# Interacting B oson T heory of the M agnetization P rocess of the Spin-1/2 Ferrom agnetic-A ntiferrom agnetic A lternating H eisenberg C hain

Kazuo Hida

D epartm ent of Physics, Faculty of Science, Saitam a University, Urawa, Saitam a 338

(Received 0 ctober 31, 1997)

The low temperature magnetization process of the ferrom agnetic antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg chain is studied using the interacting boson approximation. In the low eld regime and near the saturation eld, the spin wave excitations are approximated by the function boson gas for which the B ethe ansatz solution is available. The nite temperature properties are calculated by solving the integral equation num erically. The comparison is made with M onte C arb calculation and the lim it of the applicability of the present approximation is discussed.

KEYW ORDS: ferrom agnetic-antiferrom agnetic alternating Heisenberg antiferrom agnet, spin wave, Bethe ansatz, m agnetization

# x1. Introduction

The spin-1/2 ferror agnetic antiferror agnetic alternating H eisenberg chain has been intensively studied related with the H aldane gap problem  $^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)}$  In the lim it of strong ferror agnetic bond, this model tends to the spin-1 antiferror agnetic H eisenberg chain (AFHC). On the other hand, it reduces to an assem bly of trivial isolated dimers if the ferror agnetic interaction vanishes. It also tends to the dimerized spin-1/2 AFHC which describes the spin-P eierls state if the ferror agnetic bonds are replaced by the antiferror agnetic bonds. Thus the ground state of this model interpolates the H aldane gap state and the spin-P eierls state continuously.

The magnetization process of this model has been also studied theoretically and experimentally  $.^{4,5,6,7,8)}$  It is pointed out that the critical behaviors at the lower critical eld and the saturation eld are described by the free ferm ion theory, which is equivalent to the boson with hard core interaction.<sup>8)</sup> It is the purpose of the present work to investigate the therm all ect on the magnetization process of this model.

The Ham iltonian of the present model is given by

$$H = J X^{N} S_{21}S_{21+1} + J^{0} S_{21}S_{21} + J^{0} S_{21} + J^{0} + J^{0} S_{21} + J^{0} + J^$$

where S<sub>1</sub> is the spin operator with m agnitude 1=2 on the l-th site. It is assumed that J is positive (antiferrom agnetic) and J<sup>0</sup> is negative (ferrom agnetic). The external m agnetic eld, the electronic g factor and the Bohrm agneton are denoted by H; g and  $_{\rm B}$ , respectively. In the following, we take the unit J = 1 and g  $_{\rm B}$  = 1. The ratio J<sup>0</sup>=J is denoted by . In the next section, the m agnetization process of this m odel at low temperature T << 1 is studied by m eans of the m apping onto the

interacting boson system which is solvable by the Bethe Ansatz method. The quantum M onte C arlo results are presented in x3. In the last section, the both results are compared and the lim it of applicability of the interacting boson approximation is discussed.

## x2. Interacting Boson Approxim ation

#### 2.1 Near the saturation eld

In the vicinity of the saturation eld, we may apply the spin wave method starting from the fully polarized state. In order to incorporate the nonlinear term s in a convenient way, we apply the D yson-M aleev transform ation<sup>9,10,11)</sup> as follow s,

$$S_{1}^{z} = (\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{y}a_{1}); S_{1}^{+} = (1 a_{1}^{y}a_{1})a_{1}; S_{1} = a_{1}^{y}; (2.1)$$

to obtain the Boson representation of the Ham iltonian as

$$H = H_0 + H_{int};$$
 (2.2)

$$H_{0} = \sum_{l=1}^{X^{N}} f \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} (a_{21}^{Y} - a_{2l+1}^{Y}) (a_{21} - a_{2l+1})g$$

+ 
$$\int_{1=1}^{X^*} f \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{21 \ 1}^{y} = a_{21}^{y}) (a_{21 \ 1} = a_{21}) g; (2.3)$$

$$H_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{2l}^{y} a_{2l+1}^{y} (a_{2l} a_{2l+1})^{2}$$
$$-\frac{X^{N}}{2} a_{2l-1}^{y} a_{2l-1}^{y} a_{2l-1}^{y} (a_{2l-1} a_{2l})^{2} : \qquad (2.4)$$

By the Fourier transform ation

$$a_{21} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{N}} \int_{k}^{X} c_k \exp(ikl);$$

e-m ail: hida@ riron.ged.saitam a-u.ac.jp

$$a_{2l+1} = \frac{p}{N} \int_{k}^{X} d_{k} \exp(ik(l+\frac{1}{2})); \qquad (2.5)$$

where the length of the unit cell (= tw ice lattice constant) is set equal to unity, H  $_0$  is transform ed as,

$$H_{0} = E_{0} + \frac{X}{k} \qquad H \qquad \frac{1+}{2} (c_{k}^{y}c_{k} + d_{k}^{y}d_{k}) + \frac{1}{2} (J(k)d_{k}^{y}c_{k} + J(k)c_{k}^{y}d_{k}) ; \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$E_0 = \frac{(1 + )N}{4}$$
 NH; J(k) =  $e^{ik=2}$  +  $e^{-ik=2}$ : (2.7)

A first the Bogoliubov transform ation which diagonalizes H  $_{\rm 0}$ , we have

$$H_{0} = E_{0} + \int_{k}^{X} fE_{+} (k) \int_{k}^{y} k + E_{-} (k) \int_{k}^{y} k g; \quad (2.8)$$

where

E (k) = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 1+  $p = \frac{1}{1+2+2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$ 

and  $_k$  and  $_k$  are boson operators given by the linear combinations of  $c_k$  and  $d_k$ . The magnetization per unit cell M is expressed as

$$M = 1 \quad \frac{1}{N} \prod_{k}^{X} f < \prod_{k=k}^{Y} p_{k} > + < \prod_{k=k}^{Y} p_{k} > g: \quad (2.10)$$

where <> represents the therm all average. The num ber of the quasiparticles corresponds to the num ber of inverted spins. For H 1, the excitation energy of the quasiparticles are positive corresponding to the fully m agnetized ground state, while for H < 1, the quasiparticles with m om enta k ' have negative energy and these quasiparticles are present even at zero tem perature leading to the deviation from the saturated m agnetization. Therefore the saturation eld H<sub>S</sub> is given by  $H_{S} = 1$ . Near the saturation eld at low tem peratures, the density of the quasiparticles is low and they are distributed around the m in im um of the excitation spectrum of E<sub>+</sub>. Therefore we only take into account the at k + branch and shift the origin of the momentum by as k = + q. In the following, we use q's instead of k's as m om entum indices. Expanding around q = 0 and keeping the important term s at k ,we nd

$$H = E_{0} + \frac{X}{f} f 1 + H + \frac{^{2}q^{2}}{2}g_{q}^{y} q$$
  
+  $\frac{1}{2N} \frac{X}{q_{1} q_{2} q_{3} q_{4}} = \frac{y}{q_{1} q_{2}} q_{3} q_{4} q_{1} + q_{2} q_{3} + q_{4} : (2.11)$ 

Here the same Fourier and Bogoliubov transform ations are applied to the interaction H am iltonian H<sub>int</sub> and the terms containing the branch are neglected. The coe cient of H<sub>int</sub> is independent of at k as far as < 0. This is due to the fact that the + branch represents the in-phase motion of the spins connected by the ferrom agnetic bonds. The correlation length is given by =  $\frac{1}{j} \frac{j=2}{j=2}(1+j)$ . The Ham iltonian (2.11) yields the one for the S = 1 AFHC<sup>9)</sup> in the limit ! 1. This is the Ham iltonian of the -function boson which is solved by the Bethe Anzatz method by Lieb and Liniger<sup>12)</sup> According to Yang and Yang,<sup>13)</sup> the nite tem perature properties of this model is described in terms of the solution of the integral equations,

$$(q) = A + q^{2} - \frac{tc}{1} \frac{c^{2}}{1} \frac{1}{c^{2} + (q - q^{0})^{2}} \ln (1 + e^{-(q^{0}) - t});$$
(2.12)

2 (q) 
$$(1 + \exp((q)=t)) = 1 + 2c \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ q^0 dq^0 \end{bmatrix}_1 \frac{(q^0)dq^0}{c^2 + (q q^0)^2};$$
  
(2.13)

w here

A = 
$$\frac{2(1 \text{ H})}{2}$$
; c =  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; t =  $\frac{2T}{2}$ : (2.14)

The magnetization per unit cell is given by

$$M = 1$$
 (q)dq: (2.15)

T his set of integral equations are solved num erically to obtain the magnetization curves.

## 2.2 The low eld regime

In the low eld regime 0 < H H<sub>C</sub>, where is the energy gap, the excitations from the ground state are assumed to be the spin-1 bosons with parabolic dispersion above the gap at k = and short range repulsion similarly to the spin-1 AFHC.<sup>9)</sup> A gain shifting the momentum as k = + q, the elective H am iltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{X}{q} f \frac{{}^{2}q^{2}}{2} \text{ (hs 1)g } _{q;s}^{y} q_{;s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{q_{1};q_{2};q_{3};q_{4};s;s^{0}} c_{s;s^{0}} \frac{y}{q_{1};s} \frac{y}{q_{2};s^{0}}$$

$$q_{3};s^{0} q_{4};s q_{1}+q_{2};q_{3}+q_{4}; \qquad (2.16)$$

where  $h = H = H_{C}$ . The su cess and s<sup>0</sup> denote the zcomponent of the spin of the excitations and take the values 1,0 and 1. The values of and are estimated by extrapolating the exact diagonalization data<sup>1,2)</sup> to N ! 1 by the Shanks' transform ation<sup>14)</sup> as shown in Fig. 1. Our boson H am iltonian again yields the corresponding boson H am iltonian for the S = 1 AFHC<sup>9)</sup> in the limit ! 1.

The magnetization per unit cell is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{N} X_{q;1 q;1} + (2.17)$$

W e further neglect the interaction  $c_{s;s^0}$  for  $s \notin s^0$ . This is justimed as follows. In the limit h << 1, and  $T << \$ , the occupation number of each bosonic state is low and all interactions may be neglected. On the other hand, in the vicinity of the low energy states of s=1 excitation are not necessarily small. Therefore the interaction  $c_{1;1}$  m ust not be neglected. How ever, other interactions can

be neglected because the occupation numbers of other excitations are small at low temperatures. Nevertheless we keep the interaction c <sub>1; 1</sub> for the sake of sym m etry between the excitations with s = 1 and s = 1. Follow – ing Takahashi and Sakai,<sup>9)</sup> the value of the interaction constant c<sub>s;s</sub> is identified as  $g_{;s} = 1=2$  by comparing the ground state magnetization for  $H_s >> H >> H_c$  obtained from the bosonic theory with the classically calculated magnetization M = H. Thus both s = 1 and s = 1 excitations are described as –function bosons and the magnetization curve at nite temperature is obtained using the numerical solution of the integral equations (2.12) and (2.13) with

$$A = \frac{2(hs \ 1)}{2}; \ c = \frac{1}{2}; \ t = \frac{2T}{2}; \ (2.18)$$

for s = 1. The magnetization per unit cell is given by  $\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{1} & Z_{1} \end{array}$ 

$$M = {}_{1}(k)dk {}_{1}(k)dk; (2.19)$$

where s is the solution of Eq. (2.13) for each value of s. The equations (2.12) and (2.13) are solved num erically. Figures 2 (a-f) shows the m agnetization curves for = 0.5; 1 and 3. The open circles and open squares represent the results of high eld and low eld approxim ations, respectively.

## x3. Quantum M onte Carlo Simulation

We have also performed the quantum Monte Carlo simulation for the Hamiltonian (1.1) to check the region of applicability of our boson approximation. The algorithm is the standard world line method using the checker board decomposition with local and global ip in the spatial and Trotter direction. The extrapolation with respect to the Trotter number is performed in the following way. For T = 0:1 and = 3, for which the worst convergence is expected, we have taken the Trotter num bers L = 10;12 and 18 and checked that the correction term is almost proportional to  $1=L^2$  as expected. The actual extrapolation is made by extrapolating the data for L = 12 and 18 linearly to  $1=L^2$ . The system size N is xed to N = 16 (32 spins). The measurement is done over typically 4 10<sup>6</sup> M onte C arlo steps. T he results are shown in Figs. 2 (a-f) by led circles. Typical error bars are sm aller than the size of the sym bols.

# x4. Results and D iscussion

The comparison of the data shows that the boson approximation is fairly good for low eld region 0 < M < 0.1and near the saturation eld 0.9 M < 1. In the intermediate regime, however, there exist considerable discrepancy between our approximation and numerical results. One of the origin of the discrepancy is attributed to the nite band width of magnons. If we approximate them by the unbounded parabolic bands as Eqs. (2.11) and (2.16), we overestimate the number of magnons with large jq jin the limit of high (low) magnetic eld within the low (high) eld approximation. In the low eld approximation, however, for the intermediate magnetic

eld, the num ber of m agnons with q are underestim ated because the true dispersion is convex around this point<sup>2)</sup> and these excitations are more easily excited than the parabolic case. For large negative , the convex part of the dispersion is absorbed in the two magnon continuum<sup>2)</sup> and the latter e ect becom es less pronounced. It should be also noted that the multim agnon e ect which is not correctly treated within the present approxim ation would also cause the discrepancy. For the high eld approximation, the branch comes down as j jdecreases and it touches the + branch for = 1. Therefore the contribution from the branch is no more negligible 1. This causes the underestimation of the for m agnon num ber for sm all in the present single m ode approximation. These arguments apply not only for the m agnons in the ground state but also to the therm ally excited m agnons. A ctually, the qualitative tendency of deviation does not depend on tem perature as shown in Fig.2.

As an alternative method to map the spin chain onto the -function boson gas in the high eld regime, A kutsu, O kunishi and H jeida<sup>15)</sup> have proposed to use the 2-body S-matrix. They applied this method to the S = 1bilinear-biquadratic model and determ ined the interaction parameter c of the -function bose gas so that its 2-body S-matrix coincides with that of the spin chain in the long wave length lim it. Surprisingly, their expression for c turned out to be di erent from the one obtained by means of the Dyson-Maleev transform ation<sup>9</sup> and diverges as the biquadratic term vanishes. A lthough the origin of the discrepancy is unclear, it may be related with the order in which the long wavelength lim it is taken and m apping onto the boson gas is m ade. A ctually, in the present model, the 2-body S-m atrix cannot be represented by a local phase shift and it is di cult to construct the 2-body S-m atrix before taking the long wave length limit. This problem is left for the future study.

From the experim ental side, several materials which realizes the spin-1/2 ferrom agnetic-antiferrom agnetic alternating chain are synthesized. The magnetization curves are measured for [Cu(TIM)]CuCl, (4-BzpipdH)CuCl<sup>5)</sup> and methyl nitronyl nitroxide.<sup>7)</sup> The direct comparison of the present calculation and the experimental data is di cult, however, because of the presence of the interchain interaction which not only leads to the antiferrom agnetic ordering at fairly high tem peratures but also has in uence on the magnetization curves in the param agnetic phase. This kind of interaction cannot be treated within the present scheme which makes use of the Bethe ansatz solution. In this context, the synthesis of sim ilar compounds with reduced interchain interaction is hoped for the direct comparison of the present calculation and experiment. On the other hand, the inclusion of other kinds of intrachain interaction is straightforward in the present scheme. Therefore ourmethod gives a convenient way to calculate the low tem perature magnetization curves of one-dimensional antiferrom agnets in the low eld and high eld regime sem i-quantitatively without resorting to elaborate numerical simulations.

The author would like to thank S.M iyashita for providing the source code of the quantum M onte Carlo sim - ulation program . He also thanks M . Hagiwara for showing him his experimental data prior to publication and for stimulating discussion. Thanks are also due to Y. Natsume and T. Suzuki for sending their M onte Carlo data prior to publication and for invaluable comments. The numerical simulation is performed using the FA-COM VPP 500 at the Supercomputer Center, Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, the H ITAC S820/80 and SR 2201 at the Information Processing Center of Saitam a University. This work is supported by the G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch from the M inistry of E ducation, Science, Sports and Culture.

- [1] K.Hida: Phys.Rev.B 45 (1992) 2207.
- [2] K.Hida: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.63 (1994) 2514.
- [3] K. Hida in Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed M atter Physics ed. H. Takayama et al. PhysicalSociety of Japan (1995) 187 and references therein.
- [4] T.Suzukiand Y.Natsum e: private communication.
- [5] M. Hagiwara, Y. Narum i, K. K indo, T. C. Kobayashi, H. Yam akage, K, Am aya and G. Schum auch: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 1792.
- [6] H. M anaka, I. Yam ada and K. Yam aguchi: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 564.
- [7] M. Takahashi, Y. Hosokoshi, H. Nakano, T. Goto, M. Takahashiand M. Kinoshita: Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 306 (1997) 111.
- [8] T.Sakai: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.64 (1995) 251.
- [9] M. Takahashi and T. Sakai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60 (1991) 760.
- [10] F.J.D yson: Phys. Rev. 102 (1956)1217.
- [11] S.V.M aleev: Sov.Phys.JETP 33(1957)1010.
- [12] E.H.Lieb and W .Liniger: Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 1605.
- [13] C.N.Yang and C.P.Yang: J.M ath. Phys. 10 (1969) 1115.
- [14] D.Shanks: J.M ath. Phys. 34 (1955) 1.
- [15] Y. A kutsu, K. O kunishi and Y. H ieida: M eeting Abstracts of the Physical Society of Japan 52 (1997) 810.
- Fig. 1. The -dependence of (open circles) and ( lled circles) calculated from the exact diagonalization data in ref2. The solid lines are guides for the eye.
- Fig. 2. The m agnetization curves of the present m odel. The results of the M onte C arlo simulation, high eld approximation and low eld approximation are shown by the lled circles, open circles and open squares, respectively. The values oftem perature T and are shown in the gure.



Fig. 1 K. Hida



Fig. 2(a) K. Hida



Fig. 2(b) K. Hida



Fig. 2(c) K. Hida



Fig. 2(d) K. Hida



Fig. 2(e) K. Hida



Fig. 2(f) K. Hida