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W e investigate both analytically and num erically the ground-state and therm odynam ic properties of the quantum $m$ ixed spin-1/2-1/2-1-1 chain described by the $H$ am iltonian
 spins ( $\mathbf{S}_{4}, 3$ and $S_{4}, ~ 2$ ) and two $S=1$ spins ( $S_{4}, 1$ and $S_{4}$, ) are arranged altematively. In several lim iting cases of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ we apply the $W$ igner $\mp$ ckart theorem and carry out a perturbation calculation to exam ine the behavior of the $m$ assless lines $w$ here the energy gap vanishes. Perform ing a quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation w thout global ips at a su ciently low tem perature for the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $J_{2}>0$, we nd that the ground state of the present system in this case undergoes a second-order phase transition accom panying the vanishing of the energy gap at $J_{2}=J_{2 c} w$ ith $J_{2 c}=0: 77 \quad 0: 01 . W$ e also nd that the ground states for both $J_{2}<J_{2 c}$ and $J_{2}>J_{2 c}$ can be understood by $m$ eans of the valence-bond-solid picture. A quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation which takes the global ips along the $T$ rotter direction into account is carried out to elucidate the tem perature dependences of the speci c heat and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility. In particular, it is found that the susceptibility per unit cell for $J_{2}=0: 77 \mathrm{w}$ ith $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ takes a nite value at absolute zero tem perature and that the speci c heat per unit cell versus tem perature curve for $J_{2}=5: 0 \mathrm{w}$ ith $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ has a double peak.
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## x1. Introduction

A quantum antiferrom agnetic chain has been the sub ject of num erous experim ental as well as theoretical studies over a long period, in particular since H aldane's predictioninin im plying that the chain $w$ ith an integer spin is quite di erent from the chain $w$ ith a half-integer spin in the ground-state and low-lying-excited-state properties. A lm ost all results of these studies support the prediction, and now it is widely agreed that for the case of isotropic nearest-neighbor exchange interactions, the form er chain has m assive excitations $w$ ith a exponential decay of the two-spin correlation functions, while the latter chain has m assless excitations with a pow er-law decay of the two-spin correlation functions ${ }_{2}^{-1}{ }_{2}^{1}$ )

U nder these circum stances, a mixed spin chain consisting of two kinds of quantum spins which are arranged periodically has been of considerable interest in recent years. On the experim ental side, several realm aterials of this type have been synthesized, and their $m$ agnetic properties have been observed ${ }^{-\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{(31)}$ A typical exam ple of these $m$ aterials is CuNi (pba) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{HO}$ w th pba= $1,3-$
 $m$ ake an altemating array and each nearest-neighb or pair of the spins couples by the isotropic, antiferrom agnetic exchange interaction. On the theoretical side, on the other hand, a variety ofm odels describing the quantum $m$ ixed spin chain have been investigated ${ }^{-10 n}$ grable m odels have been constructed and discussed by several authors grablem odels are speci c ones in which rather com plicated interactions are assum ed, exact solutions for the $m$ odels are helpfill for us to understand the essential consequences of the quantum $m$ ixed spin chain. M otivated by the above experim ental observations, several authorsi, have studied both analytically and num erically a sim pler case of the $S=1=2$ and $S=1$ altemating spin chain w ith antiferrom agnetic nearest-neighbor exchange interactions. A characteristic feature of this chain in the case of isotropic or Ising-type interactions is that it is a quantum ferrim agnet and its ground state is $m$ agnetic.

Generally speaking, quantum uctuations play a m ore crucial role in the nonm agnetic ground state than in the $m$ agnetic one. As an exam ple of the quantum $m$ ixed spin chains which have isotropic nearest-neighbor exchange interactions only and also have a nonm agnetic ground state, we consider in this paper a one-dim ensional antiferrom agnet where two $S=1=2$ and tw $\circ S=1$ spins are arranged altematively. For the sake of sim plicity we call this system the spin $-1 / 2-1 / 2-1-1$ chain. The H am iltonian is given by
where $s, w$ th $\urcorner=1$ and $2(\bmod 4)$ and $S, w$ th $\urcorner=3$ and $4(\bmod 4)$ are, respectively, the $S=1=2$ and $S=1$ spin operators at the th site; $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ are, respectively, the interaction constants
betw een the nearest-neighb or pair of $S=1=2$ spins, that of $S=1=2$ and $S=1$ spins, and that of $S=1$ spins; $N$, being assum ed to be a multiple of four, is the total num ber of spins. W e im pose periodic boundary conditions ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}+1 \quad$ s).

It is naturally anticipated that the present $m$ ixed spin system has di erent ground states depending upon the signs of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$. A s we will discuss later in m ore detail (see $T$ able I below), the value $S_{\text {tot }}$ of the total spin
in the ground state can be determ ined rigorously by applying the Lieb M attis theorem ${ }^{-17)}$ E xcept in the region where $J_{1}<0$ and $J_{3}<0$, the ground state belongs to the $S_{\text {tot }}=0$ subspace, and therefore it is nonm agnetic. Furthem ore, in the region where $J_{1}<0, J_{2}>0$, and $J_{3}<0$ and in the region where $J_{1}<0, J_{2}<0$, and $J_{3}<0$, the ground states belong, respectively, to the $S_{\text {tot }}=N=4$ and $S_{\text {tot }}=3 N=4$ subspaces. The form er is ferrim agnetic, while the latter is ferrom agnetic. For com parison we depict in $F$ ig. 1 the spin array w th the low est energy of the corresponding classicalsystem in which $s$, and S. are replaced, respectively, by the classical spin vectors whose m agnitudes are $1=2$ and 1 . From this gure and $T a b l e$ Iwe nd that there is a close analogy betw een the classical low est-energy state and the quantum ground state. It is interesting to see that the periods conceming the translational sym $m$ etry of the classical low est-energy states are, respectively, four and eight in units of the lattioe spacing in the region where $J_{1} J_{3}>0$ and in the region where $J_{1} J_{3}<0$.

W e explore here both analytically and num erically the ground-state and therm odynam ic properties of the present system '18') In several lim iting cases of the interaction constants we apply the $W$ igner $E$ ckart theorem ${ }^{[19]}$ (19) and carry out a perturbation calculation to exam ine the behavior of the $m$ assless lines in the $J_{1}$ versus $J_{2}$ plane $w$ ith $J_{1}>0$ and $J_{3}>0$. C on ning ourselves to the case $w$ here $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $J_{2}>0$, we perform num erical calculations. In these calculations we m ainly em ploy a quantum $M$ onte C arlo ( Q M C) m ethod, and do an exact-diagonalization calculation for $\mathrm{N}=8$ and 16 to check the Q M C results. To determ ine as accurately as possible the $m$ assless point $J_{2 c}$ of $J_{2}$ in the above case is one of ourm ain purposes. W e discuss how the ground states for both $J_{2}<J_{2 c}$ and $J_{2}>J_{2 c}$ are represented in the fram e of the valence-bond-solid ( $V B S$ ) picture proposed by A eck, $K$ ennedy, Lieb, and Tasaki. (20) W e also aim at clarifying the tem perature dependences of the speci cheat and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility for a few values of $J_{2}$ in this case. Very recently, Fukui and K aw akam $1^{-1} \mathbf{1}^{-1}$ ) have also discussed this system. They have mapped this system to the non-linear sigm a m odel and have show $n$ that in its ground state a second-order phase transition which accom panies the vanishing of the energy gap $m$ ay occur.

The rem ainder of the paper is organized as follow s. T he next section (x2) and the follow ing one ( $x 3$ ) are devoted to analytical treatm ents and num erical calculations, respectively. Finally, the $m$ ain results are sum $m$ arized and further discussed in $x 4$.

## x2. A nalytical Treatm ents

$W$ e start $w$ ith applying the $L$ ieb $-M$ attis theorem $\underline{1}^{\frac{1}{1}(1)}$ to determ ine the value $S_{\text {tot }}$ of the total spin $S_{\text {tot }}$ in the ground state for arbitrary values of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$. In the present case the theorem im plies that, if we can divide the lattioe into the A and B sublattioes in such a way that all the intrasublattioe interaction constants are not positive and all the intersublattioe interaction constants are not negative, then $S_{\text {tot }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {tot }}=S_{\mathrm{max} ; \mathrm{A}} \quad S_{\mathrm{max} ; \mathrm{B}} ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $S_{m a x ; A}$ and $S_{m a x ; B}$ are, respectively, the $m$ axim um values of the total spins in the $A$ and $B$ sublattices. ( $N$ ote that the constant $g^{2}$ in ref. 17 is equal to zero because the $H$ am iltonian $H$ consists of the nearest-neighb or interactions only.) Let us consider two exam ples. For the region where $J_{1}>0, J_{2}>0$, and $J_{3}>0$, we choose the sites 'A belonging to the A sublattige to be ${ }_{A}=1$ $(m$ od 2$)$ and the sites ${ }_{B}$ belonging to the $B$ sublattice to be ${ }_{B}=2(\bmod 2) . T$ hen, all of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ are the intersublattice interaction constants, which satisfy the above requirem ent, and thus we obtain $S_{\text {tot }}=0$ since $S_{m a x ; A}=S_{m a x ; B}=3 N=8$. For the region where $J_{1}>0, J_{2}<0$, and $J_{3}>0$, on the other hand, we choose 'A to be ${ }_{A}=1$ and $4(\bmod 4)$ and ${ }_{B}$ to be ${ }_{B}=2$ and $3(m o d 4)$. Then, $J_{2}$ is the intrasublattice interaction constant and the rem aining $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ are the intersublattioe interaction constants, which again satisfy the above requirem ent. Thus, we obtain again $S_{\text {tot }}=0$ $\sin \propto S_{m \text { ax; }}=S_{m a x ; B}=3 N=8$. In a sim ilar way, we can determ ine $S_{\text {tot }}$ for the rem aining regions of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$. The results are listed in $T$ able $I$ together $w$ ith 'A, ',$S_{m}$ ax;A , and $S_{m}$ ax; for each region. It should be noted that the $A$ and $B$ sublattices correspond, respectively, to the up' and dow $n$ ' sublattiges in the low est-energy classical-spin arrays show $n$ in $F i g .1$.

W e now tum to an application of the $W$ igner $E$ ckart theorem ${ }^{\prime 1-19}{ }^{-19}$ to the follow ing three lim iting
 and (c) the case where $\mathrm{j}_{1} j \quad \mathrm{j}_{2} j \mathfrak{j} \mathrm{~J}_{3} j$ jw ith $J_{1}<0$. In the lim iting case (a), a pair of the spins $s_{4}{ }^{\prime} 2$
 take into account only the doublet state of the two-spin system consisting of $s_{4}, ~ 2$ and $S_{4}, ~ 1$ and neglect the quartet state of the system. Sim ilarly, a pair of the spins $S_{4}$, and $s_{4}{ }^{\prime}+1$ can be replaced by an e ective $S=1=2$ spin $\boldsymbol{s}_{4}{ }^{\prime} ; 4^{`}+1$ Then, the $W$ igner $\pm$ ckart theorem implies that both $\boldsymbol{s}_{4}, ~ 2$ and


$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{S}_{4} \cdot{ }_{2}=\mathbf{s}_{4}{ }^{e}{ }_{2 ; 4^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 1} \text {; }  \tag{22a}\\
& S_{4}{ }_{1}=S_{4}^{e}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} 2 ; 4^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 1 ;  \tag{22b}\\
& S_{4}{ }^{\prime}={ }^{0}{ }_{S_{4}}{ }^{e} ; 4{ }^{`}+1 ;  \tag{2.2c}\\
& s_{4}{ }^{\Upsilon}+1={ }^{0} \mathbf{s}_{4}{ }^{e} ; 4 \uparrow+1 \text {; } \tag{2.2d}
\end{align*}
$$

Table I. Values of $\wedge_{A},{ }_{B}, S_{m a x ; A}, S_{m a x ; B}$, and $S_{\text {tot }}$ for each region of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$.

| Region of $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$ | A | ' | $S_{\text {m ax; }}$ | $S_{\text {m ax } ; ~}^{\text {B }}$ | $S_{\text {tot }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}>0$ | $1(\bmod 2)$ | $2(\bmod 2)$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}>0$ | 1,4 $4(\operatorname{od} 4)$ | $2,4(\bmod 4)$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}>0$ | 1,2,3,8 $(\mathrm{mod} 8)$ | 4,5,6,7(m od 8) | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}>0$ | 1,2,4,7 $(\mathrm{m}$ od 8) | 3,5,6,8 $(\mathrm{m}$ od 8) | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $J_{1}>0, J_{2}>0, J_{3}<0$ | 1,3,4,6 (mod 8) | 2,5,7,8 (mod 8) | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}<0$ | 1, 6, 7, $8(\mathrm{~m}$ od 8) | 2, 3, 4, $5(\mathrm{~m}$ od 8) | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | $3 \mathrm{~N}=8$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}<0$ | all | none | $3 \mathrm{~N}=4$ | 0 | $3 \mathrm{~N}=4$ |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}<0, \mathrm{~J}_{2}>0, \mathrm{~J}_{3}<0$ | 1,2 $(\bmod 4)$ | 3,4 4 mod 4) | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |

, , 0 , and ${ }^{0}$ being constants. The values of , 0 , and ${ }^{0}$ can be obtained, respectively, by calculating all $m$ atrix elem ents of both sides of eq. (2 2a), eq. (2.2b), eq. (2 2c), and eq. (2.2d) in the doublet subspace. The results are $=^{0}=1=3$ and ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}=4=3$. Substituting eqs. (2 2a)(2 2d) w ith these constants into eq. (1.1), we obtain, apart from the constant term com ing from the $\mathrm{J}_{2}$-term S ,
w th $s_{0 ; 1}^{e} \mathbb{S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{j \mathrm{~N}+1} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N} ; 1}^{\mathrm{e}}$. Thus, we can conclude that in the lim iting case (a), our system described by the H am iltonian $H$ of eq. (1.1) is equivalent to the $S=1=2$ bond-altemating chain described by the H am iltonian $H$ (a) of eq. (2.3), as far as the ground state and the su ciently low -energy excited states are concemed.

In the lim titing case (b), we replace a pair of the spins $S_{4}, 2$ and $S_{4}{ }_{1}\left(S_{4}\right.$, and $S_{4}{ }^{\prime}+1$ ) by an
 consisting of $s_{4}, ~ 2$ and $S_{4}, ~ 1\left(S_{4}, ~\right.$ and $\left.s_{4}{ }^{\prime}+1\right)$. A pplying the $W$ igner $\mp$ ckart theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{4}{ }^{\prime}+1=\frac{1}{3} \sim \underset{4}{\sim} \underset{i}{e} 4^{`}+1: \tag{2.4c}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we can show that in the lim iting case (b), our system is equivalent to the $S=3=2$ bondaltemating chain described by the follow ing H am iltonian:
with $\underset{0 ; 1}{\sim} \underset{N ; N+1}{\sim} \underset{N ; 1}{\sim}{ }_{\sim}^{e}$, as far as the ground state and the su ciently low -energy excited states are concemed. Furtherm ore, in the lim ting case (c), we replace a pair of the spins $\boldsymbol{s}_{4}, ~ 3$ and $\mathbf{s}_{4}, ~ 2$ by an e ective $S=1$ spin $S_{4}^{e}{ }^{e} 3 ; 4^{\prime} 2$, neglecting the singlet state of the two-spin system consisting of $\boldsymbol{s}_{4}, ~ 3$ and $\boldsymbol{s}_{4}, 2$. TheW igner $\mp$ ckart theorem gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{4} \cdot 3=\frac{1}{2} S_{4}^{e} \cdot 3 ; 4 \cdot 2 ;  \tag{2.6a}\\
& s_{4} \cdot 2=\frac{1}{2} S_{4}^{e} \cdot 3 ; 4 \cdot 2: \tag{2.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, our system in the lim iting case (c) is equivalent to the $S=1$ chain described by the follow ing H am iltonian:
w ith $S_{N+1 ; N+2}^{e} \quad S_{1 ; 2}^{e}$, as far as the ground state and the su ciently low -energy excited states are concemed.

From the above argum ents for the rst two lim iting cases (a) and (b), we can discuss when the system has $m$ assless excitations in these cases. In the case (a), it is $m$ assless only $w$ hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=16 J_{3}>0 ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the antiferrom agnetic $S=1=2$ bond-altemating chain becom es $m$ assless only in the case of no


$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=4 J_{3}>0 \text { and } J_{1}=4 J_{3} \frac{1}{1}>0: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of has been determ ined ${ }^{2} 1,1,251$, recently to be $=0: 43 \quad 0: 01$, which is the value given in ref. 25 .

W e also investigate the possibility of $m$ assless lines in the lim iting cases: (d) the case where $J_{3} \quad j_{1} \dot{j} j_{2} j$ and (e) the case where $J_{1} \quad j J_{2} j$ and $J_{1} J_{3}>0$. For this purpose we perform perturbation calculations. For the case (d), we rst observe that the system is $m$ assless at the point $J_{1}=J_{2}=0: 0$ when $J_{3}>0$. This is because at this point the system consists of $N=4$ independent pairs of tw o $S=1$ spins, each of $w$ hich has a singlet state as the low est-energy state, phis $N=2$ free $S=1=2$ spins, and therefore the ground state of the system is $2^{N}=2$-fold degenerate. In order to
explore the neighborhood of the above point for nite $J_{3}(>0)$, we consider the four-spin system described by the H am ittonian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{(4)}=J_{2} \mathbf{S}_{4}{ }_{2} \quad S_{4}{ }_{1}+J_{3} S_{4}{ }_{1} \quad S_{4},+J_{2} S_{4} \quad{ }_{4} \boldsymbol{s}_{+1}: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

D iagonalizing analytically this $H$ am iltonian, we nd that the ground and rst-excited states of the four-spin system are singlet and triplet states, respectively. T he energy di erence J betw een them is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J_{2}^{<}: \frac{4}{3} \frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}+2{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}}^{!}{ }_{2}^{27}{\frac{47}{J_{3}}}^{J_{3}}+0 @ \frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}{ }^{A}{ }^{1} \stackrel{9}{=} ; \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the energies of the second-and higher-excited states $m$ easured from the ground-state energy are nite at $J_{2}=0: 0$. Thus, taking only the ground and nst-excited states into consideration, we regard the four-spin system as the system described by $J \sim$, $\quad$ w where both $\sim$, and $\sim$, are $S=1=2$ operators. Then, as far as the ground state and the su ciently low-energy excited states are concemed, wem ap our original system described by the $H$ am iltonian $H$ ofeq. (1.1) to the follow ing e ective H am iltonian:
w ith $\sim_{\frac{N}{4}+1} \quad \sim_{1}$ and $\sim_{\frac{N}{4}+1} \quad$. The values of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are determ ined in such a way that the $m$ atrix elem ents of the two operators $J_{1} \sim, ~ \mathfrak{q}_{1}+J_{2}^{\sim}, ~ \mathfrak{F}_{1}+J_{2}^{\sim}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{1}$ and $J_{1} \mathbf{S}_{4} \uparrow+\quad 4 \mathbf{S}_{+2}$ coincide. The $m$ apping is correct to the order of $\left(J_{2}=J_{3}\right)^{3}$, and $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{2}=J_{1}^{<}: \frac{2}{3}{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}}^{!}+0 @{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}}^{!_{4}^{1} \stackrel{9}{=}} \text {; } \tag{2.13a}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, to the order of $\left(J_{2}=J_{3}\right)^{3}$, the e ective $H$ am iltonian $H$ e describes the $S=1=2$ chain whidh has the bond-altemating nearest-neighbor interactions $w$ ith the interaction constants $J$ and $J_{1}$ and also the uniform next-nearest-neighbor interaction $w$ th the interaction constant $\mathcal{J}_{2}$. Thus, rem em bering the fact that the antiferrom agnetic $S=1=2$ chain $w$ ith the uniform nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighb or interactions where the ratio of the latter interaction to the form er one is less than 0.24 is massless, ${ }^{2}{ }^{-1)}$ we may conclude, from eqs. (2.11), (2.13a), and (2.13b), that in
the lim iting case (d), the present quantum $m$ ixed spin chain described by the $H$ am iltonian $H$ of eq. (1.1) is m assless when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{8}{<} J_{1}=J_{2}: \frac{4}{3} \frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}+2{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}}^{!}+\frac{1}{27}{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}}_{3}^{!}+0 @ \frac{J_{2}}{J_{3}}{ }^{1} \stackrel{19}{=}: \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case (e) we perform a sim ilar perturbation calculation in the follow ing way. W e rst diagonalize analytically the H am iltonian which describes another four-spin system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{(4)}=J_{2} S_{4}{ }_{4} \quad{ }_{4} \mathbf{S}_{3}+J_{1} \mathbf{S}_{4}, 3 \quad{ }_{4} \mathbf{s}_{2}+J_{2} \mathbf{S}_{4}{ }_{2} \quad S_{4}{ }_{1} ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the energy di erence $\mathcal{J}$ betw een the singlet ground and triplet rst-excited states and that $\mathcal{J}^{0}$ betw een the singlet ground and quintet second-excited state to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=J_{1}: \frac{1}{<}{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}}}^{!_{2}}+0 \text { @ } \frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}}{ }_{3}^{19}=  \tag{2.16a}\\
& J^{0}=J_{1}: \frac{8}{<} \frac{3}{2}_{J_{1}}^{J_{2}}+0 @ \frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}}{ }_{3}^{\text {A }}= \tag{2.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

A dding the fact that the energy di erence betw een these low est states and higher-excited states are nite at $J_{2}=J_{1}=0: 0$, we can regard, to the order of $\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)^{2}$, the four-spin system as a system described by $J \sim, ~ \tau \sim w h e r e \sim$, and $\sim$, are $S=1$ operators. Then, as far as the ground state and the su ciently low-energy excited states are concemed, we map the present system described by the $H$ am iltonian $H$ ofeq. (1.1) to the e ective $H$ am iltonian ofeq. (2.12) w ith the $S=1$ operators ~ , and $\sim_{1}$. The values of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ can be determ ined sim ilarly to the above perturbation calculation. $T$ he results are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1}=J_{3}^{<}{ }^{<}{ }^{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}} \quad{ }_{2} \quad 0 \quad 0 @ \frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}} \quad{ }_{3}^{1} \stackrel{9}{=} ;  \tag{2.17a}\\
& J_{2}=J_{3} 0 @{\frac{J_{2}}{J_{1}}}^{!}{ }^{\text {A }} ; \tag{2.17b}
\end{align*}
$$

which show that, to the order of $\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)^{2}$, the e ective $H$ am iltonian $H$ e describes the $S=1$ chain which has the bond-altemating nearest-neighb or interactions $w$ ith the interaction constants $J$ and $J_{1}$. Thus, it $m$ ay be concluded, from eqs. (2.16a) and (2.17a), that in the lim iting case (e), the present system is m assless when $\left(J_{1}=2\right)\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)^{2} J_{3} 1 \quad(1=2)\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)^{2}=1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad$ w ith


$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}=\frac{3}{10} \frac{J_{2}^{2}}{J_{3}} \text { and } J_{1}=\frac{5}{6} \frac{J_{2}^{2}}{J_{3}}: \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above results given by eqs. (2.8), (2.9), (2.14), and (2.18) w ith $J_{3}$ xed at a positive and nite value describe, respectively, the asym ptotic behavior in the lim iting cases (a), (b), (d), and (e) of the $m$ assless lines on the $J_{1}$ versus $J_{2}$ plane. These are sum $m$ arized in $F$ ig. 2, where $J_{3}$ is chosen to be $J_{3}=1: 0$. O ur prelim inary results indicate that the asym ptotic $m$ assless lines in $F$ ig. 2 connect to form four $m$ assless lines. Leaving the detailed presentation of these $m$ assless lines for further publication $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $J_{2}>0$, as $m$ entioned in $x 1$.
x3. N um erical calculations

### 3.1 QMC m ethod

As has been $m$ entioned in $x 1$, we m ainly em ploy a QMC m ethod in the num erical calculation. Before discussing the num erical results, we explain the Q M C procedure for the present spin- $1 / 2$ -
 partition function $Z=\operatorname{Tr} \exp (\quad H)$, where $=\left(k_{B} T\right)^{1} w$ th the Boltzm ann constant $k_{B}$ and the absolute tem perature $T$, is approxim ated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Z^{\prime} \operatorname{Tr}^{6^{W^{M}=4}( } \quad \exp \quad h_{4} \cdot 3 ; 4^{\prime} 2_{2}=n \quad \exp \quad h_{4} \cdot 1 ; 4 \cdot=n\right)_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{4} \cdot 3 ; 4 \times 2=J_{1} \mathbf{S}_{4} \backslash 3 \quad{ }_{4} \mathbf{S}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{32a}\\
& h_{4}{ }_{1 ; 4}{ }^{\prime}=J_{3} S_{4}{ }_{1} \quad S_{4}{ }^{\prime} ;  \tag{32b}\\
& h_{4}{ }^{\prime} 2 ; 4^{\prime} 1=J_{2} S_{4}, 2 \quad S_{4}{ }^{\prime} \text {; }  \tag{32c}\\
& h_{4}{ }^{\prime} 4^{\top}+1=J_{2} S_{4}, \quad{ }_{4} \mathbf{S}_{+1} \tag{32d}
\end{align*}
$$

are the local H am iltonians, and n is the T rotter num ber. C hoosing the z -axis as the quantization axis, we introduce the local B oltzm ann factors de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { * }+ \tag{3.3.b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\underset{\left.4^{`} ; 4\right\urcorner+1}{(2 r ; 2 r+1)}=\quad S_{4}^{(2 r)} S_{4}^{(2 r)} \quad{ }_{4}^{(2 r)} \exp \quad h_{4} \cdot ; 4\right\urcorner+1=n \quad S_{4}^{(2 r+1)} S_{4}^{(2 r+1)} \tag{3.3d}
\end{equation*}
$$

 states consisting of $\mathbf{S}_{4} \times 3$ and $\mathbf{S}_{4} \times 2$, of $S_{4} \times 1$ and $S_{4}$, of $\mathbf{S}_{4} \times 2$ and $S_{4} \times 1$, and of $S_{4}, ~$ and $\mathbf{S}_{4}{ }^{\prime}+1$. H ere, $r^{0}$ is a label along the $T$ rotter direction, taking the values, $1,2, \quad, 2_{4}^{\left(0_{1}^{0}\right)}{ }_{3}$ sand $s_{4}^{\left(0^{0}\right)}{ }_{2}$ take the values, $\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right)} 1$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}^{\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right)}$ take the values, $0,1 . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing}$ these local B o 1 zm ann factors, eq. (3.1) is rew ritten as

 regarded as the partition function of a two-dim ensional Ising system with the Ising variables,
 Boltzm ann factors. A graphical representation of th is tw o-din ensional Ising system is presented in Fig. 3. It is noted that in this gure we have four kinds of plaquettes, corresponding to four kinds of the local Boltzm ann factors.
W e perform a Q MC calculation on the basis of the two-din ensional Ising system discussed above. To update the spin con guration, we carry out the follow ing local ips, keeping the totalm agnetization of the system constant

$$
\begin{align*}
& !s_{4 \cdot 3}^{(2 r)}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{s}_{4}^{(2 r)}{ }_{2}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{s}_{4}^{(2 r+1)}{ }_{3}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{s}_{4 \cdot 2}^{(2 r+1)}=\frac{1}{2} ;  \tag{3.5a}\\
& \text { ( } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{4 \cdot 2}^{\left(2 \mathrm{r}{ }^{1)}\right.}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{4 \cdot 1}^{(2 \mathrm{r} 1)} ; \mathrm{S}_{4 \cdot 2}^{(2 \mathrm{r})}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{4 \vee 1}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} \\
& !S_{4 \cdot 2}^{(2 r 1)}=\frac{1}{2} ; S_{4 ` 1}^{(2 r r 1)}+1 ; S_{4 \cdot 2}^{(2 r)}=\frac{1}{2} ; S_{4 ` 1}^{(2 r)}+1 ;  \tag{3.5b}\\
& \text { ( ) } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} ; \mathrm{S}_{4 \cdot 1}^{(2 \mathrm{r}+1)} ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r}+1)} \\
& \text { ( ) } \\
& !\quad \mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{(2 \mathrm{r})}{ }_{1}+1 ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{(2 \mathrm{r}+1)}+1 ; \mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{(2 r+1)} \quad 1 \text {; }  \tag{3.5c}\\
& \text { ( } \\
& S_{4 \cdot 1}^{(2 r)}=1 ; S_{4}^{(2 r)}=1 ; S_{4 \cdot 1}^{(2 r+1)}=1 ; S_{4}^{(2 r+1)}=1
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{( } S_{4 ` 1}^{(2 r)}=1 ; S_{4}^{(2 r)}=1 ; S_{4 \wedge 1}^{(2 r+1)}=1 ; S_{4}^{(2 r+1)}=1 \text {; }  \tag{3.5}\\
& { }^{( } \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r} 1)} ; \mathrm{S}_{4 \cdot+1}^{(2 \mathrm{r} 1)}=\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{4^{\prime}}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} ; \mathrm{S}_{4+1}^{(2 \mathrm{r})}=\frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \\
& !\mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r} 1)} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r}+1)}=+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{4^{\prime}}^{(2 \mathrm{r})} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{S}_{4}^{(2 \mathrm{r})}{ }^{(1)}=+\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \text {; } \tag{3.5e}
\end{align*}
$$

 $2 \mathrm{r}, 2 \mathrm{r}+1$ ) in eqs. (3.5c) and (3.5e) is equal to 0 or 1 .

W e also carry out the global ips along the T rotter direction, which are given by $\mathrm{y}^{1-14 \mathbf{I}_{1}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{0}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} ; S_{00}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }_{00}^{(2 n)} ;=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \text { ( } 1 \text { ) } \\
& !\quad s_{10}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} ; s_{10}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }_{00}^{(2 n)} ;=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(r^{0}=4, \quad 3 ; 4, \quad 2\right) ;  \tag{3.6a}\\
& \text { ( ) } \\
& \mathrm{S}{ }_{10}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{S}{ }_{0}^{(2)} ; \quad{ }_{10}^{(2 \mathrm{n})} ; \mathrm{S} \\
& \text { ( } 1(2) \\
& !\quad S_{10}^{(1)} \quad 1 ; S_{10}^{(2)} \quad 1 ; \quad{ }_{10}^{(2 n)} ; S 1 \quad\left({ }^{0}=4, \quad 1 ; 49\right) ; \tag{3.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

$S_{1_{0}^{(r)}}^{\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right)}\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}=1,2, \quad, 2 \mathrm{n}\right)$ in eq. (3.6b) being equal to 0 or 1 . These global ips do change the $m$ agnetization in contrast to the above local ips. It should be noted that we do not take the global ips along the real-space direction into account in the present QM C calculation, assum ing that their e ect is not serious at least when the num ber N of spins is not too sm all. Th is m eans that we neglect the change of the winding num ber of the spin con guration

U sing this approach, we have investigated num erically the ground-state and therm odynam ic properties of the spin $-1 / 2-1 / 2-1-1$ chain, con ning ourselves to the case $w$ here $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $J_{2}>0$. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that $J_{1}$, or equivalently $J_{3}$, is chosen to be the unit of energy.)

### 3.2 G round-state properties

In this subsection, we discuss the energy gap and the nearest-neighbor tw o-spin correlation functions in the ground state, in order to investigate the ground-state phase transition.

For a nite-N system, we have carried out the QM C calculation only w th the local ips. Thus, the system is kept in the subspace of a given value of the $z$-com ponent $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ of $S_{\text {tot }}$. W e have estim ated the energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}_{\text {tot }}^{z}}(\mathbb{N})$ with $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}=0$ (the ground state) and 1 (the rst-excited state), which are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{tot}}^{z}}(\mathbb{N})=\stackrel{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{MC} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{tot}}^{z}} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and $h \quad \mathrm{MC}$; $\mathrm{s}_{\text {tot }}^{\text {b }}$. stands for the M onte C arlo average w ith in the subspace determ ined by the value of $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$. The energy gap $(\mathbb{N})$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N})=\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathbb{N}): \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

C arrying out the abovem entioned QMC calculation with in the $S_{\text {tot }}^{2}=0$ subspace, we have also estim ated the three kinds of ground-state nearest-neighbor tw o-spin correlation functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{2 ; 3}(\mathbb{N})=h_{2} \quad S_{3} \text { im }_{\mathrm{M}} ; 0=3 \mathrm{hS}_{2}^{z} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{z} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{C} ; 0 \text {; }  \tag{3.10b}\\
& !_{3 ; 4}(\mathbb{N})=h S_{3} \quad S_{4} i_{M c} ; 0=3 h S_{3}^{z} S_{4}^{z} i_{M C} ; 0:
\end{align*}
$$

In these calculations, the values of $J_{2}$ have been chosen to be $J_{2}=1: 00,0: 90,0: 80,0: 78,0: 75,0: 70$, $0: 60$, and $0: 50$, and those ofN to be $\mathrm{N}=8,16,32,64$, and 128 . W e have perform ed $10^{6} \mathrm{M}$ onte C arlo steps after $10^{5}$ initial steps for obtaining the them al equilibrium. T he $T$ rotter num bers which we have used are $\mathrm{n}=12,16,24,32,40$, and 48 , and the n -dependence of the nite N QM C results $A_{n}(\mathbb{N})$ for a given physical quantity $A$ has been extrapolated to $n!1$ by $m$ aking a least-squares $t$ to the form ula ${ }^{(3)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(\mathbb{N})=A(\mathbb{N})+\frac{a}{n^{2}}+\frac{b}{n^{4}} ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants which are independent of $n ; A(\mathbb{N})$ yields the Trotter-extrapolated value. M ost of the calculations have been done at the tem perature $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 05 \mathrm{only}$. For the cases of $J_{2}=1: 00$ and $0: 50 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{N}=8$ and 16 , how ever, we have also done the calculation at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 02$, and have obtained, for both tem peratures, the same Trotter-extrapolated values for $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathbb{N}) . \mathrm{T}$ hese values agree w ith those obtained by the exact-diagonalization calculation w th in the num ericalerror, although the conservation of the $w$ inding num ber causes a system atic deviation in short chains. $W$ e therefore consider that $k_{B} T=0: 05$ is low enough to discuss the zero-tem perature properties. Thus, the calculated result for $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathbb{N})$ yields the ground-state energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathbb{N})$ and those for ! $1_{1 ; 2}(\mathbb{N}),!_{2 ; 3}(\mathbb{N})$, and $!_{3 ; 4}(\mathbb{N})$ yield the nearest-neighbor two-spin correlation function in the ground state.

The Trotter-extrapolated values depend upon which data we use when we m ake a least-squares $t$ to the form ula given by eq. (3.11). Follow ing M iyashita and Yam am oto's procedure, ${ }^{-161)}$ we have perform ed three di erent extrapolations. The rst one, denoted by TEX 6, uses six data for $n=12$, $16,24,32,40$, and 48 , the second one, TEX5, uses ve data for $n=16,24,32,40$, and 48 , and the third one, TEX4, uses four data for $\mathrm{n}=24,32,40$, and 48. B oth for the ground-state energy $g(\mathbb{N}) \quad E_{0}(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cell consisting of tw $\circ S=1=2$ and $t w \circ S=1$ spins and for the energy gap $(\mathbb{N})$, the three extrapolations give alm ost the sam e values dow $n$ to the second decim alplace. W e determ ine the $T$ rotter-extrapolated value to be the average of the three values obtained by the three extrapolations, and estim ate the error by the di erence betw een the average and the farthest value am ong the three values. T he results for $g(\mathbb{N})$ and $(\mathbb{N})$ are tabulated, respectively, in Tables II and III, where, for the sake of com parison, the results of the exact-diagonalization calculation for $\mathrm{N}=8$ and 16 are also listed.

Table II. N um erical results for the ground-state energy $g(N)$ per unit cell in the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The values in the colum ns denoted by TEX give the T otter-extrapolated results, and those in the colum ns denoted by ED give the results of the exact-diagonalization calculation. The extrapolated values $g(1)$ to $N$ ! 1 are given in the rightm ost ( $N$ ! 1 ) colum $n$. It is noted that the gures in the parentheses show errors in the last digit.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=16$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=32$ | $\mathrm{~N}=64$ | $\mathrm{~N}=128$ | N ! 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TEX | ED | TEX | ED | TEX | TEX | TEX |  |
| $J_{2}=1: 00$ | $3: 54(1)$ | $3: 5470$ | $3: 52(1)$ | $3: 5159$ | $3: 52(1)$ | $3: 52(1)$ | $3: 52(1)$ | $3: 52(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 90$ | $3: 37(1)$ | $3: 3832$ | $3: 34(1)$ | $3: 3449$ | $3: 34(1)$ | $3: 34(1)$ | $3: 34(1)$ | $3: 34(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 80$ | $3: 22(1)$ | $3: 2326$ | $3: 19(1)$ | $3: 1891$ | $3: 18(1)$ | $3: 18(1)$ | $3: 18(1)$ | $3: 18(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 78$ | $3: 19(1)$ | $3: 2045$ | $3: 16(1)$ | $3: 1609$ | $3: 15(1)$ | $3: 15(1)$ | $3: 15(1)$ | $3: 15(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 75$ | $3: 15(1)$ | $3: 1638$ | $3: 12(1)$ | $3: 1209$ | $3: 11(1)$ | $3: 11(1)$ | $3: 11(1)$ | $3: 11(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 70$ | $3: 09(1)$ | $3: 1002$ | $3: 06(1)$ | $3: 0611$ | $3: 05(1)$ | $3: 05(1)$ | $3: 05(1)$ | $3: 05(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 60$ | $2: 98(1)$ | $2: 9908$ | $2: 97(1)$ | $2: 9655$ | $2: 96(1)$ | $2: 96(1)$ | $2: 96(1)$ | $2: 96(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 50$ | $2: 90(1)$ | $2: 9060$ | $2: 89(1)$ | $2: 8937$ | $2: 89(1)$ | $2: 89(1)$ | $2: 89(1)$ | $2: 89(1)$ |

In order to obtain the results in the therm odynam ic lim it $(\mathbb{N}!1$ ), the Trotter-extrapolated values A $(\mathbb{N}$ ) have further been extrapolated by the least-squares $m$ ethod using a linear function of $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\mathbb{N})=A(1)+\frac{C}{N^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table III. N um erical results for the energy gap $(\mathbb{N})$ in the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The values in the colum $n s$ denoted by TEX give the Totter-extrapolated results, and those in the colum ns denoted by ED give the results of the exact-diagonalization calculation. The extrapolated values (1) to N ! 1 are given in the rightm ost ( N ! 1 ) colum $n$. It is noted that the gures in the parentheses show errors in the last digit.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=16$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=32$ | $\mathrm{~N}=64$ | $\mathrm{~N}=128$ | $\mathrm{~N}!$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TEX | ED | TEX | ED | TEX | TEX | TEX |  |
| $J_{2}=1: 00$ | $0: 61(1)$ | $0: 6156$ | $0: 46(1)$ | $0: 4497$ | $0: 44(1)$ | $0: 44(1)$ | $0: 44(1)$ | $0: 44(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 90$ | $0: 55(1)$ | $0: 5566$ | $0: 36(1)$ | $0: 3522$ | $0: 32(1)$ | $0: 31(1)$ | $0: 31(1)$ | $0: 31(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 80$ | $0: 51(1)$ | $0: 5126$ | $0: 28(1)$ | $0: 2807$ | $0: 17(1)$ | $0: 13(1)$ | $0: 13(1)$ | $0: 13(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 78$ | $0: 50(1)$ | $0: 5064$ | $0: 27(1)$ | $0: 2733$ | $0: 15(1)$ | $0: 09(1)$ | $0: 06(2)$ | $0: 06(2)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 75$ | $0: 50(1)$ | $0: 4992$ | $0: 27(1)$ | $0: 2685$ | $0: 14(1)$ | $0: 08(1)$ | $0: 06(2)$ | $0: 06(2)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 70$ | $0: 49(1)$ | $0: 4930$ | $0: 28(1)$ | $0: 2785$ | $0: 20(1)$ | $0: 18(1)$ | $0: 18(1)$ | $0: 18(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 60$ | $0: 51(1)$ | $0: 5059$ | $0: 37(1)$ | $0: 3555$ | $0: 34(1)$ | $0: 34(1)$ | $0: 34(1)$ | $0: 34(1)$ |
| $J_{2}=0: 50$ | $0: 56(1)$ | $0: 5529$ | $0: 48(1)$ | $0: 4697$ | $0: 48(1)$ | $0: 48(1)$ | $0: 48(1)$ | $0: 48(1)$ |

w ith a constant c. Perform ing the extrapolation, we have used three data A (32), A (64), and A (128) for $J_{2}=0: 75$ and $0: 78$, and four data $A(16), A(32), A(64)$, and $A(128)$ for the other values of $J_{2}$. Figure 4 illustrate this extrapolation for the energy gap, where A is. Th N ! 1 extrapolated values $g(1)$ for the ground-state energy per unit cell and those (1) for the energy gap are also listed in Tables II and III, respectively, and are plotted as a function of $J_{2}$ in $F$ ig. 5 and $F$ ig. 6, respectively. From Fig. 6 we see that (1) vanishes at

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}=J_{2 c}=0: 77 \quad 0: 01: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result show $s$ that the ground state of the present system $w$ th $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ undergoes a second-order phase transition at this value of $J_{2}$.

The T rotter extrapolated values $!_{1 ; 2}(\mathbb{N}),!_{2 ; 3}(\mathbb{N})$, and !3;4 $(\mathbb{N})$ for the nearest-neighb or tw o-spin correlation functions are tabulated in Tables $\mathbb{I V}, V$, and $V I$, respectively, where the corresponding $\mathrm{N}!1$ extrapolated values, $!_{1 ; 2}(1)$, ! ${ }_{2 ; 3}(1)$, and $!_{3 ; 4}(1)$ are also listed. In $F$ ig. 7 we plot $!_{1 ; 2}(1)$, ! ${ }_{2 ; 3}(1)$, and ! ${ }_{3 ; 4}(1)$ as functions of $J_{2}$, where each correlation function is norm alized by its m in im um value note that $\quad 3=4 \quad \underset{1}{2} ; 2(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1=4, \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} ; 3(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1=2$, and $2 \quad \frac{1}{3} ; 4(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1$. This gure dem onstrates that as $J_{2}$ increases, j! 1;2 (1) jand j!2;3(1)jrapidly decreases and increases, respectively, around $J_{2} \quad J_{2 c}$, while j! 3;4 (1)j rather gradually decreases with increasing $J_{2}$. G iving a thought to these $J_{2}$-dependences of the correlation functions, $w e m$ ay schem atically represent, by $m$ eans of the VBS picture $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \dot{a}^{\prime}$ ) the ground states for $J_{2}<J_{2 c}$ and that for $J_{2}>J_{2 c}$
as depicted in $F$ ig. 8. The VBS wave function of $F$ ig. 8 (a) corresponds to the state for $J_{2}=0: 0$, while the VBS wave function of $F$ ig. $8(b)$ to the state for $J_{1}=0: 0$ and $J_{2}!+1$. The change of the correlation functions as a function of $J_{2}$ clearly illustrates the interpolation betw een these tw o lim iting states.

Table IV . Trotter-extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbor tw o-spin correlation function ! $1 ; 2(\mathbb{N})$ and the $N$ ! 1 extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighb or tw O-spin correlation function $!_{1 ; 2}(1)$ in the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. It is noted that the gures in the parentheses show errors in the last digit.

|  | $N=8$ | $N=16$ | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | N ! 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=1: 00$ | $0 \cdot 28$ (1) | $0: 23$ (1) | $0: 22$ (1) | $0: 22$ (1) | $0: 22$ (1) | $0: 22$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 90$ | 0:33 (1) | $0: 27$ (1) | $0: 26$ (1) | $0: 26$ (1) | $0: 26$ (1) | $0 \cdot 26$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 80$ | 0:41 (1) | 0:37 (1) | 0:35 (1) | $0: 34$ (1) | 0:34 (1) | $0: 34$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 78$ | 0:43 (1) | 0:40 (1) | 0:39 (1) | $0: 38$ (1) | 0:38 (1) | $0: 38$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 75$ | 0:46 (1) | 0:46 (1) | 0:46 (1) | $0: 46$ (1) | 0:46 (1) | $0: 46$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 70$ | 0:51 (1) | 0:53 (1) | 0:54 (1) | 0:54 (1) | $0: 54$ (1) | $0: 54$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 60$ | 0:60 (1) | 0:62 (1) | 0:62 (1) | $0: 62$ (1) | $0: 62$ (1) | $0: 62$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 50$ | 0:66(1) | $0: 67$ (1) | 0:67 (1) | $0: 67$ (1) | 0:67 (1) | 0:67 (1) |

### 3.3 T herm odynam ic properties

W e now tum to the discussion of the therm odynam ic properties. P erform ing the Q M C calculation which takes into account the global ips given by eqs. (3.5a) and (3.5b) as well as the local ips given by eqs. (3.5a)-(3.5e), we have calculated the tem perature dependences of the speci c heat $C(\mathbb{N})$ and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility $(\mathbb{N})$ for $J_{2}=J_{2 c}=0: 77$, and also for $J_{2}=1: 0$ and 5:0. H ere, the expressions for $C(\mathbb{N})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\mathbb{N})=\frac{1}{k_{B} T^{2}} \stackrel{D}{1}_{Q_{M C}}^{E} \quad Q_{1_{M C}}^{E_{2}}+Q_{M_{M C}}^{E} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{z}} 2_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{C}}^{+} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \quad \mathrm{Mc}$ denotes the M onte C arlo average, $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ is given by eq. (3.8), and

Table V. Trotter-extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbor two-spin correlation function ! ${ }_{2} ; 3(\mathbb{N})$ and the $N!1$ extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbor tw o-spin correlation function $!_{2 ; 3}(1)$ in the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. It is noted that the gures in the parentheses show errors in the last digit.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | N ! 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=1: 00$ | 0:87 (1) | 0:89 (1) | 0:89 (1) | $0: 89$ (1) | $0: 89$ (1) | $0: 89$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 90$ | $0: 81$ (1) | 0:84 (1) | 0:85 (1) | $0: 85$ (1) | $0: 85$ (1) | $0: 85$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 80$ | 0:72 (1) | $0: 74$ (1) | $0: 75$ (1) | $0: 76$ (1) | $0: 76$ (1) | $0: 76$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 78$ | 0:70 (1) | $0: 70$ (1) | $0: 71$ (1) | $0: 72$ (1) | $0: 72$ (1) | $0: 72$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 75$ | 0:67 (1) | 0:64 (1) | $0: 63$ (1) | $0: 62$ (1) | $0: 62$ (1) | $0: 62$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 70$ | 0:61 (1) | $0: 54$ (1) | $0: 52$ (1) | $0: 51$ (1) | $0: 51$ (1) | $0: 51$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 60$ | 0:47 (1) | $0: 41$ (1) | $0: 40$ (1) | $0: 40$ (1) | $0: 40$ (1) | $0: 40$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 50$ | $0: 35$ (1) | $0: 31$ (1) | $0: 31$ (1) | $0: 31$ (1) | $0: 31$ (1) | $0: 31$ (1) |

Table VI. Trotter-extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbortwo-spin correlation function ! 3;4 ( N ) and the $N$ ! 1 extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbor two-spin correlation function $!_{3 ; 4}(1)$ in the case where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. It is noted that the gures in the parentheses show errors in the last digit.

|  | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | N ! 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=1: 00$ | 1:58 (1) | 1:53 (1) | 1:52 (1) | 1:52 (1) | 1:52 (1) | 1:52 (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 90$ | 1:62 (1) | 1:57 (1) | 1:56 (1) | 1:56 (1) | 1:56 (1) | 1:56 (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 80$ | 1:69 (1) | 1:66 (1) | 1:64 (1) | 1:63 (1) | 1:63 (1) | $1: 63$ (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 78$ | 1:70 (1) | 1:68 (1) | 1:67 (1) | 1:66 (1) | 1:66 (1) | 1:66 (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 75$ | 1:73 (1) | 1:73 (1) | 1:72 (1) | 1:72 (1) | 1:72 (1) | 1:72 (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 70$ | 1:78(1) | 1:79 (1) | 1:80 (1) | 1:80 (1) | 1:80 (1) | $1: 80$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 60$ | 1:86 (1) | 1:87 (1) | 1:87 (1) | 1:87 (1) | 1:87 (1) | 1:87 (1) |
| $J_{2}=0: 50$ | 1:92 (1) | 1:92 (1) | 1:92 (1) | 1:92 (1) | 1:92 (1) | 1:92 (1) |

In deriving eq. (3.15), we assum e that the $g$-factor associated $w$ th the $S=1=2$ spin is identical to that associated w ith the $S=1$ spin and that $h S_{\text {tot }}^{z} i_{M C}$ vanishes. For $J_{2}=1: 0$ and $5: 0$, the values of $N$ have been chosen only to be $N=8,16$ and 32 . $T$ his is because for these $J_{2}$ ' S , we expect weak $N$-dependences of $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ and $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$, since the energy gap (1) is rather large (see $F$ ig. 6), or equivalently, the correlation length is rather short. For the critical value $J_{2}=0: 77$, on the other hand, the calculation has been done also for $\mathrm{N}=64$ and 128 when T is not too high, in addition to $\mathrm{N}=8,16$ and 32. W e list in Table V II $T$ rotter num bers $\mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{~s}$, the M onte C arlo steps, and the in itial steps for obtaining the them alequilibrium. By using the $Q M C$ results for all of the four Trotter num bers, the $n$-dependence of $C_{n}(\mathbb{N})$ for $J_{2}=0: 77$ and 1:0 has been extrapolated to $n!1$ by the least-squares $m$ ethod $w$ ith the form ula of eq. (3.11) ${ }^{1-135_{1}^{\prime \prime}}$ Sim ilar extrapolations for $C_{n}(\mathbb{N})$ for $J_{2}=5: 0$ and for ${ }_{n}(\mathbb{N})$ for $J_{2}=0: 77,1: 0$, and 5:0 have been done by the use of the form ulal

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(\mathbb{N})=A(\mathbb{N})+\frac{a}{n^{2}} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a constant, instead of the form ula of eq. (3.11).

In $F$ ig. 9 weplot versus the tem perature $T$ the $T$ rotter-extrapolated values $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4) \mathbb{F}$ ig. $9(a)]$ w ith $N=8,16$, and 32 for the speci c heat per unit cell and $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4) \mathbb{E}$ ig. 9 (b)] w ith $N=8$, 16 , and 32 for the $m$ agnetic susceptibility per unit cell, which have been obtained for $J_{2}=1: 0$. For the sake of com parison, we also plot, in these gures, the exact results forboth quantities for $N=8$ and $J_{2}=1: 0$ versus $T$, which we have obtained by diagonalizing com pletely the $H$ am iltonian to calculate all the eigenvalues. $T$ he corresponding plots for $J_{2}=0: 77$ are presented in $F$ ig. 10. A s is expected, both $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ and $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ for $J_{2}=1: 0$ have rather w eak $N$-dependences even at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 08$. For $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 77$, on the other hand, the N -dependence of $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ is noticeable at low tem peratures; it increases as N increases. Judging from the T -dependences of (64)=16 and $(128)=32$, we $m$ ay conclude that at $T=0: 0$, the $m$ agnetic susceptibility per unit cell in the therm odynam ic lim it is nite for $J_{2}=0: 77 \mathrm{w}$ th the value $[(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)]_{\mathrm{N}}!1=0: 42 \quad 0: 01$. This is consistent $w$ th the fact that the energy gap vanishes for this value of $J_{2}$.

Table VII. Sets of the Trotter num bers ( $n$ ) used at various tem peratures, and also the M onte C arlo steps (M C S) and the in itial steps (IS) spent for each Trotter num ber at each tem perature, where the form er steps do not include the latter steps. $N$ ote that $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$.

| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ for $\mathrm{J}_{2}=0: 77,1: 0$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ for $\mathrm{J}_{2}=5: 0$ |  | n | M C S |  | IS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:08 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T} \quad 0: 10$ | $0: 400 \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ | $0: 625$ | 12, 16, 24, 32 | 120 | $10^{4}$ | 6 | $10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 15$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 750$ |  | 8, 12, 16, 24 | 100 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 875$ |  | 8, 12, 16, 24 | 50 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 20$ | 1:000 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ | 1:375 | $6,8,12,16$ | 50 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |
| 0:30 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T} \quad 0: 50$ | 1:500 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ | 2:750 | $4,6,8,12$ | 40 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |
| 0:60 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ 1:00 | 3:000 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ | 5:000 | 2, 4, 6, 8 | 30 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |
| 1:20 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ 2:00 | 6:000 $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ | 8:000 | $2,4,6,8$ | 25 | $10^{4}$ | 5 | $10^{4}$ |

Figure 11 presents the plots versus $T$ of $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4) \mathbb{E}$ ig. 11 (a)] with $N=8,16$, and 32 and $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4) \mathbb{F}$ ig. $11(\mathrm{~b})]$ w ith $N=8,16$, and 32, which have been obtained for $J_{2}=5: 0$, and also the plots versus $T$ of the exact results for both quantities for $N=8$ and $J_{2}=5: 0$. It is noted that the $N$-dependences of both $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ and $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ are weak as in the case of $J_{2}=1: 0$. A characteristic feature of the $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ versus $T$ curve show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11 (a) is that it has a double peak. The origin of the tw o peaks can be understood as follow s. T he dot-dashed line in F ig. 11 (a) show $s$ the $T$-dependence of the speci cheat for two pairs of the two-spin system consisting of an $S=1=2$ spin $s$ and an $S=1 \operatorname{spin} S$ which couple w ith each other by $5 s . S$ om paring this $w$ th the $T$-dependence of $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$, we $m$ ay conclude that the higher-tem perature peak is associated w ith the Schottky-type peak of this two-spin system. On the other hand, the dashed line in $F$ ig. 11 (a) show s the $T$-dependence of the half of the speci $c$ heat for the $S=1=2$ bond-altemating chain described by the $H$ am iltonian $H$ (a) [see eq. (2.3)] w ith $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $N=8$. The peak height as well as the peak position in this $T$-dependence agrees, respectively, $w$ ith those in the $T$-dependence of $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$, which $m$ ay leads us to the conclusion that the low er-tem perature peak is associated w ith the peak com ing from the short-range order in the $S=1=2$ bond-altemating chain. A som ew hat unusualbehavior of $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ as a function of $T$, seen in $F$ ig. 11 (b), can also be understood as follow s. At high tem peratures the $T$-dependence of $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ should be sim ilar to that of the $m$ agnetic susceptibility for tw o pairs of the above two-spin system, whidh diverges at $T$ ! 0:0 [see the dot-dashed line in $F$ ig. $11(\mathrm{~b})]$, while $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ should van ish at $T=0: 0$ since the present system has $m$ assive excitations when $J_{2}=5: 0$.
x4. Sum $m$ ary and $C$ onclusions
W e have explored the ground-state properties of the quantum $m$ ixed spin system described by the H am iltonian H see eq. (1.1) . F irst, we have applied the $L$ ieb $-M$ attis theorem $11 \mathrm{I}_{-1}$ ) to show that the ground state of the system is nonm agnetic when $J_{1}>0$ and when $J_{1}<0$ and $J_{3}>0$; in the rem aining region where $J_{1}<0_{i}$ and $J_{3}<0$ it is ferrim agnetic or ferrom agnetic depending upon whether $J_{2}>0$ or $J_{2}<0$ Table I. Second, applying the $W$ ignerモckart theorem ${ }^{-19}$ (9) to the liming cases (a)
 have $m$ apped the $H$ am iltonian $H$ into the bond-altemating chains described by the $H$ am iltonians $H$ (a) $w$ ith e ective $S=1=2$ spins eq. (2.3) , $H$ (b) $w$ ith e ective $S=3=2$ spins eq. (2.5) , and $H$ (c) w ith e ective $S=1$ spins eq. (2.7) , respectively. This leads to the facts that in the lim iting case (a) the present system is $m$ assless when eq. (2 8) holds, and in the lim iting case (b) it is m assless when eq. (2.9) holds. W e have also carried out the perturbation calculations to show that in the lim iting cases (d) $J_{3} \quad j J_{1} \dot{j} j_{2}$ jand (e) $J_{1} \quad j J_{2} j a n d J_{1} \quad J_{3}>0$, the system ism asslessw hen eqs. (2.14) and (2.18) hold, respectively. These results for $J_{3}=1: 0$ are sum $m$ arized in $F i g .2$. Third, perform ing the Q M C calculation w thout the global ips at a su ciently low tem perature ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0: 05$ ), we have shown that when $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$, the energy gap $(1)$ vanishes at $J_{2}=J_{2 c}=0: 77 \quad 0: 01 \mathrm{~F}$ ig. 6 , where the second-order phase transition occurs in the ground state. T he representation of both the ground state for $J_{2}<J_{2 c}$ and that for $J_{2}>J_{2 c}$ by $m$ eans of the VBS picture is given in $F$ ig. 8, which is suggested from the $J_{2}$ dependences $F$ ig. 7 of the ground-state nearest-neighb or tw o-spin correlation functions ! $1 ; 2(1),!_{2 ; 3}(1)$, and ! $3 ; 4$ (1 ).

Furtherm ore, perform ing the Q M C calculation including the global ips along the $T$ rotter direction as well as the local jips, we have calculated the tem perature dependences of the speci cheat $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cell and the m agnetic susceptibility $(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cell w ith $N=8$, 16 , and 32 for $J_{2}=0: 77,1: 0$, and $5: 0 \mathrm{w}$ th $J_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ xed at $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The results of these calculations are depicted in F igs.9-11.
$F$ igure 2 clearly dem onstrates that several $m$ assless lines exist on the $J_{1}$ versus $J_{2}$ plane $w$ ith $J_{1}>0$ in the case of $J_{3}=1: 0$. W e are now exploring the details of the ground-state phase diagram on this plane by the use of a density-m atrix renom alization-group $m$ ethod proposed originally by $W$ hite ${ }^{\left(388_{1}\right)}$ O ur prelim inary results show that there exist four $m$ assless lines which divides the upper-half plane into six regions; the ground state in each region can be understood by the VBS picture ${ }^{511} 1$

In conclusion, we hope that the present study stim ulates further experim ental studies on related sub ject, which inchude the synthesization of quantum $m$ ixed spin system $s w$ ith nonm agnetic ground states.
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Fig. 1. Unit cells of the low est-energy spin arrays, for (a) $J_{3}>0$ and (b) $J_{3}<0$, of the corresponding classical system in which s, and $S$, are replaced, respectively, by the classical spin vectors $w$ ith the $m$ agnitudes of $1=2$ and 1 . T he short and long arrow s indicate the form er and latter classical spin vectors, respectively.

Fig. 2. $M$ assless lines in the $J_{1}$ versus $J_{2}$ plane $w$ ith $J_{1}>0$ and $J_{3}=1: 0$ in the lim iting cases (a), (b), (d), and (e). $T$ he $m$ assless lines in the cases (a), (b), and (e) are show $n$ by the dashes lines, while the massless line in the case (d) is by the solid line in the box where the scales of both the abscissa and the ordinate are en larged by factor 10. T he dotted lines show simple extrapolations of the solid line. The cirle denotes the $m$ assless point ( $J_{2}=0: 77$, $\mathrm{J}_{1}=1: 0$ ), which is obtained in x3 2 [see eq. (3.13)].
$F$ ig. 3. A graphical representation of the two-dim ensional Ising system, where the horizontal and vertical directions correspond, respectively, the real-space and $T$ rotter directions. T he open circles denote the Ising variables $f S_{4}^{(r)}, ~{ }_{3} g$
 which take the three values 0 and $1 . T$ he plaquettes shaded by the slashed, back-slashed, vertical, and horizontal


F ig. 4. P lot of the $T$ rotter-extrapolated energy $g a p(N)$ versus $1=N$ ith $N=16,32,64$, and 128 , for (a) $\mathrm{J} 2=1: 00$ (the open circles), $J_{2}=0: 90$ (the open squares), $J_{2}=0: 80$ (the open diam onds), and $J_{2}=0: 78$ (the open triangles) and for (b) $J_{2}=0: 75$ (the closed triangles), $J_{2}=0: 70$ (the closed diam onds), $J_{2}=0: 60$ (the closed squares), and $J_{2}=0: 50$ (the closed circles), where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The solid lines give curves of a least-squares $t$ to eq. (3.12) (see the text for $m$ ore details).
$F$ ig. 5. P lot versus $J_{2}$ of the $N!1$ extrapolated ground-state energy per unit cell $g(1)$, where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. $T$ he solid line is a guide to the eye.
$F$ ig. 6. P lot versus $J_{2}$ of the $N$ ! 1 extrapolated energy gap ( 1 ), where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

Fig. 7. P lots versus $J_{2}$ of the $N!1$ extrapolated ground-state nearest-neighbor tw o-spin correlation functions $!_{1 ; 2}(1)$ (the open circles), $!_{2 ; 3}(1)$ (the open squares), and $!_{3 ; 4}(1)$ (the open diam onds), each of which is nor$m$ alized by its $m$ in im um value. $N$ ote that $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. $T$ he solid lines are guides to the eye.
$F$ ig. 8. Schem atic representations of the ground states for (a) $J_{2}<J_{2 c}$ and $(b) J_{2}>J_{2 c}$ by $m$ eans of the $V B S$ picture ${ }_{\sim}^{(2 d)}$ where $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The solid circles represent the $S=1=2$ spins, and tw $O S=1=2$ spins connected by the solid line form a singlet pair. E ach open ellipse surrounding tw $O S=1=2$ represents an operation of constructing an $S=1$ spin from these $S=1=2$ spins by sym $m$ etrizing them.
$F$ ig. 9. $P$ lot versus the tem perature $T$ of $(a)$ the $T$ rotter-extrapolated speci cheat $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cell and (b) the Trotter-extrapolated $m$ agnetic susceptibility $(N)=(N=4)$ per unit cell with $N=8$ (the open circles), 16 (the crosses), and 32 (the open diam onds) for $J_{2}=1: 0 . T$ he dotted lines in (a) and (b) show, respectively, the exact results for the speci c heat per unit cell and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility per unit cell, for $N=8$ and $J_{2}=1: 0$. $N$ ote that $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$.
$F$ ig. 10. $P$ lot versus the tem perature $T$ of $(a)$ the $T$ rotter-extrapolated speci cheat $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per un it cell and (b) the $T$ rotter-extrapolated $m$ agnetic susceptibility $\quad(N)=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cellw ith $N=8$ (the open circles), 16 (the crosses), and 32 (the open diam onds), 64 (the open squares), and 128 (the open triangles) for $J_{2}=0: 77$, being the critical value of $J_{2}$. Here, $C(\mathbb{N})$ and $(N)$ with $N=64$ and 128 are given only when $k_{B} T \quad 0: 50$. The dotted lines in (a) and (b) show, respectively, the exact results for the speci c heat per unit cell and the m agnetic susceptibility per unit cell, for $N=8$ and $J_{2}=0: 77$. $N$ ote that $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$.

Fig. 11. $P$ lot versus the tem perature $T$ of (a) the Trotter-extrapolated speci cheat $C(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}=4)$ per unit cell and (b) the Trotter-extrapolated $m$ agnetic susceptibility $(N)=(N=4)$ per unit cell $w$ ith $N=8$ (the open circles), 16 (the crosses), and 32 (the open diam onds) for $J_{2}=5: 0$. The dotted lines in (a) and (b) show, respectively, the exact results for the speci c heat per unit cell and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility per unit cell, for $N=8$ and $J_{2}=5: 0$. $N$ ote that $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$. The dot-dashed lines in (a) and (b) show, respectively, the speci cheat and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility for tw o pairs of the tw o-spin system consisting of an $S=1=2$ spin $s$ and an $S=1$ spin $S$ which couple w ith each other by $5 s \mathrm{~S}$. Furtherm ore, the dashed line in (a) show $S$ the half of the speci cheat for the $S=1=2$ bond-altemating chain described by the $H$ am iltonian $H$ (a) given by eq. (2.3) with $J_{1}=J_{3}=1: 0$ and $N=8$.
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