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#### Abstract

T he levelcurvature distribution function is studied both analytically and nu$m$ erically for the case of $T$ breaking perturbations over the orthogonalensem ble. The leading correction to the shape of the curvature distribution beyond the random $m$ atrix theory is calculated using the nonlinear supersym $m$ etric sigm a-m odel and com pared to num erical sim ulations on the A nderson $m$ odel.

It is predicted analytically and con m ed num erically that the sign of the correction is di erent for T breaking perturbations caused by a constant vector-potential equivalent to a phase tw ist in the boundary conditions, and those caused by a random $m$ agnetic eld.

In the form er case it is show $n$ using a nonperturbative approach that quasi-localized states in weakly disordered system s can cause the curvature distribution to be nonanalytic. In 2d system sthe distribution function $P(K)$ has a branching point at $K=0$ that is related to the $m u l t i f r a c t a l i t y ~ o f ~ t h e ~$ w ave functions and thus should be a generic feature of all critical eigenstates. A relationship between the branching power and the multifractality exponent $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ is suggested. Evidence of the branch cut singularity is found in num erical sim ulations in 2d system sand at the A nderson transition point in 3d system s.


## I. $\mathbb{I N}$ TRODUCTION.

As rst suggested by Edwards and Thouless ${ }^{\overline{1} 1}$, the sensitivity of the spectrum $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ of disordered conductors to a sm all tw ist of phase in the boundary conditions ( $\mathrm{x}=$ $0 ;)=e^{i} \quad(x=L ; ~)$ is a powerfill tool to probe the space structure of eigenfunctions and distinguish between the extended and the localized states. M ore precisely, the quantity $K_{n}$ that is now referred to as the \level curvature", was introduced in Ref. ['ī1] in order to describe this sensitivity quantitatively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\varrho^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}()} \varrho^{2} \quad ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where them ean levelspacing $=\left(L^{d}\right)^{1}$ is related to them ean density ofstates $=\mathrm{h}$ (E)i and the size of the d-dim ensional sam ple L.

In com plex or disordered quantum system s , the quantity $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ uctuates over the ensem ble ofenergy levels $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ or, for a given level, over the ensem ble of realizations of disorder. The typical w idth of the distribution of level curvatures $P(K)$ is of the order of the dim ensionless conductance $g=D=\left(L^{2}\right)$, where $D$ is the di usion coe cient. Thus studying this distribution one can study the A nderson transition from $m$ etal to insulator that takes place upon increasing the disorder.

Recent workí has show $n$ that the distribution of the uctuating quantity $K_{n}$ is a particular exam ple of param etric level statistics, i.e. statistics of spectral responses of the system to a perturbation proportional to som e param eter .

A rem arkable property that the param etric level statisticsi' share w ith the usual level statistics ${ }^{\prime}, 4,4$, is that in a certain lim it they are universal for all classically chaotic and disordered system s and can be described by the random matrix theory ( $\mathrm{RM} T$ ) of $W$ igner and D yson 'itit . For disordered system s considered here this lim it coincides' with $g$ ! 1 . For chaotic system $s$ the sam e role is playedia by the ratio $g={ }_{1}=$, where $l_{1}$ is the rst nonzero $m$ ode in the spectrum of the Perron $F$ robenius operator that describes the chaotic behavior of the corresponding classical system. In particular, for a tim e-reversal-invariant system w ithout spin-dependent_interactions (orthogonalensemble) the distribution of level


$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{W D}(k)=\frac{1}{2\left(1+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} ; \quad k=\frac{k}{h-k \ddot{\eta}^{2}} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where h-k $\ddot{\mu}_{R M}=2 g$ is the average $m$ odulus of the level curvature. Further studyly ${ }^{\text {ºr }}$ shown that the the form of the curvature distribution is still given by Eq. (1] 21) even when weak localization is taken into account; only the dim ensionless conductance in the expression for $h$ jk $\ddot{i}$ is changed appropriately.

The form ofE q.(1] $\overline{1}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) is universal. It does not depend, e.g. on the details of the system and the perturbation. Its validity only requires the system to be T -invariant w th $\mathrm{T}^{2}=1$, and the perturbation to break this invariance. The underlying physics behind this universality is the basis invariance ofRM $T$ which is equivalent to the eigenfiunctions of the physical system being structureless.

A nderson localization apparently breaks the basis invariance. Thus at a su ciently sm all value of $g$ the universality of the spectral statistics should break dow n as well. In the strong localization lim it one would expectio a logarithm ically norm aldecay rather than the power-law tails of the Edw ards-T houless curvature distribution function. This is because the uctuations of level curvature $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{L}=}$ can be view ed in this case as the consequence of the $G$ aussian uctuations of the localization radius for the exponentially localized wave functions. This picture is qualitatively con $m$ ed by recent analytical $l^{11}$ and num erical 12,1121 calculations.

In the present paper we present a com prehensive review of our recent analytical and num erical results on the correction to the level curvature distribution $P(k)=P(k)$
$P_{W D}(k)$ when one approaches the A nderson transition point $q_{-}=g_{d}$ from the $m$ etal side g 1. Som e of the results discussed below are published in in in .

It tums out that there are tw o com pletely di erent contributions to the correction $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$. O ne of them $P_{\text {reg }}(\mathrm{k})$ iș regular in the sm all param eter $\mathrm{g}^{1}$ and can be obtained by a perturbative treatm ent of the nonzero spatial $m$ odes of the nonlinear supersym $m$ etric sigm a-m ode ${ }^{j}$. Them ain result of this treatm ent ${ }^{14}$ is is that the sign of the correction $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$ depends on the topological nature of perturbation. It is di erent for the \global" Edw ardsThouless curvature, where, the perturbation is represented by a global tw ist of the phase in the boundary conditions, ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\circ}$ and for the case in which the curvature is probed by a \ocal" $T$ breaking perturbation such as $m$ agnetic im purities or random $m$ agnetic uxes. Below we present a num erical evidence of this fact.

On top of the regular correction, there is ${ }^{1-3}$ - also a nonperturbative in $g^{1}$ correction $P_{s}(k)$ which is propontional to $\exp \left(\quad 1=g^{1}\right)$. The latter correction is due to the so called pre-localized statest? ${ }^{121}=$, i.e. eigenstates w ith anom alously high peak (s) in the probability density $j(r) j^{2}$.

There are reasons to consider the highly irregular, multifractal critical eigenstates ${ }^{\circ 22}$ as the result of a proliferation of such pre-localized states. This point of view is partly supported by the observation that weakly localized states in the critical dim ensionality $d=2$ also exhibit a (w eak), m ultifractality, as can be show $n$ by $m$ eans of the sam em ethods (renor$m$ alization group $2_{-23}^{23 n}$ or space inhom ogeneous saddle-point approxim ation ${ }^{2}$ ) that were used to discover, the pre-localized states responsible for the slow current relaxation in disordered conductorst 23201.

This idea enables us to extend the results for $P_{s}(k)$ obtained by the novel saddle-point approxim ation for 2 d m etals to the critical state at the A nderson transition in $2+$ dim, ensions. Thus we can explain the branching nonanalyticity at $k=0$ found num erically in ${ }^{10}$ - for the 3d critical level curvature distribution function $P_{c}(k)$. Furthem ore, we suggest a relationship betww een the branching pow er and the exponent $d_{2}$ describing genericm ultifractal critical states ${ }^{2}$. . This relationship ts well the num erical results and provides a link betw een the spectral statistics and statistics of w avefunctions near the A nderson transition.

The paper is organized as follow S. In Section II we describe the perturbative in $\mathrm{g}^{1}$ approach for calculating $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$. In Section III we generalize the instanton approxim ation ofR ef. [ $\overline{2}$ Q̄] for the problem of level curvature distribution and calculate the nonperturbative contribution $P_{s}(k)$ for the $m$ etallic (w eakly-localized) states in quasi-1d and 2d system $s$. In Section IV we extend the results of Section III to the critical states in $2+$ dim ensions and derive the relationship between the branching nonanalyticity in $P_{c}(k)$ and the fractal dim ensionality $d_{2}$. In Section $V$ the results of num erical sim ulation on the A nderson $m$ odel are presented. Som e open questions are discussed in the $C$ onclusions.
II. PERTURBATIVECORRECTIONSTOP(K).

## A. M ain results.

A general approach to calculate the $1=9$-corrections using the nonlinear supersym $m$ etric sigm a-m odely has been suggested in- 1.5 and applied to the distributions of di erent quantitied ${ }^{\circ}$
which are integrated out to produce $1=g$ corrections to the zero-m ode supersym $m$ etric sigm am ode "j'. The latter $m$ ust then be handled exactly.

Before going into the details of the calculations we would like to form ulate the $m$ ain results for $\mathrm{P}_{\text {reg }}(\mathrm{k})$ for the case of T -breaking perturbations over the orthogonal ensem ble:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {reg }}(k)=C_{d} \frac{2 \quad 11 k^{2}+2 k^{4}}{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)^{7=2}} ; \quad k=\frac{k}{h-k \text { 五 }} \quad g ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}=\frac{1}{(\mathrm{~g})^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{q} \neq 0}^{\mathrm{x}} \frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad{ }^{\frac{4}{d}} \quad 1 ; \quad \text { case I } \quad \text { case II }: \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $q=f q_{i} ;:: q_{i} g, w h e r e q_{i}=2 n_{i},\left(n_{i}=0 ; 1 ; 2:::\right)$ in the case of the periodic boundary conditions (for an unperturbed system) considered in this paper.
 di erent for global (case I) and local (case II) T foreaking perturbations. See Fig. in.

The positive sign at sm all $k$ in case $I$ re ects the tendency tow ards a weaker spectral response to a change in the boundary conditions with decreasing $g$. It is related to longrange correlations in the $w$ avefiunctions that result in $m$ esoscopic uctuations of the $m$ atrix elem ent of perturbation. The sam e long-range correlations cause m esosoopic uctuations of the di usion coe cient.

The negative sign of the correction $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$ for local perturbations [case II] is entirely due to the e ect of the energy level statistics that lead to m esoscopic uctuations of the density of states. Them esoscopic uctuations of the $m$ atrix elem ents are suppressed in this case, because the e ect of long-range correlations of the unperturbed wavefunctions is cut by the local nature of the perturbation.

In order to illustrate the e ect of the energy level statistics on the level curvature we invoke the expression for $K_{n}$ in term $s$ of the $m$ atrix elem ent of the perturbation $j V_{n m}{ }^{?}$ and the exact eigenvalues in the absence of perturbation $E_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=2_{m \neq n}^{x} \frac{j V_{n m}{ }^{f}}{E_{n}} E_{m}: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this expression we can clearly identify the two sources of the uctuations in the level curvature. If the uctuations of the $m$ atrix elem ents are suppressed, $\mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ 予 can be replaced by a constant. Then there is only one source of uctuations left, that is the energy level statistics. N otice that im plicitly this contains the e ect of the statistics of the eigenfunctions as well, and in particular, their long-range correlations. U pon decreasing $g$ the system of energy levels becom es less and less correlated. In the extrem e lim it of uncorrelated levels the distribution of curvatures is know $\mathrm{n}^{n}$ to becom e ofthe C auchy-Lorentz form $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CL}}(\mathrm{k})=1=(1+$ $\left.k^{2}\right)$. Since $P_{C L}(0)=1=$ and $P_{W D}(0)=1=2$ we conclude that the e ect of softening the energy level correlations on the shape of the curvature distribution is such that $P(0)<0$, in full agreem ent w ith the results for the case II.

The principal result of this Section is that Thouless relationship of proportionality
 value $r=1$, the correction being equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(g)=\frac{h-k \text { ï }}{2 g}=\frac{1}{(g)^{2}} \underset{q \notin 0}{ } \frac{1}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \frac{( }{2} \quad \frac{16}{d}+\frac{36}{d(d+2)} ; \text { case I } \quad \text { case II }: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { B. Functional representation for } P(K) \text {. }
$$

W e know describe how Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{L}_{1}\right),\left(\underline{2} \underline{2}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ have been obtained. Let us consider a disordered $m$ esoscopic d-dim ensional system w th a random white-noise im purity potential V (r) perturbed by a small vector-potential $=\mathrm{L}$. It is described by the m icroscopic H am iltonian of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m} @ \frac{1}{i} r \quad \frac{1}{1}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r}) ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case I we assum e the sam ple to be closed into a ring geom etry pierced by a sm allstatic $m$ agnetic ux. Then the e ect of the perturbation is equivalent to a tw ist of the boundary conditions generating the phase $=2=0\left(0=h_{1}=e\right.$ is the ux quantum $)$. A s a vector potential we w ill take a constant vector, of the form $\quad=\mathrm{L}=(=\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{n}=(=\mathrm{L}) f 1 ; 0 ;: 0 \mathrm{~g}$.

In the $\backslash$ local" case II we consider ${ }^{\text {! }}(\mathrm{r})$ to be a random -correlated vector-potential:

The param eter is introduced in this way in order to keep h $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}} \ddot{\mu}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{m}=2 g$ the same as to the \global" curvature (case I).

The curvature distribution function

$$
\left.P(K)=\begin{array}{ccccc}
x & (K & K_{n} & (E) & E_{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{array}\right)
$$

can be expressed in term $s$ the tw o-level param etric correlation function $R(!$; )

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(!;)={ }^{2} h(E+!;) \quad(E ;=0) i \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a form sim ilar to one derived in Ref. [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(K)=\lim _{!0} \frac{2}{2} R \quad!=\frac{1}{2} K \quad{ }^{2} ; \quad: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, using the exact expression for the uctuating density of states ( $E$; ) = $L d^{P}{ }_{n} \quad\left(E \quad E_{n}()\right)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(!;)={\underset{m ; n}{x} h\left(!+E_{n}(0) \quad E_{m}()\right) \quad\left(E \quad E_{n}(0)\right) i: ~}_{x} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the level repulsion, in the lim it ! ! 0 and ! 0 only term $\mathrm{s} w$ ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}$ contribute to the sum Eq. (2, On other hand, with a perturbation which is odd
under tim e reversal, the $T$-invariant levelenergy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be eveníd in . Then choosing ! = $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K} \quad{ }^{2}$ we im $m$ ediately arrive at Eq. (2..

The tw o-level correlation function $\mathrm{R}(!;)$ can be represented in the form of a functional integral using the Efetov's supersym $m$ etry approach. A straightforw ard application of the results of Ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}1,-1\end{array}\right]$ and Eq. (2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P(K)=\frac{1}{64} \frac{@^{2} Z}{@ J_{1} @ J_{2}} J_{J_{1}=J_{2}=0} ; \quad Z=\lim _{0}{ }^{2}<^{Z} D Q \exp (F Q]\right) ; \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the case I the functionalF Q ] takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[Q]=\frac{g^{Z}}{8} \frac{d r}{V} S \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Lr} Q+i^{h_{b}} ; Q^{i}+2 i k^{2}(Q)+\frac{\mathrm{dr}}{V} \operatorname{Str}(\mathrm{UQ}) ; \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar representation for $P(\mathbb{K})$ has been used_in $R$ ef. [ ['9, ${ }_{-1}$ ].
In Eq. (2. $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{2}\right)$ we have introduced the notation- ${ }^{1271}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{K} /(2 \mathrm{~g}) ; \\
\mathrm{b}=!\mathrm{P}_{+} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{~J}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{+}+\mathrm{J}_{2} \mathrm{P} \quad\right] ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
P_{+}=\frac{1}{2}(1+) ; \quad P=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad):
$$

The coordinate dependent $8 \quad 8$ superm atrioes $Q(r)$ are param etrized as $Q=T^{1} T$, where $T$ belongs to a graded coset space UOSP $(2 ; 2 j 4)=$
UOSP (2れ) UOSP (2 2 2等.
O ther $m$ atrices are speci ed as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{2} ; \quad \bar{K} ; I_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{\mathrm{A}} ; \quad 3=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1) ; \quad \mathrm{I}=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1):
\end{aligned}
$$

A bove we imply the follow ing hierarchy of blocks of superm atrices: retarded-advanced (R A) blocks, boson-ferm ion (B F) blocks, and blocks corresponding to time reversal.
In the case II the linear in term in Eq. (21-12 ) is absent but otherw ise the functionalF Q_] is the sam e provided that is introduced as in Eq.(2]. $\overline{6})$. A sim ilar functionalF Q ] appears ${ }^{5}$ if one considers a sm all concentration of $m$ agnetic im purities $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}$ a perturbation. In both cases the structure of the \oovariant derivative" $D Q=r Q+\frac{i}{L}{ }^{b} ; Q$, which im plies a sort of global gauge invariance, is broken dow $n$.

It is im portant in deriving the functionalF Q ] for the case II that the correlation radius ofthe random vector-potential ism uch sm aller than the elastic scattering length. In this case the averaging over ${ }^{( }(r)$ should be done before $s w i t c h i n g$ to $Q$-variables that are assum ed to be slow ly varying, in space. In the opposite lim it of large correlation radius, one should average $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}\left[\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}\right.}$ over ${ }^{\cdot}$ ( r ) and arrive at a m uch m ore com plicated functional.
C.P erturbative treatm ent of non zero m odes.

The representation given in Eqs. $\overline{2}=1$
 m ain role is played by the zero m ode that corresponds to $\mathrm{q}=0$. At $=0$ this m ode is gapless and thus it does not cost any energy no matter how large are the com ponents of the eld $Q$ in the noncom pact boson-boson sectoris. It is the arbitrarily large am plitudes of the zero $m$ ode com ponents of the eld $Q$ that com pensate the in nitesim al param eter in Eqs. ( $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})-(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ and lead to a nite result for $P(K)$. Thus the space independent zero m ode $\mathrm{Q}_{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be considered nonperturbatively.

In the lim it $g!1$ all the nonzero $m$ odes can be neglected ${ }^{[51}$, and one arrives ${ }^{\overline{9} 9^{1}}$ at the RM T result, Eq. ( 1 $H$ ow ever, all the nonzero $m$ odes can be treated perturbatively for $g \quad 1$ leading to som $e$ corrections to the zerom ode action. In order to obtain these corrections we have to separate the zero $m$ odes from all other $m$ odes and then integrate over all the nonzero $m$ odes using a œrtain perturbative schem e.

Follow ing the $m$ ethod suggested by $K$ ravtsov and $M$ irlin ${ }^{-19}$ we decom pose $m$ atrices $Q$ ( $r$ ) as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(r)=T_{0}{ }^{1} Q(r) T_{0} ; Q=\frac{1+\frac{1}{1}=2}{1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}=2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 at all.

A s has been already notioed, the $m$ ain contribution to the fiunctional integral com es from the zero, mode. The zero-m ode approxim ation, $Q=Q_{0}=T_{0}{ }^{1} T_{0}$ is know $n$ to be equivalent to RM TI2 - . To go beyond RM T we integrate perturbatively over南 ( $r$ ) to obtain the e ective zero-m ode action $F^{\text {eff }} Q_{0}$ ] as follow s:

$$
F^{\text {eff }}\left[Q_{0}\right]=\ln ^{Z} D Q^{\mathrm{J}} \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{i}} \exp ^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{h}} Q_{0} ; \mathscr{E}^{\text {io }} ;
$$

h i
where $F{ }^{h} Q_{0} ; Q^{i}$ is obtained from $\left.F Q\right]$ by substituting the decom position of Eq. (2, $1=1$ $J[\mathbb{Q}]$ is the Jacobian of the corresponding nonlinear transform ation.

This scheme is im plem ented in A ppendix ${ }_{\text {A. }}^{1}$. A s a result we have the e ective action expanded up to the second order in $1=g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\text {eff }} \quad \mathrm{F}_{0}^{\mathrm{eff}}+\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{eff}}+\mathrm{F}_{2}^{\text {eff }}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\text {eff }}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

 RM T resultar ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}^{e f f}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { ik } \quad X \quad J_{p} \quad \text {; } \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}=$ labels the $(\mathbb{R}$ A) blocks and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\operatorname{str}{ }^{\wedge} Q_{0}{ }^{2} ; \quad=\operatorname{str}{ }^{\wedge} Q_{0} ; \quad{ }_{p}=\operatorname{str} \mathbb{R}^{2}{ }_{0}^{p p}\right]: \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next term $\left.F_{1} F_{1}^{\text {eff }} Q_{0}\right]$ is the rst order (w eak localization) correction obtained by $F$ yodorov and Som $m$ ers ${ }^{\text {it }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{2} ; \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2 n}={ }_{q}^{x} n^{n}(q) \sum_{q}^{x} \frac{1}{\left(g q^{2}\right)^{n}}: \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst order correction $F_{1}^{\text {eff }}$ leads to a renorm alization of the coe cient in front of in $F_{0}^{\text {eff }}$ and can be absorbed in the dim ensionless conductance $g$. It can be checked that the renorm alized coe cient $g$ is exactly the conductance w ith weak localization corrections taken into account. In what follow s we shall assum e $g$ to be a renorm alized conductance and we shall om it $F_{1}^{\text {eff }}$.
$W$ e now consider the higher-order term $\left.F_{2}^{\text {eff }} Q_{0}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{eff}} & ={ }_{4}^{( } \frac{3}{4}+\frac{4}{\mathrm{~d}} \frac{9}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d}+2)}  \tag{2.19}\\
& { }^{2}+\frac{7}{2} \frac{16}{\mathrm{~d}}+\frac{36}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d}+2)}
\end{align*}{ }_{2}^{!}{ }^{( }{ }_{4} \mathrm{k}^{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{ik}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 4=\mathrm{d})
\end{array}+\quad ; \quad\right. \text { ) }
$$

and a higher-order source-induced contribution $\left.F_{J} Q_{0}\right]$ :

The term $S$ in $F^{\text {eff }}$ containing the factors $1=d$ and $1=d(d+2)$, originate, after the angular
 term is present only in the \global" case I. For this reason in the \local" case II all the d-dependent term $s$ in $F^{\text {eff }}$ should be om itted.

D i erentiating the partition function Z w ith respect to the sources we arrive at the expression for the level curvature distribution function $P(k)$, where $k=K=(2 g)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(k)=\lim _{0} \frac{{ }^{2}}{32 g}<^{z} D Q_{0} \quad(;) \quad \exp \frac{-i k}{2} \quad \operatorname{StrkQ}{ }_{0}^{11} S \operatorname{trkQ}{ }_{0}^{22} ; \\
(;)=1 \quad F_{2}^{\text {eff }}+{ }_{4}(1+2 i k \quad(1 \quad 4=\mathrm{d})): \tag{221}
\end{gather*}
$$

A rem arkable feature of the perturbation theory is that the function (;) is a polinom ial in and. This property is due to the fact that long-trace vertioes that appear after the perturbative integration over $\left.W^{(r}\right)$ factorize into the product of short-trace vertices , , and $p$.

Then the correction $P(K)$ can be represented as a nite order di erentialoperator acting


$$
\begin{equation*}
P(k)=\quad 2 \frac{@}{@ a} ; \frac{!}{@}^{@} \quad P_{a}(k) \dot{j}_{a=1} ; \tag{222}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{a}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{WD}} \mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{a})=  \tag{223}\\
& =\lim _{!0} \frac{}{32} \mathrm{~g}^{2} \quad \mathrm{DQ} \exp \quad \overline{2}^{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{StrkQ}{ }_{0}^{11} \mathrm{StrkQ}{ }_{0}^{22}:
\end{align*}
$$

 respect to $k$ to those w ith respect to $a$, we can rew rite $P(k)$ in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(k)={ }_{4}^{( } \frac{4}{d} 1 \frac{@^{2}}{@ a^{2}}+\frac{9}{2} \frac{16}{d}+\frac{36}{d(d+2)} \frac{@}{@ a} P_{a}(k) \dot{d}_{=1}: \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that by the de nition given in Eq. (2) $\left.\overline{2})^{\prime}\right)$, the function $P_{a}(k)$ obeys two nom alization conditions:

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dk} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})=1 ; \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{dk} \mathrm{kj} j \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{a}:
$$

U sing the rst of these conditions we im mediately conclude that the cancellation of the term s proportional to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$ in Eq. $(\underline{2}-2 \overline{2})$ ensures the conservation of the norm alization $\mathrm{dk} \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{K})=0$.
N ext we note that the term sproportional to the rst derivative can be absorbed into the function $P_{a}(k): P_{a=1}(k)+(@=@ a) P_{a=1}(k) \quad P_{a=1+}(k)$. In doing so we observe w th the help of the second norm alization condition in Eq.(2)_2


$$
\begin{equation*}
h-k \not \ddot{\eta}=2 g \frac{9}{2} \frac{16}{d}+\frac{36}{d(d+2)}_{4}^{!}: \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By rede ning the $k=K=h-k$ ï, where $h-k \nexists j$ is the average of absolute value of the level curvature, one can cancel the term $s$ with the rst derivative in Eq. (2-2 $\mathbf{4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). All what is left is the term w ith the second derivative which describes the change in the shape of the distribution function. The nal result of these tedious calculations is very sim ple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(k)=\frac{4}{d} \quad 1 \quad 4 \frac{@^{2}}{@ a^{2}} \quad a{ }^{1} P_{W D}(k=a) \quad ; \quad 4 / 1=g^{2}: \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation means that the RMT curvature distribution $P_{W}(k)$ plays the role of the generating function for its own corrections.
III. THE SIGNATURE OF THE PRE-LOCALIZED STATES $\mathbb{N}$ THE LEVEL CURVATURED ISTRIBUTION.

It has been know n for quite a while that the relaxation of current and the local density of states (D O S) in disordered conductors exhibit an anom aly even in the weak-localization regim e. $N$ am ely, it has been shown in Ref. ['ī1] [in that there exists a sm all (but not exponentially sm all) probability of nding a current relaxation time or a localDOS that is $m$ uch larger than the corresponding $m$ ean values. T hese anom alies have been attributed to
 in $j(r) j^{2}$ at som e point $r=r_{0}$.

Very reaently the problem of current relaxation in disordered conductors has been reconsidered ${ }^{2}$ al by an elegant instanton approxim ation applied to the supersym $m$ etric version of the nonlinear sigm a-m ode 嗃. In these papers the $m$ ain result of the previous work ${ }^{4}$ ". has been con m ed for 2d system s . H owever, the new m ethod was able to describe som e unknown regim es of current relaxation and to set correct lim its of validity for the regim es found earlier. Later the sam e ided ${ }^{2} \underline{1}$ has been applied ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$ to nd directly the distribution of $j(r) j^{2}$ and the distribution of local densities of states ${ }^{311 .}$. Thus the existence of quasi-localized states has been proved and the corresponding con guration of the random im purity potential has been foundidall.

The $m$ ain idea of $E d w$ ards and $T$ houlessil is that it is possible to distinguish between localized and extended states by analyzing the sensitivity of the spectrum to a tw ist of the phase in the boundary conditions. This sensitivity is signi cant only for states w ith a localization radius larger than the sam ple size $L$ and negligible for strongly localized states. It is clear that the existence of the pre-localized states should lead to an enhancem ent in $P$ ( $K$ ) at sm all K . In low dim ensional system $\mathrm{sd}=1 ; 2$ where the pre-localized states correspond to localized states $w$ ith an anom alously sm all localization radius, one $m$ ay expect a singularity in $P(K)$ at $K=0$. In 3d $m$ etal the typical pre-localized state looks like a shanp peak in $j(r) j^{2}$ on top of the extended background $j(r) j^{2} \quad L^{d}=$ const. The level curvature that corresponds to such a state does not vanish but only slightly decreases. Thus the prelocalized states in 3d in the weak localization regim e should have much weaker e ect on the level curvature distribution.

## A. Instanton approxim ation.

In order to check these predictions we consider, instead of $(\mathbb{K})$ at sm all $K$, its Fouriertransform $P^{\prime}()={ }^{R} d K P(K) e^{\mathrm{iK}}$ at 1 .

It is easy to see that forboth the RM T result Eq.(1] (12) and the regular correction Eq. ( the function $P^{r}()$ vanishes exponentially for 1 . In what follow s we will seek for slow ly decreasing contributions. Support for the existence of such contributions can be gained
 curvature k plays the sam e role as the frequency in the problem of the current relaxation in disordered conductors - , thus being analogous to tim e. Therefore, one $m$ ay expect long nonexponentialtails in $P^{\prime}()$ in analogy w ith those present in the current relaxation fiunction $I(t)$. H ow ever, it is far from clear that two problem s are equivalent, since the boundary conditions are di erent and the nonlinear sigm a-m odelin Eq. (2.1.2.) contains additionalterm s that describe the T breaking perturbation.
$T$ hem ain idea of R ef. $[12 \overline{2}]$ of the eld $Q(r)$ that are space independent or slow ly varying in space, are energetically unfavorable. In contrast, essentially space-dependent con gurations in the vicinity of the classical (instanton) solution $Q$ ins ( $r$ ) that $m$ inim izes the action $F Q]$ appear to be energetically advantageous. At large $g$ the uctuations around this solution are expected to be sm all and one arrives at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P^{\prime}() A e^{S()}=\lim _{!}<^{Z} D Q^{Z+1} d K A Q_{\text {ins }} ; \quad\right] e^{\text {fF } Q_{\text {ins } s+\text { iK }}}{ }^{g} \text { : } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A Q_{\text {ins }} ;$ ] is a pre-exponential factor including the e ect of uctuations around the instanton solution.

The G rassm ann variables in the action, Eq. (2, the pre-exponential factor A in Eq. ( $\overline{3}$. 1.1$)$, since the integration over these variables is equivalent to a di erentiation. Thus w th exponential accuracy we can neglect all the $G$ rassm ann variables in the Efetov's param etrization for $Q(r)$. Next, a nite contribution to $S()$ in the lim it ! 0 com es only from the in nitely large boson-boson com ponents of the eld $Q$ (r). Therefore we consider only the leading term $s$ in the noncom pact angles 1 and 2 in the E fetov's param etrization for the orthogonal ensem ble:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=V{ }^{1} H V ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H=\begin{array}{llll}
\cosh _{B} & \sinh _{B} & & P_{B} ;  \tag{3.3}\\
\sinh & \cosh _{B} & R_{A} &
\end{array}
$$

w th $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=(\hat{\mathrm{k}}+1)=2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cosh { }_{B}=\cosh 1_{1} \cosh 2_{2}+x \sinh { }_{1} \sinh { }_{2} ;  \tag{3.4}\\
& \sinh { }_{B}=\sinh { }_{1} \cosh 2_{2}+x \cosh { }_{1} \sinh { }_{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=e^{i^{\prime}}=P_{+} \quad P_{B}+e^{i}=P \quad P_{B}: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eld $Q_{\text {ins }}(r) m$ ust obey periodic boundary conditions, since the tw ist of phase is taken into account explicitly in the action F Q ]. This $m$ eans that $1 ; 2(r)$ and the fiunctions $\exp [(r)] ; \exp [(r)]$ should obey periodic boundary conditions.

In this way we obtain $\left.\left.F Q_{\text {ins }}\right]=L d^{R} f Q_{\text {ins }}\right] d^{d} r$, where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f} Q \mathrm{Q}=\underset{4}{-\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{C}+)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2^{i}}+  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\frac{-g}{2}{ }^{h}\left(@^{\prime} \quad n\right)^{2}+(@)^{2^{i}}(00 s h+\infty \text { osh } \\
& \text { 2@ (@) } n) \sinh +\sinh \quad \frac{i}{4} K^{2}(\cosh ++\cosh ) \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Here $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=2$ with $1 ; 2 \quad 0$ and @ $f @=@ x g$.
W ewill look for a minim um of the functional $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ins}}\right]+\mathrm{iK}$ that corresponds to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=@=0 ;+2[0 ;+1]: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By varying the functionalF $\left.Q_{\text {ins }}\right]+i K$ over , , and $k$ we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2}+{ }^{2}\left[\quad\left(@ v \quad n^{2}\right)\right] \sinh =0 ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { @ }\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{n})(\text { oosh } & 1) \tag{3.9}
\end{array}\right]=0\right. \text {; }
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{4}^{2}(\cosh +1) d^{d}=; \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=i K=2 g$, $d^{d}=\frac{d^{d} r}{L^{d}}$ and ${ }^{\prime}=v$.
Eqs. ( $\overline{3} \cdot \mathrm{~d})-(\overline{3} \cdot 1 \overline{1})$ correspond to the global case I. A s usual, in the local case II the term s linear in $n=f 1 ; 0 ; 0 ;::$ :g are absent.

The lim it ! 0 is done simply by absorbing ${ }^{2}$ into. We introduce ${ }^{\sim}=+\ln ^{2}$.
 the form :

$$
\begin{gather*}
@^{2 \sim}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\quad\left(@ v \quad n^{2}\right]\right] e^{\sim}=0 ;  \tag{3.11}\\
@[(@ v \quad n) \tilde{e}]=0 ;  \tag{3.12}\\
\bar{z} \quad e^{\tilde{z}} d^{d}=: \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where now ~2 [ 1 ;+1].


$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=\frac{-}{4} g^{Z}(@ \sim)^{2} d^{d}+2 g \quad: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that drops from the problem only if we assum e a topologically trivialsolution corresponding to periodic boundary conditions being im posed on $v(r)$. O therw ise appears in the boundary condition for $v='(r)=$ which is periodic $m$ odulus $2=$ and thus is ill de ned in the lim it ! 0. In what follows we consider only such a topologically trivial solution.

O ne can solve Eq. (3̄.12

$$
(@ \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{n})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{r} & \mathrm{~A} \tag{3.15}
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\sim} ;
$$

where [r A ] = const in 1d and is the curl of an arbitrary vector function $A$ ( $r$ ) in higher dim ensions. Below we consider only the sim plest solution that corresponds to [r A ]
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=$ const.
Let us consider rst the local case II. D oing the space integration of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ which in this case does not contain the term proportional to $n$, and using periodic boundary conditions for $v(r)$ one $m m$ ediately arrives at $\left[\begin{array}{ll}r & A\end{array}\right]=@ v=0$. T hen the sam e procedure $w$ th Eq.(3.1.1) leads to the conclusion that the only solution for ~ that obeys periodic boundary conditions, is space-independent and exists only for $=n^{2}=1$. The corresponding action is $S()=2 g$. Thus the instanton approxim ation in the local case II gives only an exponentially sm all tail $P^{\sim}() / e^{2 g}$ that has been already obtained by the perturbative
approach. W e conclude that for case II the analogy w the problem of current relaxation appears to be wrong.

N ow consider the global case I. Integrating Eq. (3). of $v(r)$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{d}}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2 \sim}+\frac{@ U}{@^{\sim}}=@^{2 \sim}+\frac{-}{2} e^{\sim} \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}} e^{\sim}=0: \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It appears that the global nature of perturbation and the corresponding linear in $n$ term
 the e ective \potential" U (~) and makes it possible for periodic solutions ("oscillations") to exist.

Eq. (3̄11) takes a $m$ ore sym $m$ etric form if we $m$ ake a shift ${ }^{\sim}=u \quad$, where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh =+\frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{2^{P}} ; \quad \sinh =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{2^{\mathrm{P}}=: ~} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally we have the system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @^{2} u+{ }^{2} \sinh u=0 ;  \tag{3.19}\\
& \frac{1}{N}=2^{z} e^{u} d^{d} ;  \tag{320}\\
& =\frac{z}{8^{2} N^{2}} e^{u} d^{d} ; \tag{321}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{2}={ }^{\mathrm{P}}-=\mathrm{N}$.
Solving these equations for a hyper-cubic sam ple $1=2<{ }_{i}<1=2 \mathrm{w}$ th periodic boundary conditions one nds $u(r ;), N()$ and ( ) which enter the instanton action $S()$ :

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=\frac{-}{4} g \quad(@ u)^{2} d^{d}+2 g^{4} N^{2}: \tag{322}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { B.N on-exponential tails of } \mathrm{P}^{( }() \text {in low -dim en sional sy stem } \mathrm{s} \text {. }
$$

We will below that for large the param eter is sm all. For 1 the term ${ }^{2} \sinh u$ is very $s m$ all unless sinh $u$ is exponentially large. This $m$ eans that we can approxim ate ${ }^{2} \sinh u \quad \frac{2}{2} e^{j \mu j} \operatorname{sign}(u)$. T hus Eq. ( $\overline{3}$. $\left.{ }^{-1} \underline{d}\right)$ is replaced by the $L$ iouville equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2} u+\frac{2}{2} e^{j^{\mu j}} \operatorname{sign}(u)=0: \tag{323}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generic solution to the Liouville equation in the low -dim ensional case $d=1 ; 2$ is given in term softwo arbitrary functions $f(w)$ and $v(w)$ of the com plex variable $w=i z=\overline{8} w$ th $z=x+i y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{j \mathrm{j} j}=\frac{2 f^{0}(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{v}^{0}(\mathrm{w})}{(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{w}))^{2}} ; \tag{324}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{0}=d f=d w$ and $v^{0}=d v=d w . W$ e need the rh.s. of Eq. (3) can be done by the choice:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w) v(w)=1: \tag{325}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the solution in term sof one function only $F(z)=f(i z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{j u j}=\frac{16}{2} \frac{\frac{d F}{d z} f}{\left(1+\mathcal{j} j^{j}\right)^{2}}: \tag{326}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. Q uasi-1d C ase

Choosing $F(z)=e^{k z+b}$ in Eq. ( that depends only on one coordinate $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{j \mu j}=\frac{4 k^{2}}{2 \cosh ^{2}(k x+b)} ; \tag{327}
\end{equation*}
$$

where k and b are real constants.
The solution on a ring $\frac{1}{2}<x<\frac{1}{2}$ is constructed by re ecting anti-sym m etrically the positive solution with $\mathrm{b}=0$ around the points $\mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{4}$ [see F ig.'iz]. T he second constant k is found from the condition $u(1=4)=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathrm{k}^{2}={ }^{2} \cosh ^{2}(\mathrm{k}=4) ; \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \ln \left(1={ }^{4}\right): \tag{328}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he anti-sym $m$ etry of the solution im $m$ ediately leads to the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I={ }^{z} e^{u} d x={ }^{z} e^{u} d x: \tag{329}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $u \quad \ln \left(1={ }^{2}\right)$ is large everyw here except in the vicinity of its zeros at $\dot{x} \mathrm{j}=\frac{1}{4}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { I } \quad \underset{\frac{1}{4}}{\mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} e^{u} d x=\frac{8 \mathrm{k}}{2} \tanh (\mathrm{k}=4) \quad \frac{8 \mathrm{k}}{2}: \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext we calculate the integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\frac{1}{2}}^{z+\frac{1}{2}}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2} d x=2_{\frac{1}{4}}^{Z+\frac{1}{4}}\left(u^{0}\right)^{2} d x=4 k^{2} \quad 16 k \quad 4 k: \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from Eqs. $(\overline{3} 200),(\overline{3} 2 \overline{2} 1)$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{k}}  \tag{3.32}\\
& { }^{4}=\frac{64 \mathrm{k}^{3}}{} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

F inally using Eq. $\left(3{ }^{2} 2 \overline{2}\right),(3)$ and $(3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=g k^{2} \quad g h^{2}: \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the characteristic function in a quasi-1d system $s$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\check{ }()=A \exp \quad \frac{g_{1}}{2} \ln ^{2} \quad ; \quad g_{1}=2 g ; ~ ; ~} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result holds w ithin the dom ain ofvalidity of the nonlinear sigm a-m odelE q. $\overline{\underline{2}}=\overline{1})$. Thism odeland hence the saddle-point equationswork only for su ciently slow varying elds Q ( r ), nam ely jouj < $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{l}$, where l is the elastic scattering length. It follow s im m ediately from Eqs. $(3$
 functional form as the current relaxation function $I(t)$ in $R e f$. $[1 \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{-1}]$ for the orthogonal ensemble.

## 2. 2d C ase

In fullanalogy w ith the quasi-1d case, we construct a double-periodic solution to the Liouville equation on a torus $\frac{1}{2}<x ; y<\frac{1}{2}$ by re ection. W e consider a positive solution $u(z)$ inside the square $w$ ith vertioes at $z=1=2$; $i=2$ and then continue it anti-sym $m$ etrically about a side of the square in any quarter of the sam $\mathrm{ple} \dot{j} \mathrm{zj}<1=2, \dot{j} \mathrm{zj}<1=2$. The de nition dom ain of the solution $w$ ith its sign is draw $n$ in $F$ ig. 'i. ${ }^{-1}$. By construction, the sym $m$ etry relationship Eq. ( $\overline{1}=\overline{2} \overline{2}=1)$ is valid for such a 2d solution too.

The procedure of nding the solution is described in the A ppendix ${ }_{C} \mathbf{C}$. W e note that for our purposes we need only the solution for $\dot{j} j=r \quad 1$. It is rotationally invariant and has the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{u(r)}=\frac{16 b(k \quad 1)^{2 r^{2 k}}}{\left({ }^{2}+b r^{2 k}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=16 \frac{2}{2}^{!\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k} \quad 1)^{2}: \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 equation, w ith $k$ and $b$ being tw o constants of integration. T he requirem ent of periodicity of $u(z)$ helps to establish a connection, Eq. ( $\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ ), between these constants.
${ }_{R}{ }^{T}$ he rem aining constant $k$ is found in a standard w ay from the requirem ent ofconvergence of ${ }^{R}(@ u)^{2} d x d y$ in the action $S()$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{Gu})^{2}=\frac{" \mathrm{k} \quad 4}{\mathrm{r}} \quad 4 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{k} \quad 1)_{2+\mathrm{r}^{2 k} \mathrm{br}^{2 k}{ }^{2}}^{\#_{2}}: \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thuswe im m ediately nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=2 ; \quad \mathrm{b}=4^{4} ; \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{au})^{2}=\frac{16 \mathrm{~b}^{2} r^{2}}{\left({ }^{2}+\mathrm{br}^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the symm etry of $u(z)$, the integral ${ }^{R}(@ u)^{2} d^{2}$ over the square is exactly onehalf of the total integral over the period (over the sample) ${ }^{R}(d u)^{2} d^{2}$. It diverges logarithm ically at $r$, and we arrive at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{au})^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}=32 \ln \frac{\mathrm{Cb}}{2} \text {; } \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\frac{2}{{ }^{2} e}$ can be found from an exact solution in the region $\dot{z} j 1$.
The result is alm ost independent of bat sm all and is essentially determ ined by the logarithm ic solution of the Poisson equation that follows from Eq. ( $\overline{3} \cdot 1$
$N$ ow let us calculate the integrals in the self-consistency equations. For sym m etry reasons we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{z} e^{u} d x d y=e^{z} d x d y=\frac{16(k \quad 1)}{2}=\frac{16}{2}: \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ost of the contribution to these integrals com es form the sm all r 1 and the result is independent ofb.

N ow we are in the position to calculate the constants and $N$ that enter the instanton


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\frac{1}{16} ; \quad{ }^{4}=\frac{8^{34}}{}: \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the nalexpression for the instanton action in 2d reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=4^{2} g \ln \quad \overline{8} \quad 1: \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the characteristic function $\mathrm{P}^{\Upsilon}(\mathrm{)}$ tums out to have a power-law asym ptotic behavior at large 1 :
where $\mathrm{c}=8 \mathrm{e}$.
Few notes should be $m$ ade on the validity of the result Eq. ( 3 . $4-3$ ). Firstly, the above instanton approxim ation w ith the action $S()$ logarithm ic in is only justi ed when $g$ 1, since the pre-exponential factor A could also be a power-law function of but with an exponent of order 1. Secondly, the nonlinear sigm a-m odel and hence the saddle-point equations work only for $j$ luj < $L=1$, where $l$ is the elastic scattering length. It follow $S$


```
C . N onanalyticity of the level curvature distribution.
```

In this section we show that the slow ly decreasing tails in the characteristic function $P^{r}()$ at 1 given by Eqs. $(\overline{3}-\overline{3} 5)$, ( $\overline{3} .4 \overline{4}$ ) result in a nonanalytic behavior ofP ( $K_{1}$ ) at $K=0$. As usual, true nonanalyticity arises only in the them odynam ic lim it $\mathrm{L}=1$ ! 1 븐, since only in this lim it the tails extend to in nity. For any nite $L=l$ the function $P(K)$ is still analytic at $K=0$ but the region of the regular behavior of $P(K)$ shrinks to zero with increasing $\mathrm{L}=1$. Below the lim it $\mathrm{L}=1$ ! 1 is assum ed.

Let us consider the quasi-1d case rst. In this case all derivatives of $P(K)$ are nite at $\mathrm{K}=0$ :

Yet the function $P(K)$ is nonanalytical at $K=0$, since the Taylor series $P(K)=$ $P_{n} \frac{P^{(2 n)}(0)}{(2 n)!} K^{2 n}$ has zero radius of convergence because of the very fast grow th of $P^{(2 n)}(0)$ w th n .
$T$ he singularity at $K=0$ ism uch stronger in $2 d$ case. In this case allderivatives $P{ }^{(2 n)}(0)$ w th $2 \mathrm{n}+1>2 \mathrm{~g}_{2}$ are proportional to $\left.(\mathrm{L}=1)^{4(2 \mathrm{n}+1} 2 \mathrm{~g}_{2}\right)$ and diverge in the therm odynam ic lim it. Let us de ne $m$ as an integer obeying the inequality of $\dot{j}_{2} \quad \mathrm{mj} \frac{1}{2}$. Then the the expansion of P ( $K$ ) at $s m$ all $K$ has the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P(K)=C_{0}+C_{1} K^{2}+::: C_{m}{ }_{1} K^{2(m} 1\right)+C_{m} K^{2 m} \quad \mathrm{~m}\left(K^{2 m}\right) ; \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<m<2$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{n}+1) \quad 2 \underline{q}: \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $3 d \mathrm{~m}$ etal case we failed to nd a solution to the saddle-point problem that would lead to a nite action $S()$ in the therm odynam ic $\lim$ it. This m eans that the characteristic function $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}()$ has only regular corrections at $g \quad 1$ and thus decays exponentially for 1.

```
IV.NON-ANALYTICITY OF P (K)AT K = OAND M ULTIFRACTALITY OF
    EIG ENFUNCTIONS.
```

From the results of the previous section we see that the strength of the singularity of $P(K)$ at $K=0$ depends on the dim ensionality in a nonm onotonic way. The singularity is very weak in a quasi-1d metal; it reaches a maxim um in a 2d metalwhere $P$ ( $K$ ) has a branch cut; 边 disappears in a 3d m etal where the level curvature distribution is analytic.

Such a behavior is related to the fact that $d=2$ is the low critical dim ension for the A nderson transition, and the wavefunctions in the $2 d$ weak-localization regim e share som e features of the critical w avefiunctions at the A nderson transition in higher dim ensions.
A. P (K) at the A nderson transition in $2+$ dim ensions.

The usualw ay to describe the criticalstate near the A nderson transition is the ( d 2 ) = expansion. To this end one considers the quantity of interest in a 2 d system with $g_{2} 1$
and then replaces $g_{2}$-by, the critical conductance $g_{d}=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 2\end{array}\right)$ which is the xed point of the scaling equation -3

$$
\frac{d \ln g_{d}}{d \ln L}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & \text { 2 } \tag{4.1}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{g_{d}}+\circ \frac{1}{g_{d}^{2}}:
$$

For the orthogonalensem ble in $d=2+$ dim ensions we nd to the leading order in 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}() / \underline{1}^{\underline{2}}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that at the critical point, the conductance $g_{d}$ is exactly size-independent, and one can consider the them odynam ic lim it L! 1 w ithout tuning other param eters in order to keep $g_{d}$ xed.

Thus one can de ne the critical exponent that determ ines the power-law tail of the critical characteristic function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}^{r}() / \quad ; \quad=\frac{2}{-}+\circ(1): \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $=1$ in the above equations we nd 2. Then it follows from Equs (3) that already the second derivative of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{K})$ at $\mathrm{K}=0$ is divergent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{C}(K)=c_{0} \quad q\right\} \mathcal{J} ; \quad=3 \quad: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { B. Exponent and } \mathrm{m} u \text { leifractality. }
$$

Unfortunately it is known that the accuracy of the $d \quad 2=$ expansion is quite poor and insu cient for a precise determ ination of the critical exponents. In this situation one can try to nd relationships between di erent critical exponents rather than try to evaluate them using the expansion. This certainly requires som e assum ptions about the underlying physics.

A s has been $m$ entioned in the Introduction, a unique property of the criticalstates is $m u l$ tifractality. This property is characterized by the power-law dependences of averaged powers of eigenfunction amplitudes $j_{\mathrm{e}}(r) j$. Two of such power-law dependences are know $n{ }^{3} 5$ O ne of them determ ines the scaling of a single eigenfunction $w$ ith respect to the size of the system L.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r ; n}^{X} h_{n}(x) j^{2 q} \quad\left(E \quad E_{n}\right) i / L^{d_{q}(q \quad 1)} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nother one determ ines the correlations of di erent eigenfunctions as a function of energy di erence:

$$
{ }_{r, n, m}^{X} h j_{n}(r) j^{q} j_{m}(r) \mathcal{J}^{q} \quad\left(E \quad E_{h}\right) \quad\left(E^{0} \quad E_{m}\right) i / \Psi \quad E^{0} j^{\left(1 \frac{d q}{d}\right)(q 1)}:
$$


 an instanton approxim ation sim ilar to the one we used in this paper. The spectrum of the fractal dim ensions $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}$ obtained in this approxim ation tums out to be linearlis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d} \quad-\mathrm{q} ; \quad=\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{~d}=\frac{2}{g_{2}} ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1 ; 2 ; 4$ for the orthogonal, unitary and sym plectic ensem bles.
It is reasonable to assume that the power-law tail in $\mathrm{P}^{\Upsilon}(\mathrm{l})$ is another signature of $m$ ultifractality 10 . Then one $m$ ay hope that the expression for in term $s$ of the structural constant ofm ultifractality $=d$ d provides a better approxim ation for than the


$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{4}{-}: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivation of Eq. (4]. crucial facts: i) . the exponent is determ ined by the spectrum ofm ultifractality $d_{q}$ and ii). this spectrum is linear (for q $1=$ ). We will now $m$ ake the assum ption that i). is valid for any critical state. Since for any critical state with weak multifractality the spectnum of $d_{q}$ is expected to be linear up to very large values of $q$, we believe that Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} . \bar{d}\right)$ is valid for any critical state w ith weak multifractality. In contrast to Eq. (4 $\left.\overline{4} \mathbf{B}_{3}\right)$, the relationship between and Eq.('). (4. $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ ) is independent of dim ensionality and the sym $m$ etry param eter and should apply to 2 d critical states in the $Q$ uantum $H$ all regim $e$ and for system $s w$ th spin-orbit interaction ${ }^{13511222}$.
V.NUMERICALEVALUATION OF P(K)FOR THEANDERSON MODEL.

For our num erical analysis we consider a tight-binding $m$ odel on a square lattioe of $L^{d}$ sites. The one-particle H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{i}^{x}{ }_{i} C_{i}^{y} C_{i}+t_{h<i j i\rangle}^{x}\left(e^{i j} C_{i}^{y} C_{j}+e^{i_{i j}} c_{j}^{y} C_{i}\right): \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The site energies $i$ are random ly distributed with uniform probability between $W=2$ and $W=2$ in units of $t=1$. The param eter $W$ controls the am ount of disorder in the system. The phase shifts $i j$ in the hopping term connecting nearest neighbors represent the e ect of an extemal perturbation that breaks the $T$-invariance of the system. A sfor the analytical calculations we consider two types of such perturbations. The rst one (case I) is the usual A haronov-B ohm ux $=(=2)$ o that pierces the system closed to a ring geom etry giving rise to a global shift of the boundary conditions in one direction. W ew illchoose a gauge such that each hop in $x$-direction picks up a phase $i_{i j}==\mathrm{L}$, so that total tw ist of the boundary condition is. The second one (case II) is a random $m$ agnetic ux. In this case the gauge is such that the phase $i j$ relative to a hop in the $x$-direction is $G$ aussian distributed w ith zero average and variance equal to $h_{i j}^{2} i=(=L)^{2}$. For this gauge, the vector-potential A (i) / $f_{i ; i+1} ; 0 ;:: 9$ is de ned on the dual lattice $w$ ith sites in the $m$ iddle of bonds in $x$-direction. Thus this is a random vector-potential $m$ odel $w$ ith a short-range correlator
$h A$ (i)A $(j) i=(=L)^{2} \quad ; x \quad ; x$ ij of the type given in the continuous approxim ation by Eq.(2..). The only di erence is that the correlator is anisotropic. This di erence is not im portant, since it leads only to a constant factor $1=d$ in $v$ that can be absorbed in the param eter. This kind of perturbation is qualitatively di erent from case I, since it acts locally.

The num erical evaluation of the curvature is based on the representation of the second derivative by the nite di erence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \quad^{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{n}}() \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)\right]: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In using this form ula one should take care that is sm all enough in order for Eq. (5) to be valid. On the other hand, a too sm all would result in big num erical errors because of the nite num erical precision in evaluating $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The optim al choige of should be m ade for each level $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ separately, since the level curvatures vary in a wide range for a given realization of disorder. To this end, we diagonalize the H am iltonian for several values of
(up to ten values) for each disorder realization and choose the sm allest for which the norm alized di erence $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{O}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(0) \dot{j} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)$ is still larger than som e conveniently chosen sm all param eter. In order to attain a sm ooth curve and decrease the statistical uctuations the statistical average has been $m$ ade both over the energies (in the energy $w$ indow of $w$ idth 4 centered at $\mathrm{E}=0$ ) and over m any realizations of the disorder (there were typically few thousands of them ).

## A. C orrections to $P(K)$ beyond RM $T$ in 3d m etals.

In this section we com pute the nite $g$ corrections to the shape of the curvature distribution in the $m$ etallic regim $e$, com paring the num erical results $w$ ith the regular corrections, Eq. $\left(2 \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right),(\underline{2}-2)$.

It tums out that the $m$ agnitude of corrections is $s m$ all and we need to consider a rather large disorder ( $W$ 10) to detect it. At yet larger values of disorder we $m$ ay enter the critical regim e. The onset of the critical regim e exhibits itself in the weak dependence of the conductance $g$ and the $m$ agnitude of correction to $P(k)$ on the system size $L$. In contrast in a good $m$ etal a naive estim ation of $C_{d}$ in Eq. ( $\overline{2}$. 1 I' $)$ yields $\mathbb{J}_{d} j / W^{4}=L^{2}$. The upper lim it of the coe cient $\mathbb{J} d j$ jan be estim ated from the critical conductance $g_{3}=4^{2} \mathrm{~g} \quad 1$. Since $\tilde{X}_{d} j$ is proportional to a sm all param eter $\left(4^{3} g\right)^{2}=\left(g_{3}\right)^{2}$, its value just near the critical region is proportionalto a $s m$ allnum erical factor $1={ }^{2} \quad 0: 1$. It is th is $s m$ allnum erical factor together $w$ th the strong $W$-dependence of $C_{d}$ that $m$ akes the correction to $P(k)$ sm all and Eq.(2.

## 1. G bbal V ector P otential.

In Fig. ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{-1}$ in we show the num erical results for $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{N}(k)$ for the 3d $m$ etallic regim e in case I. The calculations are perform ed for system size $L=8$ and disorder $W=12$. $T$ he num ber of disorder realizations is 1500. The deviation of $P(k)$ from the RM $T$ result is very m all, less than one percent. The m agnitude of the statistical noise present after averaging is done appears to be only a little sm aller than the signal itself. $N$ evertheless the
general trend of the curve agrees w the the analytical prediction Eq. ( $\overline{2}$. 1 ) : P (k) is above the RM T result at sm all $k$. W e have used the coe cient $C_{d}$ in Eq. (2]. 1 ') as a free param eter in the least square tting of the num erical results. The value $C_{3}=0: 0044$ found from such a tting is probably a reliable estim ate of the $m$ agnitude of the correction $P(k)$ in the above case.

## 2. Random $M$ agnetic $F \operatorname{lux}$

The sam e correction $P(k)$ for the case of a random $m$ agnetic $u x$ is displayed in $F$ ig. $T$ he values of the param eters of the H am iltonian are the same as for the previous case. D espite the statistical uctuations are still rather strong, the num erical results are quite signi cant. W e see that again the expression Eq. (2...1) provides a rather good one-param eter tting function for the num erical results. H ow ever, in this case the coe cient C ${ }_{d}=0: 014$ is negative in full agreem ent w ith the analytical prediction. M oreover, the num erical results are consistent w th the analytical prediction even quantitatively. It follow s from Eq. (2̄2') that there is a m agic relationship for the ratio of am plitudes of the correction in case $I$ and case II:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{3}^{(\mathrm{I})}}{\mathrm{C}_{3}^{\text {(II) }}}=\frac{1}{3} ; \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur calculations give a result $\mathrm{R}=0: 32$ which is in an am azingly good agreem ent with Eq. (5)

$$
\text { B. P (k) at the } m \text { obility edge in 3d and in 2d } m \text { etals. }
$$

A num erical investigation of the distribution $P(k)$ at the A nderson transition critical point hasbeen already carried out in $R$ ef. [ $11 \overline{1} \overline{-}]$. Them ain nding of the num erical sim ulation is that the distribution function at them obility edge is rem arkably well tted by the form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \quad(k)=\frac{A}{\left.\left(1+j j^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0: 4$. Equation '(5.4) de nes a function that has a branching point of the type Eq.(4̄. $\mathbf{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) at $\mathrm{k}=0$ and the asym ptotic behavior $P(k) / \mathrm{kj}^{3}$, expected in all cases where there, is energy level repulsion $R(!; 0) / j!$ jat! 1 . The function $P(k)$ is a rather special one ${ }^{4}-$, since onœ it is properly norm alized by choosing $A=(2, \quad B=(2 \quad)] f[1=(2$
)] ( $2=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & )\end{array} \mathrm{lg}^{1}>1\right.$, it autom atically satis es the condition ${ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{dk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{k})=1$ for all. $T$ hus the full distribution $P(k)$ is determ ined uniquely by its value at $k=0$. If we take P ( $k=0$ ) equal to the num erical result (that is known up to a sm all error bar) the whole curve is param eter free. A ltematively we can consider as a free param eter that should be determ ined by a least square tting of the overall num erical curve. These two procedures yield very close values for and an exœellent overall tting of the num erical curve, im plying that the extrapolation by $m$ eans of the function $P \quad(k)$ is very self-consistent.

In Fig. 'i-1 we plot the results for the di erence $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{N}(k)$ for the critical disorder $W=16: 5$ and the system size $L=12$ as com pared to two one-param eter tting
curves provided by Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ and Eq. ( $\overline{5} . \overline{4})$. It is clearly seen that despite the analytic function $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$ given by Eq. (2, feature at sm all $\mathrm{k} j$ that is captured better by the nonanalytic tting function, Eq. ('5. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{1}$ ) .

W e note also that this enhancem ent at sm all $k j$ relative to $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$ is size-dependent, w ith $P(k=0)$ increasing $w$ ith $L$ so that a fullsaturation is not reached even for $L=10$. Such a behavior is beyond the one-param eter scaling and can be explained by the term ination of the power-law tail in the characteristic function $P^{r}()$ at a nite $=(L=1)^{4}$. Indeed, the power-law tail given by Eq. ( $\overline{4}-\bar{Z})$, m akes a contribution to $P(k=0)$ proportional to $\mathrm{R}_{(\mathrm{L}=1)^{4}}$
${ }_{1}^{(L=1)^{4}} \mathrm{~d}$. This contribution is size-dependent and increases $w$ ith increasing $L$ ( $w$ ith a saturation at $L=1!1$ ) even exactly at the critical point where the exponent is a constant.
 between and $=3$. For $0: 4$ we have $2: 6$ and $_{2} \mathrm{~d} \quad 1: 5$. This value is in a

$W$ e also checked that in a $2 \mathrm{~d} m$ etal the deviation from the RM T result $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k})$ has the sam e qualtative form as in the 3d critical case. In Fig. in we present plots of $P(k)$ for di erent values of $L$ and $W=6$. An interesting feature seen in the gure is the xed point in $P(k)$ at $k \quad 0: 35$. D espite the one-param eter function $P(k)$ does not have an exact xed point at the sam e value of $k$, all the curves obtained varying do get very close to each other at $k \quad 0: 35$ in what looks alm ost like a xed point. A s in the 3d critical case, the analytic function $P_{\text {reg }}(k)$ ts well the overalldistribution but fails to describe the sharp enhancem ent for sm allcurvatures that is instead well described by the function $P$ ( $k$ ). This is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 'igi, for the system $L=30 ; W=6$.

## VI. C O N C LU SIO N S

In this paper we have investigated both analytically and num erically the relationship betw een the statistics of eigenfunctions and the spectral statistics in disordered conductors. T he level curvature distribution has been chosen as the target of our study, since it is the sim plest known exam ple of param etric spectral statistics that can be used as a spectral probe of the structure of eigenfunctions.

 respectively. The rst two equations describe the regular corrections beyond RM T to the level curvature distribution in disordered $m$ etals. These corrections stem from long-range correlations in the w ave fiunctions w ith a typical length scale of the order of the sam ple size. $T$ he latter two equations sum $m$ arize the e ect of local irregularities (shanp peaks) in the structure of eigenfunctions in its $m$ ost developed form ( $m$ ultifractality) in the critical region near the $m$ obility edge.

M oreover, Eq.(4̄. ${ }^{\prime}$ ') suggests an explicit relationship between the fractal dim ension $\mathrm{d}_{2}=\mathrm{d}$ of a critical eigenfunction and the exponent in the power-law tail Eq! (4) 3) of the characteristic fiunction $P_{c}()$ that describes the particular param etric spectral statistics considered here (level curvature distribution).
 m ultifractality $>\frac{4}{3}$, while the form er applies to a generic critical state. For instance, it
would be interesting to chedk its validity for the critical, state in the quantum H all e ect, where $0: 5$ and we predict 8 . Recent progrest in num erical sim ulations on the $C$ halker-C oddington netw ork $m$ ode ${ }^{-14}$ seem $s$ to $m$ ake the task attainable.

A s far as the regular corrections are concemed, there is an interesting question of what happens to them for $d>4$. The sum in Eq. (2 $\overline{2} \overline{2})$ is a param eter-free number only for $d<4$ when it converges. For $d>4$ the sum is divergent and requires a cut-o at large jij. Thus for the correct evaluation of this sum it is necessary to go beyond the di usion approxim ation and the approxim ation of slow spatial variations of the eld $Q$ ( $r$ ) in the nonlinear sigm a-m odel. The divergent sum in Eq. (2̄2) im plies that for $d>4$ the correction
$P(k)$ to the level curvature distribution is dom inated by short-range spatial correlations of the eigenfunctions, in contrast to $d<4$ where it is dom inated by long-range correlations. $B$ ased on our discussion at the end of Sec. 'inini, the short-range nature of the eigenfunction correlations in $d>4$ is $m$ ost likely the cause of the change of sign in the correction $P(k)$ for the "global" case I (as com pared to $d<4$ ) and the reason why $P(k)$ show s qualitatively the sam e behavior of case II ("local" perturbations).

O ne of the m ost im portant results Eq. (2, L' 4 ) of our calculations is that the ratio $r(g)$ of the $m$ ean level curvature hjk $\ddot{\eta}$ and the $m$ ean $D$ rude conductance $2 g$ is not a constant and is always, larger than the RM $T$ result $r=1$. $T$ his is in a qualitative agreem ent $w$ th the result ${ }^{\prime}$ e ects are strong. Indeed, let us assum e that the square-root dependence is typical for strongly localized states in any dimensions. Then the function $r(g)$ should behave like $r(g) / g^{1=2}$ at $s m$ all $g 1$ and $r(g)!1$ for $g!1$. If in addition we $m$ ake the natural assum ption that $r(g)$ is a monotonic function, we arrive at the conclusion that $r(g)>0$ everyw here in agreem ent w ith Eq. (2, 2 . 1 ).

A sim ilar deviation from the proportionality relationship h-k $\ddot{i} / \mathrm{g}$ has been observed recently in num erical sim ulations ${ }^{\prime} 45$.
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APPENDIXA:EFFECTIVEACTION
The param etrization ( follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F Q ; Q_{0}^{i}=\operatorname{Str} \frac{g^{h}}{8}{ }^{h_{1}} ; Q^{i} \quad \operatorname{LLr} Q^{2} \quad i \frac{g}{4}^{2}{ }^{2} Q+Q_{J} Q ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the follow ing notation has been used:

$$
\dot{Q}=\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~T}_{0}{ }^{1} ; \quad Q=\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{0}{ }^{1} ; \quad Q_{J}=\mathrm{T}_{0} J \mathrm{~T}_{0}{ }^{1}:
$$

$$
g=\frac{D}{L^{2}}=D L^{d^{2}} ; \quad \text { STr::: } \quad \frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{Str}::: ; \quad \mathrm{K}=2 \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{k}:
$$

Taking advantage of the fact that we are interested in ! 0 lim it only, it is convenient to absorb the large conductance into the de nition of the $u x{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{2}!{ }^{2}$ (wherever possible). $T$ hen introducing the dim ensionless gradient $@={ }^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{=2} \mathrm{Lr}$ and using the identity

$$
\frac{2}{2} \operatorname{STr} Q Q=\operatorname{STr}^{\prime} \dot{Q}^{2} Q ;
$$

we recast the action in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F Q ; Q_{0}^{i}=\operatorname{STr} \frac{1}{4}{ }^{h} Q_{\dot{\prime}} ; Q^{i} \quad \frac{p}{1} \bar{g} Q^{2} \quad \text { ik } Q^{\prime 2}+Q_{J} Q: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $m$ anipulations, along $w$ th fact that $\frac{1 f}{}$ scales as $\frac{1}{f}=g^{1=2} \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w} / 1$ enable us to consthuct a perturbative expansion in the param eter $1=9$ straightforw ardly, sim ply expanding $F Q ; Q_{0}$ in powers of $w$. This procedure is equivalent to selecting diagram $s$ contributing to the sam e order in $1=g$. Then for the partition function we get the representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\lim _{!0} \frac{2^{2}}{32 g}<Q_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D} Q \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{~h}}{J Q^{i}} \exp \quad \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{h}} Q ; Q_{0}^{i} ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

h i
where $J Q$ is the Jacobian of the transform ation $Q!Q ; Q_{0}$ (obtained in the follow ing


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F Q_{i} ; Q_{0}^{i}=F\left[Q_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{4} S \operatorname{Tr}(@ w)^{2}+{ }_{n=1}^{X^{A}} g^{n=2} F_{n=2} Q_{0} ; w\right]: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term of the expansion is nothing but the zerom ode action (RM T-lim it):

$$
\left.F Q_{0}\right]=\operatorname{STr} \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}^{!} \quad 2 \quad i k Q^{\prime}+Q_{J} \quad:
$$

The second term in $\left(\bar{A} \bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponds to the noninteracting di usion modes approxim ation. The other term $s$ in $\left(\bar{A} \bar{A}^{-} \overline{4}\right)$ describe the interaction of the di usion and zero modes w ith $g{ }^{1}$ playing the role of a coupling constant. For our purposes it is enough to keep the rst four interaction term s of expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{1=2}=\text { iSTrQ́ } \mathrm{wQw} ;  \tag{A5}\\
& F_{1}=\frac{1}{2} S \operatorname{Tr} A W^{2}+Q^{!} w^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(@ W)^{2} w^{2}+  \tag{A6}\\
& +\frac{1}{8 i} \operatorname{STr}^{n} \varrho^{\mathrm{Q}} \quad @ w^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{w} \quad @ \mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{3=2}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{STr} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{w}^{3}+2 \text { Q }^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{w}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{w}}+ \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}=\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{STr} A W^{4}+\stackrel{!}{Q} \quad W^{2}{ }^{2}+2 \stackrel{!}{Q} \quad W^{2} W^{2}+\frac{1}{2 i} \stackrel{!}{Q}_{Q} Q W^{5} ;  \tag{A8}\\
& +\frac{1}{64} \operatorname{STr} \quad Q_{W^{3}}{ }^{2}+2 Q_{W^{5}} \quad Q_{W} \quad 2 C^{2} W \quad Q_{W}^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e have used the notation：

$$
A=\begin{array}{llll}
Q & !  \tag{A9}\\
Q & !^{\prime} \dot{Q}^{2} & Q_{J}:
\end{array}
$$

A veraging over the fast $m$ odes can be im plem ented by the use of contraction nules derived in in ．W e recast them in the follow ing form ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {D }}(r) R T r^{0}\right)^{E}=\left(r ; r^{0}\right)^{n} \operatorname{STrR} \quad \operatorname{STrR} \quad \bar{R}+\bar{R}^{\circ} \text {; }  \tag{A10}\\
& \text { 南 }(r) \operatorname{STrRT}\left(r^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{E}}=\left(r r^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R} \quad \overline{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \overline{\mathrm{R}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

The propagator $\left(r ; r^{0}\right)$ satis es the di usion equation：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r ; r^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{g L^{2}} \quad\left(r^{0}\right) \mp \quad{ }^{1}(q)=g(q L)^{2}: \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 For exam ple，straightforw ard but lengthy calculations give us the follow ing rules for the integration of product of tw o vertioes from（ $\bar{A}_{\bar{A}}^{-} \neq 1$ ）containing no gradients：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\operatorname{STrB}^{2^{2}} \quad 2 \operatorname{STrB}^{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{STr}_{2}(\mathrm{~B})^{4}+\mathrm{B}^{4} 2 \mathrm{~B}^{2}{ }^{2} \text {; } \\
& \text { h } \\
& \text { STrB 姷 } \\
& \text { (r) }{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \operatorname{STrA} \text { 姷 }^{2}\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right)= \\
& =4^{2}\left(r ; r^{0}\right) \quad \operatorname{STrA} 2 B^{2} \quad B \quad B \quad(B)^{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $m$ atrices satisfy the sym $m$ etry relations $\bar{A}=A ; \bar{B}=B$（for notations seif）and the brackets $h_{::: ~} i_{c} m$ ean keeping only the connected parts of the correlators after averaging over fast m odes 有．

T hese calculations can be easily extended to couplings of gradient vertioes as well．Then having at hand allpossible couplings we are able to construct a cum ulant expansion for the partition function（ $\left(\bar{A} \bar{B}_{1}\right.$ ）．K eeping all nonvanishing correlators up to the second order in $1=9$ we arrive at：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}^{\text {eff }}=\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{h} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{E}}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1=2}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{E}} \quad \frac{1}{4!}{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~F}_{1=2}^{4}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{E}} ;  \tag{A12}\\
& \mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{eff}}=\mathrm{hF}_{1} i=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{X}} \text { (q) } \operatorname{STr} Q^{!} \quad 2 \text {; } \\
& F_{2}^{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{D} F_{1}^{2}{ }_{c}^{E}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{D} F_{1} F_{1=2}^{2}{ }_{c}^{E} \quad \frac{1}{4!}{ }^{D} F_{1=2}^{4}{ }_{c}^{E}=4{ }_{q}^{X}{ }^{2}(q) \quad\left(f+f_{3}+f_{4}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

Here the term $f_{2}$ corresponds to coupling of tw o gradientless vertioes $\left(\underline{A}-\overline{\bar{N}_{1}}\right)$ :



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2d }{ }_{3} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{STrA} \quad \operatorname{STrQ} \quad{ }^{2} \quad 2 \operatorname{STrA} \quad \mathrm{STOR}^{2}+\operatorname{STr} Q^{!} \quad 2^{2} \\
& 4 \operatorname{STr} Q^{\dot{2}^{2}}{ }^{2}+2 \operatorname{STr} Q^{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{ }^{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last contribution $f_{4}$ com es from 4 coupled gradient vertioes ( $\left.\operatorname{Ax}^{-}-3\right)$ :

$$
\frac{4}{9} \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d}+2) \quad{ }_{4} \mathrm{f}=\operatorname{STr}^{\prime} \mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \quad 2^{2} \quad 4 \operatorname{STr} Q^{\prime}{ }^{2}
$$

The expression for $F^{\text {eff }}$ can be sim pli ed considerably if one uses the follow ing factorization properties of long traces:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\wedge^{\wedge} Q_{0}\right)^{2}\right]={ }^{h} S \operatorname{tr}\left[\wedge^{\wedge} Q_{0}\right]^{i_{2}}={ }^{2}  \tag{A13}\\
& S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\wedge_{0}\right)^{4}\right]=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{h} S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\wedge_{0} Q^{2}\right]^{i_{2}}=\frac{2}{2}\right. \\
& S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\wedge_{0}\right)^{2}{ }^{2} Q_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{2} S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\wedge^{\wedge} Q_{0}\right)^{2}\right] S \operatorname{tr}\left[{ }^{\wedge} Q_{0}\right]=\frac{}{2} \\
& \left.\left.\operatorname{Str}\left[\left(\hat{Q_{0}}\right)^{2} \hat{k} Q_{0}^{p p}\right]=\frac{1}{2} S \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\hat{Q}_{0}\right)^{2}\right] S \operatorname{tr} \hat{k} Q_{0}^{p p}\right]=\frac{-}{2} S \operatorname{tr} \hat{k} Q_{0}^{p p}\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

T he factorization holds in the leading pow er in the noncom pact angles in the originalE fetov's param etrization and can be show $n$ by straifhtforw ard but extrem ely lengthy calculations.

It is worth noting that the Jacobian $J$ Q also contributes to the e ective action (the
 the Jacobian leads to the replacem ent $q^{2}+\frac{1}{g}$ in (q). Thus the $1=g^{2}$ contribution from the Jacobian follows from the correction to (q) in $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{\text {ef } f}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\text {jacob }}^{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \text { (q) } \operatorname{STr} Q^{\prime} \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ollecting all the results obtained in this A ppendix we arrive at the e ective action $F$ Q ${ }_{0}$ ] given in Eqs. (2. 1
$U$ sing these equations one can represent $P(k)$ in the di erential form of Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{N D}_{2} \overline{2}_{2}^{2}\right)$. It tums out that all the relevant derivatives of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})$ over k can be expressed through the derivatives over a. U sing the follow ing identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k \frac{@^{2}}{@ k @ a} P_{a}(k)=\frac{@^{2}}{@ a^{2}}+2 \frac{\varrho}{@ a} \quad P_{a}(k) \quad ;  \tag{A15}\\
& \frac{@^{2}}{{@ k^{2}}^{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})_{\mathrm{a}=1}=\frac{@}{@ a} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\mathrm{Ca}^{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k}) \underset{!^{a=1}}{ } ; \\
& \mathrm{k}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{@}^{2}}{\mathrm{Ck}^{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})_{\mathrm{a}=1}=2+4 \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\varrho \mathrm{a}}+\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{k})_{\mathrm{a}=1}
\end{align*}
$$

we can nally represent $P(k)$ in the form Eq．（2）－2 2 ）that contains only the rst and second derivatives $w$ ith respect of $a$ ．

## APPENDIX B：CALCULATION OFTHE JACOBIAN

In the evaluation of the Jacobian of the transform ation $Q!Q_{0} ; Q$ we follow the procedure proposed in Ref．［＇2̄⿻丅⿵冂⿰⿱丶丶⿱丶丶⿲二丨匕刂灬 ］and prove that the Jacobian does not depend on zero－m ode Q o ．The derivation is simpli ed if we go to the \rational＂param etrization rst：

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & W \tag{B1}
\end{array}\right)(1 \quad W)^{1} ; W=\bar{B}^{B}:
$$

This param etrization has been know $n$ since $E$ fetov＇s w orkis ${ }^{515}$ ．The advantage of this represen－ tation lies in the fact that Jacobian of the transform ation $Q!W$ equals to unity．On the another hand we have the decom position $\left(2,1 \bar{n}^{3}\right)$ ．Taking into account that $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ belongs to the graded coset space U O SP $(2 ; 2 j \nmid)=U O S P(2 \eta) \quad U O S P(2 \mathfrak{2})$ the com plete param etrization takes the form：

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{1} \mathscr{Q} \mathrm{~T}_{0} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{s} \overline{1+\mathrm{W}_{0}}}{1 \mathrm{~W}_{0}} ; ~ Q=1 \text { 南 } \quad 1 \text { 需 } \quad 1 ;  \tag{B2}\\
& \mathrm{W}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{B}}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{0} \text { ! ; 用 }=\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{B}}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Com paring these two representations $(\bar{B} \overline{1} \bar{\prime})$ and $\left.\bar{B} \overline{2})^{\prime}\right)$ we derive the connection betw een $W$ and $\mathrm{W}_{0}$ ； ff ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}=\left(\mathrm{W}_{0}+\$\right)\left(1+\mathrm{W}_{0} \$\right)^{1} ; \$=1 \quad \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{2}{ }^{1=2} 1 \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{f} & \mathrm{~W}_{0}^{2}
\end{array}{ }^{1=2} ;  \tag{B3}\\
& \mathrm{B}=\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{~b}\right)^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{b}=1 \quad \mathrm{~B}_{0} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \quad{ }^{1=2} \mathrm{~B} \quad 1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{0}{ }^{1=2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Since the eld b（being proportionalto ff ）contains only nonzero $m$ om enta it can be treated perturbatively．G oing to the Fourier representation and expanding（ $\overline{\bar{B}} \overline{3}{ }^{\prime}$＇）up to second order in b we separate zero－and nonzero m om enta：

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(k=0)=B_{0} \quad S_{B} \bar{B}_{0} b_{q} ;  \tag{B4}\\
& B(k \notin)=S \quad b_{k} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{+} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{2}} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}_{1}} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}_{2}} \quad \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $S=1 \quad B_{0} \bar{B}_{0}$ has been introduced and the sum $m$ ation over repeated indecis is im plied. Therefore, we are interested in Jacobian of the transform ation $B!\left(B_{0} ; b\right)$ which is equivalent to ( $B(k=0) ; B(k \notin 0))!\left(B_{0} ; b_{k} \neq 0\right)$. The corresponding Jacobian can be represented in the block -m atrix structure:

$$
J=S D \text { et } \quad \begin{array}{llll}
@ B & (0)=@ B_{0} & @ B & (0)=@ b  \tag{B5}\\
@ B & (;)=@ B_{0} & @ B & (;)=@ b
\end{array} ;
$$

where a short notation for the follow ing superm atrices has been introduced:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \mathrm{B}(0)=@]_{0 k} & =@ \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{q}=0)=@ \mathrm{~b}_{k} ; \\
{\left[@ \mathrm{~B}(;)=@ \mathrm{~B}_{0}\right]_{\mathrm{k} 0} } & =@ \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{k} \in 0)=@ \mathrm{~B}_{0} ; \\
\llbracket \mathrm{B}(;)=@ \mathrm{~b}]_{\mathrm{kk}} 0 & =@ \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{k} \in 0)=@ \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k} 0} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and right derivatives are im plied ${ }^{\text {²-2 }}$. U sing the identity for the superdeterm inant of block $m$ atrioes we recast ( $\bar{B} \bar{B}^{-}$) in the follow ing form
where $S$ det acts $w$ ithin the space of $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes, while $S D$ et spans $k$-space also. Lengthy but straightforw ard calculations give us follow ing very useful form ulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S \operatorname{tr} \frac{@(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{bF})}{@ \mathrm{~b}}=\mathrm{StrD} \quad \mathrm{StrF} ; \quad \mathrm{Str} \frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{~b} F}}{@ \mathrm{~b}}=\mathrm{StrD} \overline{\mathrm{~F}} ; \\
& \operatorname{Str} \frac{@\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right)}{@ \varrho\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right.} \frac{\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)}{@ \mathrm{~b}_{2}}=\operatorname{StrD}{ }_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Str} \mathrm{~F}_{2} ; \\
& \operatorname{Str} \frac{@\left(\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right)}{@ \mathrm{~b}_{1}} \frac{@ \mathrm{D}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}{@_{2}}=\operatorname{StrD}{ }_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~F}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~F}}_{2} ; \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ow we expand $J_{1}$ up to second order in b:

$$
J_{1}=S D \text { et } \frac{@(S b)}{@ b} \quad K \text {; }
$$

where

Taking into account that $S D$ et [Cb $(\mathrm{Sb})=@]=1$ and exploiting $\left(\bar{B}^{-}-1\right)$ we obtain:

F inally, we arrive at:

$$
J_{1}=\exp { }_{k}^{(x} \quad \operatorname{strb}{ }_{k} \bar{B}_{0} S \operatorname{tr} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \text { ) }
$$

Then w ith the sam e accuracy we $m$ ay recast $J_{2}$ in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{2}=S \operatorname{det}(1 \quad \Phi) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing form ulae $\left(\bar{B}^{-}-\overline{1}\right)$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Str} \frac{@ S_{q} \bar{B}_{0} b_{q}}{@ B_{0}}=S \operatorname{trS} b_{q} \overline{\mathrm{~b}}_{q} \quad \operatorname{Str} \bar{B}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{q} \bar{B}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =S \operatorname{trSb}{ }_{k} 1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{0}{ }^{1} \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& +S \operatorname{trSb}{ }_{k} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{bb}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

A s a result we nd for the second contribution $J_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \sqrt{2} \quad \operatorname{Str} 1 \quad \mathrm{~B}_{0} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{0} \quad{ }^{1} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{~S} \operatorname{trif}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{Str} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S} \operatorname{tr} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{0} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The param etrization used in this A ppendix di ers slightly from the one used in the $m$ ain body of paper. To com e back to the originalparam etrization we m ust substitute $\sqrt{\hat{A}}$ ! 有 $=2$ . T hen collecting contributions from $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ together we nally obtain Jacobian in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{h} Q^{i}=\exp \frac{1}{8}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dr}}{\mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{Striff}^{2}: \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s one can see from Eq. $\left.\bar{B}^{-1} \mathrm{I}^{-1}\right)$ the Jacobian does not contain the zerom odes at all. M orover, being quadratic, it just plays the role of a sm all frequency ( $1=g$ ) in the free di usion propagator. Expanding such $m$ odi ed propagator we recover the Jacobian contribution to the e ective action Eq. (

O $n$ the boundary of the square [see $F$ ig. Bin the function $u(z)$ and its rst derivative $m$ ust be continuous. Because, by contruction, $u(x)$ is anti-sym $m$ etric when re ected around the sides of , it m ust be zero on the boundary of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d F}{}^{d z}=\frac{2}{16}\left(1+F(z) f^{2}\right)^{2} ; \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case ! 0 we look for a solution $F(z) j$ 1. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dz}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{z})}^{!}=\frac{-}{4} \exp (\mathrm{i}) ; \quad \operatorname{Im}=0: \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we m anage to nd (z) which is an analytic function inside the square and is real on its boundary. Then the equation for $1=F$ is trivially reducible to quadratures and the solution $F(z)$ is an analytic fiunction inside the square. Then in the lim it 1 the solution to the Liouville equation that obeys the condition $u(z)=0$ on the boundary of the square is found by substituting the function $F(z)$ into Eq. ( region where $F(z) j 1$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{j_{1}(z) j}=\dot{e}^{i}(z) \xrightarrow{f}: \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=\frac{p}{2}_{0}^{z} \frac{d}{\left(1 r^{4}\right)^{1=4}} \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does the conform al transform ation of the unit circle in the com plex plane of $t$ onto the square in the com plex plane of $z$. This fiunction obeys the sym $m$ etry property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}(\text { it })=\mathrm{iz}(\mathrm{t}) ; \quad \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w e$ choose $\left.e^{i}(z)=\mathbb{t}(z)\right]^{k}$, where $k$ is a real param eter, the analytic function $(z) w i l l$ autom atically be real on the boundary of the square. Integrating Eq. ( $\overline{2}$ ) we arrive at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{F(z)}=\overline{4}_{0}^{z} \mathrm{z}_{0}^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{z}^{0}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{dz} z^{0}: \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\dot{\mathcal{K}} \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ we have from Eq. $\left(\bar{C}_{-}^{-4}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}}{-} \mathrm{t} \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
F(z)=\underline{4}_{p_{\overline{2}}}^{!_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
k & 1
\end{array}\right)^{k}{ }^{1} ; \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{k} j & 1 \tag{C8}
\end{array}\right):
$$

W e see that, indeed, $F(z) j 1$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{k} j>r_{0}=\frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{}{\frac{p}{\bar{p}^{(k}}{ }^{\left.\frac{\#}{k}\right)}}^{\frac{1}{k 1}} ; \quad(k>1): \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this region the function $u(z)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\quad 2 k \ln f(z) j=\quad 2 k<\ln t(z) ; \quad(\dot{j} j \quad \neq): \tag{C10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $r_{0} \quad 1$, the solution to the $2 d$ Liouville equation $w$ ith boundary conditions $u(z)=0$ on the boundary of the square is given by Eq. (
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## FIGURES

FIG.1. Perturbative $1=g$ correction to the curvature distribution $P(k)$ beyond the $R M T$ result $P_{W D}(k)$. The expression for $P_{\text {reg }}(k)=P(k) \quad P_{W D}(k)$ is given in Eqs. (2, line represents the case of global $T$ boreaking perturbation ( C ase I ). T he solid line represents the case of local T łoreaking perturbation (C ase II).

FIG.2. P lot of the periodic solution $u(x)$ of the Liouville equation Eq. (3 $2 \overline{2} \overline{3})$, that enters the instanton action of Eq. ( $32 \overline{2} 2 \overline{2})$, for the quasi-1D case. The function is de ned on the ring
$\frac{1}{2}<x<\frac{1}{2}$. In the interval $\frac{1}{4}<x<\frac{1}{4}$ the function $u(x)$ is the positive solution of Eq. (327i) $w$ ith the choige $b=0$ and $k$ found from the condition $u(1=4)=0$. In the intervals $\frac{1}{2}<x<\frac{1}{4}$ $u(x)$ is constructed by antisym $m$ etric re ection around the points $\frac{1}{4}$.

FIG.3. De nition dom ain of the periodic solution $u(z)$ of the $L$ iouville equation, Eq. $\left.{ }^{[1(2)} 2 \overline{2} \overline{3}\right)$, for the 2 d case. $T$ he dom ain is a torus $1=2<x ; y<1=2$, is represented here by the large square. Inside the square $w$ th vertices at $z=1=2 ; \quad i=2$ the function $u(z)$ is the realpositive solution of Eq . ( 3 2-23). In the rem aining part of the larger square, $u(z)$ is constructed by anti-sym $m$ etric re ection around the sides of the square and its sign is negative.

F IG . 4. N um ericalresults for $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{W}(k)$ for the $3 d A$ nderson $m$ odelin them etallic regim $e$. $T$ he disorder is $w=12 t$ and the system size is $L=12$. $T$ he curvatures are calculated for case
 $T$ he coe cient $C_{3}$ is taken as a free param eter in the least square tting.

F IG . 5. T he sam e as in $F$ ig. ${ }_{-1}^{14} 1$

FIG.6.N um erical results for the di erence $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{N} D(k)$ for the $3 d A$ nderson $m$ odel of system size $L=12$ at the A ndenson critical point (disorder $W=16: 5$ ). The dashed and solid curves are two one-param eter tting curves provided by by Eq

FIG.7. N um erical results for the di erence $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{N} D(k)$ for the $2 d$ A nderson $m$ odel of di erent system sizes $L=12 ; 16 ; 20 ; 24 ; 30$ and site disorder $W=6$.

FIG.8. N um erical results for the di erence $P(k)=P(k) \quad P_{N} D(k)$ for the $2 d$ A nderson $m$ odel of system size $L=30$ and site disorder $W=6 . T$ he dashed and solid curves are two one-param eter tting curves provided by by Eq, (2, 12) and Eq, (5:
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