

Resistivity in the spin-gap state of the t-J model

Masaru Onda

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo, 113 Japan

Ikuo Ichinose^y

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153 Japan

Tetsuo Matsuzaki

Department of Physics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, 577 Japan

(April 15, 2024)

Being motivated by recent experimental data on YBaCuO, we calculate dc resistivity in the spin-gap state of charge-spin-separated t-J model by using a massive gauge theory of holons and spinons. The result shows $\rho(T)$ deviates downward from the T-linear behavior below the spin-gap onset temperature T_g as $\rho(T)/T \propto (T - T_g)^d$ where the mean field value of d is $1/2$. To achieve smooth deviation from the T-linear behavior, one needs $d > 1$. The deviation becomes reduced with increasing hole doping.

74.25.Fy, 71.27.+a, 71.10.Pm, 11.15.-q

Many quasi-two-dimensional cuprates with high superconducting transition temperature T_c exhibit anomalous metallic behavior above T_c in Hall coefficient, magnetic susceptibility, etc. [1], which calls for a new theoretical explanation, probably in a framework beyond the conventional Fermi-liquid theory. Anderson [2] pointed out that charge-spin separation (CSS) phenomenon may be a possible explanation.

In the t-J model of strongly-correlated electrons, the CSS is naturally described by the slave-boson (SB) (or slave-fermion) mean field theory (MFT). When one incorporates fluctuations of MFT's, they behave as gauge fields coupled to holons and spinons and the system possesses a U(1) gauge symmetry. The CSS can be interpreted as a deconfinement phenomenon of this gauge theory. The system is expected to have a confinement-deconfinement (CD) phase transition and the transition temperature T_{CSS} can be estimated [3], below which the CSS takes place.

The observed T-linear behavior of dc resistivity $\rho(T)$ [4] has been taken as one of signals of the universal anomaly in the metallic phase of high- T_c cuprates. Lee and Nagaosa [5] showed $\rho(T)/T$ for fermions and bosons interacting with a massless gauge field. This system has some relation to the uniform RVB MFT of the t-J model in the SB representation.

Recent experiments on YBaCuO by Ito et al. [6] and others reported that $\rho(T)$ deviates downward from the T-linear behavior below certain temperature T_g ($T_g > T_c$). This T_g coincides with the temperature determined by NMR and neutron experiments [7] at which a spin gap starts to develop. So it is quite interesting to calculate in the spin-gap state of the SB t-J model.

The effective theory used in Ref. [5] is inadequate for this purpose, since it assumes no spin gap, containing only one gauge field associated with the hopping ampli-

tudes of holons and spinons. In Ref. [3], we introduced multiple gauge fields, and argued that, when a spin gap develops, these gauge fields become massive due to a gauge version of Anderson-Higgs mechanism. Below we use an effective gauge field theory of holons and spinons emerged from these considerations and calculate for the region $T_c < T < T_g$ to obtain $\rho(T) \propto (T - T_g)^d$, near T_g where d is the critical exponent of gauge-boson mass, $m_A \propto (T - T_g)^{1/d}$. This reduction reflects the fact that m_A^2 suppresses gauge-field fluctuations and inelastic scatterings between gauge bosons and holons and spinons. The MFT gives $d = 1/2$, but to obtain a more reliable d , one needs to calculate d by renormalization-group method.

The SB t-J Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} t_{xy} \sum_{\sigma} b_x^\dagger f_{x+\hat{y}}^\sigma f_x^\sigma b_y + H.C. + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} f_x^\dagger f_{x+\hat{y}}^\dagger f_{x+\hat{y}} f_x + H.C. \quad (1)$$

f_x is the fermionic spinon operator with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ at site x of a 2d lattice [8], and b_x is the bosonic holon operator. The direction index i ($i = 1, 2$) is used also as unit vectors.

The MFT is obtained by decoupling both t and J terms. For definiteness we follow Ubbens and Lee [9],

$$H_{MF} = \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} \frac{3J}{8} \sum_{\sigma} j_{x+\hat{y}}^\sigma j_x^\sigma + \frac{2}{3J} \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} j_{x+\hat{y}}^\sigma j_x^\sigma + H.C. + \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} \frac{3J}{8} \sum_{\sigma} f_{x+\hat{y}}^\dagger f_x^\dagger + t_{xy} b_{x+\hat{y}}^\dagger b_x + H.C. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} f_x^\dagger f_{x+\hat{y}}^\dagger f_{x+\hat{y}} f_x + H.C. \quad (2)$$

The partition function $Z(\beta) = \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta H_{MF}}]$ in path-integral formalism is given by integrating out two MFT's,

the hopping amplitude, t_{xi} , and RVB amplitude, χ_{xi} defined on the link $\langle x; x+i \rangle$ [10];

$$Z = \int \prod_{\langle x; x+i \rangle} d\chi_{xi} d\psi_{xi} \exp A; \quad A = \sum_x \sum_i \left(\chi_{xi}^\dagger \chi_{xi} + \psi_{xi}^\dagger \psi_{xi} \right) - \sum_{\langle x; x+i \rangle} \chi_{xi}^\dagger \chi_{xi+i} \psi_{xi}^\dagger \psi_{xi+i} \quad (3)$$

In the CSS state ($T < T_{CSS}$), $\chi_{xi} \neq 0$. The spin-gap state may realize in CSS and is characterized by a condensation of χ_{xi} , $\psi_{xi} \neq 0$. Let us parameterize $\chi_{xi} = U_{xi}$, $\psi_{xi} = \exp(iA_{xi})$, $\chi_{xi} = \langle \chi \rangle V_{xi}$, $\psi_{xi} = \exp(iB_{xi})$, assuming uniform RVB. If one ignores phase fluctuations by setting $A_{xi} = B_{xi} = 0$, spinon excitations have the energy $E(k)$, $E^2(k) = f(3J/a^2) \cos^2 k_1 + f_F^2 + f_{\chi}^2 \cos^2 k_2$; where f_F is the chemical potential to ensure $\sum_x \chi_x^\dagger \chi_x + \psi_x^\dagger \psi_x = 1$ (χ is doping). We introduce also ψ_B for $\chi_{xi}^\dagger \chi_{xi} = 1$. There appears a spin gap ($\cos k_1 - \cos k_2$).

Under the gauge transformation, $\chi_x^0 = \exp(i\phi_x) \chi_x$, $\psi_x^0 = \exp(i\phi_x) \psi_x$, the phases of MF's transform as $A_{xi}^0 = A_{xi} + \phi_x - \phi_{x+i}$, $B_{xi}^0 = B_{xi} + \phi_x - \phi_{x+i}$. Their behavior can be studied by the effective lattice gauge theory $A_{LGT}(U; V)$ that is obtained by integrating over χ_x and ψ_x , e.g., by hopping expansion. For $T < T < T_{CSS}$, A_{LGT} contains only U 's interacting via the conventional gauge couplings like $U_{x2} U_{x+21} U_{x+12} U_{x1}$. Their quadratic terms for A_{xi} show that A_{xi} behaves as a massless gauge field. For $T_c < T < T$, develops and new couplings like $U_{x+12}^2 U_{x+21} V_{x2} U_{x1}$ are generated. This gives rise to a mass term of A_{xi} , $m_A^2 A_{xi}^2$ with $m_A^2 = 2/a^2$. Also, the quadratic B_{xi} are also massive.

The holon part A_e^B of the effective low-energy continuum field theory A_e is obtained as

$$A_e^B = \int d^2x \int d\phi \int d\psi \exp \left(- \frac{1}{2m_B} \int d^2x \phi^2 \right); \quad (4)$$

where $D_i = \partial_i - igA_i$ (we introduced the gauge coupling constant $g = 1$ for convenience), and $(2m_B)^{-1} = t/a^2$ (a is the lattice spacing). The spinon part A_e^F may be written as

$$A_e^F = \int d^2x \int d\phi \int d\psi \exp \left(- \frac{1}{2m_F} \int d^2x \phi^2 \right) \exp \left(- \int d^2k \left[S_G(k) f_\psi(k; \phi(k; \psi(k; \psi)) + H \chi; \right] \right); \quad (5)$$

with $(2m_F)^{-1} = 3J/a^2 = 8$. Here we introduced the continuum version of the spin gap

$$S_G(k) = (1 - \hat{j}(T)) \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{k_F^2}; \quad (6)$$

where $k_F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{2m_F}$ is the Fermi momentum of spinons and $k_F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{(1 - \hat{j}(T))} a$ at $T = T_F$ (T_F is the Fermi temperature). In (6), we use the renormalized spin

gap $\hat{j}(T)$ defined as $\chi_{xi} = \langle \chi \rangle \hat{j}(T)$, instead of its MF value to take into account the effect of phase fluctuations of χ_{xi} effectively. Below we calculate the resistivity of the system $A_e = A_e^B + A_e^F$ [11].

The propagator of the gauge field, $D_{ij}(x; y) = \langle A_i(x; y) A_j(0; 0) \rangle$, is generated by fluctuations of spinons and holons, i.e., $D_{ij} = (F + B)_{ij}^{-1}$, where

$$F_{ij}(x; y) = \langle \psi_{ij}(x; y) \psi_{ij}(0; 0) \rangle_{IP} + \langle \chi_{ij}(x; y) \chi_{ij}(0; 0) \rangle_{IP}; \quad (7)$$

representing one-particle-irreducible (1PI) diagrams of spinon and holon loops. $F_{ij} = (2m_F)^{-1} \delta_{ij} f_F + H \chi_{ij}$ and $B_{ij} = (2m_B)^{-1} \delta_{ij} f_B + H \chi_{ij}$ are currents coupled to A_i , and $n_F = (1 - \hat{j})a^2$ and $n_B = \hat{j}a^2$. In the Coulomb gauge, the propagator at momentum q and Matsubara frequency $\omega = 2\pi i$ is written as

$$D_{ij}(q; \omega) = \delta_{ij} \frac{q_i q_j}{q^2} D(q; \omega); \quad D(q; \omega) = f_F(q; \omega) + B(q; \omega) g^{-1}; \quad (8)$$

Since we shall need D later in calculating $\hat{j}(T)$, we obtain D below in the random-phase approximation as $D = (F + B)^{-1}$. When the spin gap is sufficiently small, its effect to F is evaluated by perturbation giving rise to a mass term as discussed above;

$$\frac{F(q; \omega)}{g^2} = \left(\frac{q^2}{12m_F} + \frac{P}{2} \frac{n_F}{q} + \frac{n_F^S(T)}{m_F} \right); \quad j_1 j_2 = v_F q; \quad \frac{n_F}{m_F}; \quad j_1 j_2 = v_F q; \quad (9)$$

We used the relation, $n_F = n_F$ and $v_F = k_F/m_F$. The superfluid density of spinons is calculated for small $S_G(k) = (k_B T)$ as

$$n_F^S(T) = \frac{n_F}{2} \int d^2k \frac{S_G(k)}{2k_B T} = \frac{n_F}{2} \frac{(1 - \hat{j}(T))^2}{2k_B T}; \quad (10)$$

with $k_x = k_y = \tan \theta$ and $k_j = k_F$. F is given by

$$\frac{F(q; \omega)}{g^2} = \left(\frac{f_B(j_B \omega)}{24} \frac{q^2}{m_B} + \frac{P}{2} \frac{n_B}{q} + \frac{n_B^S(T)}{m_B} \right); \quad j_1 j_2 = \frac{n_B}{m_B} q; \quad (11)$$

where $f_B(\omega) = \exp(-\omega)$. Eqs.(9,11) above are obtained for small q ($\omega = a$). For large q 's, they should be replaced by anisotropic expressions due to $S_G(k)$. These anisotropy can be ignored as long as the spin gap is sufficiently small.

From the linear-response theory and Ioffe-Larkin formula [12], the dc conductivity ($\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22}$) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{ij} &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^2}{i} \tilde{\chi}_{ij}(q; i); \\ \tilde{\chi}_{ij}(q;) &= \tilde{\chi}_F^{-1}(q;) + \tilde{\chi}_B^{-1}(q;)^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}$, $\tilde{\chi}_{F,B}$ are response functions of electron, spinon, and holon, respectively. So one has $\chi^{-1} = \chi_B^{-1} + \chi_F^{-1}$.

In the spin-gap state, the spinon conductivity diverges $\chi_F \rightarrow \infty$ due to a superflow generated by RVB condensation $\chi_{xi} \neq 0$. This is an analog of the well-known fact in the BCS theory that the electron resistivity vanishes below T_c due to a superflow generated by Cooper-pair condensation. Actually, A_e^F has the same structure as the BCS model. Thus the total resistivity χ^{-1} in the spin-gap state is equal to the resistivity of holons, χ_B^{-1} . Effects of spinons to χ certainly exist and show up through the dressed propagator $D(q; i)$ in calculating $\tilde{\chi}_B$.

Now we calculate the response function $\tilde{\chi}_B$. By solving the Schwinger-Dyson equation approximately following the steps in Ref. [13], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{Bij}(0; i) &= \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{q_i q_j}{m_B^2} R_B(q; n; i); \\ R_B(q; n; i) &= \frac{i_1}{i_1 - i_{1B}(q; n; i)} \tilde{B}(q; n; i); \\ R_B(q; n; i) &= G_B(q; n) G_B(q; n + i); \\ G_B(q; n) &= i_n \frac{q^2}{2m_B} + \tilde{B}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$\tilde{B}(q; n; i)$, representing diagrams containing self-energy of holons, $\tilde{B}(q; n)$, is necessary to keep gauge invariance,

$$\tilde{B}(q; n; i) = R_B^{-1}(q; n; i) f_B(q; n) G_B^2(q; n) - \tilde{B}(q; n + i) G_B^2(q; n + i) g; \quad (14)$$

However, in the perturbative calculation, this combination vanishes in the dc limit by the symmetry under summations. We expect this term does not contribute to the dc resistivity, and neglect it hereafter.

$\tilde{B}(q; n; i)$, representing vertex diagrams, contributes to $\tilde{\chi}_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(q; n; i) &= \frac{g}{m_B} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 q^0}{(2\pi)^2} \\ &\quad \frac{q_i (q^0 - q_j^0) q_j^2}{j^0 - q_j^0} \frac{q_i (q_i - q_j)}{q^2} \\ &\quad D(q^0 - q_j^0; n^0 - n) R_B(q^0; n^0; i); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $q = q^0 \hat{q} + q_{\parallel} \hat{q}_{\parallel}$. We set q of \tilde{B} in \tilde{B} to a fixed vector of typical length q_B , $q_B^2 \approx 8 n_B$ [14]. We also fix the length of q^0 of D in (15) be q_B .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(q_B; n; i) &= \frac{g^2 q_B^2}{8 m_B^2} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 \sin^2}{n^0} \\ &\quad \frac{D(q_B; n)}{2(1 - \cos \theta)}; \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^Z \frac{dE}{i n^0} \frac{1}{E i n^0 + i_1} \frac{1}{E}; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We consider the underdoped region, $n_B \ll n_F$ ($i_1 \ll n_B$); and temperatures around $T \approx n_B = m_B$. Assuming that $D(q; i)$ in \tilde{B} dominates in the region near the static limit $i_1 = 0$, we use the upper expressions in (9,11). In the denominator of D , the dissipation term, $\sum_{j=1}^Z j_1 j = q_{\parallel}^2$, $\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n_F} + \frac{1}{n_B}$, is larger than the q^2 term, $q^2 = (2\pi m)^2$, $m^{-1} = m_F^{-1} + f_B(j_B j) = (2m_B)^2$, as long as $i_1 \ll 0$, since their ratio is small, $(q_B^2 = m) = f_B(n_B) g \ll 0$ ($n_B = n_F$).

So the n^0 -sum is dominated at $n^0 = n$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(q_B; n; i) &= \frac{3m}{4 m_B} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 \sin^2}{(1 - \cos \theta) + \frac{3m n_F^S(T)}{4m_F n_B}} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2 i_1} f \text{sgn}(n + i) - \text{sgn}(n) g + O(i_1^0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2 i_1 (T)} f \text{sgn}(n + i) - \text{sgn}(n) g \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(T)} &= \frac{3m}{2m_B} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 \sin^2}{1 + \frac{3m n_F^S(T)}{4m_F n_B}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3m n_F^S(T)}{4m_F n_B}} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 \sin^2}{1} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

To calculate $\tilde{\chi}_{Bij}(0; i)$ we insert (17) into (13) and do the q -integral and n -sum as in (15) to get

$$\tilde{\chi}_{Bij}(0; i) = \frac{n_B}{m_B} \frac{i_1}{C(T) i_1 + i^{-1}(T)}; \quad (19)$$

where $\lim_{i_1 \rightarrow 0} C(T)$ is finite.

After analytic continuation $i_1 > 0$ and using (10), we finally obtain the resistivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(T) &= \frac{m_B}{e^2 n_B} \frac{1}{i} \sum_n \frac{d^2 \sin^2}{(T)} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \frac{3m (1 - \cos \theta)}{4m_F} \frac{1}{2k_B T} + O(n_F^S): \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For $T < T < T_{CSS}$, $n_F^S(T) = 0$ and this result reproduces the T -linear behavior of Ref. [5]. For T near and below T , one expects the behavior $f(T)/T \sim T^d$, with a critical exponent d , and we have $\rho(T)/T \sim T^{d+1}$. Note also that the downward deviation of $\rho(T)$ from the T -linear behavior is reduced with increasing the doping. In Fig.1, we plot $\rho(T)$ of (20) with

various values of d . The MFT value $d = 1/2$ is not consistent with the experiment. To achieve smooth deviation from the T -linear behavior, one needs $d > 1$. This suggests that fluctuation effect of phases of ψ_i is important to obtain a realistic curve of $\rho(T)$. One could produce a reliable curve of $\rho(T)$ by inserting experimental data of $\rho(T)$ into (20), but the available experimental data are not enough for this purpose.

The data [6] show that one may fit in a form $C_0 + C_1 T$ for $T < T_c$. This implies spinon contribution to ρ , calculated as $\rho_F^1 / T^{4/3} = n_F$ [5] [13], is negligibly small compared with $\rho_B^1 / T = n_B$ due to higher power in T and a small coefficient. $\rho_F^1 = 0$ for $T < T_c$ as explained, but the discontinuity at $T = T_c$ in ρ_F^1 is not observable due to its smallness.

The constant part C_0 , surviving below T_c , may be attributed to scatterings of charged holons with impurities. They may be described by $H_{\text{imp}} = \sum_x V_x b_x^\dagger b_x$, where V_x is a random potential. Actually, standard calculations show that it generates T -independent contribution to ρ , $\rho_B^1 / T = n_B$ at intermediate T 's [15].

As T goes very low, ρ_F^R is dominated by the mass term, $\rho_F^R(q; 1) = q^2 / n_F^S(T) = m_F^R$; while ρ_B^R does not change. The Landau damping term from holons is smaller than the mass term above. This case has been studied in Ref. [13], giving the result ρ_B^1 / T^2 . Thus, in $\rho(T)$, weak-localization effect by impurities, $\rho_{WL} = C_{WL} \log(T)$; dominates over inelastic scatterings by gauge bosons. This situation is in contrast with the effective gauge theory of two-dimensional electrons at half-filled Landau level, in which the transverse mode of Chern-Simons gauge field remains massless down to $T = 0$ and renormalizes C_{WL} [16].




FIG. 1. Plot of the resistivity $\rho(T)$ divided by $\rho_0 = 2k_B T m_F / (e^2 n_F)$ for $\rho(T) = \rho_0 (1 - T/T_c)^d$ ($d = 1/2; 1; 2$). For definiteness we chose $\delta = 0.05$, $\rho_0 = 2k_B T_c$ and $3m_F = (4m_F) = 0.5$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. Onoda thanks the Japan society for the promotion of science for financial support.

- Electronic address: onoda@cm.sphys.su-tokyo.ac.jp
 y Electronic address: ikuo@hepl.cu-tokyo.ac.jp
 z Electronic address: matsui@phys.kindai.ac.jp
- [1] See, e.g., T. Nishikawa et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 1441 (1994); J. Takeda et al., Physica C 231, 293 (1994); H. Y. Hwang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2636 (1994).
 - [2] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1839 (1990).
 - [3] I. Ichinose and T. Matsui, Nucl. Phys. B 394, 281 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 51, 11860 (1995). N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 4210, also studied a CD transition of certain dissipative gauge theory of fermions.
 - [4] M. Gurvitch and A. T. Fiory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1337 (1987).
 - [5] P. A. Lee and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 46, 5621 (1992).
 - [6] T. Ito, K. Takenaka and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3995 (1993).
 - [7] H. Yasuoka et al., "Strong Correlation and Superconductivity", ed. H. Fukuyama et al. (Springer Series, Berlin, 1989) p. 254; M. Takigawa et al., Phys. Rev. B 43, 247 (1991); J. Rossat-Mignot et al., Physica 185-189C, 86 (1991); J. M. Tranquada et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 5561 (1992).
 - [8] Although we do explicit calculations in two dimensions, we keep in mind that weak but finite three dimensionality is necessary to stabilize the spin gap.
 - [9] M. J. Ubbens and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 46, 8434 (1992); ibid. B 49, 6853 (1994).
 - [10] To reproduce the exact Z , one must add extra two-body terms in the action, whose effects are neglected below as in usual MFT. They reduce T_{CSS} as δ increases. Also we shall ignore the δ -dependence of ψ_i and ψ_i .
 - [11] The SB local constraint can be incorporated by inserting the time-component A_0 of gauge field in (4, 5). However, its fluctuation effects are negligible at $T < T_{CSS}$ since they are short-ranged.
 - [12] L. B. Jo and I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. B 39, 8988 (1989).
 - [13] M. Onoda, I. Ichinose, and T. Matsui, Phys. Rev. B 54, 13674 (1996).
 - [14] This q_F is determined so that this procedure applied for a similar integral, (15) with $D = 1$, gives rise to the exact result.
 - [15] See also P. A. Lee and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3755 (1997).
 - [16] D. V. Khveshchenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 362 (1996).