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#### Abstract

The low tem perature $m$ agnetization process of the ferrom agnetic-antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg chain is studied using the interacting boson approxim ation. In the low eld regim e and near the saturation eld, the spin wave excitations are approxim ated by the function boson gas for which the Bethe ansatz solution is available. The nite tem perature properties are calcu lated by solving the integralequation num erically. T he com parison is $m$ ade $w$ ith $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation and the lim it of the applicability of the present approxim ation is discussed.
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## x1. Introduction

The spin-1/2 ferrom agnetic-antiferrom agnetic alternating $H$ eisenberg chain has been intensively, studied related $w$ th the $H$ aldane gap problem $2,1,22^{2}$ lim it of strong ferrom agnetic bond, this $m$ odel tends to the spin-1 antiferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg chain (AFHC). On the other hand, it reduces to an assem bly of trivial isolated dim ens if the ferrom agnetic interaction vanishes. It also tends to the dim erized spin-1/2 AFHC which describes the spin $P$ eierls state if the ferrom agnetic bonds are replaced by the antiferrom agnetic bonds. Thus the ground state of this $m$ odel interpolates the $H$ aldane gap state and the spin $-P$ eierls state continuously.
$T$ he $m$ agnetization process of th is $m$ odel hasplpen also studied theoretically and experim entally $\frac{14}{4}$ pointed out that the criticalbehaviors at the low er critical eld and the saturation eld are described by the free ferm ion theory, which, is equivalent to the boson with hard core interaction ${ }^{30}$ It is the purpose of the present work to investigate the therm ale ect on the $m$ agnetization process of this $m$ odel.

The H am iltonian of the present $m$ odel is given by

$$
H=J_{l=1}^{X^{N}} S_{21} S_{2 l+1}+J_{l=1}^{0^{X^{N}}} S_{2 l} 1_{1} S_{21} \quad g_{B} H^{X^{N}} S_{l=1}^{z} ;
$$

where $S_{1}$ is the spin operatorw ith $m$ agnitude $1=2$ on the l-th site. It is assum ed that $J$ is positive (antiferrom agnetic) and $J^{0}$ is negative (ferrom agnetic). The extemal $m$ agnetic eld, the electronic $g$ factor and the B ohrm agneton are denoted by $H ; g$ and $B$, respectively. In the follow ing, we take the unit $J=1$ and $g{ }_{\mathrm{B}}=1$. The ratio $J^{0}=J$ is denoted by . In the next section, the $m$ agnetization process of this $m$ odel at low tem perature $T \ll 1$ is studied by $m$ eans of the $m$ apping onto the
interacting boson system which is solvable by the B ethe A nsatz $m$ ethod. The quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo results are presented in x 3 . In the last section, the both results are com pared and the lim it of applicability of the interacting boson approxim ation is discussed.

## $x 2$. Interacting $B$ oson A pproxim ation

### 2.1 N ear the saturation eld

In the vicinity of the saturation eld, we m ay apply the spin wave $m$ ethod starting from the fully polarized state. In order to incorporate the nonlinear term $s$ in a conyen ien $\ddagger$ w ay, we apply the $D$ yson $M$ aleev transform a-


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{z}=\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad a_{1}^{y} a_{1}\right) ; S_{1}^{+}=\left(1 \quad a_{1}^{y} a_{1}\right) a_{1} ; S_{1}=a_{1}^{y} ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the Boson representation of the H am iltonian as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {int }} ;  \tag{22}\\
& H_{0}=X_{l=1}^{X^{N}} f \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{21}^{y} \quad a_{21+1}^{Y}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{21} & a_{2 l+1}
\end{array}\right) g \\
& +\quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{f} \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{21}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad 1 \quad a_{21}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a}_{21} & 1 & \left.a_{21}\right)
\end{array}\right) ;(2.3) \\
& H_{\text {int }}=\frac{1}{2}_{l=1}^{X^{N}} a_{21}^{Y} a_{21+1}^{Y}\left(a_{21} \quad a_{21+1}\right)^{2} \\
& \overline{2}_{1=1}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} a_{21}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad 1 a_{21}^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a}_{21} & 1 & a_{21}
\end{array}\right)^{2}: \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Fourier transform ation

$$
a_{21}=p_{\bar{N}}^{1}{ }_{k}^{X} q_{k} \exp (i k l) ;
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2 l+1}=p_{\bar{N}}^{1}{ }_{k}^{X} d_{k} \exp \left(i k\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) ; \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the length of the unit cell (= tw ice lattice constant) is set equal to unity, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is transform ed as,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0} & =E_{0}+X_{k}^{X} \quad \frac{1+}{2} \quad\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}+d_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(J(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}+J \quad(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) ; \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
E_{0}=\frac{(1+\quad) N}{4} \quad N H ; J(k)=e^{i \mathrm{k}=2}+e^{\mathrm{i} k=2}: \text { (2.7) }
$$

A fter the B ogoliubov transform ation which diagonalizes Ho, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=E_{0}+{ }_{k}^{X} f E_{+}(k) \underset{k}{y} k+E \quad(k) \underset{k}{y} k g ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{2} 1+\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{1+2^{2}+2 \operatorname{cosk}}+\mathrm{H} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k$ and $k$ are boson operators given by the linear com binations of $q_{k}$ and $d_{k}$. The $m$ agnetization per unit œlll M is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1 \frac{1}{N}_{k}^{X} f<{\underset{k}{y}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}}>+<\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{k}>\mathrm{g}: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where <> represents the therm al average. The num ber of the quasiparticles corresponds to the num ber of inverted spins. For H 1, the excitation energy of the quasiparticles are positive corresponding to the fully $m$ agnetized ground state, while for $H<1$, the quasiparticles $w$ ith $m$ om enta $k$ ' have negative energy and these quasiparticles are present even at zero tem perature leading to the deviation from the saturated $m$ agnetization. Therefore the saturation eld $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is given by $H_{S}=1$. $N$ ear the saturation eld at low tem peratures, the density of the quasiparticles is low and they are distributed around them in im um of the excitation spectrum at $k \quad$ of $E_{+}$. Therefore we only take into account the + branch and shift the origin of the $m$ om entum by as $k=+q$. In the follow ing, we use q's instead of k 's as m om entum indiges. Expanding around $\mathrm{q}=0$ and keeping the im portant term $s$ at $k$, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=E_{0}+{ }^{X} f_{q} 1+H+\frac{{ }^{2} q^{2}}{2} g \underset{q}{y} q^{y} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N} \underset{q_{1} ; q_{2} ; q_{3} ; q_{4}}{X} \stackrel{q_{1}}{\mathcal{q}_{1}} \underset{q_{2}}{q_{2}} \quad q_{3} \quad q_{4} \quad q_{1}+q_{2} ; q_{3}+q_{4}: \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the sam e Fourier and B ogoliubov transform ations are applied to the interaction H am iltonian H int and the term s containing the branch are neglected. The co$e$ cient of $H$ int is independent of at $k$ as far as $<0$. This is due to the fact that the + branch represents the in-phase $m$ otion of the spins connected by the ferrom agnetic bonds. The correlation length is given by $=P \bar{j} j=2(1+j)$. The H am iltonian
(2.11) yields the one for the $S=1$ AFHC $C^{-19} \underline{9}^{19}$ in the lim it ! 1 . This is the H am iltonian of the -function boson which is,splyed by the B ethe A nzatz m ethod, by Lieb and Liniger $1^{2 n}$ A ccording to $Y$ ang and $Y$ ang $1^{131}$ the nite tem perature properties of this $m$ odel is described in term s of the solution of the integral equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (q)=A+q^{2} \quad \underline{t c}^{Z} \frac{d q^{0}}{c^{2}+\left(q q^{0}\right)^{2}} \ln \left(1+e^{\left(q^{0}\right)=t}\right) ; \\
& 2 \quad(q)(1+\exp ((q)=t))=1+2 c_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{\left(q^{0}\right) d q^{0}}{c^{2}+\left(q q^{0}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{2(1 \quad H)}{2} ; \quad C=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad t=\frac{2 T}{2}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ agnetization per unit all is given by

$$
M=1 \quad 1 \quad(q) d q:
$$

This set of integral equations are solved num erically to obtain the $m$ agnetization curves.

### 2.2 The low eld regim e

In the low eld regim e $0<H \quad H_{C}$, where
is the energy gap, the excitations from the ground state are assum ed to be the spin-1 bosons w ith parabolic dispersion above the gap at $k=$-and short range repulsion sim ilarly to the spin-1 A F H C (q) A gain shifting the $m$ om entum as $k=+q$, the ective $H$ am iltonian is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.H=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{f}} \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}}{2} \quad \text { (hs } 1\right) \mathrm{g} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s} \\
& +\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \underset{q_{1} ; q_{2} ; q_{3} ; q_{4} ; s ; s^{0}}{C_{S} ; s^{0}} \stackrel{\underset{q_{1}}{ } ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{Y}} \underset{\mathrm{q}_{2} ; s^{0}}{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{3} ; \mathrm{s}^{0} \quad \mathrm{q}_{4} ; \mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{2} ; \mathrm{q}_{3}+\mathrm{q}_{4} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h=H=H c$. The su ces $s$ and $s^{0}$ denote the $z-$ com ponent of the spin of the excitations and take the values 1,0 and 1 . The values of and are estim, ated by extrapolating the exact diagonalization data ${ }^{\left(\frac{1}{1}, n_{2}^{2}\right)}$ to N ! 1 by the Shanks' transform ation $1^{(4)}$ as show $n$ in Fig. 1. O ur boson H am iltonian again yields the corresponding boson H am iltonian for the $\mathrm{S}=1 \mathrm{AFHC} \mathrm{C}^{(9)}$ in the $\lim$ it ! 1 .

Them agnetization per unit all is given by
$W$ e further neglect the interaction $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{s}^{0}}$ for S $\mathrm{s}^{0}$. This is justi ed as follow s. In the lim ith $\mathrm{h}<1$, and $\mathrm{T} \ll$, the occupation num ber of each bosonic state is low and all interactions $m$ ay be neglected. O $n$ the other hand, in the vicinity of the low er critical eld ( h 1 1), the occupation num bers of the low energy states of $s=1$ excitation are not necessarily sm all. Therefore the interaction $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 1}$ m ust not be neglected. H ow ever, other interactions can
be neglected because the occupation num bers of other excitations are sm all at low tem peratures. N evertheless we keep the interaction $c_{1 ;} 1$ for the sake of sym $m$ etry betw een the excitations writh $s=1$ and $s=1$. Follow ing Takahashi and Sakai, ${ }^{(9)}$ the value of the interaction constant $c_{s}$;s is identi ed as $\mathrm{G}_{\text {;s }}=1=2$ by com paring the ground state $m$ agnetization for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}} \gg \mathrm{H} \gg \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Ob}-$ tained from the bosonic theory w ith the classically calculated $m$ agnetization $M=H$. $T$ hus both $s=1$ and $s=1$ excitations are described as -function bosons and the $m$ agnetization curve at nite tem perature is obtained using the num erical solution of the integralequations $(\overline{2}, \overline{1}-1)$ and $(\underline{2}, \overline{13})$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{2(\mathrm{hs} 1)}{2} ; \quad c=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad t=\frac{2 T}{2} ; \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s=1 . T$ he $m$ agnetization per unit cell is given by

$$
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad 1(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{dk} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad 1(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{dk} \text {; }
$$

where $s$ is the solution of Eq. $\left.(\underline{2} \cdot \overline{1} 3)^{\prime}\right)$ for each value of $s$.
The equations $(2.12)$ and $(2.131)$ are solved num erically. $F$ igures $2(a-f)$ show $s$ the $m$ agnetization curves for
$=0: 5 ; 1$ and $3 . T$ he open circles and open squares represent the results of high eld and low eld approxim ations, respectively.
x3. Q uantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo $S$ im ulation
W e have also perform ed the quantum M onte C arlo sim ulation for the $H$ am iltonian $(1,1)$ to check the region of applicability of our boson approxim ation. The algorithm is the standard world line $m$ ethod using the checker board decom position w ith local and global ip in the spatial and $T$ rotter direction. T he extrapolation w ith respect to the $T$ rotter num ber is perform ed in the follow ing way. For $T=0: 1$ and $=3$, for which the w orst convergence is expected, we have taken the $T$ rotter num bers $L=10 ; 12$ and 18 and checked that the correction term is alm ost proportional to $1=\mathrm{L}^{2}$ as expected. $T$ he actual extrapolation is $m$ ade by extrapolating the data for $L=12$ and 18 linearly to $1=\mathrm{L}^{2}$. T he system size $N$ is xed to $N=16$ ( 32 spins). The $m$ easurem ent is done over typically $4 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{M}$ onte C arlo steps. The results are show $n$ in $F$ igs. 2 ( $a-f$ ) by lled circles. Typical error bars are sm aller than the size of the sym bols.
x4. Results and D iscussion
The com parison of the data show s that the boson approxim ation is fairly good for low eld region $0<\mathrm{M}<0: 1$ and near the saturation eld $0: 9 \mathrm{M}<1$. In the inter$m$ ediate regim $e$, how ever, there exist considerable discrepancy betw een our approxim ation and num erical results. O ne of the origin of the discrepancy is attributed to the nite band width ofm agnons. If we approxim ate them by the unbounded parabolic bands as Eqs. (2.11) and (2.16), we overestim ate the num ber ofm agnons w ith large jq jin the lim it ofhigh (low) magnetic eld within the low (high) eld approxim ation. In the low eld approxim ation, how ever, for the interm ediate $m$ agnetic
eld, the num ber ofm agnons w th $q$ are underesti$m$ ated because the true dispersion is convex around this
point ${ }^{\left(2^{\prime}\right)}$ and these excitations arem ore easily excited than the parabolic case. For large negative , the convex part of the dispersion is absorbed in the two $m$ agnon continuum ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ and the lattere ect becom es less pronounced. It should be also noted that the multim agnon e ect which is not correctly treated $w$ ithin the present approxim ation would also cause the discrepancy. For the high eld approxim ation, the branch com es down as j jdecreases and it touches the + branch for $=1$. $T$ herefore the contribution from the branch is no m ore negligible for $\quad 1$. This causes the underestim ation of the $m$ agnon num ber for $s m$ all in the present single $m$ ode approxim ation. T hese argum ents apply not only for the $m$ agnons in the ground state but also to the therm ally excited $m$ agnons. A ctually, the qualitative tendency of deviation does not depend on tem perature as show $n$ in Fig. 2.

A $s$ an altemative $m$ ethod to $m$ ap the spin chain onto the -function boson_gas in the high eld regim e, A kutsu, O kunishiand $H$ ieida ${ }^{(151)}$ ) have proposed to use the 2 -body $S-m$ atrix. They applied this $m$ ethod to the $S=1$ bilinear-biquadratic m odel and determ ined the interaction param eter c of the -function bose gas so that its 2 -body $S$ m atrix coincides w th that of the spin chain in the long wave length lim it. Sunprisingly, their expression for $c$ tumed out to be di erent from the one abtained by $m$ eans of the $D$ yson $-M$ aleev transform ation ${ }^{9^{2}}$ and diverges as the biquadratic term vanishes. A though the origin of the discrepancy is unclear, it $m$ ay be related w ith the order in which the long wavelength lim it is taken and $m$ apping onto the boson gas is $m$ ade. A ctually, in the present $m$ odel, the 2 -body $S$ m atrix cannot be represented by a local phase shift and it is di cult to construct the 2 -body $\mathrm{S} m$ atrix before taking the long wave length lim it. This problem is left for the future study.

From the experim ental side, several materials which realizes the spin-1/2 ferrom agnetic-antiferrom agnetic altemating chain are synthesized. The magnetization curves are, $m$ easured for $\left.[C u(T \mathbb{M})] C u C l_{4}{ }_{4}^{151}\right)$ ( 4 - zp zipdH ) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{3}^{\left(5^{5}\right)}$ and m ethyl nitronyl nitroxide ${ }^{(1)}$ $T$ he direct com parison of the present calculation and the experim ental data is di cult, how ever, because of the presence of the interchain interaction which not only leads to the antiferrom agnetic ordering at fairly high tem peraturesbut also has in uence on the $m$ agnetization curves in the param agnetic phase. This kind of interaction cannot be treated w ithin the present schem e which $m$ akes use of the B ethe ansatz solution. In this context, the synthesis of sim ilar com pounds w ith reduced interchain interaction is hoped for the direct com parison of the present calculation and experim ent. On the other hand, the inclusion of other kinds of intrachain interaction is straightforw ard in the present schem e. T herefore our m ethod gives a convenient way to calculate the low tem perature $m$ agnetization curves of one-dim ensional antiferrom agnets in the low eld and high eld regim e sem i-quantitatively w ithout resorting to elaborate num erical sim ulations.
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ulation program. He also thanks M.H agin ara for show ing him his experim ental data prior to publication and for stim ulating discussion. Thanks are also due to $Y$. N atsum e and T . Suzuki for sending their M onte C arlo data prior to publication and for invaluable com $m$ ents. The num erical sim ulation is perform ed using the FA COM VPP500 at the Supercom puter Center, Institute for Solid State P hysics, U niversity of Tokyo, the H ITAC S820/80 and SR 2201 at the Inform ation P rocessing $C$ enter of Saitam a U niversity. This work is supported by the $G$ rant-in-A id for Scienti $C R$ esearch from the $M$ in istry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

[^0]Fig. 1. The -dependence of (open circles) and (lled circles) calculated from the exact diagonalization data in refíl. T he solid lines are guides for the eye.
$F$ ig. 2. The $m$ agnetization curves of the present $m$ odel. $T$ he results of the M onte C arlo sim ulation, high eld approxim ation and low eld approxim ation are show $n$ by the lled circles, open circles and open squares, respectively. T he values of tem perature T and are show n in the gure.
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