$. The asym ptotic behavior is given by Eqs. (40) and (41), respectively.
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