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#### Abstract

W e study the critical relaxation of the two-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel from a fully ordered con guration by series expansion in tim e $t$ and by $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation. B oth the $m$ agnetization ( $m$ ) and energy series are obtained up to 12th order. An accurate estim ate from series analysis for the dynam ical critical exponent $z$ is di cult but com patible $w$ ith 22. W e also use $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation to determ ine an e ective exponent, $z_{e} \quad(t)=\frac{1}{8} d \ln t=d \ln m$, directly from a ratio of three-spin correlation to $m$. Extrapolation to $t!1$ leads to an estim ate $z=2: 169 \quad 0: 003$.


PACS num ber(s) : $05.50 .+q, 05.70 \mathrm{Jk}, 02.70 \mathrm{Lq}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The pure relaxational dynam ics of the kinetic Ising model w ith no conserved elds, which is designated as
 studied extensively by various approaches. U nlike som e of the other models in which the dynam ical critical exponent $z$ can be related to the static exponents, it seem $s$ that $z$ ofm odelA is independent of the static exponents (how ever, see $R$ ef. 偪]). In the past twenty years, the num erical estim ates for the dynam ical critical exponent z scattered a lot, but recent studies seem to indicate a convergence of estim ated values. O ur studies contribute further to this trend.

W e review brie y som e of the previous work on the com putation of the dynam icalcriticalexponents, concentrating m ostly on the tw o-dim ensional Ising model. T he conventional theory predicts $z=2$ [' critical exponent in the two-point correlation function, $G(r) / r^{d+2}$. For the two-dim ensional Ising model, this gives $z=1: 75$. It is known that this is only a lower bound $\left[\overline{4}^{1}\right]$. It is very interesting to note that series expansions $[\underline{T}[1]$ d gave one of the earliest quantitative estim ates of $z$. D am $m$ ann and $R$ eger [ [ld] have the longest hightem perature series ( 20 term s) for the relaxation tim es so far, obtaining $z=2: 183 \quad 0: 005$. H ow ever, re-analysis of the series by $A$ dler $\left[11_{1}^{1}\right]$ gives $z=2: 165 \quad 0: 015 . T$ here are tw o types of eld-theoretic renorm alization group analysis: the expansion near dim ension $d=4\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[121} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and an interface $m$ odel near $d=1\left[{ }^{[14} 4_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. It is not clear how reliable $w$ hen it is interpolated to $d=2$. Real-space renor-
$m$ alization group ofvarious schem es has been proposed in the early eighties [15 \{1 $\left.]_{1}\right]$, but it appears that there are controversies as whether som e of the schem es are wellde ned. T he results are not of high accuracy com pared to other $m$ ethods. D ynam ic $M$ onte $C$ arlo renorm alization group [ ${ }^{-1}\left\{\left[2 \mathcal{N}^{2}\right]\right.$ is a generalization of the equilibrium $M$ onte $C$ arlo renorm alization group $m$ ethod [23]. The latest work [2] gives $z=2: 13 \quad 0: 01$ in two dim ensions. Equilibrium $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod is one of the standard $m$ ethods to estim ate $z$ [2 tions ( $t \quad L^{z}$ ) are needed for su cient statistical accuracy of the tim e-displaced correlation functions. T he analysis is quite di cult due to unknown nature of the correlation functions. $N$ onequilibrium relaxation [29, $\left[34_{1}^{1}\right]$, starting from a com pletely ordered state at $T_{c}$, has nige features. T he analysis of data is $m$ ore or less straightfor$w$ ard. The lattige can be $m$ ade very large, so that nitesize e ect can be ignored (for $t \quad \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{z}}$ ). The catch here is that correction to scaling due to nite t is large. Recently, the idea ofdam age spreading [35 \{i3d] has also been em ployed. M ethods based on statistical errors in equilibrium $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation [401], nite-size scaling of nonequilibrium relaxation [41~1 ing of the eigenvalues of the stochastic $m$ atrix [43] are used to com pute the exponent. A recent calculation w ith a variance-reducing $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm for the leading eigenvalues gives prediction [44ㄴ․․] $\mathrm{z}=2: 1665 \quad 0: 0012$. $T$ his appears to be the $m$ ost precise value reported in the literature.

T he high-tem perature series expansions for the relaxation tim es are often used in the study of Ising dynam ics. In this paper, we present a new series which directly corresponds to the $m$ agnetization (or energy) relaxation at the critical tem perature. O ur series expansion $m$ ethod appears to be the only work which uses time $t$ as an expansion param eter. T he generation of these series is
 tioned in Section 'IV', form s the basis of the analysis, and the results are analyzed in Section N: W e feel that the series are still too short to capture the dynam ics at the scaling regim e. W e also report results of an extensive $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation for the $m$ agnetization relaxation. W e nd that it is advantageous to com pute an e ective dynam ical critical exponent directly w ith the help of the goveming $m$ aster equation (or the rate equation). T he
sim ulation and analysis of M onte C arlo data are presented in Section $\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{7}}$. W e sum $m$ arize and conclude in Section iV.

## II. SERIESEXPANSION METHOD

In this section, we introduce the relevant notations, and outline our $m$ ethod of series expansion in tim e variable $t$. The form ulation of single-spin dynam ics has already been worked out by $G$ lauber $\left[45_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, and by Y ahata and Suzuki [5] long time ago. To our know ledge, all the previous series studies for Ising dynam ics ${ }_{\underline{T}}^{[1] d]}$ are based on high-tem perature expansions of som e correlation tim es. As we will see, expansion in $t$ is simple in structure, and it o ers at least a useful altemative for the study of Ising relaxation dynam ics.

W e consider the standard Ising $m$ odel on a square lattice [4] ] w ith the energy of a con guration given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E()=J_{h i ; j i}^{X} i j i \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the spin variables $i$ take $1, J$ is the coupling constant, and the sum $m$ ation runs over all nearest neighbor pairs. The therm al equilibrium value of an observable $f($ ) at tem perature $T$ is com puted according to the Boltzm ann distribution,

The equilibrium statisticalm echanical model de ned above has no intrinsic dynam ics. A local stochastic dynam ics can be given and realized in $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations $\left[4 \psi_{1}\right]$. The dynam ics is far from unique; in particular, cluster dynam ics [ 48 ] ] di ens vastly from the local ones.

A sequence ofM onte $C$ arlo updates can be view ed as a discrete $M$ arkov process. T he evolution of the probability distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(; k+1)={ }_{0}^{X} P\left({ }^{0} ; k\right) W\left({ }^{0} j\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $W$ is a transition $m$ atrix satisfying the stationary condition $w$ ith respect to the equilibrium distribution, i.e., $P_{\text {eq }}=P_{\text {eq }} W$. A continuous tim e description is $m$ ore convenient for analytic treatm ent. $T$ his can be obtained by xing $t=k=N$, and letting $t=1=N \quad 0$, where $N=$ $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ is the num ber of spins in the system. The resulting di erentialequation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P(; t)}{@ t}=P(; t) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a linear operator acting on the vector $P(; t)$, which can be view ed as a vector ofdim ension $2^{N}$, indexed
by . Ifw e use the single-spin- ip $G$ lauber dynam ics $[4 \overline{4}-1]$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
=X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} w_{j}\left({ }_{j}\right)+{ }_{j=1}^{X^{N}} w_{j}(\quad j) F_{j} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}(j)=\frac{1}{2} 1 \quad j \tanh K_{n n \text { of } j}^{X} \quad ; K=\frac{J}{k_{B} T} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{j}$ is a ip operator such that

The ip rate $w_{j}(j)$ for site $j$ depends on the spin value at the site $j$ as well as the values of its nearest neighbor spins $k$.

T he fullprobability distribution clearly contains all the dynam ic properties of the system. U nfortunately its high dim ensionality is di cult to handle. It can be shown from the $m$ aster equation, Eq. (4, $\mathbf{I}^{-1}$ ), that any function of the state (w ithout explicit $t$ dependence) obeys the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h f i_{t}}{d t}=h L f \dot{f} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} W_{j}\left(j_{j}\right)\left(1 \quad F_{j}\right) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the average of $f$ at time $t$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h f i_{t}={ }^{X} f() P(; t): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that the time dependence of hfi is only due to P ( ; t). For the series expansion of this work, it is sufcient do look at a special class of functions of the form ${ }^{A}={ }^{\text {we have }}{ }^{j 2 A} \quad j$, where $A$ is a set of sites. In such a case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h^{A} i_{t}}{d t}=2_{j 2 A}^{X} w_{j}(j)^{A}{ }_{t}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith this set of equations, we can com pute the $n$-th derivative of the average $m$ agnetization $h i_{t}$. A form al solution to Eq. (\%) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{A} i_{t}=e^{\text {Lt A }}=X_{n=0}^{X} \frac{(L t)^{n}}{n!} A_{0}^{A} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation or equivalently the rate equation, Eq. (1]in $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, form $s$ the basis of our series expansion in tim $e t$.

A few words on high-tem perature expansions are in order here. They are typically done by integrating out
the tim e dependence| the nonlinear relaxation tim e can be de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{n l}{\mathrm{~A} 1}=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dte}^{\mathrm{Lt} A}=\mathrm{hL}^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{i}_{0}: ~}_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium correlation tim e (linear relaxation tim e) can be expressed as
where $=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\text {eq }}$ is the reduced static susceptibility. $T$ he average is $w$ ith respect to the equilibrium distribution, $P_{\text {eq }}()$. A suitable expansion in sm all param eter $J=k_{B} T$ can be $m$ ade by writing $L=L_{0}+L$.

It is clear that we can also perform the K aw asakidynam ics with a corresponding rate. $O$ f course, since the m agnetization is conserved, only energy and higher order correlations can relax.

A very convenient form for the $G$ lauber transition rate, Eq. (G) , on a two-dim ensional square lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{4} \tanh 2 \mathrm{~K} \quad \frac{1}{8} \tanh 4 \mathrm{~K} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}=+\frac{1}{4} \tanh 2 \mathrm{~K} \quad \frac{1}{8} \tanh 4 \mathrm{~K} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the site 0 is the center site, and sites $1,2,3$, and 4 are the nearest neighbors $\beta$ fthe center site. A the critical tem perature, $\tanh K_{c}=\overline{2} \quad 1$, we have $x=\overline{5} \overline{2}=24$ and $y={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=24$.

## III. COMPUTER IM PLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

A series expansion in $t$ am ounts to nding the derivatives evaluated at $t=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{A} i_{t}=X_{n=0}^{A} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n} h^{A} i}{d t^{n}} \quad: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he derivatives are com puted using Eq. (1-11) recursively. A general function is coded in C program $m$ ing language to nd the right-hand side ofEq. ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) when the con guration ${ }^{A}$, or the set A, is given. Thē set A is represented

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{0}(0)=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}} 1+\mathrm{x}_{0}\left(\mathrm{l}_{1}+2_{2}+{ }_{3}+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{Y} 0\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
1 & 2 & 3^{+} & 2 & 3 & 4^{+} & 3 & 4 & 1^{+} & 4 & 1
\end{array} 2^{\prime}\right) \text {; (15) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{h m(0) m(t) i_{\text {eq }}}{h m(0)^{2} i_{\text {eq }}} d t=X_{j}^{X_{1}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{0} e^{L t}} j^{i_{e q}}= \\
& =\frac{1}{}^{X} h_{0} L^{1}{ }_{j} i_{e q} \text { : } \\
& \text { j }
\end{aligned}
$$

as a list of coordinates constructed in an ordered $m$ anner. By specializing the ip rate as given by Eq. (15), and considering each site in $A$ in tum, the con gurations on the right-hand side of the rate equation are generated in three ways: (1) the sam e con guration as A, which contributes a factor (ooe cient of a term) of 1 ; (2) a set of con gurations generated by introducing a pair of nearest neighbor sites in fourpossible directions, w ith one of the sites being the site in A under consideration, and m aking use of the fact ${ }_{i}^{2}=1$. We notice that the site in A under consideration alw ays gets annihilated. Each resulting con guration contributes a factor of $x$; and (3) sam e as in (2) but tw o m ore sites which are also the nearest neighbors of the site in A under consideration are introduced. This tw o extra sites form a line penpendicular to the line joined by the rst pair of neighbor sites in (2). Each of this con guration has a factor of $y$. It is instructive to w rite down the rst rate equation, taking into account of the lattice symmetry (e.g. $h_{i} i=h{ }_{0} i^{\prime}$, for alli) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h h_{0}}{d t}=(1+4 x) h_{0 i} \quad 4 y h_{1} 23 i: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The core of the com puter im plem entation for series expansion [4][ is a symbolic representation of the rate equations. Each rate equation is represented by a node together w ith a list of pointers to other nodes. Each node represents a fiunction $h^{A} i$, and is characterized by the set of spins A. T he node contains pointers to the derivatives of this node obtained so far, and pointers to the \children" of this node and their associated coe cients, which form a sym bolic representation of the rate equations. The derivatives are represented as polynom ials in $y$. Since each node is linked to other nodes, the com putation of the $n$-th derivative can be thought of as expanding a tree (w ith arbitrary num ber ofbranches) of depth $n$.

The traversal or expansion of the tree can be done in a depth-rst fashion or a breadth- rst fashion. E ach has a di erent com putational com plexity. A sim ple depthrst traversal requires only a sm allam ount ofm em ory of order n . H ow ever, the tim e com plexity is at least exponential, $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}$, w ith a large base b. A breadth - rst algorithm consum es $m$ em ory exponentially, even after the num ber of the rate equations has been reduced by taking the sym $m$ etry of the problem into account. T he idea of dynam ic program $m$ ing can be incorporated in the breadthrst expansion where the interm ediate results are stored and referred. To achieve the best perform ance, a hybrid of strategies is used to reduce the com putational com plexity:

Each con guration (pattem) is transform ed into its canonicalrepresentation, since allcon gurations related by lattice sym $m$ etry are considered as the sam e con guration.

W e use breadth- rst expansion to avoid repeated com putations involving the sam e con guration. If
a con guration has already appeared in earlier expansion, a pointer reference is $m$ ade to the old conguration. Each con guration is stored in $m$ em ory only once. H ow ever, storing of all the distinct congurations leads to a very fast grow th in $m$ em ory consum ption.

The last few generations in the tree expansion use a simple depth-rst traversal to curb the problem ofm em ory explosion.

Parallel com putation proves to be useful. The longest series is obtained by a cluster of 16 Pen tium Pro PCsw ith high speed netw ork connection (known as Beow ulf).

The program is controlled by tw o param eters D and C.D is the depth of breadth- rst expansion of the tree. $W$ hen depth $D$ is reached, we no longerwant to continue the norm al expansion in order to conserve $m$ em ory. Instead, we consider each leave node afresh as the root of a new tree. The derivatives up to ( $n \quad D$ ) th order are com puted for this leave node. T he expansion of the leave nodes are done in serial, so that the $m$ em ory resource can be reused. The param eter $C$ controls the num ber of last C generations which should be com puted w ith a sim ple depth- rst expansion algorithm. It is a sim ple recursive counting algorithm, which uses very little $m$ em ory, and can run fast if the depth $C$ is not very large. In this algorithm the lattice sym $m$ etry is not treated. T he best choice of param eters is $D=6$ and $C=2$ on a DEC A lphaStation 250/266. The computer tim e and $\mathrm{mem}-$ ory usage are presented in Table As we can see from the table, each new order requires $m$ ore than a factor of ten CPU tim e and about the sam efactor form em ory if mem ory is not reused. This is the case until the order $D+C+1$, where no fresh leave-node expansion is $m$ ade. $T$ here is a big jump (a factor of 60) in CPU tim efrom 9th order to 10-th order, but w ith a m uch sm aller increase in $m$ em ory usage. This is due to the change of expansion strategy. Finally the longest 12 -th order series is obtained by parallel com putation on a 16-node P entium P ro 200 M Hz cluster in 12 days. The num ber of distinct nodes generated to order $n$ is roughly $\frac{1}{100} 11^{n}$. To 12 -th order, we have exam ined about $10^{10}$ distinct nodes. T he series data are listed in Table

## IV.DYNAM ICALSCALING

The traditional $m$ ethod of determ ining the dynam ical critical exponent $z$ is to consider the tim e-displaced equilibrium correlation functions. H ow ever, one can altematively look at the relaxation tow ards them al equilibration. The basic assum ption is the algebraic decay of the $m$ agnetization at $T_{c}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{o i}=m \quad c t=z ; \quad t!1: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This scaling law can be obtained intuitively as follows. Since the relaxation time and the correlation length are related through / ${ }^{z}$ by de nition, after time $t$, the equilibrated region is of size $t^{1=z}$. Each of such region is independent of the others, so the system behaves as a nite system of linear length $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{z}$. A ccording to nite-size scaling $\left.{ }^{[5} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, them agnetization is oforder on a nite system of length. Each region should have the sam e sign for the $m$ agnetization since we started the system w ith allspins pointing in the sam edirection. T he totalm agnetization is equalto that of a correlated region, giving $m / t=z$.

The sam e relation can be derived from a $m$ ore general scaling assum ption [5]in,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t ;) \quad\left(t^{z}\right) ; \quad=\frac{T}{T_{c}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By requiring that $m$ ( $t$; ) is still nite as the scaling ar-


Equation (2 $\mathbf{2 d}^{(1)}$ ) is only true asym ptotically for large $t$. It seem $s$ that there is no theory conceming leading correction to the scaling. A s a w orking hypothesis, we assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \quad c t=z(1+b t): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation results as well as current series analysis seem to support this $w$ ith near 1 . O ther possibility $m$ ight be $z=2 w$ th logarithm ic correction [52'].

## V.ANALYSIS OF SERIES

A generalm ethod for extending the range of convergence of series is the $P$ ade analysis $[5$ is approxim ated by a ratio of two polynom ials. We rst look at the poles and zeros of the $P$ ade approxim ants in variable $s=t=(t+1)$ for $m$. Since $t$ varies in the range of $[0 ; 1)$, it is easier to look at s , which m aps the interval $[0 ; 1)$ to $[0 ; 1) . T$ here are clusters of zeros and poles in the s-interval $(1 ; 2)$ which corresponds to negative $t$. But interval $[0 ; 1$ ) is clear of singularities, which gives us hope for analytic continuation to the whole interval $[0 ; 1)$. If we assum $e$ the asym ptotic behavior $m / t^{a}$, then $d \ln m=d t=\quad a=t \quad a(1 \quad s)$ for large tor $s!1$. $T$ hism eans that the $P$ ade approxim ant should give a zero around $s=1$. We do observe zeros near 1. But it is typically a pair of zeros o the realaxis together w ith a pole at the real axis near 1 , or som etim es, only a pair of real zeros. T hese com plications $m$ ake a quantitative analysis di cult.

Since we know the exact singular point (corresponding to $t=1$ ), we use the biased estim ates by considering the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\frac{d \ln m}{d \ln t} \quad-z: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

An e ective exponent $z_{e}$ ( $t$ ) is de ned by $z_{e}$ ( $t$ ) = $=(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t}))=1=(8 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{t}))$.
A gain we prefer to use the variable $s$ to bring the innity to a nite value 1. D ue to an invariance theorem $[531]$, the diagonalp ade approxim ants in $s$ and $t$ are equal exactly. For o -diagonal Pade approxim ants, $s$ is m ore useful since the approxim ants do not diverge to in nity.
$W$ e use $m$ ethods sim ilar to that ofD ickm an et al. [5] and Adler $\left[11_{1}^{1}\right]$. The general idea is to transform the function $m$ ( $t$ ) into other functions which one hopes to be better behaved than the original function. In particular, we require that ast! 1 , the function approaches a constant related to the exponent $z$. T he rst transform ation is the Eq. (23). A second fam ily of transform ations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p}(t)=\frac{d \ln { }_{0}^{R_{t}} \mathrm{~m}\left(t^{0}\right)^{p} d t^{0}}{d \ln t} \quad 1 \quad \frac{p}{8 z} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherep is a realpositive num ber. O ne can show that the two functions are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\frac{1}{p} \quad G_{p}(t) \quad 1+\frac{d \ln G_{p}(t)}{d \ln t} \quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=F(t)+\frac{1}{t} t \frac{d F}{d t} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is an adjustable param eter, and $F$ can also be replaced by $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$. If the leading correction to the constant part is of the form $t$, the transform ation $w$ illelim inate this correction term.
$T$ he transform ation of the independent variable $t$ to other variable is im portant to im prove the convergence of the $P$ ade approxim ants. $W$ e found that it is usefiul to consider a generalization of the Euler transform,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=1 \frac{1}{(1+t)}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The param eter is adjusted in such a way to get best convergence am ong the approxim ants. Since fort! 1 or u! 1, a P ade approxim ant near $u=1$ is an analytic function in $u$, which im plies that the leading correlation is of the form $t$. N ote that $=1$ corresponds to the Euler transform ation ( $u=s$ when $=1$ ).

O ne of the fundam ental di culties of the transform ation $m$ ethod is that one does not know a priori that a certain transform ation is better than others. W orst still, we can easily get $m$ isleading apparent convergence am ong di erent approxim ants. Thus, we need to be very carefil in interpreting our data. Speci cally, we found that Eq. (23) gives less satisfactory result than that of Eq. (24), where the independent variable $t$ is transform ed into u according to Eq . (2-1) . F igure ${ }_{1}^{1}$ 1 is a plot of all the P ade approxim ants of order $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D}]$, w ith $\mathrm{N} \quad 4, \mathrm{D}$ 4, and $N+D \quad 12$, as a function of the param eter, for $\mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathrm{t}=1)$. Good convergence is obtained at $=1: 217$ w ith $z \quad 2: 170$. The estim ates $z$ vary only slightly $w$ th
$p$, at about 0.005 as $p$ varies from 0.5 to 2 . U sing $F(t)$ of Eq. (23'), the optim al value is $=1: 4 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{z} 2: 26$. $U$ sing the function $H$ does not seem to im prove the convergence. Even though the value 2.170 seem $s$ to be a very good result, we are unsure of its signi cance since there are large deviations of the P ade approxim ation to the function $F(t)$ for $1=t<0.2$ from the $M$ onte $C$ arlo result of F ig.

An ob jective error estim ate is di cult to give. Esti$m$ ates from the standard deviation of the approxim ants tend to give a very sm all error but incom patible am ong di erent $m$ ethods of analysis. D i erent P ade approxin ants are de nitely not independent; we found that $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D}]$ Pade is alm ost equal to $\mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{N}]$ Pade to a high precision. A conservative error we quote from the series analysis is 0.1.

A nalysis of the energy serieps is carried out sim ilarly With m replaced by $\mathrm{h} 0 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \overline{2}=2$, where the constant
$\overline{2}=2$ is the equiliorium value. T he large $t$ asym ptotic behavior is ${ }^{1=z}\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. B oth $F$ and $G$ functions give com parable results, better convergence is obtained for $>1$. $T$ he value for $z$ is about 22, but good crossing of the approxim ants are not observed. We feel better analysis $m$ ethod or longer series is needed.

## VI.M ONTECARLOSIMULATION

Ourm otivation for a M onte C arlo calculation was to check the series result. It tums out that the data are su ciently accurate to be discussed in their own right. Such an im proved accuracy is achieved by using Eq. (1-9), which perm its a direct evaluation of the e ective exponent $z_{e}(t)$.

W e com pute the m agnetization $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}$, energy per bond $h_{0} 1_{1}$, and the three-spin correlation $\mathrm{m}_{3}=$ $h_{1} 23^{i} w$ here the three spins are the nearest neighbors of a center site having one of the neighborm issing in the product. W ith these quantities, the logarithm ic derivative, Eq. (23), can be com puted exactly w ithout resorting to nite di erences. From Eq. (19-1) we can write (at $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\frac{d \ln m}{d \ln t}=\quad t 1+\frac{p_{2}}{6} \frac{m_{3}}{m} \quad 5=\frac{1}{8 z_{e}(t)}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation also de nes the e ective exponent $z_{e}$ ( $t$ ) which should approach the true exponent $z$ ast! 1 。

The estim ates for the e ective exponent based on the ratio of one spin to three-spin correlation, E q. (28), have sm aller statistical errors in com parison to a nite di erence schem ebased on $m(t)$ and $m$ ( $t+1$ ). E rror propagation analysis shows that the latter has an error 5 tim es larger. B oth $m$ ethods su er from the sam e problem that
error $z / t . T h u s$, w orking $w$ ith very large $t$ does not necessarily lead to any advantage.

In order to use Eq. (28'), we need exactly the same ip rate as in the analytic calculations, nam ely the G lauber rate, E q. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$. T he continuous tim e dynam ics corresponds to a random selection of a site in each step. Sequential or checker-board updating cannot directly be com pared w th the analytic results. H ow ever, it is believed that the dynam ical critical exponent $z$ does not depend on the details of the dynam ics.

W e note that a M onte C arlo sim ulation is precisely described by a discrete $M$ arkov process while the series expansion is based on the continuous $m$ aster equation. H ow ever, the approach to the continuous lim it should be very fast since it is controlled by the system size| the discreteness in time is $1=\mathrm{L}^{2}$. W e have used a sys tem of $10^{4} \quad 10^{4}$, which is su ciently large. A part from the above consideration, we also checked nite-size e ect. $C$ learly, as $t>L^{z}$, nite-size e ect begins to show up. W e start the system w ith all spins up, $\mathrm{m}(0)=1$, and follow the system to $t=99$. For $t<100$, we did not nd any system atic nite-size e ect for $L \quad 10^{3}$. So the nite-size e ect at $L=10^{4}$ and $t<100$ can be safely ignored.

Figure show s the M onte C arlo result for the e ective exponent as a function of $1=t$. The quantities $m, m_{3}$, and $h_{0}$ i i are averaged over 1868 runs, each $w$ th a system of $10^{8}$ spins. The total am ount of spin updating is com parable to the longest runs reported in the literature. B ased on a least-squares $t$ from $t=30$ to 99 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=2: 169 \quad 0: 003: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he error is obtained from the standard deviation of few groups of independent runs. A $n$ error estim ate based on the residues in the linear least-squares $t$ is only half of the above value, which is understandable since the points in Fig.

In Fig. ${ }_{1} \mathbf{i}$, we also plot a series result for the $F_{-}(t)$, obtained from the $[6,6] \mathrm{P}$ ade of $\mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathrm{u})$ and Eq. $\left[\overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Substantial deviations are observed for $1=t<0: 2$, even though in the $1=t$ ! 0 lim it, both results are alm ost the sam e. This casts som e doubts on the reliability of the series analysis. We note that the $t$ ! 1 lim it of the function $F(t)$ is invariant against any transform ation in $t$ which $m$ aps $t=1$ to 1 . Thus, the discrepancy $m$ ight be elim inated by a suitable transform ation in the P ade analysis.

## V II. C O N C LU SIO N

$W$ e have com puted series for the relaxation of $m$ agnetization and energy at the critical point. The sam e $m$ ethod can be used to obtain series at other tem peratures or for other correlation functions. The analyses of the series are non-trivial. W em ay need $m$ uch $m$ ore term $s$ before we can obtain result $w$ th accuracy com parable to
the high-tem perature series. W e have also studied the relaxation process w ith $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation. T he ratio of three-spin to $m$ agnetization is used to give a num erical estim ate of the logarithm ic derivatives directly. This $m$ ethod gives a m ore accurate estim ate for the dynam ical critical exponent.
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TABLE I. CPU time and $m$ em ory usage for the series expansion of relaxation of $m$ agnetization, $m$ easured on an A lphaStation 250/266.

| n | CPU time (sec) | M em ory (MB) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| 7 | 1.8 | 027 |
| 8 | 25 | 3 |
| 9 | 358 | 34 |
| 10 | 23600 | 51 |
| 11 | 939000 | 70 |
| $12^{\text {a }}$ | $1: 610^{7}$ | 85 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ A ctualcom putations are done on a 16-node P entium P ro 200 cluster.

TA B LE II. Series-expansion coe cients ( n -th derivative) for a single spin $h \quad 0 i_{t}$ and nearest neighbor spin correlation $h \quad 0 \quad i_{t}$.

| n |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{p}_{2}{ }^{1}$ |
| 1 | $1+\left(2^{p} \overline{2}_{5}=3\right.$ | $2+\left(4{ }^{p} \overline{2}\right)=3$ |
| 2 | $13=9 \quad 2$ | $\left(56{ }^{3} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)=9$ |
| 3 | (15 11 ${ }^{\text {1 }} \overline{2}$ ) $\overline{\mathrm{p}}^{27}$ | $2\left(249+175{ }^{2} \overline{2}\right)=27$ |
| 4 | $53=3+25=\overline{2}$ | $\left(1988{ }^{1399}{ }^{\circ} \overline{2}\right)=54$ |
| 5 |  | $\left(30834 \quad 21919{ }_{p} \overline{2}\right)=486$ |
| 6 | $\left(66133+46680^{\circ}\right.$ 2) $=1458$ | $2\left(142869+101087^{\circ}\right.$ 2) $=243$ |
| 7 | ( $125718825+88903747{ }^{2}$ ) $=34992$ | $5\left(18191091 \quad 12867401_{p} \overline{2}\right)=17496$ |
| 8 | $17\left(9251358265418301{ }^{\text {P }} \overline{2}\right)=34992$ | $\left(2190719830 \quad 1548846800^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)=69984$ |
| 9 | $\left(429437553903+303660675715{ }_{p}{ }^{\overline{2}}\right.$ ) $=1259712$ | $\left(289028693217+204371192813^{1} \quad \overline{2}\right)=314928$ |
| 10 | $\left(4931635327666 \quad 3487215692619^{\rho} \quad \overline{2}\right)=3779136$ | $(4314686405575930509318092215 \quad \overline{5})=3779136$ |
| 11 | $\left(1821425391381531 \quad 1287938652305897{ }^{(1)} \overline{2}\right)=181398528$ | $\left(957792089655213+677259915390707^{\text {P }} \overline{2}\right)=10077696$ |
| 12 | $7\left(10761633667757321+7609621330268025^{\circ} \overline{2}\right)=272097792$ | $(425962164223774298301200006005168631 \quad \overline{2})=1088391168$ |

F IG . 1. P ade estim ates of the dynam ical critical exponent $z$ using $G_{1}(t=1)$, plotted as a function of , the transfor$m$ ation param eter. On this scale, the $P$ ade approxim ant of order $\mathbb{N} ; D]$ is indistinguishable from $\mathbb{D} ; N]$.

FIG.2. E ective exponent $z_{e}$ ( $t$ ) plotted against inverse tim e $1=\mathrm{t}$. The circles are $M$ onte $C$ arlo estim ates based on Eq. (28); the continuous curve is obtained from the $[6 ; 6]$ $P$ ade approxim ant of $G_{1}$ in variable $u$, transform ed back to $F$ through Eq . (25).


