Testing replica predictions in experiments

Giorgio Parisi

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita La Sapienza, INFN Sezione di Rom a I Piazzale A ldo Moro, Rom e 00185

A ugust 26, 2021

Abstract

We review the predictions of the replica approach both for the statics and for the o -equilibrium dynamics. We stress the importance of the Cugliandolo-Kurchan o - equilibrium uctuation-dissipation relation in providing a bridge between the statics and the dynamics. We present num erical evidence for the correctness of these relations. This approach allows an experimental determination of the basic parameters of the replica theory.

W hen we suddenly decrease the tem perature in an H am iltonian system, m any interesting phenom ena happen if the initial and the naltem peratures correspond to di erent phases. W hen the low tem perature phase can be characterized by a simple order parameter (e.g. the m agnetization for ferrom agnets) we nd the fam iliar phenom enon of spinodal decom position characterized by growing clusters of di erent phases. There is a dynamical correlation length (i.e. the size of the clusters), which increases as a power of the time t after the quench, and the energy approaches equilibrium with power like corrections (e.g. E (t) $E_1 + At^{1=2}$). In this region aging phenom ena are also present [1].

The situation is more intriguing in the case of structural glasses and spin glasses where the low temperature phase cannot be characterized in term of a simple order parameter. Remarkable progresses in understanding the o -equilibrium dynamics and its relations to the equilibrium properties has been done by noticing that a crucial o -equilibrium feature is the presence of deviations from the well known equilibrium uctuation-dissipation relations. On the basis of analytic results for soluble models it has been conjectured that we can de ne a function X (C), C being an autocorrelation function at di erent times [2, 3, 4]. This function characterizes the violations of the uctuation-dissipation theorem (which is correct only at equilibrium). It is remarkable that (at least in the case of spin glasses) the function X (C) is equal to the function x(q) (q being the overlap of two spin con gurations) which plays a central role in the equilibrium computation of the free energy [5].

Let us not recall the description of these glassy systems at equilibrium according to the predictions of the replica theory. We consider a system s and we denote by C a generic con guration of the system. For simplicity we will assume that there are no symmetry in the H am iltonian, in presence of symmetries the arguments must be slightly modiled. It is useful to introduce an overlap $q(C;C^0)$. There are many ways in which an overlap can be de ned; for example in spin system we could de ne

$$q = \frac{\frac{1}{1 + 1, N} + 1}{N};$$
(1)

N being the total number of spins or particles and and are the two spin con gurations. In a liquid a possibility is given by

$$q = \frac{\sum_{i=1,N}^{P} f(x(i) - y(k))}{N};$$
 (2)

where f is a function which decays in a fast way at large distances and is substantially di erent from zero only at distances smaller that the interatom ic distance (x and y are the two con gurations of the system).

In the high tem perature phase for very large values of N the probability distribution of the overlap (P_N (q)) is given by

$$P_{N}(q) (q q):$$
 (3)

In the low temperature phase P_N (q) depends on N (and on the quenched disorder, if it is present). When we average over N we nd a function P (q) which is not a simple delta function. In all known case one nds that

$$P(q) = a_{m} (q q_{m}) + a_{M} (q q_{M}) + p(q);$$
(4)

where the function p(q) does not contains delta function and its support is in the interval $[q_n; q_M]$.

The non triviality of the function P (q) (i.e. the fact that P (q) is not a single delta function and consequently q is an intensive uctuating quantity) is related to the existence of m any di erent equilibrium states. Moreover the function P_N (q) changes with N and its statistical properties (i.e the probability of getting a given function P_N (q)) can be analytically computed [5, 6].

In this equilibrium description a crucial role is given by the function x (q) de ned as

$$\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{q}) = \int_{q_n}^{Z} \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{q}^0) d\mathbf{q}^0 :$$
 (5)

In the simplest case the function p(q) is equal to zero. ie the function P (q) has only two delta functions without the smooth part. In this case, which correspond to one step replica symmetry breaking, there are many equilibrium states, labeled by , and the overlaps among two generic con gurations of the same state and of two dimensions are respectively q_M and q_n . The probability of noting a state with total free energy f is proportional to

$$\exp(m(f f_R));$$
(6)

where f_R is a reference free energy and m is the value of x (q) in the interval $[q_n;q_M]$.

In the m ore complicated situation where the function p(q) is non zero, couples of di erent states m ay have di erent values of the overlaps. The conjoint probability distribution of the states and of the overlaps can be described by form ulae similar to eq. (6), but m ore complex [5].

A lthough these predictions are quite clear, it is not so simple to test them for many reasons:

They are valid at therm al equilibrium, a condition that is very dicult to reach for this kind of system s.

Experimentally is extremely discult to measure the values of the microscopic variables, i.e. all the spins of the system at a given moment. These measurements can be done only in numerical simulations, where the observation time cannot be very large.

A very important progress has been done when it was discovered [2] that the function X, which describes the violations of the uctuation dissipation theorem, is equal to the function x which is relevant for the statics. This equality is very interesting because function X (C) can be measured relatively easily in o -equilibrium simulations [7].

The temperature dependence of the function X (C) (or equivalently x(q)) is interesting also because rather di erent system s can be classi ed in the sam e universality class according to the behaviour of this function. It has been conjectured long time ago that the equilibrium properties of glasses are in the sam e universality class of som e simple generalized spin glass m odels [8, 9].

Let us be more precise. We concentrate our attention on a quantity A (t). We suppose that the system starts at time t = 0 from an initial condition and subsequently it remains at a xed temperature T. If the initial conduction is at equilibrium at a temperature T⁰ > T, we observe an o -equilibrium behaviour. We can de ne a correlation function

$$C(t_{j}t_{w}) \quad hA(t_{w})A(t+t_{w})i$$
(7)

and the response function

$$G(t;t_w) = \frac{hA(t+t_w)i}{(t_w)} = 0$$
(8)

where we are considering the evolution in presence of a time dependent H am iltonian in which we have added the term R dt (t)A (t).

The usual equilibrium uctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) tells us that

$$G^{eq}(t) = \frac{dC^{eq}(t)}{dt}; \qquad (9)$$

where

$$G^{eq}(t) = \lim_{t_w ! 1} G(t;t_w); C^{eq}(t) = \lim_{t_w ! 1} C(t;t_w):$$
(10)

It is convenient to de ne the integrated response:

$$R (t;t_{w}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{t}} d G (t ;t_{w} +); R^{eq} (t) = \lim_{t_{w} \geq 1} R (t;t_{w}); \qquad (11)$$

R (t; t_w) is the response of the system at time t+ t_w to a eld acting for a time t starting at t_w . The usual FDT relation becomes

$$R^{eq}(t) = (C^{eq}(t) C^{eq}(0)):$$
 (12)

The o -equilibrium uctuation-dissipation relation [2] states that the response function and the correlation function satisfy the following relation for large t_w :

$$R (t;t_w) = \begin{array}{c} & & Z & c & (0;t_w) \\ & & X & (C) dC : \\ & & C & (t;t_w) \end{array}$$
(13)

If we plot R versus C for large t_w the data collapse on the same universal curve and the slope of that curve is X (C). The function X (C) is system dependent and its form tells us interesting information. in the case of three dimensional spin glasses.

W e must distinguish two regions:

A short time region where X (C) = 1 (the so called FDT region) and C belongs to the interval I (i.e. $C_1 < C < C_2$.).



Figure 1: The response R times T versus C at T = 0.7 for the three dimensional Ising spin glass [10]. The curve is the prediction for function R (C) obtained from the equilibrium data. The straight line is the FDT prediction. We have plotted the data of the two runs: $t_w = 10^5$, and $t_w = 10^4$.

A large time region (usually t = 0 (t_w)) where $C \ge I$ and X (C) < 1. In the same region the correlation function often satis es an aging relation i.e. C ($t_t t_w$) depends only on the ration s $t=t_w$ in the region where both t and t_w are large: C ($t_t t_w$) C^a ($t=t_w$).

In the simplest non trivial case, i.e. one step replica symmetry breaking [5, 9], the function X (C) is piecew ise constant, i.e.

$$X (C) = m$$
 for $C 2 I$; $X (C) = 1$ for $C \ge I$: (14)

One step replica symmetry breaking for glasses has been conjectured in ref. [8].

In all known cases in which one step replica symmetry holds, the quantity m vanishes linearly with the tem perature at small tem peratures. It often happens that m = 1 at $T = T_c$ and m (T) is roughly linear in the whole tem perature range.

Let us consider the case of spin glasses at zero magnetic eld (in this case the replica symmetry is fully broken [10]). The natural variable to consider is a single spin (A = $_{i}$)). In this case the correlation C (t;t_w) is equal to the overlap among two con gurations at time t and t_w:

$$C (t;t_{w}) = \frac{\int_{i=N}^{r} i(t) i(t_{w})}{N};$$
(15)

The response function is just the magnetization in presence of an in nitesimal magnetic eld. In this case the situation is quite good because there are reliable simulations for the system at equilibrium [10].

In g. (1) (taken from [11]) we plot the prediction for the function R versus C, obtained at equilibrium (i.e. using the equilibrium probability distribution of the overlaps, P (q)) by m eans of a simulation of a 16^3 lattice using parallel tem pering [12, 10]. The simulation has been done with the help of the APE100 supercomputer [13] and involves the study of 900 samples of a L = 16 lattice.



Figure 2: R versus at = 1:6 for $t_w = 8192$ and $t_w = 2048$ at N = 66 and for $t_w = 2048$ at N = 130. The two straight lines have slope 1 and .62 respectively.

During the o-equilibrium simulations [11] in a rst run without magnetic eld the autocorrelation function has been computed. In a second second run from t = 0 until $t = t_w$ the magnetic eld is zero and then (for t_w) there is an uniform magnetic eld of small strength h_0 . The starting con gurations were always chosen at random (i.e. the system is suddenly quenched from T = 1 to the simulation temperature T).

In g. (1) there are the results of the o -equilibrium simulations [11] where $t_w = 10^5$ and $t_w = 10^4$, with a maximum time of 5 10 M onte Carlo sweeps. The lattice size in was 64, and T = 0:7 (well inside the spin glass phase, the critical temperature is close to 1.0). We plot the response function R times T (in this case R is equal to m =h₀) against C (t; t_w). We have plotted also a straight line with slope 1 in order to control where the FDT is satisticed. Finally we have plotted two points, in the left of the gure, that are obtained with the in nite time extrapolation of the magnetization.

The agreem ent among the absolute theoretical predictions (no free param eters) coming from the statics and the dynamical num erical data is quite remarkable. These data show the correctness of the identication of the functions x of the statics and X of the dynamics.

Let us now go to the case of glass form ing materials. I will present the data for binary mixture of soft spheres [14]. Theoretically there have been many speculations the glass transition is described by one step replica symmetry breaking [8, 9, 16, 17]. Here the equilibrium properties are no so well known as in spin glasses, although there are some evidence that the hom ologous of the function P (q) is non trivial [6]. On the other side, as we shall see, o -equilibrium simulations [15] show that the function X (C) seems to be given by the one step formula (14) with an approximate linear dependence of mon the temperature.

W e consider a m ixture of soft particles of di erent sizes. Half of the particles are of type A , half of type B and the interaction among the particles is given by the Ham iltonian:

$$H = \frac{X}{_{j \leq k}} \frac{((j) + (k))^{1/2}}{jx_{i} x_{k}j}; \qquad (16)$$

where the radius () depends on the type of particles. This model has been carefully studied in the past [14, 15]. The choice $_{\rm B} = _{\rm A} = 1.2$ strongly inhibits crystallisation and the system goes into a glassy phase when it is cooled. Using the same conventions of the previous investigators we consider particles of average radius 1 at unit density. It is usual to introduce



Figure 3: The quantity m $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}$ as $t_w = 2048$ as function of the tem perature. The straight line is the prediction of the approximation m (T) = T=T_c.

the quantity ⁴. For quenching from T = 1 the glass transition is known to happen around _c = 1:45 [14].

The best quantity we can measure to evidenziate o -equilibrium e ects is the di usion of the particles: \mathbf{p}

$$(t;t_{w}) \quad \frac{\int_{i=1,N}^{r} h_{j} x_{i}(t_{w}) \quad x_{i}(t_{w}+t) f_{i}}{N}; \quad (17)$$

The usual di usion constant is given by $D = \lim_{t \ge 1} (t; t_w) = t$.

The other quantity we measure is the response to a force. At time t_w we add to the Hamiltonian the term f x, where f is vector of squared length equal to d = 3 and we measure the response

$$R(t_{w};t) = \frac{\underline{\theta}hf \times (t_{w} + t)i}{\underline{\theta}} = 0 \qquad \frac{hf \times (t_{w} + t)i}{\underline{\theta}}$$
(18)

for su ciently small . The usual uctuation theorem tells that at equilibrium $e^{eq}(t) = R^{eq}(t)$.

In the following we will look for the validity in the low temperature region of the generalized relation X () = $(R = 0 \cdot T)$ is relation (with X ≤ 1) can be valid only in the region where the di usion constant D is equal to zero. Strictly speaking also in the glassy region D ≤ 0 , because di usion m ay always happens by interchanging two nearby particles (D is di erent from zero also in a crystal); however if the times are not too large the value of D is so sm all in the glassy phase that this process m ay be neglected in a rst approximation.

The simulations we present are done using a M onte C arb algorithm, which is a discretized form of a Langevin dynamics. In g. 2 we show R versus at $t_w = 2048$ and $t_w = 8192$ for = 1:6 and t $4t_w$ at N = 66. We also show the data for $t_w = 2048$ at N = 130. We do not observe any signi cant system atic shift in this plot among three data sets. We distinguish two linear regions with dimensional system at the slope as expected from one step replica symmetry breaking. The slope in the rst region is compatible with 1, as expected from the FDT theorem, while the slope in the second region is near 0.62. Also the data at dimension where the FDT relation does not hold, can be very well tted by a linear function of as can be seen in g. 2. The region where a linear t (with m < 1) is quite good corresponds to t=t_w > 0.2.

The tted value of $m \in \mathbb{R} = 0$ () is displayed in g. (3). When m becomes equal to 1, the uctuation-dissipation theorem holds in the whole region and this is what happens at

higher tem peratures. The straight line is the prediction of the approximation m (T) = $T = T_c$, using $_c = 1.45$.

All the results are in very good agreement with the theoretical expectations based on our know ledge extracted from the mean eld theory for generalized spin glass models. The approximation m (T) = $T = T_c$ seems to work with an embarrassing precision. We can conclude that the ideas developed for generalized spin glasses have a much wider range of application than the models from which they have been extracted. It likely that they reject quite general properties of the phase space and therefore they can be applied in cases which are very dimension the original ones.

The most interesting development would be to measure experimentally the function X both in spin glasses and in structural glasses. Clearly the most dicult task is the measurement of the uctuations. In spin glasses it is clear how it should be done: the measurement of the thermal uctuations of the magnetization is a delicate, but feasible experiment. In the case of structural glasses some ingenuity is needed in planning the experiments. A open interesting possibility would to do the measurements in the case of rubber, where a transition with similar characteristics should take place.

References

- [1] J.P.Bouchaud, J.Phys.France 2 1705, (1992).
- [2] L.F.Cugliandolo and J.Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1 (1993).
- [3] S.Franz and M.M ezard Europhys. Lett. 26, 209 (1994).
- [4] J.-P.Bouchaud, L.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan, Marc Mezard, Physica A 226, 243 (1996).
- [5] M M ezard, G Parisi and M A V irasoro, Spin glass theory and beyond, W orld Scienti c (Singapore 1987), G Parisi, Field Theory, D isorder and Simulations, W orld Scientic, (Singapore 1992).
- [6] B. Coluzzi and G. Parisi On the Approach to the Equilibrium and the Equilibrium Properties of a Glass-Form ing Model, cond-mat/9712261.
- [7] S.Franz and H.Rieger Phys. J. Stat. Phys. 79 749 (1995).
- [8] T.R.Kirkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2091 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5388 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick, D.Thirum alai and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev. A 40, 1045 (1989).
- [9] G.Parisi, Gauge theories, spin glasses and realglasses, cond-mat/9411115; Slow D ynamics in G lasses, cond-mat/9412034; On the mean eld approach to glassy systems, condmat/9701034; On the replica approach to glasses, cond-mat/9701068; Slow dynamics of glassy systems, cond-mat/9705312; New ideas in glass transitions, cond-mat/9712079.
- [10] E. Marinari, G. Parisi and J. J. Ruiz-Lorenzo. Numerical Simulations of Spin G lass Systems in Spin G lasses and Random Fields, edited by P. Young. W ord Scientic (Singapore 1997). cond-m at/9701016.
- [11] E. Marinari, G. Parisi, F. Ricci and J. J. Ruiz-Lorenzo, Violation of the Fluctuation Dissipation Theorem in Finite D in ensional Spin G lasses, cond-m at/9710120

- [12] K.Hukushim a and K.Nem oto, J.Phys. Soc. Japan, 65, 1604 (1996).
- [13] C.Battista et al. Int. J. High Speed Com p. 5, 637 (1993).
- [14] B Bernu, J.-P. Hansen, Y. Hitawariand G. Pastore, Phys. Rev. A 36 4891 (1987), J.-L. Barrat, J-N. Roux and J.-P. Hansen, Chem. Phys. 149, 197 (1990), J.-P. Hansen and S. Yip, Trans. Theory and Stat. Phys. 24, 1149 (1995).
- [15] G.Parisi, cond-m at 9701015, J.Phys. A Lett (in press), G.Parisi, cond-m at 9701100 subm itted to J.Phys. A (in press), Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press).
- [16] M M ezard and G Parisi, J. Phys. A 25 25 (1996).
- [17] S. Franz and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Letters (in press).