Tem perature D ependence of the Index of R efraction of Fused Silica Answer to Question # 50

J.I.Katz

Department of Physics and M cD onnell Center for the Space Sciences W ashington University, St. Louis, M o. 63130

Because the coe cient of them al expansion of fused silica¹ is so small (0.4 10⁶ K¹ at room temperature), it is evident that the variation in refractive index² (dn=dT $1.1 \ 10^5 \ K^{-1}$) must result from a change in the internal structure of the material with temperature. Note, also, that dilution of dispersive material by therm al expansion would tend to produce a negative dn=dT, opposite to what is observed. Here we develop the most elementary possible model. It is qualitatively, but not quantitatively, consistent with the data.

We write the complex dielectric constant (!) as the sum of real and im aginary parts

$$(!) = {}^{0}(!) + i {}^{0}(!);$$
(1)

with $^{0}(!)$ and $^{00}(!)$ each real. Then we use one of the K ram ers-K ronig relations³

$${}^{0}(!) = 1 + \frac{2}{P} P \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{s^{0}(s)}{s^{2} !^{2}} ds:$$
(2)

We assume that $^{00}(!)$ is narrowly peaked near a high (ultraviolet) frequency s_0 !.

At zero tem perature ⁽⁰⁾(!) may be a Dirac -function, or a sum of -functions, each corresponding to an allow ed vibronic transition, or to its analogue in an am orphous solid. At nite tem perature T the excited vibrational (phonon) substates of the ground electronic state are excited. In general, these have the sam e allow ed transitions to excited electronic states as the vibrational ground state, and we will assume they have the sam e oscillator strengths. A ssum ing a classical distribution of ground state vibrational excitation (requiring, in a crystal, a tem perature in excess of the D ebye tem perature) yields a simple

analyticalm odel for $^{(0)}(!)$:

$${}^{00}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} C h \\ k_B T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = k_B T] s < s_0 \\ s s_0,$$
(3)

where C is a normalization constant. This model assumes a single allowed electronic transition of (zero-tem perature) frequency s_0 , but may be applied if there are many such transitions, each with a frequency !, if s_0 is taken as an oscillator strength-weighted average. It tacitly neglects any tem perature dependence of the Franck-C ondon factors.

Using (3) in (2) yields

$${}^{0}(!) = 1 + \frac{2}{P} P \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{s_{0}}{s^{2}} \frac{s}{!^{2}} \frac{C h}{k_{B} T} \exp[h(s \ p) = k_{B} T] ds$$
(4)

If we approximate $k_B T$ has then the integrand is narrow by peaked around $s = s_0$. The lower limit of the integral may be extended to 1 and $s=(s^2 !^2)$ expanded in a Taylor series about s_0 :

$$\frac{s}{s^2 \quad !^2} = \frac{1}{s_0} \quad \frac{(s \quad s_0)}{s_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4!^2}{s_0^5} (s \quad s_0)^2 \quad \dots \tag{5}$$

This rem oves the pole in the integrand. Then the integral is elementary, yielding

⁰(!)
$$1 = \frac{2}{s_0} \frac{C}{s_0};$$
 (6)

which determ ines C .

Di erentiating (4) yields

$$\frac{d^{0}(!)}{dT} = -\frac{2}{0} \frac{\sum_{s_{0}} s_{0}}{s^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} k_{B} T} \frac{C h}{k_{B} T} - \frac{h(s \cdot s)}{k_{B} T} + 1 \exp[h(s \cdot s) = k_{B} T]ds;$$
(7)

A gain taking $k_B T$ hs and ! s_0 , the rst term in the expansion (5) integrates to zero but the second yields the result

$$\frac{d^{0}(!)}{dT} = \frac{2}{h} \frac{C k_{B}}{h_{S}^{2}} = [^{0}(!) \quad 1] \frac{k_{B}}{h_{S}}:$$
(8)

In terms of the refractive index $n=~(~~)^{1=2}=~^{1=2}$

$$\frac{dn(!)}{dT} = \frac{n^2}{2n} \frac{1}{h_{s}} k_{B}$$
(9)

In order to evaluate this num erically we need to estim at s_0 . Fused silica has very strong ultraviolet absorption centered around a wavelength of 1200 A, im plying hs 1:6 10^{11} erg. Then, with n = 1:445 in the visible and near-infrared, we have

$$\frac{dn}{dT} = 32 \quad 10^6 \quad K^{-1} :$$
 (10)

This theoretical value is about 3.4 times less than the measured² value of 1:1 10^{5} K¹. The most plausible explanation of the discrepancy is that the Franck-C ondon factors are increasing functions of temperature, as increasing vibrational excitation of the electronic ground state increases the range of internuclear separations, providing a better m atch to upper states in which the equilibrium internuclear separation is greater. This e ect cannot be calculated analytically.

The theory predicts that dn=dT be nearly independent of frequency because n is nearly independent of frequency. This is observed² to be true to within about 10% for wavelengths between 3000 A and 4 . dn=dT is measured to increase with decreasing wavelength for

< 3000 A, where the assumption ! s_0 is no longer valid. The theory could readily be extended to these larger values of ! by m eans of a straightforw and num erical integration.

¹ American Institute of Physics American Institute of Physics Handbook (M cG raw Hill, New York, 1957).

² I.H.Malitson, \Interspecim en Comparison of the Refractive Index of Fused Silica," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 55, 1205{1209 (1965).

³ J.D. Jackson Classical Electrodynamics (Wiley, New York, 1962), Prob. 7.9.

3